November 15, 2017

Marketa Garner Walters  
Secretary  
Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services  
627 North 4th Street  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana  70802

Dear Ms. Walters:

Thank you for submitting Louisiana’s Annual Progress and Services Report (APSR), including the annual report on the use of funds under the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, and the CFS-101 forms requesting funding for fiscal year (FY) 2018 to address the following programs:

- Title IV-B, subpart 1 (Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services) of the Social Security Act (the Act);
- Title IV-B, subpart 2 (Promoting Safe and Stable Families Program and Monthly Caseworker Visit Grant) of the Act;
- Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) State Grant;
- Chafee Foster Care Independence Program (CFCIP); and
- Education and Training Vouchers (ETV) Program.

These programs provide important funding to help state child welfare agencies ensure safety, permanency, and well-being for children, youth and their families. The APSR facilitates continued assessment, development, and implementation of a comprehensive continuum of services for children and families. It provides an opportunity to integrate more fully each state’s strategic planning around use of federal funds with its work relating to the Child and Family Services Reviews and continuous program improvement activities.

Approval

The Children’s Bureau (CB) has reviewed your APSR for FY 2018 and the annual report on the use of CAPTA funds and finds them to be in compliance with applicable federal statutory and regulatory requirements. Therefore, we approve FY 2018 funding under the title IV-B, subpart 1; title IV-B, subpart 2; CAPTA; CFCIP; and ETV programs.
Counter-signed copies of the CFS-101 forms are enclosed for your records. The Children’s Bureau may ask for a revised CFS-101, Part I, should the final allotment for any of the approved programs be more than that requested in the Annual Budget Request.

The Administration for Children and Families’ Office of Grants Management (OGM) will issue a grant notification award letter with pertinent grant information. Please note that OGM requires grantees to submit additional financial reports, using the form SF-425, at the close of the expenditure period according to the terms and conditions of the award.

**Training Plan**
This approval for the FY 2018 funding for title IV-B, subpart 1; title IV-B, subpart 2; CAPTA; CFCIP; and ETV programs does not release the state from ensuring that training costs included in the training plan and charged to title IV-E of the Act comply with the requirements at 45 CFR 1356.60(b) and (c) and 45 CFR 235.63 through 235.66(a), including properly allocating costs to all benefiting programs in accordance with the state’s approved cost allocation plan.

**Additional Information Required**
Pursuant to Section 424(f) of the Act, states are required to collect and report on caseworker visits with children in foster care. The FY 2017 caseworker visit data must be submitted to the Regional Office by December 15, 2017. States that wish to use a sampling methodology to obtain the required data must obtain prior approval from the Regional Office.

The CB looks forward to working with you and your staff. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact Janis Brown, Child Welfare Regional Program Manager in Region 6, at (214) 767-8466 or by e-mail at janis.brown@acf.hhs.gov. You also may contact Angela Ivey, Child and Family Program Specialist, at (214)-767-8704 or by e-mail at angela.ivey@acf.hhs.gov.

Sincerely,

Jerry Milner
Associate Commissioner
Children’s Bureau

Enclosure(s)

cc: Gail Collins, Director; CB, Division of Program Implementation; Washington, DC
    Deborah M. Bell, Financial Management Specialist; ACF, OA, OGM; Washington, DC
    Janis Brown, Child Welfare Regional Program Manager; CB, Region 6; Dallas, TX
    Angela Ivey, Child and Family Program Specialist; CB, Region 6; Dallas, TX
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SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION TO DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES (DCFS): The DCFS is the state agency designated in Louisiana to administer and supervise the administration of child welfare services delivered under Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program (Title IV-B subpart 1), Promoting Safe and Stable Families (Title IV-B subpart 2), and Title IV-E of the Social Security Act. In addition, the Department is designated to administer the Chafee Foster Care Independence Program, Education and Training Voucher program and the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act Grant (P.L. 104-235).

This report outlines the Department’s plan for child welfare for the next five years and provides updates on years one, two and three of plan implementation.

ADMINISTRATION OF PROGRAMS: The DCFS provides comprehensive social services and child welfare programs that include intake, protective services, protective child care, family services, foster care and adoption. Services are administered statewide within a centralized organizational framework with 9 regional offices and 48 parish offices. Services are available in all 64 parishes.

ORGANIZATIONAL CHARTS: Organizational charts are located in Appendix A of this document.

DECISION MAKING PROCESS: The DCFS selects community-based agencies and organizations to provide family support services in accordance with the Louisiana Procurement Code, financial regulations, and the state’s Cost Allocation Plan. Contracts are issued through a competitive bid process. Requests for Proposals (RFP) are issued outlining services and requesting proposals. Proposals are then received from community-based agencies. A RFP committee consisting of field staff and state office staff is assigned to review proposals. Proposals are then reviewed and scored to determine who will be awarded contracts. Contracts, which are negotiated with community agencies, are awarded for three year intervals.

LINK TO LOCATION OF THE STATE’S APSR: The state’s federally approved Annual Progress and Services Reports (APSR) and Child and Family Services Plans (CFSP) are posted on the DCFS website and can be located at the following link:  
This plan will not be posted on the website until approved by the Administration for Children and Families/Children’s Bureau.
CHILD WELFARE PRINCIPLES OF PRACTICE: In decision making, and the development and identification of best practices and/or evidence-based practices, the Department utilizes the child welfare (CW) principals of practices as listed below. Further, both state and federal data are utilized in the decision making process.

DCFS Mission Statement: We care for the well-being and safety of Louisiana’s people.

DCFS Values/Vision: Treating all people with dignity, compassion and respect while providing services with integrity.

Principles of Child Welfare Practice: Our focus in providing child welfare services is centered on the following five principles:

- **Children are safe, both physically and psychologically.**
  The physical and psychological safety and well-being of children and youth is our paramount concern, and we address it at every contact. Ongoing assessment of safety, risk and protective factors guides every intervention and plan.

- **Families are strengthened and parental capacity is enhanced.**
  Trauma informed evidence-based interventions are utilized to identify and support parents, caretakers, and families who can develop protective capacities and maintain a safe, stable environment for their children. When children or youth must be placed out of home for their safety, foster care is considered a short-term intervention.

- **Children and youth have permanence in their lives; physically, legally and emotionally.**
  All children and youth need stable and nurturing families to grow and develop to their full potential. Permanency for children and youth should occur timely while ensuring ongoing permanent connections. Youth should have a voice in their plans.

- **Communities are engaged as system partners.**
  Communities share the responsibility for the safety and well-being of children, youth and families. Communities are defined broadly and include foster parents as well as stakeholders of the educational, law enforcement, health care, social service, faith-based, and legal systems.

- **The competence and well-being of those working in the system are value.**
  We acknowledge the complexity of child welfare work and provide evidence-based tools, training, and supervisory support in order advance staff knowledge and competence. As a trauma-informed system, the impact of primary and secondary trauma on the workforce is recognized and supported.

CHILD WELFARE DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS: Louisiana is not participating in any demonstration waivers at this time.
Collaboration: The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) remains committed to the involvement of stakeholders in the development and improvement of service delivery. To that end, the Department engages in a number of collaborative processes for the reporting period, some of the most significant are as follows:

A) Committees, Workgroups and Partnerships with Public Agencies/Entities: Please refer to the Quality Assurance and Agency Responsiveness to the Community Systemic Factor sections of this plan for additional stakeholder involvement/activities.

The Louisiana Court Improvement Project (CIP), DCFS and other key stakeholders have given priority to several issues. Louisiana has a decentralized court system consisting of independent court districts with elected judges. An enormous cooperative effort among local courts, juvenile courts and state and parish agencies is required to effectually comply with state and federal mandates. Through various work efforts and processes the CIP and the DCFS are working toward the following:

Enhanced Collaboration: This is to be accomplished through the promotion of best practice and collaboration among stakeholders serving families through the implementation of the Pelican State Center for Children and Families. For additional information on the Pelican Center please refer to the training portion of this plan. (Together with the CIP, CASA, the DCFS and the state universities alliance, a multi-disciplinary training academy has been developed); Interdisciplinary Education and training (“Together We Can” Conference continues as does multi-disciplinary and joint training, exchange of data, and identification of challenges, promising practices and strategies for improvement, statewide).

Increased Support: Efforts to decrease the number of children experiencing repeat maltreatment; Increase the number of children who have permanency and stability in their living situations, including the transition from foster care to independent living, and that long-term foster care placements are stable; and Increase and improve engagement of the entire family, including fictive kin and foster parents.

Provision of High Quality Legal Processes: Promotion of due process of law in child abuse and neglect proceedings; promotion of timely, thorough and complete court hearings and; through the work of the CIP Judicial Fellow promotion of improved judicial performance in courts that hear Child in Need of Care (CINC) cases.

Additionally, CIP participates in the DCFS state level child welfare PQI subcommittee. CIP developed its own statewide, interdisciplinary PQI committee and DCFS staff serves on the CIP PQI committee.

Update FFY 2016: The Pelican Center is a Louisiana not-for-profit corporation, with a Board of Directors comprised of executive leaders of key Louisiana child-serving entities: Louisiana Supreme Court, Louisiana CIP, DCFS (3 board members), louisianachildren.org (CASA and Child Advocacy Centers), Louisiana District Attorneys Association, National Association of Social Workers - Louisiana Chapter, National Association of Black Social Workers, Louisiana Foster and Adoptive Parents Association, Louisiana Children’s Justice Act Task Force, Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
Universities Alliance (represented by Southeastern Louisiana University and Northwestern Louisiana University). The Board Directors meets quarterly and is responsible for implementation of the Louisiana CIP. The Pelican Center, by way of a MOU with DCFS and Southeastern Louisiana University (as lead for the public Universities Alliance), have created the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) to provide specialized legal and interdisciplinary education and training programming, including the annual “Together We Can” Conference and an array of multi-disciplinary, joint training, exchange of data and identification of challenges, promising practices and strategies for improvement of global child welfare outcomes on a statewide basis.

The Pelican Center and the CIP are working closely to decrease the number of children experiencing repeat maltreatment, increase the number of children who have permanency and stability in their living situations, including the transition from foster care to independent living. Improving permanency outcomes and increasing placement stability drive much of the CIP and DCFS collaborative work around improving the quality of safety decision-making by courts as an adjunct to the Department’s Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) model. Well-informed judicial decision-making helps to ensure that long-term foster care placements are stable and foster care is only used as the safety plan of last resort. By way of very concerted collaborative work between the Pelican Center and DCFS, along with key legal stakeholders through training and education, both entities are working to increase and improve engagement of the entire family, including fictive kin and foster parents.

Examples of work being done in the area of high quality legal processes include specialized training and education for attorneys representing children/indigent parents in CINC proceedings. In addition, the Louisiana CIP Judicial Fellow, Anne L. Simon, District Judge (Retired), serves as a direct link from the Louisiana CIP to judges across the state who hears CINC cases. Judge Simon is Louisiana’s resident legal expert on the Indian Child Welfare Act.

**Update FFY 2017:** Improving the Quality of Legal Representation for Children and Indigent Parents: The Louisiana CIP has a long history of working to improve legal representation for children and parents. The Louisiana CIP has worked with the multi-disciplinary Task Force on Legal Representation in Child Protection Cases since its inception in 2003. The Task Force, which was legislatively created, was established to “study systemic issues and concerns related to the provision of legal representation of abused and neglected children and their indigent parents in child protection cases and to make recommendations on how these services may be more effectively and efficiently provided and funded.” The work of the Task Force led to the current system in which representation for children in child welfare proceedings is provided statewide through Louisiana’s three Legal Services Corporations (LSCs) and the Child Advocacy Program (CAP) of Mental Health Advocacy Services. State funding to support the work of the LSCs was recently moved from DCFS to the Supreme Court’s budget.

In 2014 legislation was enacted to create a “uniform system of representation of indigent parents statewide that provides for appropriate oversight, minimization of potential conflicts, and adequate local and state funding.” This legislation created an Indigent Parents’ Representation Program established within the Louisiana Public Defender Board.
During FY 2016 Louisiana CIP continued its commitment to ensuring quality legal representation by:

- Completing the “Indicators of Quality Legal Representation” for children’s and parents’ attorneys;
- Providing numerous training and education opportunities for children’s attorneys, attorney for indigent parents, district attorneys, child welfare agency attorneys, CASA volunteers are adequately trained.
- Completing a number of articles and Law and Best Practices Bulletin to be used by child welfare practitioners as a desk references and as the focal point of potential webinar training events:
  - Preventing Sex Trafficking
  - Reasonable Prudent Parenting Standard
  - ICWA
  - State Juvenile Immigrant Status Youth
  - Safety Decision-making
  - Guardianship

During FY 2016 CIP continued work under contract (using CIP funds) with the ABA Center on Children and Law to determine how to measure and monitor the quality of legal representation provided in CINC cases. The ABA team spent two days onsite with Louisiana partners to assess the top priorities in this project. Accomplishments:

- Completion of Indicators for Quality Legal Representation, other Toolkit measures, and Practice Standards to use to measure progress;
- Identifying the data elements and crafting queries that would be used to gather information;
- Unpacking the data and understand what it means about the services families are receiving from their lawyers and the courts;
- Assessing training needs.

**Improving the Quality of Safety Decision-making:** Courts make important decisions every day that affect the safety of children who come before them. One of the primary decisions a court must make is whether to remove a child from the home and whether to return a child to that home. As importantly, courts exercise jurisdiction over children and families while the child is in care. These important court decisions regarding whether to remove or to return are at the very heart of judicial decision-making to keep children safe. Safety decision-making is critical both at the point of entry into and the exit from the foster care system.

Louisiana’s performance on Safety Outcomes 1 and 2 in both the 2003 and 2010 federal Child and Family Service Reviews (CFSRs) did not meet national standards and were deemed to be areas in need of improvement. As a result, the Department was required to address these deficiencies in its two resultant Program Improvement Plans (PIPs), in both of which the Louisiana CIP was consulted and participated.

In addition to the data provided by the CFSR process, qualitative data gleaned from judges and court staff and administration by Louisiana’s CIP Judicial Fellow and CIP staff indicate that
judges struggle with these very important decisions. This messaging is underscored by information provided by members of the statewide CIP CARE Advisory Committee, especially children’s and indigent parents’ attorneys, who grapple with the complex issue of whether foster care, the safety plan of last resort, is appropriate in a given situation; or whether a child could be maintained safely in the home with appropriate services and a strong safety plan. Thus, the need for the best information available to inform decisions by the court to remove or to return is ever present.

If safety and risk are being accurately assessed initially and on an ongoing basis by the DCFS and reviewed by the court on a regular basis, then there should be an incremental improvement in 2016 Annual Self-Assessment, the performance rating regarding Risk and Safety Assessment and Management (Item 3) of the CFSR.

As part of its commitment to keep children safe, the DCFS, with information flowing to and from the Louisiana CIP and the CIP CARE Advisory Committee, implemented a research-based safety focused approach for assessing child abuse and neglect. Implementation of the Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) model shifted the focus away from the traditional incident based model to a focus on child safety, with on-going assessments of the family to determine future risk.

The safety-focused approach requires information collection in six critical areas:

- nature and extent of maltreatment
- circumstances surrounding maltreatment
- adult functioning
- child functioning
- parenting skills and
- disciplinary practices

Information collection begins with initial contact (Intake) and continues throughout department involvement with the family. The identification of present and impending danger to alleged child(ren) victims is necessary to make more appropriate safety decisions. Ongoing safety assessment, along with periodic risk assessment, informs decisions about the need for intervention, ongoing services, and permanency planning for children who are removed from their homes to ensure safety.

Gathering comprehensive information in the six areas of assessment allows the department to determine if abuse and neglect is a continuous family condition or a one-time incident and how present and past traumatic events impact the family. The parent/caretaker’s capacity to protect is assessed as an integral part of the overall assessment. Parent/caretaker protective capacity is critical to determining the overall safety of the child, the need for intervention, and identification of services for the family.

Implementation of the ASFP model began regionally in approximately 2012, although research and development of the approach had been going on for some time. Statewide implementation was completed in November 2013. The Louisiana CIP CARE Advisory Committee, recognizing
the vast importance of sound safety decision-making by the department and the courts, prioritized support of the department’s new ASFP model and identified and committed to the education and training of legal stakeholders around key concepts of the approach.

How well judges oversee the safety of children under their jurisdiction is often related to how well judges understand the department’s safety decision-making process and the quality of information being provided to them. Safety decisions must be made throughout the life of the case. In addition, attorneys for children and parents, CASAs and other advocates need to be aware of how the department makes safety decisions that affect their clients. The more sophisticated their awareness, the more helpful legal stakeholders may be to ensuring that children are kept safe and that the likelihood of future harm is reduced.

The need identified by the CIP, in collaboration with a broad array of child welfare system stakeholders, including judges, children’s and parents’ attorneys, foster parents, CASAs, DCFS staff and community partners was a comprehensive training and education curriculum for legal stakeholders to support the department’s new advanced safety model and knowledge by legal stakeholders of key definitional (e.g., “safe” v. “unsafe,” “safety” v. “risk,” “safety plan” v. “case plan,” etc.) and information gathering (6 areas of assessment).

In order to support improved safety decision-making and address the identified need for comprehensive and consistent education and training of child welfare stakeholders around the department’s new model, the Pelican Center Training and Education Committee in 2013 formed a Safety Decision-making Workgroup to develop the curriculum. Child Safety: A Guide for Judges and Attorneys, written by Therese Roe Lund, MSSW, and Jennifer Renne, JD, and published by the American Bar Association was chosen as the text. During 2013, the workgroup compared the concepts and language in the Safety Guide to the DCFS ASFP model and the Louisiana Children’s Code. Virtually no inconsistencies existed. During FFY 2016, the curriculum was completed, having been reviewed by various constituencies and users.

Satisfied that the Safety Guide would provide a good text for the CIP training and education events, the workgroup in 2014 drafted a 6-hour safety decision-making curriculum. The curriculum conforms to Pelican Center training standards and includes:

- Instructor’s manual
- PowerPoint presentation
- Participant’s manual
- Activities handouts
- Pre-/post tests
- Course evaluation

The safety decision-making curriculum was piloted three times during FFY 2015—one with indigent parents’ attorneys, once with children’s attorneys and once with an interdisciplinary audience which included field and administrative staff and legal stakeholders. After each pilot delivery of the curriculum, the workgroup made edits to the curriculum and materials based on pre-/post test scores of participants, verbal feedback from participants and course evaluation materials.
In August 2015, the revised draft curriculum was submitted to DCFS administration for final review. The review was completed and feedback delivered in September 2015. The primary feedback from the department was a suggestion to include more information about the DCFS present danger and impending risk assessments. The workgroup made edits, added additional material and finalized the curriculum in FFY 2016.

With respect to monitoring around global safety outcomes, the CIP, through its CQI Committee, will partner with DCFS to share, monitor and evaluate the department’s ongoing quarterly case review process and CQI efforts around safety decision-making. In addition, the CIP will collaborate to share information with the department on safety portions of the CFSP and APSR. The focus will be Safety Outcome Measure 2, Item 3, “Risk and Safety Assessment and Management.” As to the curriculum itself, the CIP will utilize pre-/post-testing to gauge participants increase in knowledge. Course evaluations will also be used to assess the learning experience and instructor effectiveness.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018: Improving the Quality of Legal Representation/Hearing Quality:** The goal is to improve the quality of hearings, which will lead to better decision making and ultimately to better safety, permanency, and well-being outcomes for children and families. While certain aspects are known to need improvement (for example, engagement and attendance of youth) other aspects of court hearings will require thorough assessment to appropriately target resources. The data being maintained by child and parents' attorneys will provide substantial information on which to design improvement efforts.

**Improving the Quality of Safety Decision-making:** The Louisiana CIP, in conjunction with the Louisiana DCFS, will ensure that all relevant stakeholders are introduced to and trained in the state’s newly implemented Safety Decision Making Model, and will assess the degree to which an introduction to and training on the topic can produce changes in CFSP Safety Outcome 2 (Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate), Item 3 (Risk and Safe Assessment and Management). There will be special emphasis on collaboration between the agency and the courts to ensure that the concerted efforts are made to assess and manage the risk and safety concerns that brought the child(ren) to the attention of the DCFS.

Four live 6-hour training events will be conducted by the Pelican Center – one per quarter in the following DCFS regions:
- Shreveport
- Monroe
- Baton Rouge
- New Orleans

In addition, four one-hour, statewide “Lunch and Learn” webinars will be conducted each quarter. Capacity for each webinar is 1,000 persons.

The DCFS and the **Louisiana Department of Education (LDE)** - explore issues related to improved educational outcomes for children in foster care and include mechanisms for data
sharing, surveying staff and cross training staff. DCFS has regional education liaisons for improved communication within the regions with local education authorities. These liaisons continue to work to address issues specific to the individual school systems with which they work. The liaisons continue to meet monthly by conference call for consultation with a state office lead to share successes and challenges as well as to generate solutions. Through collaboration with Casey Family Programs and the Picard Center of the University of Louisiana in Lafayette, the DCFS and the LDE held a statewide convening of DCFS staff and staff from the local education authorities to initiate the local work efforts. In addition, a MOU between child welfare staff and educational system staff is still under development to address the establishment of clear guidelines regarding mandated reporting roles, the sharing of information and the utilization of shared information. Joint opportunities for shared training to staff within child welfare as well as the educational system will continue to be explored and provided as the opportunity arises.

**Update FFY 2016:** Regional educational liaisons continue to assist with any needs that arise with enrolling foster children in school and obtaining services. Program staff and the liaisons maintain monthly communication to address any issues, as needed. In 2015, the Department mandated the use of a form letter to notify school principals of a child’s foster care status. The letter is utilized when there is a change in the child’s educational setting or allowable contacts.

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS has regional educational liaisons assisting with any needs which arise with enrolling foster children in school and obtaining services. Program staff and liaisons maintained monthly communication to address issues. The form letter initiated in 2015 continues to be utilized to notify school principals of child’s foster care status, change in educational setting or change in allowable contact. A tracking process is being developed with the regional liaisons and webinar education on the need for diligence in completion of the forms is being provided to ensure improved practice in the notification of the school system to support DCFS work with children in Foster Care (FC).

The Louisiana Department of Health (LDE) and DCFS are working collaboratively to ensure implementation of The Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA). We have been working together to assist school districts and DCFS field offices to develop a working agreement to carry out ESSA’s requirements. LDE and State DCFS serve as the primary contacts to school districts and DCFS field offices in setting up local ESSA guidelines and providing them with support to ensure implementation.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The Department will continue to be available for the regional education liaisons to assist in addressing any issues that arise. DCFS will continue to collaborate with LDE in developing data tracking capacity related to ESSA implementation and supporting the implementation at the local level through the school districts and DCFS field offices.

A committee has been established that includes DCFS, the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ), the LDH, and private medical s working under contract with the LDH to develop, implement and enhance a comprehensive Health Care Oversight Plan for children in FC. For additional information on the Health Care Oversight Plan please refer to that portion of this plan.) The LDH has adapted the provisions from the Affordable Care Act for the extension of Medicaid services
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up to age 26 for youth aging out of foster care at age 18 in the United States and then residing in Louisiana. In the past year, the DCFS monitored legislative impact on continued provision of this service, then developed and disseminated promotional materials to applicable youth as appropriate to support access to the services. Through development of a managed health care system called Healthy Louisiana (LA) for the provision of Medicaid services, LDH and the DCFS are now able to offer children in foster care a medical continuum of care. Youth or older youth, children and their caregivers are able to select a managed care provider for the delivery of medical services. The child is able to retain this managed care provider even if the child’s residence changes. If it becomes unrealistic for the child to continue to use the same physician, the managed care provider can remain the same and another physician be identified within the provider network to insure that the child’s medical history is retained within the network. The plan promotes a more efficient referral process for children that require specialized medical services. The enhancement of the provision of services through this network will continue in the next few years.

**Update FFY 2016:**
- In collaboration with LDH, DCFS has refined the process of Linking FC Youth to Services in Healthy LA by identifying two specific health plans when they enter care.
- The DCFS staff now has the ability to conduct a provider search on the Healthy LA Plan website by accessing the health care links provided within DCFS policy website.
- Additional elements will be added to DCFS’ regular data sharing routine with LDH.

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS continued to conduct bi-weekly scheduled psychopharmacology consultations with OBH representative, Board Certified Child Psychiatrist and DCFS staff on children in foster care identified as being outside of the recommended psychotropic medication parameters. Two preferred Healthy LA Plans were established, Amerigroup and Louisiana Healthcare Connections (LHCC). All children entering foster care are enrolled in one of the preferred health plans. The health plans now provide coverage for both medical and behavioral health care for the children in foster care.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**
- Continue staffing consultations and follow-up staffings as needed on identified FC children having more extreme circumstances/medication in an effort to assure continued oversight and safe, effective use of psychotropic medications by children in state custody.
- Offer webinar trainings to educate/train staff on psychotropic medication, with the assistance of board certified child psychiatrist.
- Review a proposal which calls for the establishment of a tele-psychiatry program for a complex cohort of children/adolescents in the FC system in Louisiana to address consistency in medication/monitoring.

LDH, DCFS, Louisiana Department of Education (LDE) and OJJ partnered to develop the Louisiana Behavioral Health Partnership to enhance the availability of behavioral health services for all children and families in Louisiana. The state contracted with Magellan as the statewide management organization until 11/2015 at which time the same five providers who manage the health care system (Healthy LA, previously known as Bayou Health) manages the
provider networker that administers trauma informed care services with statewide accessibility. Magellan will provide Coordinated Systems of Care (CSoC) services until the end of calendar year 2017.

**Update FFY 2016:** In December 2015, Louisiana transitioned to an integrated health management environment for youth enrolled in Medicaid. The five insurance carriers, known collectively as the Healthy LA Plan, now manage behavioral health services as well as primary care. The DCFS is working closely with Louisiana Medicaid, with whom the Healthy LA Plans contract, to develop and monitor practices and procedures for information sharing. The DCFS selected two carriers to serve as “DCFS preferred providers” to manage healthcare services for the majority of the children and youth in DCFS custody. The DCFS works closely with these two preferred providers to ensure their provider networks are sufficient to meet the needs of youth in DCFS care with regard to geodensity, specialization and sensitivity to child welfare issues. In addition, the DCFS collaborates with Utilization Management and Case Management divisions of the Healthy LA Plans to ensure children and youth are connected with the appropriate level of care to meet their treatment needs.

**Update FFY 2017:** A new statewide unit was created within the Department to manage the transition to integrated health management. The Behavioral Health and Placement Services Unit work closely with managed care entities and providers. The Department wants to ensure youth are connected with the appropriate behavioral health residential treatment and residential level of care to address their needs. Two Managed Care Organizations were selected. DCFS preferred providers: Louisiana Health Care Connections and Amerigroup.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** An ongoing working relationship will continue with Louisiana Medicaid and Healthy LA Plan providers.

The Department’s FC Program and the Transitional Living Services staff work with the **Office of Citizens with Developmental Disabilities (OCDD)** to obtain services for developmentally challenged children and youth. DCFS continues to participate at the local and state level in the **Interagency Service Coordination Council** as a process for collaborative service delivery for this group of youth. This process continues to be a venue for resolving challenging situations in service delivery for developmentally challenged youth.

**Update FFY 2016:** Collaboration continued with OCDD to deliver services to children and youth with disabilities, including assignment of Medicaid waivers for specialized care services.

**Update FFY 2017:** ISC collaboration on the local/regional level is being utilized minimally due to the CSOC (Comprehensive System of Care); therefore, ISC collaboration has not occurred at the State Level between DCFS and the Office of Behavioral Health LDH in FFY 2016 or yet in FFY 2017. Collaboration continued with OCDD in serving children in foster care with developmental disabilities remains active. A DCFS Program Consultant remains an active participant in the State Interagency Coordination Council “SICC” to support advocacy and change for children under the age of 3 receiving developmental services through the Early Steps Program. A DCFS Manager remains an active participant in the Special Education Advisory
Panel “SEAP” to support advocacy and change for children with special needs being served through the state’s education system.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:
- Continue to be available for any ISC collaboration that may be needed.
- Continued collaboration with OCDD in serving children in FC with developmental disabilities will remain active.
- Continued involvement in SICC and SEAP.

During FFY 2015 and 2016 DCFS staff worked with LDH on the Louisiana Medicaid Substance Use Disorder Collaborative. Louisiana is one of seven (7) states participating in the Innovation Accelerator Program for Substance Use Disorders (IAP-SUD) with CMS’ Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS) and the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation. The IAP-SUD is a state technical assistance project, which is working to develop strategically targeted functions to advance the delivery system and associated payment reforms.

The Substance Use Disorder Collaborative exists to lead Louisiana’s efforts in SUD treatment reform through inter-departmental prevention efforts, early identification, enhanced benefit design, data-driven decision-making, integrated care models, innovative delivery, and payment models. The project hopes to dispel myths about addiction, reduce stigma, and advocate for policy changes at all levels.

This project builds off of CMCS efforts to promote good behavioral health care, including:
- Preventing, identifying, and intervening earlier for mental health and substance use conditions;
- Enhancing community integration;
- Encouraging better benefit design; and
- Coordinating care across behavioral health, physical health and LTSS to achieve quality outcomes.

Update FFY 2017: Work was continued with the Substance Use Disorder Collaborative and the Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS) Committee. A goal was set to bring awareness to the public regarding substance exposed newborns (SEN) and reducing the number of SEN. Through these efforts, the Department participated in a Governor’s Commission on the prevention and treatment of Opioid use and as a result was instrumental in the development of the Louisiana Substance Use in Pregnancy Clinicians-Toolkit. The Department has become an active participant in the Governor’s Commission on the Prevention and Treatment of Opioid Use. A report was submitted to the legislature that includes recommended changes to enhance screening practices and services available for families in need.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:
- Continue to work with the Substance Use Disorder Collaborative and the NAS Committee.
- Work toward getting the toolkit published and distributed to all the Obstetricians/Gynecologists in the state.
The DCFS FC and IV-E Programs work with the OJJ and the tribes to assure that IV-E eligibility is calculated accurately for children in the custody of the Department of Corrections and the tribes.

**Foster Care (FC)/Transitional Living Program staff, OJJ staff and tribal liaisons** work together to assure that youth receive the life skills training needed to function independently as adults. In the past year a new RFP was release with refined expectations for the utilization of Chafee funds in preparing youth to exit foster care. The new contract(s) are effective July 1, 2016 and will cover a three year term.

**Update FFY 2016:** The RFP outlining the use of Chafee funds for life skills training was not able to be released as planned; therefore, the existing providers’ contracts were renewed for one year. The RFP has been updated and released effective April 15, 2016 to contract for services effective July 1, 2016 and will cover a three year term.

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS sustains routine contact with the tribal social service representatives to ensure support in assessing IV-E eligibility should the need arise, as well as keeping tribal representatives aware of IV-E training available through DCFS for skill and knowledge development.

The Agency collaborates with numerous agencies as part of the Task Force on Youth Aging out of FC to study and explore public policy and financing options for programs that could assist youth aging out of foster care for their successful independence. The task force studies and makes recommendations concerning homeless among persons who have aged out of foster care. The task force is comprised of the following entities: DCFS, LDH, LA. Council of Juvenile & Family Court Judges, Louisiana CASA, LA Assoc. of Children and Family Agencies, NASW-LA Chapter, the Louisiana Conference of Catholic Bishops, LouisianaChildren.org, LA Foster and Adoptive Parent Assoc., HP Serve, Covenant House-New Orleans, LA Workforce Commission, State Superintendent of Education, LA Community & Tech. College System, LA Board of Regents, Chafee FC Independence Program, Louisiana Housing Corporation, former foster youth, Children’s Cabinet, Office of Drug Policy—Office of the Governor, LA Office of Student Financial Assistance (LOSFA), and the Louisiana Superintendent Association.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**

- Work towards implementing any recommendations of the Youth Aging Out of Foster Care Task Force approved by the Legislature.
- The Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) will continue to be implemented across the state and staff will ensure foster caretakers are reinforcing skills taught to older youth by CFCIP providers.
- Implement the training module for foster care supervisors related to enhance youth transitional planning.

Child welfare (CW) staff work with LDE staff to access child care services for DCFS clients through the Child Care Development Fund (CCDF). The fund provides temporary protective care to children in the CPS and FS programs to prevent removal, child care services for children in foster care or children of minor foster children to promote placement stability, and to meet the developmental needs of children when other state programs are not available.

**Update FFY 2016:** Child care services for child welfare clients continue to be provided through collaboration with LDE to access CCDF funds.

**Update FFY 2017:** Child care services for CW clients continue to be provided through collaboration with LDE to access CCDF funds.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Continue to collaborate with LDE to access child care services for CW clients to ensure children’s safety and stability.

CW staff continues working with OJJ staff to explore an integrated case management system for youth dually involved in both systems. A pilot was implemented in May 2015. The pilot will be evaluated for improvement and then spread statewide over the next few years.

**Update FFY 2016:** The integrated case management process has been implemented statewide.

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS has fully implemented the integrated case management process. DCFS and OJJ collaboration in dual case planning for dually involved youth is an expectation by both departments and in policy for both departments.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Ongoing integrated case management for dually involved youth.

Departmental staff serves on the Children’s Cabinet Advisory Board, a state task force with OJJ, the Louisiana State Police, the FBI, as well as other state and private agencies to plan for state awareness and management of human trafficking issues.

The DCFS worked with the Louisiana Family Forum, an organization committed to defending faith, freedom and the traditional family. Family Forum sponsored a “Wait No More” event with DCFS to promote foster care and adoption.

**Update FFY 2016:** In 2015, Louisiana Family Forum partnered with DCFS in the Over the Edge event. There were nearly 40 people who rappelled from a building to raise awareness about foster care and adoption. The event was attended by: Senator Vitter, Miss Louisiana, LDH Secretary, Louisiana Heart Gallery and local celebrities.

**Final Update FFY 2017:** The DCFS did not partner with Louisiana Family Forum in FFY 2016 to promote foster care and adoption.
The DCFS' "Faith in Families" initiative seeks to safely reduce the number of children in foster care, decrease the amount of time children spend in the system and ensure that each child has a permanent connection when they leave foster care. In this work, DCFS partners with local faith based organizations to promote foster care and adoption.

**Update FFY 2016:** The DCFS partnered with Louisiana’s Foster/Adoptive Parent Association and the Faith Based Collaborative (Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home, Cross Roads NOLA, Healing Place, Our Savior’s Church and Catholic Charities) to assist with the recruitment, certification and retention of foster/adoptive parents. Fosters parents were offered support through parent’s night out, training opportunities, family days and other support services.

**Update FFY 2017:** The Faith Based Collaborative has not met collectively as a team in FFY 2017. Each agency has partnered with DCFS to provide services to foster parents and DCFS.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Staff will oversee the faith based collaboration and development of community partnerships. The work will focus on increasing partnerships throughout the state; assist with the recruitment, certification and retention of foster/adoptive parents; develop resources for youth aging out of care; develop mentorship programs and educate the faith community on Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI).

The DCFS and Louisiana Heart Gallery (LHG) partnered to recruit adoptive homes for children who are freed for adoption in the state of Louisiana.

**Update FFY 2017:** The LHG continued to photograph and video children in care who are freed for adoption. The LHG photographed 30 children and videoed six children. All of the photos and videos are uploaded to the LHG website. Their website can also be accessed through the AdoptUSKids website.

The LHG is displayed at events throughout the state and is a recruitment tool for those children available for adoption. The videos are shown at the adoption session of foster parent pre-service training as well as at different events throughout the state.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The LHG will continue to photograph and video children who are freed for adoption. They will continue to display the gallery at events throughout the state.

Departmental staff works with OJJ Interstate Compact on Juveniles to manage youth runaway situations for youth in foster care both from Louisiana and from other states found in Louisiana.

**Update FFY 2017:** Departmental staff works with OJJ Interstate Compact on Juveniles to manage youth runaway situations for youth in foster care both from Louisiana and from other states found in Louisiana.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Continue to partner with OJJ Interstate Compact on Juveniles to manage youth runaway issues for youth in foster care both from Louisiana and from other states when found in Louisiana.
On an ongoing basis, DCFS Child Protective Services (CPS), Prevention/Family Services (FS) and Foster Care (FC) Program staff works with the DCFS’ Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) unit to provide an efficient referral process for various financial assistance programs.

**Federal Partners** - DCFS collaborates with ACF Region VI on the compilation and submission of various reports and other documents, and receives ongoing support from the regional office on matters of practice and policy.

**B.) Private Not for Profit Organizations**: Louisiana is engaged in ongoing collaboration with the Casey Family Programs for various projects. These projects include but are not limited to implementation of a process similar to the Family Team Meeting (FTM) model; the implementation of Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) [also referred to as Safety Focused Practice (SFP)] across child welfare programs; the development of the “safe families” as a resource for families in collaboration with Catholic Charities, the facilitation of improved working relationships to support better educational outcomes for children in foster care, the development of staff skills in recruiting families to provide permanency for older youth, and the support of drug court implementation efforts.

**Update FFY 2016**: The areas of focus for the 2015 year with Casey Family Programs were SFP, Family Teaming and Safe Families.

In the area of safety, DCFS continued to strengthen the implementation of the model statewide through training and consultation. Updates were made to the ACESS system so that it would better support the safety work. Consultant Matt Gebhardt held a safety refresher workshop with staff. CPS staff in state office continued to serve as Quality Assurance (QA) reviewers with the Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Team to ensure consistency to case reviews. State office CPS staff shared review findings with regional staff and discussed strengths and areas of need based on review data. A safety lab was held for a select number of staff to attend with the goal of strengthening the level of expertise in the safety model.

During FFY 2015 and 2016, a third area of focus with Casey is the SAFE Families program through Catholic Charities. This work has been focused in the areas of Livingston Parish and East Baton Rouge Parish. Education has been provided to staff so that appropriate referrals can be made. DCFS and Catholic Charities staff have worked together to strengthen the referral criteria and to make the work more successful. Plans to move into the Ascension Parish office were made but temporarily put on hold until a new SAFE coordinator can be hired at Catholic Charities.

**Update FFY 2017**: Planning with Casey Family Programs was delayed due to leadership changes at DCFS and Casey, as well as two separate flooding events in the State. In the past, efforts have focused on Advanced Safety and FTM initiatives. However, the focus changed to provide a consultant to the CPS program to plan for practice improvements to stabilize and strengthen the workforce in the two sites most impacted by the flooding. Casey was helpful in the initial implementation of QPI (Quality Parenting Initiative) this year to expand the Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
expectations of and support for foster parents and other caregivers to enhance the quality of care to children.

The collaboration with CWPPG for the training of the statewide training for the implementation of Family Teaming process is completed and field staff are using the Teaming process with families in case planning.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**
- Continue to address staff retention in the Baton Rouge Region.
- An initial planning meeting was held to determine the areas of focus this year. A follow-up meeting was held in May 2017 to finalize plans.

The Braveheart Foundation, a Baton Rouge based organization, continues to support DCFS statewide for children entering care by providing local offices with backpacks containing comfort items, and scholarships for foster care alumni.

**Update FFY 2017:** Two DCFS staff serves on the Board of Braveheart which raises awareness and enlists the help of numerous community organizations, church groups, individuals, and businesses to be able provide backpacks to children entering foster care. They provide Lifebooks and Christmas presents. This year DCFS sought the assistance of Braveheart to supply arm bracelets with a jump drive inside for the older youth to maintain copies of documents and other resource information.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Partnership with Braveheart will continue to encourage community groups and individuals to be involved in providing comfort to children in foster care and otherwise be involved in assisting children transitioning in foster care.

Cross Roads NOLA (New Orleans, LA), a faith-based organization (affiliated with the Louisiana Baptist Association), is developing plans for outreach in the New Orleans area in relation to supporting current caregivers of children in foster care as well as exploring other opportunities to be a community resource for families involved with the child welfare continuum of services.

**Update FFY 2016:** Cross Roads NOLA continued to provide recruitment, training and supportive services to foster parents in Orleans and Covington Regions. They partnered with Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home to host trainings for foster parents and staff. They offered approximately nine training opportunities for foster/adoptive parents and DCFS staff during FFY 2015. Some of the training topics included: Trauma Effects and Child’s Brain/Behavior; Trust Based Relationship; Kids and Attachment; and Processing Issues/Kids. They have taken a lead role in reaching out to other faith based organizations in the regions to help bring FC and adoption awareness to the community.

**Update FFY 2017:** Crossroads NOLA was instrumental in helping DCFS to partner with TCU Institute of Child Development in the development of the new foster parent pre-service curriculum. TCU Institute of Child Development provided the Trauma and Trust Based Relationship Intervention (TBRI) sessions for the curriculum. They trained Home Development
(HD) staff on how to present the material and have been an ongoing support to DCFS. The DCFS participates in the TBRI Collaborative led by Crossroads NOLA. The TBRI Collaborative includes partners from the Orleans and Covington regions. The goal is to train staff, foster parents and stakeholders (CASA, judges, private providers, mental health professionals, school system, and law enforcement) involved in child welfare on TBRI.

Crossroads NOLA in conjunction with TCU offered training to foster parents, staff and stakeholders in TBRI in May 2017. Crossroads also sent two DCFS staff to the TBRI Practitioner training at TCU in April 2017. There will be an opportunity to send two more DCFS staff in Fall 2017.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Crossroads NOLA and DCFS will continue to offer staff, foster parents and community partners opportunities to participate in trainings offered by Crossroads NOLA and TCU Institute of Child Development. Crossroads NOLA continue to provide recruitment, training and supportive services to foster parents in Orleans and Covington Regions. They will continued to train and support families in the New Orleans and Covington regions.

HP Serve of Baton Rouge, a faith-based organization affiliated with Healing Place Church, a local, non-denominational church has developed an extensive array of foster care service projects including: human trafficking survivor services, transitional living services for youth aging out of foster care; homeless shelter for youth without a place to live; and, foster parent recruitment and supportive services. (For additional information on HP Serve please refer to the Program Evaluation section of this plan.)

Healing Place Church partnered with DCFS on the Statewide Adoption Exchange Meeting in June 2016. They hosted the exchange, provided the Louisiana Heart Gallery as well as food and technical support. Healing Place Church distributed Christmas gifts to children in care. They are unsure of the number of children who received gifts.

Healing Place Church partnered with DCFS for the State QPI kickoff in August 2016. They provided space, technical support and were active participants during the event.

**Update FFY 2017:** Healing Place Church provided Christmas gifts to 100 children who participate in the Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK) Program, 100 youth who are placed in group home settings and hosted the Christmas party for children in care in the Baton Rouge Region. There were approximately 100 children in attendance at the party. They will continue to partner with DCFS to assist with the Annual Statewide Adoption Exchange. This activity allows Adoption and Home Development staff around the state to match children available for adoption and adoptive families.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Healing Place Church will continue to partner with the Department in the planning and facilitation of the annual Statewide Adoption Exchange. We will continue to partner with them around resources for children in care; particularly those kids who participate in the WWK program and who are freed for adoption.
Louisiana Foster and Adoptive Parent Association (LFAPA) provide supportive services to foster parents by supporting local associations. They provide trainings, grants and scholarships to members as well as assist in the recruitment of new foster parents. The LFAPA provide supportive services to foster parents experiencing an allegation of abuse or neglect through the Louisiana Advocacy Support Team (LAST).

**Update FFY 2017:** The LFAPA, through the LAST, provided supportive services to foster parents who experienced an allegation of abuse/neglect. The association did not host a conference during FFY 2017. The LFAPA’s conference will merge with the Together We Can Conference (TWC) in October 2017.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The LFAPA will continue to monitor the LAST line. They will continue to provide support to local associations through training and grants. The LFAPA will partner with TWC to produce a conference that is beneficial to foster parents.

Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home (LBCH), a faith-based organization affiliated with the Louisiana Baptist Association continues to collaborate with DCFS in the development of basic and specialized foster homes to meet unique care needs of children in foster care. Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home collaborates with HP Serve.

**Update FFY 2016:** LBCH continued to recruit, train and support foster parents throughout the state. They partnered with Cross Roads NOLA to host trainings for foster parents and staff. The LBCH hosted a parent’s night out in Baton Rouge to provide foster parents additional support. The LBCH hosted a 2nd annual conference at the Calvary Baptist Church in Alexandria, Louisiana on November 8, 2014. There were approximately 10 sessions (general and break-out) from which to choose. The conference was designed for certified foster/adoptive families, individuals interested in foster care/adoption and church/groups interested in starting a foster/adoption service project. There were approximately 25-30 certified families and 15 people attended an on-site orientation for prospective foster/adoptive applicants. The Junior League of Alexandria selected foster care and adoption as its service platform for 2014, so they assisted with promotion of the conference and provided a grant which allowed for the provision of free child care. The LBCH sponsored an Empowered To Connect (ETC) simulcast on April 10-11, 2015. This conference was ideal for foster and adoptive parents, those considering adoption or foster care and those who were serving and supporting others, including social workers, agency professionals, church staff, ministry leaders, counselors, therapists and other involved in adoption/foster care services. This training was an interactive learning experience that was designed specifically for foster/adoptive parents.

**Update FFY 2017:** LBCH continued to recruit, train and support foster parents throughout the state. They participated in the new foster parent pre-service work group and assisted in the development of the new orientation presentation. They trained home development staff on the curriculum as well as staff from LBCH. The LBCH partnered with Crossroads NOLA and HP Serve to host trainings for foster parents and staff. LBCH presented 38 training sessions to foster/adoptive parents and/or DCFS staff. They presented orientation to 190 families (this number is based on families not individuals). DCFS homes supported by LBCH served approximately 143 children through their foster care services. In April 2016, in partnership with
Crossroads NOLA, LBCH presented the Empowered to Connect Conference to 229 attendees (staff and foster parents). The Conference was simulcast throughout the state.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Partnership will be maintained with LBCH, which will focus on recruitment and retention of foster/adoptive families, training, and identified support services.

In July of 2016, The **Grandparents Raising Grandchildren Council** was moved under the Louisiana Children’s Cabinet. Members felt this transition would provide more stabilized and consistent assistance for the council. The change has also provided for more members on the council to assist in addresses grandparent issues more comprehensively.

**C.) Development of the 2017 Annual Progress and Service Report:** Consultation with federal partners included on-site consultation as well as phone and e-mail correspondence. DCFS continues the incorporation of the child welfare principles of practice, as well as implementation of key initiatives to improve safety, permanency and well-being outcomes for children and families in Louisiana.

DCFS engaged various stakeholders [ex. Louisiana CIP, foster/adoptive parents, tribal partners and the Casey Foundation, etc.] in the development of the APSR.

Through the state level and regional level CQI process various stakeholders were involved in the review of data, achievement of goals and objectives, assessment of agency strengths and areas needing improvement as well as the ongoing commitment to the goals, objectives and action steps identified in the five year plan.

Stakeholder involvement occurs on an ongoing basis throughout the year through the CQI process, the training partnership between Southeast Louisiana University, the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) the Pelican Center and the CIP.

A public notice regarding the APSR and the public hearing was published in the Louisiana Register on March 20, 2017 and posted on the DCFS website on April 1, 2017. The APSR was made available in hard copy when requested as well as on-line. A public hearing was held on May 3, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. No members of the community were present at the hearing.
COORDINATION WITH TRIBES
There are four federally recognized Native American Tribes in Louisiana:

- **The Chitimacha Tribe of Louisiana** is located in Charenton, LA in St. Mary Parish. John O’Neal Darden, Jr. is the Chairman and Karen Matthews is the Social Services Director. The mailing address is P.O. Box 661, Charenton, LA 70523, and the telephone number is (337) 923-4973. Website: [www.chitimacha.gov](http://www.chitimacha.gov)

- **The Coushatta Tribe of Louisiana** is located in Elton, LA in Allen Parish. Kevin Stickey is the Chairman and Cindy Reed is the interim Social Services Director. The mailing address is P.O. Box 818, Elton, LA 70532, and the telephone number is (337) 584-1401. Website: [www.coushattatribela.org](http://www.coushattatribela.org)

- **Tunica-Biloxi Tribe of Louisiana** is located in Marksville, LA in Avoyelles Parish. Joey Barbry is the chairman and Evelyn Cass is the Social Services Coordinator. The mailing address is P.O. Box 1589, Marksville, LA 71351, and the telephone number is (318) 240-6444. Website: [www.tunicabiloxi.org](http://www.tunicabiloxi.org)

- **Jena Band of Choctaw Indians of Louisiana** is located in Jena, Louisiana, and includes parts of Grant, Rapides and LaSalle Parishes. Cheryl Smith is the Chairwoman and Mona Maxwell is the Social Services Director. The mailing address is P.O. Box 14, Jena, LA 71342, and the telephone number is (318) 992-0136. Website: [www.jenachoctaw.org](http://www.jenachoctaw.org)

Collaboration Activities: Annual meetings between federal, state and tribal partners are generally held to discuss collaboration, planning and service delivery between the state and the tribes; however, this year a meeting was not scheduled. The meetings prove beneficial in improving service delivery to tribal families and children. Chafee Independent Living providers in regions where the tribes are located make ongoing outreach efforts to the tribes. Formal and informal working agreements with American Indian tribes are in place with local DCFS offices and state office staff facilitates quarterly teleconferences with all federally recognized tribes. The agenda for the quarterly teleconference scheduled for July 2, 2015 was to discuss the amendments made to the state’s case review system as a result of P.L. 113-183 which include changing the APLA case goal for children under age 16, consideration of opportunities for normalcy for children in foster care, allowing youth age 14 and older to invite two individuals as their designees in the case planning process and advising youth of their rights. Unfortunately, there was no tribal participation. DCFS staff scheduled a quarterly teleconference with the tribes for October 1, 2015 and placed this item on the agenda once again. The agenda included information about NYTD data and staff efforts to involve tribal partners in the analysis of the results of the NYTD data collection or NYTD Assessment Review. There was no tribal participation in this call or other scheduled quarterly conference calls therefore; staff discontinued the quarterly conference call series.

DCFS continues efforts to invite all tribal representatives to each quarterly PQI/CQI Stakeholder Subcommittee meetings. The goal is to improve communication with tribes on important matters such as notification of case planning meetings, safety/risk assessments, staffings, and court hearings. Tribes are located in jurisdiction of three regional PQI/CQI committees: Lafayette Region (Chitimacha Tribe), Lake Charles Region (Coushatta Tribe) and Alexandria Region.
(Tunica-Biloxi and Jena Band of Choctaw Tribes). To date, the Chitimacha Tribe Social Services Director has been the only participant in the statewide PQI Stakeholder Committee.

Plans, Reports and Reviews: As with previous years, the Department provided a draft of the 2017 APSR to federal tribal representatives for their input and review; however, no feedback was received. In previous years, the only tribe to provide a copy of their plan was the Chitimacha. Ongoing discussion regarding plans, reports and the state’s compliance with ICWA will be held in quarterly conference calls initiated by DCFS. The DCFS will continue to conduct the calls and encourage tribal participation through meeting reminders and requests for agenda items which are important to tribes as well as coordinate site visits. Further, the plans for the 2017 were discussed with members of the PQI Consumer & Community Stakeholder Committee of which Karen Matthews with the Charenton tribe is a member. Ms. Matthews participated in the meeting held on March 24, 2016, but did not provide any feedback. Other efforts to include tribes in the development of the state plan were coordinated through the ACF, CB regional office. In FFY 2016, two dates and two locations were provided by DCFS; however, tribes were not available for either date or location.

All of the Louisiana tribes previously had finalized Title IV-B agreements; however, ACF reports that all four state tribes have lost eligibility for funds so they will not be submitting plans in 2017.

Rights of Tribes to Operate a Title IV-E Program: DCFS continues to be available to all tribes in the state, the Director of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the Director of the Louisiana Intertribal Council to negotiate in good faith with any tribe or tribal organization that requests the development of a Title IV-E agreement to administer all or part of the Title IV-E program, including the Chafee Foster Care Independence Program on behalf of Native American children, and to provide access to Title IV-E administration, training and data collection resources.

Specific Measures to Comply with ICWA: The DCFS provides initial and ongoing training to front-line staff to assure that ICWA policy is understood and implemented and in the last year develop a computer-based course on ICWA that is mandatory for staff. The course is available in Moodle (the Department’s on-line training environment). Additionally, tribal representatives are invited to participate in trainings offered by DCFS. In consultation with tribes, Louisiana has developed policies and procedures to comply with the Indian Child Welfare Act.

Notifications to Indian Parents and Tribes: DCFS policy requires that staff identify children who are American Indian. The Child Protection Investigation (CPS) data system, A Comprehensive Enterprise Social Services System (ACCESS) intake screen captures information regarding Native American Indian status, and inquiries continue throughout the life of the case, with Tracking, Information and Payment System (TIPS) data and/or ACCESS being updated accordingly. Upon identification of a child affiliated with a federally recognized Native American tribe involved with DCFS, the tribe is notified. DCFS encourages identification of Native American children early in the child welfare process and stresses open communication with the family and the tribe throughout the family’s involvement with the Department.
The Department does not currently capture data on the notification to tribes when a Native American child becomes involved in the child welfare system. DCFS tried to create this functionality within the CAFÉ system, but this requirement was not fulfilled.

DCFS captures this information on the case transfer staffing form when cases move from one Child Welfare program to another, but this is not an electronic process where data can be easily collected. The state hopes to achieve enhanced data tracking capacity in this area in the future if a Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System (CCWIS) system is developed.

DCFS is able to provide data on removals among this population. The table below reflects the total number of Native American Indian children who were alleged victims and victims who were removed as a result of validated abuse/neglect in FFY 2014, FFY 2015 and FFY 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>Total Alleged child Victims (unduplicated)</th>
<th>Total Alleged Native American child victims (unduplicated)</th>
<th>Percentage of Native American child victims</th>
<th>Total Validated child victims (unduplicated)</th>
<th>Total Validated Native American child victims (unduplicated)</th>
<th>Percentage of Valid Native American child victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 Baseline</td>
<td>28,798</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>10,393</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>33,764</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>12,398</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>37,004</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>12,749</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>34,136</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
<td>11,431</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart above reflects the total number of alleged Native American child victims unduplicated, the percentage of Native American child victims unduplicated.

The following chart reflects the total number of Native American children who represented valid cases of abuse/neglect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>Native American Children entering Foster Care Program (single race)</th>
<th>Total Native American Children entering Foster Care Program (multiple race)</th>
<th>Total Native American Children entering the Foster Care Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 Baseline</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart above reflects the total of Native American children who represented valid cases of abuse/neglect. Data Source: CAN0007 Unduplicated person report. Data Source: CAN0007 Unduplicated person report.

Placement Preferences: DCFS policy recognizes the special placement preferences for Native American children within the tribe if placement within the family is not possible. Policy addresses placement preferences for Native American children in foster care, pre-adoptive and
adoptive homes. Policy requires children be placed with family and within a placement resource that can meet the specific ethnic and cultural needs of the child.

Family Preservation: Services are sought to prevent the breakup of Native American families. The DCFS is working toward building a continuum of services that focuses on prevention and the preservation of the family unit for all families served by the Department, including tribal families. Limitations exist in the availability of services in rural areas of the state, which negatively impacts the ability to provide services to tribal families and all other families who reside in rural areas.

Tribal Jurisdiction: Policy recognizes the rights of tribal courts and their jurisdiction. Policy has been updated to reflect the process of transferring jurisdiction to a tribal agency, if requested. Tribal courts usually allow the local courts to proceed, but would prefer complete details in an informed decision making process. It is hoped through ongoing participation of tribal representatives on regional PQI/CQI teams and on the statewide stakeholder committee, these types of issues can be discussed and resolved in a satisfactory manner for all parties and in the best interests of the children and families served.

Special Provisions: In July 2007, the Department added special provisions to policy that applies to a child eligible for membership in a federally recognized Native American Tribe and involved in child custody proceedings relative to foster care placement, termination of parental rights, pre-adoptive placement and adoptive placement. These special provisions include family background investigation, pre-removal services, and hearing notification to the parent(s) and the tribe. DCFS requires Chafee Independent Living Service providers by contract to serve tribal youth in foster care with the tribe as well as state custody in providing services.

Plans for Tribal Collaboration for FFY 2015-2019 CFSP: The state level Foster Care and Transitioning Youth Unit will do the following:

- Continuously review and update policy and seek tribal input for improved guidance to departmental staff in serving Native American children and families;
- Conduct verbal communication on at least a quarterly basis and conduct onsite meetings annually with each Louisiana tribal social service director and their local child welfare tribal liaisons to collaboratively identify challenges and facilitate improved working relationships;
- Encourage tribal PQI involvement at the state level;
- Encourage tribal youth involvement in the Louisiana Youth Leadership Advisory Council (LYLAC), if previously in state custody;
- Notify tribes of monthly Keeping in Touch (KIT) conferences and other DCFS child welfare trainings provided to child welfare staff in relation to policy/legislative issues and encourage participation;
- Collaborate with Supreme Court, Court Improvement Program in planning for improved ICWA compliance in serving Native American families;
- Work with contracted Chafee Independent Living Services providers to reach out to tribes on a regular basis to offer support and services to tribal youth in custody who are transitioning to adulthood;
• Assist tribes with the development of a Title IV-E plan and/or agreement, if needed/requested;
• Work to improve the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) data collection and reporting and consider opportunities to develop field staff knowledge regarding documentation of children’s Native American status within the TIPS system; and,
• Participate in monthly, national Indian Child Welfare Managers teleconference calls.

Update FFY 2015:
• Teleconferences with the federally-recognized tribes in Louisiana were conducted quarterly. Unfortunately, participation by the tribes has been poor.
• Ms. Karen Matthews, Social Services Director for the Chitimacha tribe, continues to be invited to DCFS state level PQI meetings. She and Judge Anne Simon of the Court Improvement Program, presented at the Together We Can Conference in October 2014.
• The Department advises the tribes of opportunities for youth to participate in LYLAC. The tribes have a working relationship with the Independent Living Providers. The local providers are available to assist the tribes with any youth transitioning to independence.
• Any Native American youth as identified by the Louisiana tribes as being in foster care through the tribe, ages 14-17, and any youth as identified by the Louisiana tribes as having previously been in foster care through the tribe, ages 18-21, are invited to the annual Youth Conferences. The invitation is extended to youth through the tribal social services directors (all youth within these age groups served by DCFS and OJJ are invited to the conferences, which would include Native American youth.)
• The tribes are notified of trainings offered by the Department via email and quarterly teleconferences and are encouraged to participate.
• Departmental staff participates in monthly, national Indian Child Welfare Managers conference calls to remain apprised of the latest issues in Indian Child Welfare.
• A flyer outlining rights of clients under ICWA was developed for staff to provide to all Native American parents, children, and foster caretakers of Native American children and was effective May 2015.
• Policy has been revised to reflect updated federal legislation.
• A “Keeping In Touch” (KIT) web/tele-conference was conducted May 6, 2015 to inform the staff regarding ICWA requirements.
• May 2015 finalization and release of online computer-based training on ICWA for staff and foster caretakers to access when needed. This training course is mandatory for all staff.

Update FFY 2016:
• Efforts will continue to be made to hold quarterly calls with the Social Service Directors of each of the four federally recognized tribes.
• The tribes were notified of trainings provided by the department to support knowledge development on state child welfare services.
• The Chafee Foster Care Independence Program (CFCIP) providers were required to provide outreach to tribes to educate on the availability of services for eligible tribal youth.
The ICWA training was developed in coordination with legal and provided via internet to staff statewide.

Efforts were made with the ACF Region VI tribal liaison to arrange a state/federal/tribal meeting without success. Two dates and two different locations were provided, but tribes were unavailable for either date or location.

The DCFS staff lead has had contact with the tribes in order to obtain accurate tribal information. Two of the chairmen have been updated along with the mailing address of one tribe.

Update FFY 2017:

- Staff met with the Chitimacha tribe to discuss any current issues and provide them with training opportunities;
- Local working agreements continue to be in place with field staff through contact with the Area Directors. Copies of these agreements are maintained at headquarters;
- The Chafee Independent Living Services providers continue to reach out to tribes offering support and services to tribal youth in custody transitioning to adulthood; and
- As training opportunities become available, this information is provided to the tribes; a monthly training calendar is provided to the tribes from the LCWTA.

The Louisiana Tribal IV-B Meeting is scheduled for May 9, 2017 which will include the participation of the Chitimacha, Coushatta, Tunica Biloxi and Jean Band of Choctaw Tribes. During that meeting the APSR will be reviewed, the Department will discuss ongoing preparation for the CFSR and all important information for the tribes. The CFILP will be in attendance to ensure the connection is made and the future efforts are maintained.

In reference to Notifications to Indian Parents and Tribes, the Department does not currently capture data on the notification to tribes when a Native American child becomes involved in the child welfare system. However, the DCFS will have the capability to capture this data and notify the tribes when an American Indian child enters care in the new AFCARS 2.0 functions.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

Conduct in-person visits to each tribe:

- Ensure local working agreements are kept up-to-date through contact with the Regional Administrators and maintain copies in State Office;
- Continue to work with contracted Chafee Independent Living Services providers to reach out to tribes on a quarterly basis to offer support and services to tribal youth in custody who are transitioning to adulthood;
- Contact tribes to request permission to link each of their tribal websites on the DCFS intranet page; encourage child welfare staff to review the websites to be aware of an participate as appropriate in upcoming tribal activities; and
- Keep tribes aware of upcoming DCFS training opportunities.
EVALUATION AND RESEARCH: The Department continued to participate in a variety of surveys and research projects with academia and other sources. The results/findings were used to increase quality practice, expand knowledge and to identify and utilize exemplary models of child welfare practice. Current research projects the state is engaged in or completed include the following:

**Louisiana Child Welfare Trauma Project** - The Louisiana Child Welfare Trauma Project Grant is overseen by Tulane University’s Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences in partnership with DCFS. The goal of the project is to improve the social and emotional well-being of children that have mental and behavioral health needs. The project works to increase the capacity of the workforce to screen for trauma in children and refer those with a positive screening for comprehensive clinical assessments and/or mental health treatment services. To accomplish this goal, staff and providers are being trained to specialize in trauma informed service delivery.

The project is expected to address the long-standing need for more specialized skill levels in the child welfare workforce, key stakeholders, and mental health professionals as well as improve the identification of and referral to services for traumatized children. The project impacts children from birth to 18 years in child welfare programs statewide. It is a 5 year grant, awarded in October, 2012.

**Update FFY 2015:** In 2015, Louisiana continued its work to increase service providers in areas of Trauma informed care (in the areas of sexual abuse, treatment, residential services and referrals to the Family Resource Centers. Trauma & Behavioral Health (TBH) screening processes were introduced and implemented in the Covington Region in 2014. More than 1,400 TBH screens have been completed for children in Foster Care or children in active Family Services cases. Behavioral Health Screening processes have been introduced and are currently being implemented in the Baton Rouge Region.

TBH screens are completed on children as they enter foster care, when a family services case is open, and again at the 6 month case planning. The CQI Unit conducts quarterly reviews of TBH screenings in a targeted case review. The chart below contains the results of the data collected in the review.
creens are completed by the caregiver of the child on all cases. If the child is 7 years or older, the child completes a screen. The chart shows the results of the screens completed by the child, the caregiver and then a joint score of caregiver and child. The joint score reflects each item endorsed on the screen by either the child or the caregiver. The joint score is used to determine if the child has screened above a cutoff point indicating a need for services. The 4 areas that the screen covers are Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), internalizing symptoms (INT), Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and externalizing symptoms (EXT). If a child/youth scores above a cutoff for any area, then a referral should be made to a provider to address the specific area that has been identified. The chart shows what the scores have been on the TBH so far, suggesting for which problems children and youth need treatment and what service array is needed in order to effectively treat the child(ren).

Regional TBH Advisory Boards which include community stakeholders and DCFS personnel have been formed in the two regions. Quarterly meetings are held which include, DCFS, Office of Behavioral Health and Magellan Health Services. The purpose of the meetings is to promote interagency collaboration; review of data collected from the project, and discussions of how the information can be best utilized to benefit the children served through DCFS programs.

**Update FFY 2016:** In partnership with Tulane University, DCFS is committed to training of staff in all regions of the state, with follow up consultation on the TBH screening Instrument. Provider training on Youth PTSD (YPT) was conducted in the Baton Rouge region on January 1/20/15; Lafayette region on April 2, 2015; Alexandria region on 9/29/15; and Monroe region on 12/15/15. As of March 14, 2016, approximately 4000 TBH screens have been completed. TBH training in the Covington region was conducted in 2014, with implementation in Baton Rouge, Lafayette, and Alexandria regions in 2015. Regions for future TBH expansion during years 2016-2017 include Monroe, Orleans, Thibodaux, Shreveport and Lake Charles. (For more on training dates and activities planned for FFY 2017, please refer to the Prevention and Family Services section of this plan.)
Update FFY 2017: Trauma Informed Practice continues to be a focus of staff. In partnership with Tulane University, CW is committed to training of staff in all regions of the state, with follow up consultation on TBH screening and treatment. TBH assessment and treatment training and follow up consultation have continued throughout the Federal Fiscal Year. TBH training was provided to staff and therapeutic providers with follow up consultation provided with assistance from staff of Tulane University, New Orleans. Training and consultation sessions included an introduction to TBH screening instruments, and implications for intervention and treatment. Efforts have continued toward statewide implementation of TBH screening and treatment practices. TBH screening is a vital process to assist professionals in designing plans to address the specialized needs of children and families affected by traumatic events and experiences.

Provider training on youth PTSD (YPT) was conducted in New Orleans on May 17, 2016; Thibodaux on June 14, 2016; Shreveport October 20, 2016 and Lake Charles March 9, 2017. As of March 20, 2017, approximately 9000 TBH screens have been completed. TBH training has been completed in Monroe, Orleans, Thibodaux, Shreveport and Lake Charles in 2016-2017 so that all regions have been trained and have begun using the TBH screen. Regional training dates are listed below:

Monroe Region TBH Training Dates: January 13-14, 2016 with 4 follow up consultative visits to each of the five offices within the Monroe Region during the months of February 2016 - June 2016.

Orleans Region TBH Training Dates: June 13 and 15, 2016 with four follow up consultative visits with each of the two offices within the region during the months of July 2016 - October 2016.

Thibodaux Region TBH Training Dates: July 12 and 25, 2016 with four follow up consultative visits each of the four offices within the region during the months of August 2016 - January 2017.

Shreveport Region TBH Training Dates: November 2-3, 2016 with four follow up consultative visits to each of the four offices within the region during the months of December 2016 and March 2017.

Lake Charles Region TBH Training Dates: March 15-16, 2017. The follow up visits will be held within the following 4 months. Data sharing agreements have been signed with Medicaid in order to use diagnostic and treatment data from that system in conjunction with data collected from completion of the TBH screens and case data to further inform the agency and provider agencies of the treatment needs of children and to further examine the well-being of children involved with CW.
Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Future plans are being considered regarding incorporating TBH training into New Worker Orientation;
- TBH training is currently available in Moodle to allow staff access to information;
- Training on the TBH screening will be included in the Foster parent training so that foster parents will be able to understand the purpose and value of the screen in providing better care and treatment for their children;
- Quarterly Steering Committee meetings include members from DCFS, Louisiana Department of Health, State Medicaid and Healthy LA representatives; and,
- The plan is to continue to look at ways to utilize the data from the TBH screens to inform improvement of service array for children involved with DCFS.

**Foster Care Youth Homelessness Grant** - Healing Place Serve (HP Serve) in Baton Rouge acquired a two year federal planning grant to focus on foster care youth who experience homelessness. The grant is to identify youth most at risk of homelessness and develop interventions that would increase protective factors and reduce risk factors that lead to homelessness. The areas of focus for the planning grant are, Covington Region, Baton Rouge Region and Lafayette Region.

---
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Update FFY 2015: HP Serve has identified 197 foster care youth in the Baton Rouge, Covington and Lafayette Regions who were determined to be at a high risk of homelessness through the HP Serve Risk Screening Tool. Fifty-eight of these youth are in the Baton Rouge region and will now be the focus of the next phase of HP Serve’s intervention, a comprehensive service array.

Final Update FFY 2016: HP Serve worked with the child welfare liaison and evaluator to conduct extensive review of non-identifying youth-level data from Regions 2, 3, and 5 regarding the three engagement points; youth in foster care ages 14-17 years old, 17-18 year old youth aging out of foster care (FC), and 18-21 year old homeless youth with prior FC involvement. The two year planning grant ended in October 2015. HP Serve applied for a five year implementation grant at the completion of the grant period, but they were not awarded the grant.

Child Focused Recruitment Program - The Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption awards grants [Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WKK) Child Focused Recruitment Program] to public and private adoption agencies to hire adoption professionals who implement proactive, child-focused recruitment programs targeted exclusively on moving America’s longest-waiting children from foster care into adoptive families. In December 2013 the Department received a $70,000 grant. The grant is being used to provide funding for two part time recruiter positions for targeted recruitment of adoptive homes for older youth. The recruiters focus on recruitment of families for specific children who do not have an identified adoptive resource. The children either have been available for adoption greater than one year and no adoption resource has been located or children 12 and over who do not have an identified adoptive resource at the time of adoption availability.

Update FFY 2015: In FFY 2015, four full time adoption recruiters were hired by DCFS through federal funding to recruit using the WWK. The first began recruiting in April 2014 and the second started in November 2014. Each recruiter is responsible for a targeted geographical area comprised of four regions: Area 1 includes Lafayette and Lake Charles; Area 2 includes Alexandria, Monroe and Shreveport; Area 3 includes Orleans and Thibodaux and Area 4 includes Covington and Baton Rouge. Due to the length of time it took to hire staff and the amount of time it takes to build a caseload, the Department strategically started recruiting in selected regions. In January 2015, the Department completed the presentation of the model to every region and is currently recruiting for youth in every region. Recruiters have a caseload of 15 to 25 youth. As of April 30, 2015 four adoptions have been completed, 2 guardianships, 2 cases where custody was returned to a parent, and 1 child who aged out of foster care and is living with a sibling. The recruiters are currently serving 62 children two of which are matched and should be finalized by July 30, 2015. In late May, there were three disruptions that were at the point of adoption finalization.

Update FFY 2016: For information on activities completed during this fiscal year and activities planned for FFY 2017, please refer to the diligent recruitment plan portion of this report.

Update FFY 2017: The Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payments were used in conjunction with the WWK grant award to continue to staff five WWK positions (four recruiters and one supervisor). The WWK recruiters recruited for 111 youth and finalized 12 adoptions and
two guardianships. The program is projected to finalize an additional five adoptions before the end of FFY 2017. With the expansion of the grant, four more recruiters will be hired by May 2017, which will allow the program to serve an additional 60 youth in FFY 2017.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** With the expansion of the WWK program, the state will now have a recruiter in every region. This will allow for child focused recruitment to take place for at least an additional 80 children in FFY 2018. In this model, the recruiters will be allowed to recruit for children with the goal of Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA). It is projected that by July 2019, the program will have completed 59 adoptions for hard to place children and youth.

**New Hire Screening and Selection Process:** Hiring managers and supervisors began using an evidence based screening and selection process for entry level staff on March 1, 2017. Through this mandatory screening and selection process, DCFS CW has committed to new ways of identifying qualified applicants/candidates for child welfare work. In an effort to create a team of qualified and committed workers who understand and are prepared for CW work. This process includes: screening, employment interviews, realistic job previews, the use of hiring pools, and various strategies to enhance staff selection. A computer-based training (CBT) has been created as a learning tool for staff and is available in MOODLE. Many state and county CW programs throughout the country have implemented this screening and selection process. They have used staff turnover and retention data to measure the effectiveness of the screening and selection process. The DCFS CW program will utilize staff turnover data to measure effectiveness. The baseline, which is CW staff turnover data for the state in the positions of CW Trainee, CW Specialist 1 and 2 from CY 2016, is 30.23%. The goal is to reduce staff turnover by 5% in the first year of implementation and by 8% each additional year. Staff turnover reports will be generated quarterly to measure ongoing progress.

**Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI):** The Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) rollout began in 2016. The focus of QPI is partnering of the Department, birth parents and caregivers with a goal of strengthening families. QPI has proven to be successful in other parts of the country. Due to this being a new initiative the Department has no evaluation data at this time. QPI will be monitored as the initiative progresses. For more information regarding QPI please reference page 244 in the Foster Adoptive Parent Diligent Recruitment Plan.
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE/PROGRAM SUPPORTS: Training and technical assistance provided to regions that operate state programs included policy development, on-site training, distance learning opportunities [pre-service, in-service, pilot programs, program specific training, training identified through surveys and needs assessments], case staffings, supervision and case management in regions with critical shortages of staff due to high turnover and coaching and mentoring of field staff and supervisors statewide. The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) executive management and Child Welfare (CW) executive management conducts meetings with field staff at least once per quarter to discuss performance, workforce development and any other identified concerns.

In FFY 2017, DCFS continued the efforts noted above, but these supports were provided through a restructured organization. As per the charge of Governor John Bel Edwards, DCFS was restructured to ensure that child welfare professionals were responsible for making child welfare decisions. In addition to internal supports provided during the last year, DCFS continued to engage the Capacity Building Center for States until August 2016. [The initial assessment with the center had been initiated in January 2016 and again in March 2016. After the meeting held on March 7, 2016 a work plan was developed by center staff and sent for DCFS review/approval on 3/22/2016. DCFS reviewed and approved the work plan with no changes on 4/26/2016. DCFS later asked for a call (5/9/2016) with center staff to have additional discussion related to workforce development and the state’s practice model. After the meeting, the work plan was modified to include these two efforts as well as the work with youth. Shortly after, DCFS and staff from the Capacity Building Center began to work on the youth work plan. Both the assessment and the work plan can be located in Appendix F of this report. (Note: During the development this plan the work plan had not been updated to include the workforce development or practice model focus.)]

The state experienced disastrous and widespread flooding in March and August of 2016 and that was the reason for postponing the work with the Center. Months after DCFS CW explored the possibly of resuming the work, but again felt it was not the right time. In February 2017, the Center provided a presentation to the state lead regarding the Center’s services. That information was shared with CW executive management and thus far the states work with the Center has not continued. Please refer to Appendix F to review the completed assessment and DCFS work plan.

In March of 2017 DCFS CW applied for a grant through the Quality Improvement Center on Workforce Development Center on Children, Families and the Law (QIC-WD). DCFS CW was selected to advance to the next phase of the QIC-WD’s site selection process. This next phase is intended to allow sites and the QIC to mutually explore the fit of each site with project requirements, through discussion and information-gathering. This process will include one or more phone calls between QIC-WD team members and DCFS CW representatives who can answer technical questions regarding HR and child welfare data systems. The process will include a one-day on-site visit scheduled for July 20, 2017 between QIC-WD representatives and key agency leaders. After the site visit, QIC-WD team members may follow up with the state to seek clarifications or additional information necessary for their decision-making process.

**Implementation Supports:** Additional details on implementation supports listed below are included throughout this plan.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
1. The Department will continue to work with The Child Welfare Policy and Practice Group and Casey Family Programs for ongoing implementation and monitoring of the Teaming process, Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) as well as the Louisiana Quality Parenting Initiative.

2. The DCFS program staff work with regional staff statewide on the implementation of ASFP, Teaming and the Louisiana Quality Parenting Initiative and provide training as needed/requested.

3. Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) – Child welfare staff will continue to work closely with the LCWTA (which is a collaborative effort with the Court Improvement Project and Pelican Center as well as the Universities Alliance) to ensure staff receive the most appropriate and effective training. Program staff will continue to work closely to ensure success of the first line supervisor mentoring project.

4. The DCFS Child Welfare System Development Project is moving forward to ultimately achieve the complete replacement of the CW legacy mainframe systems which includes ACESS, FATS, QATS, and TIPS and works to develop a Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System (CCWIS). This team, made up of CW staff, continues to work closely with other staff in the implementation of CAFÉ. The ongoing efforts of the CQI state level team and regional teams support the strategies, goals and action steps in this plan.

5. CQI case review process- the data obtained and utilized in the PQI/CQI process and provided to regional staff via exit interviews is critical to measuring success.

6. The Child Welfare Trauma Grant is a collaborative effort between Tulane University and DCFS. Through this collaborative staff and providers have been trained to provide trauma focused care and the state has implemented the use of a trauma screening tool statewide.

7. DCFS has relied on the support of the Dallas regional Children’s Bureau office staff as this plan was developed and throughout the year with regard natural disasters as well as CFSR Round 3 preparation.

8. The Department suspended the work initiated with the Child Welfare Capacity Building Collaborative for technical assistance to improve services to youth in transition and to successfully plan for you aging out of foster care after the severe and widespread flooding in Louisiana.

9. DCFS has applied for a workforce development grant with the QIC-WD and has been selected for phase II of the exploration process.

**Program Supports:** The Department provides training and technical assistance to regions and parishes as well as other local or regional entities on an ongoing basis throughout the state. State office staff works with regional and parish staff as well as other state and community partners on the services and issues that impact child welfare service delivery. Some of that work is highlighted below and more detailed discussion can be found throughout this plan.

- Collaboration with Community Partners
- Tribal Coordination and Collaboration
- The Child Welfare Trauma Grant with Tulane University
- Over the Edge Campaign
• Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (Dave Thomas Foundation)
• The Child Welfare Training Partnership with the Pelican Center (Court Improvement Project) and the Universities Alliance (includes state universities with IV-E programs)
• Quality Assurance Systemic Factor
• Agency Responsiveness to the Community Systemic Factor
• The Diligent Recruitment & Retention Plan
• CQI state and regional level processes
• Various local, regional and national providers including Independent Living Providers, Family Resource Centers, Casey Family Programs and The Child Welfare Practice and Policy Group, etc. are contracted to work with staff statewide to provide training and technical assistance in the implementation of child welfare services or services that support the implementation of child welfare in the state.
DCFS SYSTEMIC FACTORS:

INFORMATION SYSTEMS - The state is operating a statewide information system that, at a minimum can, readily identify the status, demographic characteristics, location, and goals for the placement of every child who is (or within the immediately preceding 12 months, has been) in foster care.

The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS), Child Welfare (CW) has a number of information systems. The Tracking, Information and Payment System (TIPS) is a computerized on-line, statewide information management and payment system capable of tracking client information and generating payments for clients served. Through TIPS, the Department is able to collect and report the required data elements for all children/youth in care statewide. DCFS is exploring a case review process to ensure that data in the case management system (TIPS) was verified as accurate when compared to a case reading of the full case file.

TIPS is a computerized on-line, statewide interagency information management and payment system capable of tracking client information and generating payments on behalf of the Department’s clients and providers. The system tracks all placement services for foster children and tracks all supportive services paid for through the TIPS system. TIPS is used for maintaining a record of all foster child placements (regardless of the placement type) whether the placement resource receives payment through TIPS, through other sources such as Medicaid, or is a non-paid placement. TIPS does not track all services.

Further, the federally mandated Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) and National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) data elements are captured and reported on in TIPS. The Department also developed A Comprehensive Enterprise Social Services System (ACESS) which is the statewide system for intake and investigation of all reports of suspected child abuse and neglect. This information management system contains intake records [Centralized Intake (CI)] that, once accepted, are assigned to the Child Protection Services (CPS) program. As opposed to ACESS, TIPS does not provide effective case management tools nor lend itself to the changing automation and information needs of staff in a timely manner. The Department continues to address system issues and implement system enhancements as we strive for optimal user satisfaction and performance. Specific data from ACESS is migrated to the TIPS system for establishing related service records and for NCANDS reporting. Louisiana is a state based CW system as is its information systems.

The Family Assessment Tracking System (FATS) is a smaller web-based system for recording family assessments, case plans and tracking caseworker visits. FATS was developed as an electronic forms application. The system is housed on a SQL server and is available to staff over the agency’s intranet. Since the implementation of CAFÉ, staff can access FATS via the CAFÉ worker portal home page. There is no integration or sharing of data between the two systems. FATS has not historically been a reporting system; however, DCFS is able to provide the data essential for reporting compliance for the Federal Visitation Report that is reported annually through a data extraction from the FATS system.
Structured Decision Making (SDM) is another smaller web-based system that provides electronic risk and reunification assessment forms. This system is hosted by the Children’s Research Center on a yearly subscription basis. SDM is not integrated into CAFÉ but is accessible through a link on the worker portal home page.

The Quality Assurance Tracking System (QATS) provides quality assurance tracking and reporting of specific case review instruments as part of the state’s continuous quality improvement process.

The ACF Children’s Bureau Online Monitoring System (OMS) is a Web-based online application consisting of the Onsite Review Instrument and Instructions (OSRI), the Stakeholder Interview Guide, and reporting tools. It is used for both traditional reviews and state conducted case reviews. DCFS began using OMS to review cases for ongoing CQI purposes and to conduct stakeholder interviews in the 2nd quarter for April 2015.

Web FOCUS Quality Assurance and Outcome Reports provide a dashboard for reports on various performance, outcomes and management data. It has drilldown capacity to the member level on most reports and is accessible to workers at all levels.

The Juvenile Electronic Tracking System (JETS) tracks client status, legal status, demographics, location, and goals for youth in the custody of the Department of Public Safety and Corrections, Office of Juvenile Justice (DPSC/OJJ). JETS is not specifically linked to any DCFS information system. Foster children in OJJ custody are given a TIPS number and integrated into the AFCARS reports.

DCFS experiences data gaps and discrepancies because of the number of data systems currently in use. Because workers must use multiple systems, it is sometimes necessary to enter the same data multiple times and in multiple locations. Integration between TIPS and ACESS sometimes fails, resulting in some cases having different data between the two systems. Certain personal and demographic data must be updated in each system because the systems do not have sufficient capacity to determine which source is the most recent or most accurate data.

The Department does not have a Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System (CCWIS) system; however, through the Child Welfare System Development Project (CWSDP), federal funding for planning efforts has been approved for development and implementation of a CCWIS.

The CWSDP includes the development and implementation of legacy system replacement for CW (as well as other DCFS functions). The Annual Advanced Planning Document (APD) Update was submitted to all federal partners in October 2016 and it provided an overview of activities and project timelines for the DCFS Transformation Project. The implementation period for a new comprehensive CW solution is targeted for May of 2019.

DCFS and other state system processes were consolidated and there is one Office of Technology Services (OTS) for all state agencies in Louisiana. System changes are coordinated at the state office level and there is a structure for prioritizing work. System changes or enhancements are
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rolled out every quarter, however, it may take up to 9-12 months for a system change to move through the Software Development Lifecycle; thus program staff adapt their timeline for implementation and/or do “workarounds” until the system changes are implemented.

Some of the challenges are as follows:

1. Data quality is a challenge. DCFS works for methods to evaluate, improve, and maintain the quality of the data in its information systems and the reports produced.

2. Data sharing and interoperability among human service information systems is another area that has presented significant opportunities and substantial barriers. Through the development of CAFÉ and the indices (ex. Master Client Index) to effectively share data across different IT systems through standard data exchanges strides have been made.

3. Program and systems staff struggle to coordinate policy development, practice change, and system development efforts. DCFS acknowledges the need to address the significant challenges to coordinate and communicate across information systems and programmatic staff.

4. Work to address compliance with current and evolving federal mandates. Louisiana has made progress on AFCARS, NCANDS, and National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD), but room for improvement remains. New elements and those on the horizon will involve potentially significant information system changes including:

   a. Preventing Sex Trafficking and Strengthening Families Act (P.L. 113–183)
   b. AFCARS revisions (guidance information planned for fiscal year (FY) 2017)
   c. CCWIS System Planning and Development. CCWIS System Implementation
   d. NCANDS revisions (data collection due to begin FFY 2018)

The CWSDP planning team is working to identify and determine business requirements, vendor selection, and eventual replacement of the current CW legacy systems. To that end, the CWSDP is currently working on developing the Implementation Advanced Planning Document (IAPD) to request funding to develop and implement a CCWIS compliant system. Once funding is secured from ACF, the project team plans to publish a Request for Proposals (RFP) in the fall to procure an Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) vendor. The Design, Development and Implementation portion of the project will begin in the summer of 2018 once contract negotiations for the IV&V and DDI vendors are complete and the vendors are fully onboard. The new system will be broken into five modules and will be developed incrementally. The first module will be the Intake and Investigations module and is expected to last approximately 9-12 months.
QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM:

Foundational Administrative Structure: In FFY 2017, the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) continued its commitment of supporting an environment of continuous quality improvement. Child Welfare (CW) Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) remained a vital part of the DCFS structure. The CQI Team maintained its previously reported structure of three managers along with 22 case review staff who hold various roles in the CQI process.

A proposal to adjust CQI staff responsibilities was implemented in October 2016 in an effort to sustain accuracy and consistency in the case reviews. The new process includes dedicated 1st level reviewers, QA staff, and 2nd level reviewers for 100% of Child and Family Services Review (CFSR) cases. A CQI manager review was added as a high level review for random case reviews and occurs after the 2nd level review is complete.

The training protocol established for CQI staff remained intact for FFY 2017. CQI managers and lead workers continued to lead training session. The CQI Unit has maintained bi-weekly conference calls and/or webinars to discuss CQI matters, case review items and standards, and to train staff on changes to policy and procedures (state and/or federal). Quality Assurance (QA) CQI staff continued to meet separately to review QA processes and case review standards. Regional and statewide meetings were held to train staff. More information regarding training of CQI staff is included below in the Case Record Review Data and Process section.

DCFS continued to monitor and make improvements in the area of communicating information captured through the CQI process. The Performance and Quality Improvement (PQI) process in place for sharing information on the state office leadership, regional management, field supervisors and case worker levels was restructured during FFY 2017. This action was a result of DCFS reorganization, the appointment of new executive leadership, and the desire to focus on a process specifically for CW matters that promote bi-directional feedback on all levels. The transition of PQI to a new CW CQI Committee structure began in December 2016 with the first State Level CQI Committee meeting. During this meeting, state office and regional managers along with stakeholders were advised of the plan to transition to the state and regional level CQI committees.

The state level CQI committee is scheduled to meet bi-annually. The meeting will be interactive with the option of large and small group discussions. Committee members include the following:

- DCFS Deputy Secretary
- DCFS Assistant Deputy Secretary
- State Office CW Manager 2 (3)
- State Office CW Manager 1
- CW Regional Administrators (3)
- CW Area Directors (9)
- Bureau of General Counsel (BGC) attorney representative
- CW Data Consultant
- CW Federal Liaison
The committee meetings will focus on the following topics:

- CFSR preparations and planning
- Regional CQI Committee updates
- Data presentation/review/analysis
- Stakeholder communication and needs
- Referrals
- Agency updates/planning/Next Steps
- Region Spotlights – presentations reflecting regional strength based practices

Regional CQI committee meetings were held in all nine regions in January 2017 using the new process. These meetings are scheduled quarterly for the months of January, April, July and October. Meetings will focus on the following topics:

- Data overview and state level CQI committee updates
- Regional work/issues/planning/updates on submitted committee work
- Stakeholder communications/needs/referrals/agency functions

The April and October meetings will also include a presentation of the regions’ CQI case review results. A detailed discussion of the format and agenda for regional CQI case review results are included in the Feedback to Stakeholders and Decision-Makers and Adjustment of Programs and Process section.

Members of the regional CQI committee include a regional committee lead that is responsible for organizing and holding regional meetings. The committee co-lead is a CQI case reviewer who is responsible for assisting the Lead in organizing and holding the meetings. Other members of the committee include local stakeholders and designated regional CW staff at all levels and from each parish. The committee must also include at least one clerical support staff and one regional BGC attorney. The role of committee members is to share information with their local offices and to include input from their office staff in feedback during committee meetings.

Each regional CQI committee is expected to develop sub-committees comprised of regional staff from all levels. The purpose of these committees is to review data, to conduct in-depth discussions to understand the regions’ problems, explore possible solutions and to make recommendations/referrals to the CQI Regional Committee and state level CQI committee (when appropriate) for planning and goal setting. The CQI case review team members along with the regional committee leader and co-leader are responsible for attending subcommittee meetings to interpret data and to monitor the subcommittee’s progress in using data for problem solving and developing solutions.
Quality Data Collection: CQI team met with data unit members to review and update the sampling methodology for CFSR cases reviews throughout FFY 2017. It was determined in June of 2016 that the CQI team would review 65 cases for the CFSR review and would discontinue stratification by case type and location to adhere to a more traditional random sample. The elimination criteria for case reviews were updated to align with the CFSR Procedures Manual. In August of 2016, the initial proposed sampling methodology for CFSR reviews was shared with federal partners. Louisiana began using that sampling methodology at that time.

CQI continues to use the process of pairing and rotating reviewers and QA staff each review period. Efforts to strengthen inter rater reliability among QA staff also continued during FFY 2017 with QA exercises with mock case reviews and bi-weekly support calls for QA staff.

Although DCFS acknowledges its data gaps and the potential for those gaps to affect data quality, collaborative efforts with the data unit are underway to determine areas where data quality issues are most present. The following activities are being explored as solutions for improving data quality:

- Development of a process to match information found in case records with corresponding information in the TIPS system.
- Visitation Report for dashboard
- Development of TIPS data reports to assist in identifying AFCARS errors

Staff continues to review AFCARS, NYTD, and NCANDS files prior to submission and work with staff to update data where possible to improve data quality. Key areas of focus in improving data quality have been through the review of AFCARS and NCANDS errors as well as system enhancements. In 2016, several systems enhancements were developed related to the AFCARS Improvement Plan. It is the hope that these changes will be implemented by the end of 2017. Additional changes are currently being developed to meet the new AFCARS 2.0 requirements as well as the new NCANDS reporting elements. These changes will improve what is able to be reported as well as data from CQI case reviews will have available to review. In the coming year, CQI will continue to work with the data unit to address our quality issues for multiple types of data and within the confines of our current systems.

Case Record Review Data and Process: In FFY 2017, the CQI Team continued to prepare for the 2018 CFSR. Louisiana moved from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year (FFY) review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR timeframes. This change resulted in Louisiana undergoing a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR case reviews were completed. The change began on October 1, 2016 with the beginning of Reporting Period (RP) 1 FFY 2017.

The CQI team continued to use the OSRI to conduct CFSR case reviews during 6 month reporting periods, aligned with the FFY timeframes. Louisiana continued to input data regarding the OSRI into OMS.
In FFY 2017, it was determined that the CQI team would implement a new level of quality assurance (QA) to ensure best practice in rating CFSR reviews. Through June of 2016, cases in Louisiana underwent review, QA, and 2nd level reviews. The decision was made to add an additional 3rd level manager review to randomly selected case reviews. In order to accomplish this, 6 new QA reviewers were identified from the current review staff, and the current QA reviewers were moved into the role of 2nd level reviewers. The 3 CQI managers, who were previously completing all 2nd level reviews, would be completing the 3rd level manager reviews in the new structure. In order to train the 6 new QA reviewers they completed a series of training activities listed below with the former QA reviewers and CQI managers:

- From July 1, 2016-July 19, 2016, QA reviewers reviewed QA material and had a group phone conference with 2nd level QA to discuss highlights of the material and any questions. This material included:
  - The QA Guide available in Round 3 Resources on the CFSR portal
  - Completing the QA CBT module on the CFSR portal.
  - Review Louisiana timeframes for QA reviews (CQI Manual).
- Review and completion of a mock case by QA reviewers, 2nd level reviewers, and CQI Managers to include a debriefing of findings. (July 19, 2016)
- The same mock case was then assigned to all CQI reviewers to review and complete. Reviewers were assigned to small groups for debriefing of the mock case that was led by 2nd level QA and CQI managers with 1st level QA as co-leads for each group debriefing. (August 3, 2016)
- Review and completion of a 2nd mock case by QA reviewers, end level reviewers, and CQI Managers to include a debriefing of findings. (August 24, 2016)
- The same mock case 2 was then assigned to all CQI reviewers to review and complete. Reviewers were assigned to small groups for debriefing of mock case 2 that was led by 1st level QA with 2nd level QA/CQI Managers as co-leads for each group. (September 7, 2016)
- In September of 2016, 2nd level QA held a call with their assigned 1st level QA for the upcoming reporting period to again go over the QA role, process, and responsibilities, debrief, and answer any questions.
- The plan for the beginning of FFY 2017 was for 1st level QA to conduct their first 2 consults (October-March Review Period) with oversight by their 2nd level QA reviewer. This included consultation prior to the initial and final QA consults and debriefing after each consult.
- In September 2016, a call was held with 2nd level QA and CQI Managers/prior 2nd level QA reviewers to discuss 2nd level QA role and review expectations.

The entire CQI team continued to participate in quarterly trainings during FFY 2017. The following is a list of the trainings and dates of trainings:

- October 6 and 16, 2015: Permanency Training
- November 18, 2015: Stakeholder Interview Training
- December 9, 2015-QA training (mock case review)
- December 14, 2015: Youth Transition Plan and Services Training
- January 6, 2016: QA Training (mock case review)
- March 3, 2016: Consultation Training on CQI Introduction and Key Indicators
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The CQI team attended other regular trainings regarding best practice throughout the year. As mentioned previously in the Foundational Administrative Structure Section of this report, the team also participates in bi-weekly phone calls to discuss review findings, issues, concerns, practices. The QA reviewer team additionally meets bi-weekly to discuss any findings, concerns, practices related to the QA of reviews.

Due to the flood in August of 2016, Louisiana was unable to begin case review debriefs with federal partners. Case reviews were made available for federal partners to review through OMS during FFY 2017. Case review debriefs with federal partners began in February 2017.

During FFY 2017, the Louisiana CQI manual was updated with an issue date of May 2016 to include a CW CQI overview, case review process and guidelines to include case review procedures, quality assurance measures, and information on the case review instrument for CFSR. Revised sampling procedures were updated, but not placed in the manual during FFY 2016, due to the anticipation of updating the sampling procedures. The data reporting measures and process for data analysis were also intentionally left out of the May 2016 version of the manual, as they were still in development at that time. Procedures and forms for ad hoc/targeted reviews were outlined but were not added to the manual in FFY 2016.

**Analysis and Dissemination of Quality Data:** In FFY 2017 Louisiana continued to analyze and disseminate data from the CFSR case reviews to all levels of the Department and to external stakeholders.

- **Worker/Supervisor Level:** CQI reviewers conducted consultations with workers and supervisors on every review held. An individual report of the review is prepared prior to a meeting (or phone conference) that is held with the worker and supervisor. The individual report outlines in summary the areas of strength and areas needing improvement based on the case review. CQI reviewers use the information to provide mentoring on best practice, explain what is missing from the documentation, and conduct policy review or provide policy clarification as needed. Using this method allows the information obtained from the reviews to be utilized at the field level to improve practice.
Regional Level: Subsequent to each review period a written report is prepared for each region that provides a summary of findings, including data, from the reviews. This report is tailored to the regional findings and analyzes trends over time. The report provides specific information related to ANI findings, trends, and systemic issues related to practice. The information in the report is presented to the regional management team and supervisors during an in-person exit with the region. The CQI team for that region leads a guided discussion related to the report findings, clarifies the findings by answering questions, and provides suggestions on areas of focus for improvement for the region. In August 2016, a new meeting format for report findings was piloted in one region of the state. The new format will be implemented statewide as part of the CQI Regional Committee meetings in April 2017. A detailed discussion of the format is included in the Feedback to Stakeholders and Decision-Makers and Adjustment of Programs and Process section.

State Level: The state level PQI committee meetings which were held quarterly were placed with the State Level CQI Meeting in December 2016. Going forward these meetings will be held bi-annually with a portion of the agenda designated for data review, analysis and planning. Additional information is included in Foundational Administrative Structure section.

Distribution of data and opportunities for input continued with external stakeholders on the state as well as regional levels. In FFY 2017, the DCFS data unit provided aggregated data to external stakeholders on a recurring basis as well as upon request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LA Department of Health</td>
<td>Foster Care/LDH Match</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)</td>
<td>Foster Care Count</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulane/Policy Group</td>
<td>Foster Care/Family Services Data</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey</td>
<td>AFCARS Data</td>
<td>Bi-annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Legislature</td>
<td>Human Trafficking Report</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Legislature</td>
<td>HCR 74 Report on Children in Foster Care</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Department of Administration</td>
<td>LAPAS</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo DA's Office</td>
<td>Foster Care Data</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey</td>
<td>Short-Stayer Data</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindshare/Eckerd</td>
<td>CPS Data</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Department of Health</td>
<td>Match of Infants served by both Depts.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Department of Health</td>
<td>Fatality Data</td>
<td>On-Demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption</td>
<td>Adoption Data</td>
<td>On-Demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA</td>
<td>Fatality Data</td>
<td>On-Demand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The aggregate data reports above were distributed to the identified requesting stakeholders. Data reports requested by DCFS programs and provided to external stakeholders are not included in the chart above.*

The DCFS continues to invite stakeholders to participate in statewide meetings where CFSR and targeted case review data results are discussed. On the state level stakeholders included...
representatives from the Court Improvement Program (CIP), Tribes, and residential placement facilities. On the regional level representatives from courts, tribes, CASA and service providers participated in quarterly regional committee and stakeholder subcommittee meetings.

From FFY 2016 and into FFY 2017 considerable work regarding ad hoc/targeted reviews took place with the CQI team. Reviews were re-assessed to determine the use, necessity, and benefit of performing them. Some ad hoc targeted reviews were discontinued; some were changed and re-developed while others were continued.

Ad hoc/targeted review processes were developed in FFY 2016 and placed in the CQI manual in FFY 2017. These new processes included methods of analysis that may be needed, method to request/determine Ad hoc/Targeted reviews, process for development of review instruments, and process for determining and evaluating continued needs of Ad hoc/Targeted reviews.

- Determining and Requesting: DCFS CW completes targeted case reviews in areas of a determined need for data (i.e. promoting staff knowledge and skill enhancement, identifying training needs, etc.). When a specific program or administration requests a targeted case review for an identified information need, the request will be made using the Targeted Review Initiation Form and routed to the CQI CW Manager 2 via email. The request will be acknowledged as received and if additional information is needed to begin work on the assignment.

- Tracking: Once it is determined that a targeted review is appropriate, the CW Manager 2 over CQI will assign the targeted review to a CQI Manager for development and tracking. The assigned CQI Manager will contact the assigned contact for the targeted review and will set up a planning meeting to complete the Targeted Review Planning Form. Once planning is completed, the CQI Manager will move forward with instrument development.

- Instruments and Instructions: Targeted review instruments will be designed in collaboration with the program/person requesting the targeted review and the CQI team, coordinated through the CQI Manager assigned to manage the targeted review. Instructions to the instrument will be made and placed on the instrument or in policy. Instruments will be built in QATS or another applicable program. Instruments will be tested and vetted prior to use and case review instructions will be revised as needed to enhance accuracy.

- Dissemination of Data: Data regarding targeted reviews will be disseminated to staff and stakeholders along with the party requesting the review. Data can be disseminated along with other review data or at other intervals depending on needs.

- Evaluating and Monitoring: The person assigned as the contact for the targeted review must be available to meet with CQI at determined intervals to conduct evaluation and planning sessions. Planning session discussions will be documented on the Targeted Review Evaluation Form. The information covered in planning sessions will include the
results of the review, what will be done with the results to make impact, if reviews will be ongoing or if the targeted case review has concluded, any continued needs regarding the instrument or how reviews are conducted, etc.

All targeted review forms will be scanned into VIBE.

These procedures can also be found in Section 2.5 of the DCFS Child Welfare Continuous Quality Improvement Manual.

DCFS continued its ongoing efforts to facilitate a risk and safety management approach to practice in FFY2017 in the areas of Safety Focus Practice (SFP) and Eckerd Rapid Safety feedback.

- **SFP**: Capacity building activities were conducted with SFP and SFP QA reviewers. A total of seven sessions were held in FFY 2017 via conference call or in person. Prior to each session, reviewers were given assignments which included case scenarios to determine sufficiency of information in 6 areas of assessment and to identify the presence of present and impending danger. During the sessions, reviewers gave their ratings and reason for ratings.
  - In regards to reassessing the SFP case review instrument, a work group was established in FFY 2017 to study the need for revisions. Planning sessions with DCFS administration and CW program staff are ongoing in an effort to evaluate the need for instrument modifications.
  - Field Level Manager Quality Assurance: Leadership continues to work on developing a QA instrument which will be used by field level managers to review SFP cases. Several instruments have been submitted for additional study.

- **Eckerd Rapid Safety Feedback**: DCFS has moved forward with plans to integrate the Eckerd Rapid Safety Feedback approach into the CPS CQI process. DCFS has identified 6 staff members to serve as leads for the project. Their role will be to conduct reviews on CPS cases which require a higher level of attention, coordinate staffings on those cases, help guide the discussion during the staffings, document action steps, and monitor case activity assuring action steps are completed as set. The staff members leading the staffings are not assigned to the case and have no case responsibility which is consistent with a quality assurance model.

- **Youth Transitional Plans (YTP)**: In an effort to determine effectiveness, the YTP review July-October 2016 was developed. This review was divided into two parts. One part would examine if YTP review, and consultation after the review, affected future YTP practice. The second part would examine if from training and YTP reviews, supervisors in the foster care (FC) and adoption (AD) programs across the state were able to gain knowledge of an appropriate YTP and implement that knowledge with staff. The first part of the review began in July 2016, looking back at all YTP reviews conducted in RP 1 CY 2016 (January 1, 2016-June 30, 2016). This part of the review was titled the “Youth
Transition Plan Re-review”. All cases in which a YTP review was conducted during RP 1 CY 2016, that were still open cases in July of 2016, were re-reviewed to determine if needs learned during the RP 1 review were addressed and corrected after consultation of those reviews ended. Out of 45 YTP reviews conducted for RP 1 CY 2016, 15 cases remained open that were eligible for re-review. The re-review of those 15 cases was conducted between July-October 2016. Staff had the opportunity to update YTP plans and to consult, at their discretion, with foster care state office staff prior to their YTP being re-reviewed. The second part of the review began in August 2016. This part of the review was titled the Youth Transition Plan Supervisor Review. Area Directors in each region were asked to have all FC and AD supervisors in their region select the best YTP they had supervised the completion of and send it to State Office for review. The review questions for this review examined the most basic criteria to meet a basic/safe practice standard for YTPs. The review also determined if reviews submitted were in the current format in the Family Assessment Tracking System (FATS), though this information was not used in rating, rather for informational purposes only. Statewide, 75 YTPs were reviewed for the YTP Supervisor Review. All of the data led to an identified need to have focus groups regarding YTP planning with staff at multiple levels across the state to identify the reasons for poor performance in this area. Focus groups began in FFY 2017.

- AFCARS: In FFY 2016, the CQI team discontinued the AFCARS Improvement reviews. The data from the reviews was given to the Foster Care Unit once it was determined that the same data issues were being identified repeatedly. At that point, the Foster Care Unit would begin to make plans for improvements using the data collected from the AFCARS reviews. It was decided that training regarding data entry would be necessary and would occur between FFY 2016 and FFY 2017.

Feedback to Stakeholders and Decision-makers and Adjustment of Programs and Process:
As in previous years, CQI continued to promote the use of data to improve practice and guide collaborative efforts in FFY 2017. Stakeholders were afforded opportunities to review data and provide feedback during statewide meetings, state level CQI meetings (previously PQI) as well as regional meetings. Discussions during the meetings have focused on planning and implementing changes aimed at improving outcomes.

In an effort to prepare for the 2018 CFSR, the CQI QA staff conducted a pilot for internal and external stakeholders’ interviews from June 1, 2016 to August 31, 2016. The information collected from the interviews was entered in OMS for training purposes. The information was also shared with CQI Policy Workgroup which studies the conformity of systemic factors, Items 19-36.

The CQI Unit made efforts in FFY 2017 to use data to guide the development of tools and activities to help supervisors and field staff to link daily practices to data results.

CQI/CFSR mini-educational sessions for field staff supervisory units were held on a quarterly basis beginning October 2016. In the first sessions, CQI staff in each region presented introductory information on the CFSR and how CFSR case reviews relate to their daily duties and case practice. These sessions allowed and encouraged small group discussions and questions.
related to case practice and outcomes. A total of 83 sessions were conducted during the quarter. Feedback from staff regarding the sessions has been positive with more than 90% of participants responding in a survey that the session helped to increase their overall knowledge of the topic presented. The chart below outlines the regional data for the number of participants attending the first quarter sessions.

### CQI Mini Prep Session: Attendance for Quarter October 2016-December 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>623</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Mini Prep sessions will continue through March 2018. Below is the quarterly schedule along with topics for discussion.

### CQI Mini Prep Sessions: Schedule and Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarterly Prep Sessions for Field Staff</th>
<th>Content of Prep Sessions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 2016-December 2016</td>
<td>CQI: Understanding the CQI Process (30 min.-1 hour) 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2017-March 2017</td>
<td>Safety Outcome Items mini-training to include best practice understanding related to items (1 hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2017-June 2017</td>
<td>CFSR timeline and Permanency Outcome Items mini-training to include best practice understanding related to items (1 hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2017-September 2017</td>
<td>Well-being Outcome Items mini-training to include best practice understanding related to items (1 hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2017-December 2017</td>
<td>Case Related Interview and Stakeholder Interview mini-training (1 hour)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CQI continued its partnership with the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) to provide consultation to front line supervisors attending the Supervisory Training and Professional Development Program offered through the LCWTA. The pilot began in March 2016 utilizing 7 supervisors from across the State who volunteered to serve as participants. The subject of consultation provided by CQI staff was based on the top areas needing improvement related to the items in the OSRI instrument. Three modules on the following subjects were presented: Key Indicators, Assessing and Addressing Risk and Safety, and Visitation with Parents and Siblings/Relationship of Child in Care with Parents. The pilot ended in July 2016. Feedback from supervisor participants indicated that they had an “excellent” experience in the pilot. All 7 supervisors also indicated that they had passed on information learned in consultations to other staff members including other supervisors (new and seasoned), their workers, or workers in other units through unit meetings, staffings, or informal discussions.
In January 2017, a new group of 22 supervisors began the consultation program. The curriculum includes 6 modules with an optional 7th module. Modules are organized in order for program so that all modules completed with each program are specifically relevant to that program. CPS Supervisors will participate for 4 modules, In Home Services Supervisors for 5 modules, and Foster Care Supervisors for 6 modules with an optional 7th.

The modules developed cover the following areas:

- Key Indicators
- Assessing and Addressing Risk and Safety
- Needs Assessment and Services to Parents
- Caseworker Visits with Parents
- Involvement of Child and Family in Case Planning
- Visitation with Parents and Siblings/Relationship of Child in Care with Parents
- Youth Transition Planning (optional)

As part of the CQI case review process, reviewers continue to hold individual CQI exit meetings with managers, supervisors and case workers for every participating case in the CFSR review and the targeted reviews. The case reviewer discusses the purpose of each item of the OSRI, rating results and recommendations on how to strengthen practice. The goal of the meetings is to educate staff on how the rating results are linked to their case work, and can result in an overall improvement in practice.

The CQI Team continued to conduct regional exit meetings to present data results from case reviews for part of FFY 2017. In August 2016, a new exit meeting process was piloted in Alexandria Region. Prior to the meeting in Alexandria, the CQI staff in the region reviewed case review data from OMS to identify trends and areas with potential barriers. Although the data results for all items reviewed were shared with the Region, 5 items were chosen for presentation at the Regional Exit meeting. Prior to the meeting, a group of staff consisting of Managers, Supervisors and case workers were choose to serve as core preparatory group. CQI staff met with the group to introduce the CFSR case review process discusses the results of case ratings and to prepare the group to lead and participate in discussions during the Regional Exit meeting.

Attending the Alexandria regional exit meeting were the state office managers, management team, supervisors, case workers, preparatory group members and CQI. In an effort to increase the number of field level staff in the free back loop, each Supervisor attending the meeting was asked to bring one case worker from their supervisory unit. CQI staff serving as facilitators for meeting discussed ground rules for the meeting which established equal distribution of power among participants. Discussions addressed barriers in practice specific to Alexandria Region as well as potential solutions to improve practice. In a survey regarding the pilot, staff feedback was positive.

**CQI Exit Meeting: Alexandria Region Exit Meeting Pilot**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How satisfied were you with the overall experience of the Alexandria CQI Exit Meeting?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly satisfied</td>
<td>34.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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How satisfied were you with the overall experience of the Alexandria CQI Exit Meeting?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfied Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
<td>18.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat dissatisfied</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The survey also found that 81% of the participants felt that they would discuss the information presented during the meeting with their co-workers. Just over 90% determined that the information from the meeting would likely be used in managing their case work.

CQI Exit Meeting: Alexandria Region Exit Meeting Pilot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How likely are you to use the information presented in managing your case work?</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely likely</td>
<td>45.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very likely</td>
<td>45.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat likely</td>
<td>3.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very likely</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>6.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The success of the Alexandria Region pilot led to statewide implementation of the new process in FFY 2017. The CQI Regional Case Review Exit meetings were incorporated into the Regional CQI Committee meetings (formerly regional PQI meetings). Regional case review exits using the new process will be conducted during the April and October meetings.
CASE REVIEW PROCESS:

Written Case Plan: In Louisiana each child in foster care has a case plan initiated by at least the 30th day after foster care entry and receives a finalized initial case plan within 45 days of the date the child was placed in the custody of the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS). Afterward, the case plan must be reviewed and updated a minimum of every 6 months from the date of foster care entry, but may be reviewed and updated more frequently if necessary to meet the needs of the child and family.

Case plans are developed through worker preparation with parents, children, foster caretakers and other stakeholders who come together as a team in Family Team Meetings (FTMs), the purpose of which is to offer the parents support in achieving their goals for their family. The following policies and procedures are in place to assure case plans are developed for each child in foster care and the case plan is developed jointly with the child’s parent(s):

- Written case plans must be presented to the court for review and approval a minimum of every six months;
- Completion of case plans must be documented in the case events of the Tracking and Information Payment System (TIPS);
  - Upcoming and overdue case events generate alerts to the assigned case worker, which can be monitored through CAFÉ by the worker’s supervisor;
- A sample of case plans are reviewed by CQI staff every 6 months to assess quality, involvement of parents and adherence to required provisions;
- Written case plans are completed through the teaming process which involves including family, stakeholders, legal partners as team members in the planning process to support the family in defining goals, establishing action steps, and implementing the case plan;
- The case plan template is held in the Family Assessment and Tracking System (FATS), which makes it easy for any involved staff members statewide to pull up the case plan and review or document family progress;
- The Assessment of Family Functioning (AFF) is integrated into the electronic case planning template to allow for immediate review of family strengths, needs for improvement, parental caretaking capacities, risk level for the family, specialized assessment of runaway or trafficked youth and transitional needs of youth to guide the case planning process;
- For youth ages 14 and older, DCFS policy and the written case plan template include provisions for the involvement of a minimum of 2 individuals as requested by the youth unless there is good cause to believe the individuals would not act in the best interest of the youth;
- DCFS policy dictates the tribe be notified and included in case planning, if the child is a member of or eligible for membership in a federally recognized tribe.

In FFY 2016, TIPS reports show 10,614 case planning meetings (initial and ongoing) were due. Of that number, 9513 were accomplished, (89.6%). There were 6285 held by the precise due date, (59.2% of all that were due; 66.1% of all that were accomplished). When counting case plans that were due in a particular month and completed within the same month they were due,
TIPS data shows that 8,925 case plans were completed timely which accounts for 84.1%. Using this timeframe 93.8% of all case plans were accomplished.

DCFS uses data from the Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) case review process to determine if case plans are developed for all children in care and if they were developed jointly with the child’s parents. In FFY 2016, the CQI case review of 120 cases showed of the 115 applicable cases for item 13, 61 or 53% were rated as a “strength” and 54 or 47% were rated as “an area needing improvement”. When reviewing this data more intensively for the same time period, the data shows 82% of the cases involved the child in developing the case plan. Mothers and fathers were involved 68% and 45% of the time, respectively.

Ways in which DCFS is working to improve case planning is through the Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) and CQI consults. Through QPI, DCFS is establishing a core philosophy of ensuring quality parenting for the children served with regard to all activities. This includes the relationships we have with stakeholders, the way we work with the legal system, how we support and develop foster caretakers, and how we build partnership through our teaming process with the parent with whom we work. This cultural shift in the values is being developed to strengthen existing professional relationships and generate greater community partnerships in serving the families and children. Staff is hoping to see greater involvement of parents and caretakers in the case planning process as a result of this work as well as stronger support systems for parents and the caretakers of their children while in foster care.

CQI reviewers conduct consultations with workers and supervisors on every CQI review held. An individual report of each CQI review is prepared prior to a consultation (or phone conference) which is held with the worker and supervisor. The individual report summarizes the areas of “strength” and “needing improvement” based on the case review. CQI reviewers use the information to provide mentoring on best practice, explain what is missing from the documentation, and conduct policy review or provide policy clarification as needed. Using this method allows the information obtained from the reviews to be utilized at the field level to improve practice relative to case planning and numerous other areas.

**Periodic Reviews:** In FFY 2016 a total of 7,726 cases were due for review. Of that number, 7,397 cases underwent a review [either through the courts or through the state’s Administrative Review (AR) process.] Based on these numbers, 95.7% of cases received periodic review in FFY 2016.

In compliance with Louisiana law, DCFS has policies and procedures in place to ensure each child receives a case review hearing by the court every 6 months. DCFS policy requires a case planning meeting occur initially beginning by the 30th day from foster care entry and finalized by the 45th day from foster care entry. Ongoing case plan review by DCFS, the family and the family’s team of support must occur a minimum of every 6 months from the date of foster care entry. DCFS staff must provide the court a report summarizing progress in the case and an updated written case plan a minimum of 10 working days prior to the case review hearings which are held by the court every six months. DCFS staff is also required to notify the child’s foster caretakers of the case review hearings held by the court and the right of the foster caretaker to be
heard. All other involved parties are notified of case review hearings by the court and of case planning meetings or reviews.

- Completion of case plan review meetings and court case review hearings must be documented in the case events of TIPS.
  - Upcoming and overdue case events generate alerts to the assigned case worker, which can be monitored through CAFÉ by the worker’s supervisor.
- A sample of case plans are reviewed by CQI staff every 6 months.
  - Part of this process involves assessing the number of court case review hearings occurring timely and noting this as an administrative review in the database.
  - If a court case review hearing has not occurred timely during the 6 month timeframe, an administrative review is scheduled according to an established protocol within the region to ensure compliance.

If the safeguards for judicial review are not enough to ensure a periodic review of every child’s case, the following procedures are required. Referred to as Administrative Reviews (AR), monthly compliance reports are generated and issued to field staff with overall monitoring by CQI staff.

During the AR process, field staff (first line supervisors) are required to capture the following information through TIPS reviews/and or case record reviews:

- Review of and updates to the TIPS case event 3130 for all cases in which the judicial review is held every six months;
- The number of instances in which the TIPS 3130 case event was not updated for the month under review, by child name;
- The number of internal ARs (by family) which are due for the month (because a judicial review was not held within the PUR); and,
- The number of internal ARs (by family) which were needed for the month but were not held timely (by conclusion of the month).
- The number of DCFS case plan review meetings which were due for the month.
  - This number is typically reported by family, not child.
  - Adoption cases are counted by child because parental rights have been terminated and children freed for adoption are counted/tracked as their own family in TIPS;
- The number of DCFS case plan review meetings not held timely, which would be by the close of the month in which the meeting was due;
- Review of and updates to the TIPS case events 3100 and 3110 to assure and document initial and ongoing case planning meeting completion, respectively;

Cases for which judicial reviews were due but not held (for various reasons such as court continuances) are required to be reviewed through the state’s AR process. In FFY 2016, a total of 197 cases needed to be reviewed through the AR process. Of that number, 112 or 57% of the ARs were held timely. A total of 85 or 43% of the required ARs were not held timely. Most field staff questioned regarding the required ARs which were not held, reported being unaware of the need to conduct the internal AR. DCFS is currently exploring ways to improve outcomes in this area which include reviewing the roles and responsibilities of staff providing and monitoring data with plans to initiate necessary changes to the process.
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Field supervisors have the responsibility of ensuring a judicial review or an AR occurs on each case as required before the deadline. In cases where the judicial review is held, the information is updated in the TIPS case events. In cases where a judicial review is not scheduled by the court to be held timely, it is the responsibility of field staff to work with the court to get the review scheduled and completed before the end of the month it is due. When it is not possible to schedule or hold the judicial review timely, it is the responsibility of the field supervisor to get the case assigned for an internal AR.

Monthly reports are sent to Area Directors (AD) and Regional Administrators (RA) on cases where an AR was held as required and required but not held timely. Efforts to improve outcomes in this area will be coordinated on a regional basis with the AD and/or RA for the regions.

**Permanency Hearings:** As per Louisiana law each child in foster care is assured a permanency hearing by the court every 12 months. It is common in Louisiana courts to use the periodic review hearing and permanency hearings interchangeably or a combination of both hearings. Additionally, DCFS policy requires a permanency staffing occur initially within 9 months of foster care entry to assess the potential for the family to achieve reunification prior to the 12 month mark, identify any unaddressed needs of the family, determine any compelling reasons for not pursuing termination when the child has been in foster care 12 months, and/or determining steps necessary to pursue termination at the permanency hearing when the child has been in foster care for 12 months. Once an initial permanency staffing has been held, every case staffing held every three months thereafter is an ongoing assessment of the appropriateness of the child’s permanency plan. Permanency hearings continue to be held every 12 months from the date the child entered foster care until permanency is achieved. These permanency hearings are held in conjunction with the case review hearings being held at 6 month intervals rather than separately. Therefore, DCFS staff is providing the court a report with the recommendations of DCFS for permanency for the child. The court report also summarizes progress in the case and is submitted to the court along with an updated written case plan a minimum of 10 working days prior to the permanency and case review hearing. DCFS staff is required to notify the child’s foster caretakers of the permanency hearings and case review hearings and their right to be heard at those hearings. All other involved parties are notified of permanency and case review hearings by the court.

- DCFS recommendations for permanency for children, court reports and updated, written case plans are presented to the court for review and approval a minimum of every six months (which incorporates the report due every 12 months for a permanency hearing) and must arrive to the court within 10 working days of the scheduled permanency and case review hearings.
- Completion of permanency staffings and annual permanency hearings must be documented in the case events of TIPS
  - Upcoming and overdue case events generate alerts to the assigned case worker, which can be monitored through CAFÉ by the worker’s supervisor

CQI is responsible for collecting and distributing data to regions. CQI supports the regions in providing technical assistance (working in VIBE, Excel spreadsheets) and training on the AR process. Regional management has the responsibility for utilizing the tools provided by CQI to
ensure timely completion of the case activities and document completion. TIPS case events exist for all court hearings.

For annual judicial reviews, 7,726 were due in FFY 2016 and 7,285 were accomplished. This TIPS report shows that 94.3% of annual judicial reviews were held timely. One of the areas that could be affecting this percentage is with regard to data integrity. Greater accuracy and timeliness of data entry in this area will be achieved through TIPS training in conjunction with improved data efforts through the AFCARS Improvement Plan, and through revisions in foster care policy regarding case staffings. DCFS workers and supervisors meet a minimum of once per quarter to review progress on each case. During these staffings staff will be required to review data accuracy and completeness related to case events for each case. The FC program unit will monitor case event completion on a monthly basis to assess whether timely entry is occurring.

Further, the Louisiana Court Improvement Project (CIP) tracks upcoming judicial reviews and the CIP’s judicial fellow works with individual judges and courts to address timeliness issues and to reduce continuances. The CQI committee of the CIP reviews time to permanency across the various legal service providers.

**Termination of Parental Rights:** DCFS has multiple processes and safeguards in place to ensure the filing of termination of parental rights (TPR) proceedings occurs in accordance with the federal requirements. For cases where TPR is pursued, DCFS developed requirements for a 9 month permanency staffing. The staffing was created to ensure everything was in place to proceed with TPR if/when appropriate at the 12 month permanency hearing. As soon as the decision is made to proceed with seeking termination, a TPR packet is prepared and submitted to the staff attorneys. The staff attorney assigned to the case has 30 days from receipt of the TPR packet to file the petition for termination. From the filing of the petition, the termination proceedings follow the court process which is guided by the Children’s Code legal requirements.

The DCFS Bureau of General Counsel (BGC) provides data regarding the number of TPR petitions filed on a monthly basis. This data is shared with the Executive Management Team and Regional Administrators to assist in decision making efforts on improving permanency outcomes. The monthly Statewide TPR Data Reports are also available for all staff to review on the DCFS CW intranet page.

The TPR data reports along with CQI case review reports are also shared with the Court Improvement Program (CIP). In the CIP CQI process this data has been used in discussions on court timeliness measures. DCFS and CIP’s sharing of data as well as collaboration between the organizations’ CQI committees, has strengthened the case review system regarding monitoring the statewide functionality of TPR filings.

The following chart shows data collected by DCFS staff attorneys on all TPR cases as well as data regarding court appearances and executed surrenders, but does not indicate whether the petitions were filed timely.
CQI case reviews provide data on the number of cases which are rated as “strength” or “area needing improvement” regarding filing of termination of parental rights (TPR) proceedings that occur in accordance with federal requirements. Specifically, item 6 of the case review instrument measures the following: “Achieving Reunification, Guardianship, Adoption, or Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement”.

Further DCFS and CIP planning will need to occur to more fully track timeliness of the occurrence of TPR hearings and develop a plan for improvement.

**Notice of Hearings and Reviews to Caregivers:** In FATS, in the federal compliance portion of the case plan document, DCFS captures the date written notification was provided to all foster caretakers informing them of the date, time, location of the hearings and their right to attend and be heard. In the case notes or case documentation portion of FATS when staff document contacts are made with the family, child and caretaker each month, they are able to indicate whether the caretaker was notified of the hearing and their right to be heard. All of this documentation is provided in narrative format with no capacity for rolling up the data.

DCFS is working to develop a case event in TIPS to allow for the capacity to roll up data on whether notification of the foster caretakers and their right to be heard occurred in each case due for case review each month, regardless if it is and initial or ongoing case review. It will be possible to develop a report to display in WEBFOCUS regarding the percentages of cases where this occurred by region to allow for field staff managers to plan for improvement on a regular
basis. It will be possible to monitor from a state level to initiate higher level planning for improvement.

Additionally, a sample of case plans is reviewed by CQI staff every 6 months. This process includes consideration of fulfillment of all federal case planning requirements, including notification of foster care caretakers regarding any review or hearing held with respect to the child and their right to be heard. CQI and program staff will work together to assess how efforts can be coordinated to develop informative data and improve outcomes.

DCFS has worked on numerous fronts to obtain stakeholder feedback and participation in improving the delivery of services. These efforts are accomplished in part through the DCFS Advisory Board, the DCFS Internal Advisory Committee and the CW CQI process.

The Advisory Board advises the Secretary on many issues including operations within the Department, service delivery structure and departmental performance. Members of the Advisory Board include: children’s advocates, community partners, foster parents, legislators, judges and community leaders. The Advisory Board has provided recommendations on communications and strategies for advancing DCFS in the community and legislature. They have worked with the Department to engage foster parents and make recommendations for assisting youth in care and aging out of FC.

The Internal Advisory Committee is comprised of advocates, grandparents, foster parents and employees. This committee advises the Secretary on operations within the Department, policy, service delivery structure, departmental performance, and employee satisfaction. Within the committee there is a CW Workgroup that meets regularly to provide on-going specific recommendations to improve employee performance, to enhance morale and create specific policy changes to better serve the children and families in Louisiana. These recommendations are provided to the Secretary and executive leadership for consideration and implementation.

Through the CQI process, staff began a pilot period of conducting stakeholder interviews where interviews were conducted between June 2016 and August 2016. There were 9 interviews completed which included 4 foster/adoptive parents, 1 Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) probation officer, 1 foster child, 1 group of field managers, 1 group of state office managers and a state office group of BGC attorneys. The questions asked of all participants were in relation to a couple of sections of the Stakeholder Interview Guide, of which one was Section II, the Case Review System (items 20, 21, 22 and 24). In general, interviewers found the stakeholders had a primarily positive overall experience and the provision of services. Stakeholders generally reported that the Department was providing the appropriate services to meet clients’ needs; however, and area to focus improvement will be with regard to timely notification of court hearings.
STAFF AND PROVIDER TRAINING:

Initial Staff Training – The state is operating a staff development and training program providing initial training that includes the basic skills and knowledge required of all staff who deliver services pursuant to the CFSP. New child welfare (CW) employees are required by Louisiana law to complete 32 hours of instruction, in specified areas of child welfare, for the position they are directly responsible for prior to the beginning of duties. New DCFS CW employees are assigned to New Worker Orientation (NWO) Cohorts upon notice of hire from the Human Resources section. The CW Trainers are responsible for tracking new workers by their cohort to ensure all staff completes the required training within six months of employment. Each training cohort consists of 24 to 26 newly hired Child Protection Services (CPS), Family Services (FS), Foster Care (FC), and Centralized Intake (CI) staff. These cohorts are monitored by DCFS CW Training and tracked to ensure all participants attend all in-class NWO courses as scheduled within the first 3 months of employment and complete all training activities within six months. Pre-tests and post-tests are administered during the training sessions to measure the percentage of knowledge increase. Evaluations are also administered during training sessions to measure the worker’s training experience, training needs, the training facility, and the trainer’s ability to engage and deliver course material. Information on the evaluation measures is located in the Training Plan section of this report.

The 24 week orientation for new CW staff was revised in January 2017, to provide new staff more activities to ensure they were better prepared to fulfill their job duties. The sequence of training was also re-organized, moving specialized training in CPS, FS and FC to week 3 of classroom based training and creating topically focused 2 day training modules in high priority areas to be completed by all new workers within their first 6 months to one year of working in child welfare. The modules will also begin to be offered to existing staff as in-service training opportunities. Priority topics in 2017 transitioning into 2018 include Child Welfare Basics, Trauma Focused Care, Separation and Placement, Cultural Consciousness, Child and Adolescent Development, Basic Legal Training, Courtroom Simulation Training, Worker Safety, Physical Indicators of Maltreatment, Substance Use and Child Welfare, and Domestic Violence and Child Welfare. Please see the attached draft of the Child Welfare Curriculum (New Worker Orientation) dated April 1, 2017.

Another way DCFS has worked to be responsive to the training needs of new staff is through a training pilot which was initiated in the Baton Rouge Regional CPS program. This on-the-job training model is more of a “hands on” approach with new employees. While new workers are provided with the same training material that is received in the traditional, classroom training, the approach is very different. Classroom training is coordinated with field shadowing of seasoned employees and trainer facilitated debriefings. This allows new employees the benefit of applying what they have learned in the classroom to real case situations. For additional information on this pilot program please refer to the training plan and the training chart.

The information from pre and post-tests are used to assist with the evaluation of course content in the area of NWO (pilot not yet administering pre and post-tests). Each test question is evaluated to identify trends and DCFS trainers use the results to help rewrite test questions that identified as confusing to participants. This process also helps to ensure course content is clear,
presented in a way that meets the goals of the training, and best prepares new staff for work in CW.

The Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) measures the results of training evaluations and pre and post-test results (i.e. specifically the percentage of students that scored under the 80% standard for achieving competency in each course). Training evaluation data indicate 98.9% of staff participating in NWO training between February 2016 and February 2017 found the material presented provided them with knowledge/skills needed to meet their responsibilities in this area of work.

**Ongoing Staff Training:** The state is operating a staff development and training program that provides ongoing training for staff that addresses the skills and knowledge needed to carry out their duties with regard to the services included in the CFSP. To that end, DCFS provides ongoing training to employees to enhance their skills and knowledge through mandated and in-service training. DCFS partners with the LCWTA, the Pelican Center and the Universities Alliance to provide training opportunities for DCFS staff, federally recognized tribes and other partners. For additional information and a list of trainings provided to employees, please refer to the Training Plan Section and Appendix B of this report.

After new CW employees complete the initial 32 hours of instruction prior to beginning their duties, DCFS employees are then mandated to complete 32 hours of continuing in-service training relative to providing CW services within their second and third year of full employment. Following their third year of employment, all DCFS CW employees are required to complete 20 hours of in-service training annually. Training hours are tracked in MOODLE the Department’s learning management system. Regional trainers monitor each employee’s training using MOODLE and provide a report to each region and to state office CW program staff annually. These statewide reports inform administrative staff of the number of training hours each staff is required to have and the number of hours each staff has obtained for the required hours of in-service training each year.

The data below is from several key in-service training courses. The data provided below relates to the “Supervisory Certification Program” training course, the Practicing Permanency Certification course, and a new course entitled “Understanding Girls”.

For the 6 module in-service training titled “Supervisor Certification Program” there was one cohort of 22 in calendar year (CY) 2016 which completed all 6 modules. Thus far in CY 2017 one cohort has been initiated and trained on Modules 1 and 2. A total of 44 participants from April 20, 2016 – March 22, 2017 have been trained.

During this timeframe, there were 8 sessions presented (with Modules 1 and 2 being trained twice - once for Cohort 1 and once for Cohort 2). The average post-test score for all participants was 82.42%. When test scores were separated out by module, participants (Cohort 1) who were trained in Module 1 in CY 2016 showed an increase in knowledge of 38.73%. Participants in CY 2017 (Cohort 2) who have only been trained thus far in Module 1 and 2, showed an increase in knowledge of a 21.9%.
The Practicing Permanency course consisting of 10 one-day sessions focused on advanced foster care and adoption topics was held every two weeks between February and June 2016. Course evaluation data indicates 100% of the 22 DCFS CW staff who participated in the training found the content of the material presented provided them with knowledge/skills needed to meet their responsibilities in this area of work. The overall increase in knowledge gain between pre and post-tests was 9%.

There were seven “Understanding Girls” training sessions provided to CW staff, foster/adoptive parents and service providers from June 20, 2016 – April 27, 2017 with a total of 132 participants. Out of the 132 participants, 126 participated in data collection. Roll-up of the data shows that of those who participated in data collection 80.16% found the information above average indicating the highest level of usefulness and that overall the training helped to prepare them for working with girls. Only 74 participants took part in data collection (pre and post-tests) of general knowledge increase with 79% indicating that their general knowledge increased as a result of the training.

**Foster and Adoptive Parent Training** – The state provides training for current or prospective foster and adoptive parents that care for children receiving foster care or adoption assistance under title IV-E. DCFS requires the training addresses the skills and knowledge trainees need to carry out their duties with regard to foster and/or adopted children. On an ongoing basis, foster/adoptive parents have received pre-service training as well as training through agency sponsored providers. Please note that DCFS does not provide direct training to state licensed or approved facilities caring for children in foster care; however, training requirements are outline in contracts with those providers.

**Pre-Service Training:** Prior to the January 2017 implementation of a new pre-service training curriculum titled “A Journey Home”, foster/adoptive parents were required to go through MAPP/GPS training. The new pre-service curriculum includes modules on the system of child welfare, co-parenting, attachment, normalcy, substance abuse, sex abuse, trauma, Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI), and adoption. There are eight modules for a total of 24 hours. There is also a kinship pre-service curriculum catered to relatives caring for their kin. This is a twelve hour curriculum.

In collaboration with the LCWTA, departmental staff gathered data that shows the percentage of foster/adoptive parents who completed a training evaluation and stated that they believe their pre-service training provided them with the knowledge and skills needed to do their job. Out of 42 foster/adoptive parents who completed the training pre-service training evaluation 42 or 100% of them indicated that the training would be useful to them in completing their jobs as foster/adoptive parents.

**In-Service Training:** Regular and child specific foster/adoptive parents are required to complete a minimum of 15 hours of approved in-service training per state fiscal year. Specialized homes are required to complete a minimum of 20 hours and maintain CPR certification. All foster/adoptive parents are required to receive a “refresher” on CPR/first aid and medication administration at least every two years following initial certification. Specialized homes shall maintain their CPR certification.
Other trainings, meeting certain criteria, can be used to meet licensing requirements, such as: 1) Louisiana Foster/Adoptive Parent Association annual conference; 2) National Foster Parent conferences; 3) Community agency or organization trainings (pre-approved by the regional or state office); 4) participation in consultation with a licensed professional for purposes of implementing an individualized behavior management program or other therapeutic treatment on behalf of a foster child; 5) On-line trainings (pre-approved by state office).

DCFS worked with LCWTA/Southeastern Louisiana University to develop a three year plan for foster/adoptive parent in-service training which includes the required training plan for foster/adoptive parents in the first three years after their initial certification and training. Six areas of need were identified and offered to foster parents during SFY 2017. These training are in partnership with Louisiana Healthcare Connections and included Trauma Informed Practice for Foster Care Providers, Substance Affected Infants, and Parenting LGBTQ Youth. Other trainings include: TBRI (Trust Based Relational Intervention) training provided to foster parents in Lafayette Region; Foster Parents Roles and Responsibilities, provided by CWTA; and Sex Trafficking, provided by the CWTA and in collaboration with the Pelican Center.

In the first year, all current foster parents would have to complete the six hours One Day- A Journey Home (this is an overview of the new foster parent pre-service curriculum) as well as three hours of Cultural Competency and two hours of Foster Parent’s Roles and Responsibilities.

In the second year, foster parents would need to take additional three hour training on Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI), three hours of LGBTQ, two hours of Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) and three hours of Working with Substance Addicted Parents.

In the third year, foster parents would need to take at least three trainings from the listed topics (Substance Exposed Newborns, Juvenile Trafficking, Understanding Girls, LGBTQ-Advanced, Foster Parent Grief and Resilience and Mental Health. All foster parents will have the opportunity to fill out evaluations of the training so that DCFS and CWTA understand what other training opportunities are needed and how effective the current trainings being offered are.

As stated above, foster parents will need to take additional three hour training on Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI), three hours of LGBTQ, two hours of QPI and three hours of Working with Substance Addicted Parents. DCFS and LCWTA are creating a QPI link on the LCWTA site where Louisiana QPI resources, information, and training will be made available to staff, foster parents, and partners.

Currently, each region tracks in-service foster parent training differently. Most regions have foster parents send in their training certificates to their Home Development worker and the worker is responsible for tracking the hours and notifying parents when they need to complete hours. There are a few regions that utilize an Excel spreadsheet to track training. DCFS is currently working to provide certified foster/adoptive parents access to MOODLE. MOODLE will be able to track training hours as well as offer computer based trainings. Foster parents will be able to access MOODLE from the LCWTA’s website. Once the Department has ensured that MOODLE is functional for foster parents, Home Development workers will be trained on how to utilize the program as well as how to train foster parents on how to utilize the program.
During the recertification process, HD staff provides the foster/adoptive parent an opportunity to specify the type of training needed. In addition, they are to indicate on the form whether or not the training was helpful and indicate any subjects or trainings they would like presented. This form (DCFS CW Form 604-II) is to be sent to/received from each certified foster/adoptive family.

The LCWTA, in collaboration with Southeastern Louisiana University, conducted a survey to identify training needs from the perspective of the foster/adoptive parent(s) and DCFS staff. The data collection came from three primary sources: 1.) a written survey administered to foster parents at a Foster/Adoptive Parent Association Conference (2014); 2.) An interactive survey of foster/adoptive parents attending the 2015 conference; and 3.) An open inquiry sent to the DCFS workers (2015). Using survey results administered to foster/adoptive parents in 2015, DCFS and the LCWTA has worked towards the development of a comprehensive foster/adoptive parent training plan. This plan outlines the ongoing training foster parents must receive following initial certification.

Beginning in CY 2017, foster parents will need an additional three hours of training on Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI), three hours of LGBTQ, two hours of QPI and three hours of Working with Substance Addicted Parents. CWTA is currently working with QPI National to develop a contract so that all DCFS foster parents and staff will have the ability to view trainings offered through QPI’s “Just in Time” training library. This training library offers online training on a number of different topics.

In collaboration with the LCWTA, departmental staff gathered data on a key in-service training titled “Culturally Affirming Care”. The data shows that out of 22 participants, 17 completed a training evaluation. Of those 17 evaluations completed, 17 or 100% of participants indicated that the training provided them with the knowledge and skills needed to do their job.

Tracking of training/evaluations: DCFS staff works with the LCWTA for tracking of training and evaluations and will also continue working with DCFS Training and the Department of Administration (DOA), Office of Technology Services (OTS) to develop procedures for tracking foster parent trainings in MOODLE. Once all foster parents are provided access to MOODLE, trainings will be provided through the CWTA and DCFS and foster parents will be able to track training information.
SERVICE ARRAY AND RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

**Array of Services:** The Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) Child Welfare (CW) Program provides an array of services that assesses the strengths and needs of children and families, determines other service needs, and addresses the needs of families as well as the individual children in order to create a safe home environment, enable children to remain safely with their parents when reasonable, and help children in foster and adoptive placements achieve permanency.

The state’s CW service continuum/service array includes Centralized Intake (CI) for intake, screening and referral; Child Protective Services (CPS) for the assessment of reports of abuse/neglect; Family Services (FS) for in-home services when it is safe for a child to remain in the home; Foster Care (FC), Kinship Care (KC), Guardianship, Youth Independent Living and Adoption (AD) for out-of-home services; Home Development (HD) for the recruitment, certification and retention of foster/adoptive parents; and Interstate Placement on the Placement of Children (ICPC) for cross-jurisdictional resources. For additional information on the child welfare continuum/service array, please refer to the state’s Child and Family Services Plan (CFSP).

Services are provided in all political jurisdictions throughout the state which encompasses 64 parishes divided into 9 regions. While a DCFS, CW office is not located in all 64 parishes, they are located in 42 parishes statewide. Individuals who live in parishes where there is no CW parish office are still served in their parishes of residence by DCFS staff housed in neighboring parishes that do have offices. If travel for other services is required, DCFS provides transportation.

The service array is provided through a number of specialized services, key initiatives and best practices. Some examples include a contract with the Language Line, Safety Focused Practice (SFP), Trauma and Behavior Health (TBH) screenings, and independent living services (ILS). For additional information on SFP, TBH and ILS please refer to the CPS, Prevention and Intervention, and Chafee portions of this plan.

**Individualizing Services**

The service array, as described above, is individualized to meet the unique needs of children and families served by the Department. Services in languages other than English have been and continue to be available to meet the unique needs of non-English speaking children and families statewide. Through the Language Line, DCFS provides translation services statewide numerous times per month. The need for this service has increased over the last four years primarily or Spanish and Vietnamese speaking clients; however, it is accessed for other languages as needed.

DCFS CW individualizes services is through an assessment process which is initiated when the Department first becomes involved with children, youth and families and continues throughout the life of a case. To accomplish this comprehensive assessment, DCFS uses the principles of the Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) Model [also referred to as Safety Focused Practices (SFP).] There is significant focus on this assessment process and DCFS believes it is key in engaging children, youth and families, identifying their strengths and needs, jointly developing
behaviorally-specific case plans and providing the most appropriate services that meet the individualized needs of the children, youth and families.

Through Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) team case record reviews, DCFS has monitored the implementation of SFP in the CPS program. In FFY 2017, the following information was gathered from these CQI case reviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Practice</th>
<th>FFY 2016 Q1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Cases Reviewed</td>
<td># of Cases Meeting Practice</td>
<td>Percentage meeting practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>85.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Maltreatment</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Functioning</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Functioning</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parenting</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Practice</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Protective Capacities</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Impending Danger</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Household</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Approval</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOTE: SFP practice reviews were only conducted in the first quarter of FFY 2016.*

Data indicates that additional monitoring of implementation of this practice is needed in some areas of assessment. With the focus of building capacity of supervisors, a shift is being made from the CQI review to having regional CW managers review a minimum of 10 cases per month to assess practice in their assigned areas. The goal of the reviews is to utilize the information to identify areas of practice where supervisors may need additional training or mentoring, with an ultimate goal of improving the overall practice.

A review instrument was developed to focus on the same critical decision points in practice as well as to assess supervisor's guidance provided to workers throughout the life of the case. A reporting tool was also developed to track trends in specific practice areas that can be targeted for monitoring by state office and regional leadership. The primary areas of focus for CPS include reducing repeat maltreatment and enhancing protective caretaker capacities. Items from the review instrument that will be included in tracking and monitoring are:

1. # Cases Structured Decision Making (SDM) completed correctly;
2. # Cases SDM used accurately for decision making to determine case closure, referral for services or removal;
3. # Cases Present Danger was assessed accurately;
4. # Cases Impending Danger was assessed accurately; and
5. # Cases Caretaker Protective Capacities were explored and identified.

The manager reviews are scheduled to start in July of 2017. On a quarterly basis, reports will be forwarded to regional and state office leadership and reviewed during management and leadership meetings. Information from the reviews will be used to further enhance the individualization of services by focusing on the following:

- Tracking trends at the state office level to inform policy clarifications or changes
- Assessing practice areas for additional training and/or mentoring needs
- Identifying areas of practice for supervisory focus during consultation with managers
- Identifying gaps in services on a regional and statewide level.

In addition to key services noted above, Louisiana has implemented TBH screenings statewide. The work was initiated as part of the Louisiana Child Welfare Trauma Project Grant directed by Tulane University’s Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences in partnership with DCFS. The goal of the project is to improve the social and emotional well-being of children in the state’s CW system that has mental and behavioral health needs. The project has worked with DCFS to increase the capacity of the workforce to screen for trauma in children and refer those with a positive screening for comprehensive clinical assessments and/or mental health treatment services. To accomplish this goal, staff, as well as providers, received specialized training in trauma informed service delivery.

TBH screens are completed by the caregiver of the child on all cases and, if the child is 7 years or older, the child also completes a screen. The screens are completed when a FS case is opened, as they enter FC, and again at the 6 month case planning. To monitor the implementation of this practice, the CQI team conducts quarterly reviews of TBH screenings in a targeted case review. The chart below shows what the scores have been on the TBH as of 4/3/2017 and shows the areas in which children and youth need treatment and what service array is needed in order to effectively treat them.
TBH results include: Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD); internalizing disorders (e.g. anxiety and depression) (INT); Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD); and externalizing disorders (e.g. defiance and conduct (EXT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological conditions</th>
<th>3-6 yrs</th>
<th>7-12 yrs</th>
<th>13-18 yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>1759</td>
<td>1702</td>
<td>931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In December 2015, Louisiana transitioned to Healthy Louisiana, a system of integrated managed care. The Managed Care Organizations (MCO) previously responsible for the administration of only primary healthcare then assumed responsibility for the administration of specialized behavioral health. Magellan retained the responsibility to manage CSoC and specialized behavioral health services for only youth enrolled in CSoC. During FFY 2016, a statewide total of 1,705 children and youth were enrolled in CSoC. Of the children and youth enrolled in CSoC, 133 were identified as children in the foster care system. Later in early FFY 2017, crises stabilization migrated from a CSoC service to a specialized behavioral health state plan service available to all Medicaid eligible children and youth.

To ensure ongoing services that are accessible and individualized to meet the needs of children/youth, DCFS created a new statewide unit to manage the transition to integrated health management. The twelve person Behavioral Health and Placement Services Unit works closely with the managed care entities and providers to ensure youth are connected with the appropriate behavioral health residential treatment and residential level of care to address their needs. DCFS selected two of the MCOs as DCFS preferred providers: Louisiana Health Care
Connections and Amerigroup. This decision was made to ensure that children, families and the DCFS maintain choice among health plans, and to enhance collaboration to meet the specialized needs of children in custody. Pursuant to the transition to Healthy Louisiana, DCFS assumed administration of contracts with residential facilities and child placing agencies, two residential levels of care that had previously been managed by Magellan. These levels of care are not Medicaid reimbursable, and for this reason no longer fall within the scope of work of the MCOs.

Currently, DCFS contracts with twenty (20) Non-Medical Group Homes to provide residential care, and eight (8) Child Placing Agencies to provide Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) to youth in Louisiana who are in DCFS custody. The anticipated growth in the array of TFC began and continues as a goal. To this end, DCFS partnered with the Office of Behavioral Health (OBH) to apply for a federal System of Care Expansion Grant. The goals are two tiered: 1) to establish a center of excellence to train stakeholders throughout the state; 2) to increase leadership and participation of families and youth in the System of Care. The grant awards have not yet been announced as of this writing.

In addition to some of the key services noted above, Chafee Foster Care Independence Program (CFCIP) contract providers conduct individual assessments of each youth referred to their programs. The assessment is used to determine each youth’s level of readiness to function independently in areas such as relationship building, financial planning, career readiness, etc. From that assessment the providers develop with the youth an individualized plan for developing skill levels that range from group sessions with other youth, homework exercises, classroom instruction, videos, to experiential learning activities. Providers also provide in-home instruction/learning activities as necessary to meet the unique needs of a particular child. Four independent living service (ILS) providers serve youth in every parish from throughout the state [For additional information on ILS, please refer to the Chaffee portion of this CFSP.]

In the first quarter of calendar year 2017, focus groups with youth were held across Louisiana (Baton Rouge, New Orleans, Ruston and Monroe). The youth who participated were youth in DCFS and OJJ custody. Ten or more youth were involved in each group. Common themes within the youth focus groups included the importance of support systems including workers for the youth, increased family interaction, concerns regarding aging out of care, youth having more input and control over their case plans, and limiting the number of placements. There was a mixture of positive and negative feedback depending on the youths’ personal experiences. Several youth indicated they wanted to be more active in the case planning process and in court while several youth found value in case workers who visited regularly and listened to them making sure to follow through with discussed plans. Additional communication and feedback from youth in care is obtained through the quarterly meetings of the Louisiana Youth Leadership Advisory Council (LYLAC).

DCFS has worked on numerous fronts to obtain stakeholder feedback and participation in the development and delivery of the service array. These efforts are accomplished in part through the DCFS Advisory Board, the DCFS Internal Advisory Committee and the CW CQI process.

The Advisory Board advises the Secretary on many issues including operations within the Department, service delivery structure and departmental performance. Members of the Advisory
Board include: children’s advocates, community partners, foster parents, legislators, judges and community leaders. The Advisory Board has provided recommendations on communications and strategies for advancing DCFS in the community and legislature. They have worked with the Department to engage foster parents and make recommendations for assisting youth in care and aging out of foster care.

The Internal Advisory Committee is comprised of advocates, grandparents, foster parents and employees. This committee advises the Secretary on operations within the Department, policy, service delivery structure, departmental performance, and employee satisfaction. Within the committee there is a CW Workgroup that meets regularly to provide on-going specific recommendations to improve employee performance, to enhance morale and create specific policy changes to better serve the children and families in Louisiana. These recommendations are provided to the Secretary and executive leadership for consideration and implementation.

Through the CQI process, staff began a pilot period of conducting stakeholder interviews where interviews were conducted between June 2016 and August 2016. There were 9 interviews completed which included 4 foster/adoptive parents, 1 Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) probation officer, 1 foster child, 1 group of field managers, 1 group of state office managers and a state office group of BGC attorneys. The questions asked of all participants were in relation to a couple of sections of the Stakeholder Interview Guide, of which one was Section II, the Case Review System (items 20, 21, 22 and 24). In general, interviewers found the stakeholders had a primarily positive overall experience and the provision of services. Stakeholders generally reported that the Department was providing the appropriate services to meet clients’ needs; however, an area to focus improvement on will be with regard to timely notification of court hearings.

For additional information on stakeholder feedback on the service array and resource development please refer to the state’s CFSP.
AGENCY RESPONSIVENESS TO THE COMMUNITY:

State Engagement and Consultation with Stakeholders Pursuant to CFSP and APSR: In implementing the provisions of Louisiana’s Child and Family Services Plan (CFSP) and developing related annual reports, the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS), Child Welfare Program (CW) engages in ongoing consultation with the state’s four federally recognized American Indian tribes, consumers, service providers, foster care providers, the juvenile court, and other public and private child and family serving agencies. The major concerns of these representatives are reflected in the goals, objectives, and annual updates of the CFSP. DCFS works closely with management staff, field staff and community partners to ensure that goals from the CFSP are met. Concerns regarding performance measures and issues brought forth at both the statewide and regional level are fully embraced and addressed in CQI meetings or other regularly scheduled meetings. Departmental staff, community partners, and stakeholders work to improve service delivery by assessing current processes to determine the root causes of areas requiring improvement. The achievement of safety, permanency, and well-being is first and foremost in ongoing efforts to continuously improve, learn, and adjust to accommodate the needs of the children and families of the state.

Though not a comprehensive list, the partnerships detailed below represent efforts to be responsive to the community.

DCFS CW continues partnering with the courts, law enforcement, the state’s public universities through the Universities Alliance, the Louisiana Foster & Adoptive Parent Association (LFAPA) which serves to empower foster and adoptive parents and the children in their care by providing communication, support, training, recruitment and retention activities, and advocacy services. DCFS continues to support LFAPA with a multi-year contract.

DCFS has worked and continues to work with other federal and state entities to implement federal legislation on human trafficking and remains involved in several task forces, commissions and groups to address these issues within the state. Departmental staff works in collaboration with other community partners to develop resources to serve victims of human trafficking.

In collaboration with Louisiana Department of Health (LDH)/Office of Public Health (OPH) and Office of Behavioral Health (OBH), Women’s Health and Capital Area Human Services, DCFS participated in the Louisiana’s Innovation Accelerator Program for Substance Use Disorders (IAP-SUD). In 2016, the IAP-SUD committee developed the Louisiana Substance Use in Pregnancy Clinician’s Toolkit. The toolkit is being finalized with plans to share with OB/GYN doctors statewide.

The Department works with the faith based community in its efforts to recruit additional families willing to foster and adopt, retain and support certified foster/adoptive families as well as to create an atmosphere of shared responsibility resulting in overall good child well-being outcomes for children and youth in the foster care system. As part of this work, DCFS has a cooperative agreement with the Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home (LBCH) located in the Monroe region. The LBCH recruits, certifies and supports foster/adoptive families statewide. These partners
have participated in the new foster parent pre-service work group and assisted in the development of the new orientation presentation which is based upon the Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI).

DCFS partners with Tulane University on a demonstration grant received from ACF. The grant is designed to strengthen the child welfare system’s understanding of the impact of trauma on children and families through professional training opportunities, and to help professionals within the system to make informed decisions about individualized treatment services needed to enhance child well-being outcomes.

The Department works with the LDH and other state agencies for health care and behavioral health services for Louisiana families and also works with independent/transitional living providers, Infant Team providers and Family Resource Centers (FRC). FRCs provides three core services that include Parent Education, Family Skill Building, and Visit Coaching. The FRC and DCFS staff reviews fidelity measures for Family Skill Building and Visit Coaching sessions and regularly convene meetings to review standards and expectations of the services.

One particular area where DCFS CW has placed great focus is in the area of preparing youth for independent living. DCFS staff continues to facilitate the meeting of the LYLAC group which is comprised of youth in care working toward independent living. The Department has led a statewide task force with community leaders to address the ongoing needs of our young consumers. Further, in early 2017, DCFS leadership held four focus groups statewide with youth in foster care working toward independent living. The overwhelming response from youth who participated was that they need a place to live and they need someone that is always there for them.

The statewide CQI process is critical to the engagement of these stakeholders and community partners and also helps establish a feedback loop for the development and monitoring of goals and objectives of the CFSP. While DCFS continues to have strong and varied levels of stakeholder participation, one of the areas of collaboration that always needs continuous strengthening is for the outreach and participation of consumers. Some consumers (and/or former consumers) are involved in efforts such as CQI case review stakeholder interviews, and the “Parents as Partners” Program through the Extra Mile Family Resource Center in the Lafayette Region. Ongoing efforts to include consumers will be through the state and regional level CQI team so their experiences can positively impact ongoing service delivery.

For descriptions/detailed information on these collaborative efforts and more, please refer the remainder of this CFSP.

**Coordination of CFSP Services with other Federal Programs:** Services under the CFSP are coordinated with services and/or benefits of other federal or federally assisted programs serving the same population. To this end, the Department has Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) and contractual agreements with organizations throughout the state to enhance responsiveness to communities statewide. Some of those efforts are as follows.
The Department, in collaboration with the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE) and the local school system of each region/parish, works to ensure each child in foster care (FC) receives the opportunity to receive a full time education, participates in community based public educational programs and that the child has a stable educational setting. In accordance with the Louisiana Revised Statute (17:238) this contractual agreement outlines requirements such as the right of children to remain in their current educational placement should they be removed from their family’s home and placed in FC when it is in the best interest of the child;

LDE and DCFS staff have been working collaboratively to develop a working agreement to carry out the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA) requirements. LDE and DCFS serve as the primary contacts to school districts and DCFS field offices in setting up local ESSA guidelines and providing them with support.

To address the needs of youth, and in accordance with Act 214 of the Louisiana 2013 regular legislative session, the Department entered into a MOU with the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) to develop an integrated case management planning system to identify and serve youth who have dual involvement with both systems. The goal of this MOU is to create a coordinated continuum of care to more efficiently utilize public funded services for youth who are dually involved with the child welfare system and the juvenile justice system utilizing joint information sharing, needs assessments, case planning, service identification, and resource allocation. The integrated case management process has been fully implemented. There’s an ongoing expectation by of dual case planning for dually involved youth. This expectation has been noted in policy for both departments.

In order to establish policies and procedures for the referral of children who are in the custody of the DCFS or at risk of placement into custody based on a developmental disability DCFS entered into a MOU with LDH and the Office for Citizens with Developmental Disabilities (OCDD). Referrals include children birth to two years who have been involved in a substantiated case of abuse or neglect qualifying for early intervention services under IDEA (part C), and CAPTA. Children ages three through seventeen also receive referrals. These children are in the DCFS custody suspected of having a developmental disability determined to meet eligibility. The DCFS, LDH and OCDD assesses for the kind of services and supports needed, and work together to meet the placement needs of these children as they approach the age of majority. The goals and shared outcomes of this MOU are numerous but include the requirement that LDH/OCDD and DCFS cooperate in locating placements for children with developmental disabilities when the DCFS has been unable to locate a family, community placement, or other congregate care settings.
FOSTER AND ADOPTIVE PARENT LICENSING, RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION

Certification: The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) Child Welfare (CW) – Home Development (HD) Program is charged with the responsibility of certifying and re-certifying foster/adoptive family homes that can meet the placement needs of children in the Louisiana foster care (FC) system. These homes are required to meet the Department’s prescribed minimum licensing standards for the health, safety and well-being of children placed in foster care, as well as those children which become available for adoption. These families are dually certified to foster and adopt. The overall certification process is conducted by means of a home study, pre-service training and mutual assessment. The re-certification process involves assessing whether the home continues to meet licensing standards, providing support to the family, and addressing any identified issues/concerns. A family can be re-certified on an annual basis or a three-year basis. There are various types of family homes; each requiring a level of expertise and skill necessary to meet the placement needs of the child placed in the home.

To assist interested individuals in determining whether they are ready to become a certified foster/adoptive family, information about certification is available on the Department’s website. The Department’s CW Common Front End Access (CAFÉ) allows individuals interested in becoming certified to complete an intake inquiry on-line and submit it via a designated provider portal. Applicants are also able to submit an application via CAFÉ, as well as maintain their profiles (address, phone/cell number, email address, etc.). Although this feature is available, at least 98% of prospective foster/adoptive applicants continue to utilize the manual process.

A work group was developed and revisions were made to the Foster Parent Handbook due to the rollout of the Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI). With the rollout of QPI, HD decided to wait on issuing a new handbook until all policy changes have been completed.

Beginning February 2017, DCFS staff began to roll out QPI completing rollout in all 9 regions by May 31, 2017. HD will now make any needed adjustments to the Foster Parent Handbook.

The DCFS utilizes the Tracking, Information and Payment System (TIPS) to capture foster/adoptive certification data (e.g., the date of inquiry, orientation, application, clearances, training sessions, certification, closure, capacity, age range of child, as well as, newly certified relative and/or closed homes). In addition to the information tracked in TIPS, on a monthly basis each region submits to state office, a monthly regional statistics log. The statistics log captures: number of new certified foster/adoptive families, number of closures, total number of homes; number new certified child specific families, number of closures, total number of child specific families; and combined total number of foster/adoptive and child specific families. The information from the statistics log is summarized in a statewide internal tracking document. This tracking document provides a means to compare regional data and assist in determining how the regions are progressing toward increasing the overall number of certified families, as well as, meeting regional recruitment/retention goals.

Regional HD Recruitment/Retention plans includes: an annual needs assessment (demographics and placement needs of children within the region); goals/objectives, method recruitment (general, targeted, child specific), orientation/pre-service training schedule, and the recruitment
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The region’s annual plan is used to review and/or monitor the following: 1) identified placement needs; 2) types of available home; 3) strategies for increasing the number and types of foster/adoptive families; and 4) results/outcomes. One of the overarching and consistent goals of the HD program is to have a continuous increase in the overall number of certified foster/adoptive families. In an effort to meet this goal, there is a targeted goal of a 2% statewide increase of families annually. Although the regional annual plans are region specific, the common denominator is the need for a surplus of certified foster/adoptive families.

DCFS certified a total of 1024 new foster/adopt homes in CY 2016; which is a 16% increase in certifications from the previous year. The Department closed 773 homes making a 7% overall increase in the number of certified foster/adoptive homes, 251 homes, in CY 2016.

DCFS keeps track of the statewide average number of foster/adoptive homes per Calendar Year (CY). The average number is 2,000. For the past two calendar years, the number of newly certified homes was approximately 887 for CY 2015 and 1,035 for CY 2016. The number of closures was approximately 685 for CY 2015 and 773 for CY 2016. In most cases, certified foster/adoptive families which accept children initially as a foster care placement may later become the adoptive family, particularly if the child has remained in their home since entering foster care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase number of new foster/adoptive families certified</td>
<td>***697 total IG: Increase by 2% (711) AP: 2.9% increase -total of 717 homes</td>
<td>IG: Increase by 2% (731) AP: 4% Increase – total of 748 homes</td>
<td>Increase by 2% (762) AP: 16% Increase -total of 870 homes</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above chart, based on data from the DCFS Tracking Information and Payment System/Louisiana Adoption Resource Exchange System (TIPS/LARE), shows the number of new certified foster/adoptive families. The department’s goal is to increase the number of new certifications by 2% each year. During FFY 2015, there was a 4% increase in the number of new certifications. During FFY 2016, there was a 16% increase in the number of new certifications.

Certification data indicate that 4.7% of foster homes and institutions were approved without meeting full licensing standards. Please see the chart below, under the section for “Standards Applied Equally”, on reasons for certification without meeting full certification standards. These certifications were granted with waivers. Waiver requests are reviewed at the state office level to ensure that granting the request would not harm a child placed in the home. The majority of the waivers received from the field were for child specific certifications or re-certifications. Approval was granted in these cases as there were no threats to safety of the children.
Recruitment: Recruitment is a joint departmental and community effort. However, the HD staff is responsible for taking the lead on recruitment related activities. There are four types of recruitment methods: general, targeted and child-specific. General recruitment is designed to educate the community about: the purpose, goal, policies/practices of the agency regarding foster care/adoption; the types of homes needed to provide temporary/permanent placements for children in care; and certification requirements. Targeted recruitment is a community based approach to seek out potential foster/adoptive families that reflect the ethnic and racial diversity of the children in need of foster/adoptive homes. Child specific recruitment is used to bring about awareness within the community about the placement needs of a specific child and/or sibling group who are available for adoption but have no identified adoptive resource. Child focused recruitment is the recruitment method used by the Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK) recruiters. In child focused recruitment, the recruiters build relationships with the child and the child’s network in order to find a forever family that best fits the child’s needs. Recruitment plays a vital role in the achievement of permanency for children awaiting adoption.

The regions throughout the state continue to do some general recruitment through community events based on a review of AFCARS. However, the focus has been on child specific recruitment over the course of the last year. The regional HD Units have been inundated with child specific referrals; Covington Region received over 60 child specific referrals in a two month time span. Due to a high volume of child specific referrals the staff have not had the time to focus on general recruitment.

HD staff will develop a plan to review AFCARS data quarterly to assist the regions in developing recruitment plans that target the foster parents needed to care for the children/youth in care.

Retention: Retention is another important aspect of the certification/re-certification process. The retention of certified foster/adoptive families involves two processes: working with foster/adoptive parents as partners in permanency planning; and providing families with identified support services. Support visits are conducted by the HD staff in the homes of certified foster/adoptive parents. Other methods utilized to retain foster/adoptive families include: annual selection of a foster/adoptive parent(s) of the year; foster parent appreciation month; meetings between executive management and foster parent associations; participation of staff in trainings offered by the Louisiana’s Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA); and encouraging foster/adoptive parent’s participation in the Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) process.

Louisiana’s goal is to continue engagement of foster families in an effort to improve the retention of foster/adoptive homes.

In FFY 2016 DCFS and the CWTA conducted a survey to identify training needs from the perspective of the foster/adoptive parent(s) and the staff. Dr. Corie Hebert with Southeast Louisiana University (community partner) was the survey project lead. The data collection came from three primary sources: 1) a written survey administered to foster parents at a Foster/Adoptive Parent Association Conference (2014); 2) an interactive survey of foster/adoptive parents attending the 2015 conference; and 3) an open inquiry sent to the DCFS workers (2015).
In the written survey, foster parents were asked to rank order a list of training topics from MOST important to LEAST important. The survey also included an open ended question which asked foster parents to list additional suggestions for training; 54 surveys were obtained. The descriptive data collected included:
1) age range – from 32 to 83;
2) gender – 38 female and 16 male; and
3) race – 27 African-American; 20 Caucasian; 2 Latino; 1 Native American; and 1 Not Stated.

When the interactive survey of foster parents was conducted:
1) 82% agreed foster parents should have prescribed training classes after pre-service training;
2) Most preferred guided discussions and role playing in training over videos/lectures; and
3) 81% indicated having child care during training was very important.

During the inquiry for feedback from the staff, responses were received from a variety of staff at different levels: FC Supervisors, FC Workers, consultants to the agency, CQI and some staff asked foster parents for their input. A total of 113 suggestions were solicited and the suggestions were condensed into 41 needs. Of the 41 needs, some were suggested numerous times; therefore, the needs identified by the workers were placed in ranked order, based on the number of times a specific need was suggested. When collecting the responses to the open ended questions, it was noted that the foster/adoptive parent(s)’ expressed needs were basically the same topics that the DCFS workers suggested. The list of proposed trainings included ten topics. The top listings for the workers included: #1 - Mental Health Problems and How to Engage Birth Parents; #2 – Agency Expectations and Foster Parent Roles/Responsibilities; and #3 – Child Development. The top listings for the foster parents included: #1 – Understanding the Foster Child; #2 – Behavior Management; and #3 – Agency Expectations and Foster Parent Roles/Responsibilities.

The Foster Parent Feedback on the open-ended questions included the following:

• We need CPR training/certification;
• How to navigate the system for resources for kids/how to work better with case workers;
• How to help teenagers who are aging-out; and
• We want to attend the same trainings workers attend.

The CWTA, using the above survey results, is working towards the development of a comprehensive foster parent training plan. This plan will outline the ongoing training foster parents must receive following initial certification. More details on training are outlined under the Systemic Factor – Training section.

DCFS in partnership with CWTA developed training for foster parents based on data collected in a survey issued by Dr. Corey Hebert. A three year plan was created. In the first year, all current foster parents would have to complete the six hours One Day – A Journey Home training (this is an overview of the new foster parent pre-service curriculum), as well as three hours of Cultural Competency and two hours of Foster Parent’s Roles and Responsibilities. In the second year, foster parents would need to take additional three hours training on Trust Based Relational
Intervention (TBRI), three hours of training on Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and/or Questioning (LGBTQ), two hours of QPI and three hours of Working with Substance Addicted Parents. In the third year, foster parents would need to take at least three trainings from the listed topics, such as: Substance Exposed Newborns (SEN), Juvenile Trafficking, Understanding Girls, LGBTQ-Advanced, Foster Parent Grief and Resilience and Mental Health. All foster parents will have the opportunity to fill out evaluations of the training so that DCFS and CWTA understand what other training opportunities are needed and how effective the current trainings being offered are.

As stated above, foster parents will need to take additional three hours training on TBRI, three hours on LGBTQ, two hours on QPI and three hours on Working with Substance Addicted Parents. The CWTA is currently working with QPI National to develop a contract so that all DCFS foster parents and staff will have the ability to view trainings offered through QPI’s “Just In Time” training library. “Just In Time” offers online training on a number of different topics.

Standards Applied Equally: It is the policy of the DCFS to ensure that foster/adoptive applicants meet prescribed minimum standards for the safety, health and well-being of children entering foster care and adoption. In cases where families do not meet a particular licensing or agency requirement, the home may be certified with a licensing waiver or policy exception under specific circumstances (as outlined in departmental policy).

The waiver requests were for the following requirements: marital status, age, medical, case clearance (valid), fingerprints, criminal, bedroom space and safety fire inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Clearance (Valid)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger Prints</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedroom Space</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Fire Inspection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the chart above, there was an increase in the number of waivers received in FFY 2016. DCFS certified a large number of child specific homes in FFY 2016, often requiring DCFS to waive the space requirement. There were also a large number of capacity waivers. Policy changed to increase the number of children allowed to be placed in a single home from five to six children. There has been a drastic decrease in the number of capacity waiver requests since that time.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
In late 2016, a statewide random sample of all foster care providers and pre-adoptive homes approved within the past year indicates that in 89% of all files reviewed, criminal background clearances were completed per the federal requirement.

In June 2016, QPI kicked off in Covington and Lafayette Regions. The kickoff included an information session about QPI, focus groups and the development of a steering committee. Participants included staff from every department, foster parents, birth parents, judges, attorneys, Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA), youth stakeholders and community partners. In August 2016, a state kickoff took place in Baton Rouge. Participants included staff, foster parents, birth parents, judges, attorneys, CASA, stakeholders and community partners from around the state. During the kickoff, Elements of What Makes a Good Foster Parent were developed.

- Foster parents and kinship caregivers in LA are respected members of the professional team that determines and puts the child’s best interest first.
- They are well educated and informed about their roles and responsibilities, child development, the effects of trauma on children and families and the judicial system.
- Great foster parents co-parent with birth parents for a successful overall outcome for the child, birth parents and foster parents with the support of DCFS, CASA, the judicial system and other partners.
- Foster parents are given the resources to meet the immediate needs of children and their families while providing opportunities for them to thrive long term.

A state steering committee meeting was held in November 2016. Members include a representative from each department within DCFS, a judge, CASA, foster parent, birth parent, attorney, community partners and stakeholders. The role of the state steering committee is to ensure that the overall child welfare system provides the support and resources to the foster parents and staffs that are needed to ensure quality parenting for all children.

To date, the regions have developed committees to develop trainings for foster parents around court processes; judges in two jurisdictions are holding information meetings with staff to increase partnership between the court and staff. In one court jurisdiction, a judge created a form for foster parents to fill out so that they can update the court about the child and the case without having to be present. A few regions have implemented practice by which calls are being made to birth parents when a child is initially placed into a foster home at the time of removal. A few parishes have even implemented Icebreakers. These are meeting between the birth parent, foster parent, worker and child (if appropriate). They are to be held three to seven days after a child is placed in a foster home. The purpose of the meeting is to strictly talk about the child and their needs.

HD has been involved in the Child Placing Licensing workgroup to revise licensing regulations. The workgroup is looking at modifying regulations for agencies. These modifications would eliminate the need for some of the waivers state office receives from the regions.

DCFS staff will continue to work with Licensing to modify regulations that often create barriers to foster parent certification. Staff will also review policies to ensure they align with the Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
philosophy of QPI. As regions begin to look at what practices need to be changed; the state steering committee will decide if policy or system changes need to be made in order for quality parenting to be implemented to its fullest throughout the state.

Requirements for Criminal Background Checks: The regional HD Units ensured criminal record clearances were conducted on individuals interested in providing care and supervision of children placed in state custody. Clearances were also conducted on any household member 18 years and older.

To determine the quality of implementing these requirements, DCFS created a file review tool and tested it on 17 files from the Baton Rouge Region in December 2017. Of the 17 files reviewed, one file was missing clearances and one file needed updated prints. A total of 94% of the files had clearances and 94% of the files had updated clearances. One challenge that the Department faced in FFY 2017 was inaccurate fingerprint logs. Due to the relocation of offices, many HD Units that conducted the fingerprint clearances no longer had access to the fingerprint machines. As a result, other agency staff is operating the fingerprint machines and has the responsibility of updating the fingerprint log sheet. Those regions have reviewed the office procedure and made corrections that were needed. In one region, staff has been put in place to review the printout received from the fingerprint machine to ensure the applicant’s prints are accepted prior to the applicant leaving the office.

The HD Program will begin quarterly reviews of files throughout the state. If challenges are noted, procedures will be reviewed to determine how best to correct the challenges noted.

Diligent Recruitment of Foster and Adoptive Homes: DCFS Regional HD Units continue to develop and implement annual regional, written recruitment/retention plans according to the Department’s recruitment and retention plan policy guide. The regions’ proposed plans are approved by their Regional Administrators and forwarded to the state office HD Section. The plans include needs assessments used to determine the demographics, needs, and placement requirements of the children in each parish of the region. The data identified in the plans was collected from the DCFS Web Focus and INFOPAC systems. The plans also include goals and objectives, methods of recruitment, orientation/pre-service training schedules and the recruitment budget.

For a number of years now, DCFS has a targeted statewide goal of increasing the number of certified foster/adoptive families by 2% annually. Although the regional plans are region specific, there’s a statewide need for a surplus of certified foster/adoptive families for all populations (age, gender, medical, sibling, etc.) of children that enter foster care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Children/ Youth in Louisiana Foster Care System</th>
<th>At the end of FFY 2014</th>
<th>At the end of FFY 2015</th>
<th>At the end of FFY 2016</th>
<th>At the end of FFY 2017</th>
<th>At the end of FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American Females</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>867</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American Males</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian Females</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian Males</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As of the end of FFY 2016, there were 3,481 active certified foster/adoptive families; this number reflects foster/adoptive families that were active at any point during the FFY. Based upon the above (FFY 2016) racial breakdown for children in care, the two largest populations were Caucasian and African-American. Of the 3,481 certified families 2038 were Caucasian families and 1200 were African-American families.

The demographic data shows the following racial makeup of 1,066 single parent families:

- 393 Caucasians (393 females and 0 males)
- 667 African-Americans (667 females and 0 males)
- 3 American Indian/Alaska Native (3 females and 0 male)
- 1 Asian/Pacific Islander (1 female and 0 males)
- 2 Unknown (2 females and 0 males)

Of the 1,066 single parent families, 1,010 were not Hispanic; 11 were Hispanic; and 45 were Unknown.

The remaining 2,179 were two-parent families; the demographic data indicates the following racial makeup:

- Caucasians – 1,645 (Parent 1) and 1,625 (Parent 2)
- African-Americans – 516 (Parent 1) and 533 (Parent 2)
- American Indiana/Alaska Native – 8 (Parent 1) and 8 (Parent 2)
- Asian – 5 (Parent 1) and 4 (Parent 2)
- Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander – 1 (Parent 1) and 4 (Parent 2)
- Unknown – 4 (Parent 1) and 5 (Parent 2)

Of the 2,179 – 2,048 (Parent 1) and 2,050 (Parent 2) were not Hispanic; 31 (Parent 1) and 36 (Parent 2) were Hispanic; and 100 (Parent 1) and 93 (Parent 2) were Unknown.
DCFS will utilize the faith based community to assist in the recruitment of foster parents who believe in the QPI philosophy. DCFS will assist the regions in developing a plan to utilize their current foster parents as recruitment tools.

With the expansion of the WWK program, the State will now have a recruiter in every region. This will allow for child focused recruitment to take place for at least an additional 80 children in FFY 2018. In this model, the recruiters will also be allowed to recruit for children with the goal of Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA). It is projected that by July 2019, the program will have completed 59 adoptions for hard to place children and youth.

The DCFS CW continues to work with established partners within the faith-based community. In an effort to maintain ongoing collaboration, the DCFS staff meets every other month with the Faith-Based Collaborative team. The meeting’s focus is on recruitment and retention of foster/adoptive parents. There is also discussion about supporting the needs of the certified families and ongoing initiatives within the State. Organizations have provided additional support to the certified families through ongoing training, parent’s night out events, hosting holiday parties, donating gifts, hosting walks/runs for adoption awareness, etc. Over the course of the next year, the Faith-Based Collaborative members and DCFS will be recruiting statewide throughout the faith community for families willing to foster children and support birth families.

**Child Specific Recruitment** - In March 2014, DCFS implemented the Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK) Model. This model focuses on child specific recruitment for older youth and/or children who have been available for adoption greater than one year, or for whom no permanent adoptive resource has been identified, or children age 12 and older who at the time of legal availability for adoption do not have an identified adoptive resource. The recruiters work in collaboration with DCFS adoption staff, the identified child and the child’s foster parents and any other person significant in the child’s life.

Since March 2014, the WWK recruiters recruited for 111 youth and finalized 12 adoptions and two guardianships. The program is projected to finalize an additional five adoptions before the end of FFY 2017. With the expansion of the grant, four more recruiters will be hired by May 2017, which will allow the program to serve an additional 60 youth in FFY 2017.

**State use of Cross-Jurisdictional Resources for Permanent Placements:** Louisiana has in place a process for the effective use of cross-jurisdictional resources to facilitate timely adoptive or permanent placement for waiting children.

From October 1, 2013 thru September 30, 2014, 28% of home studies received from other states were completed within 60 days.

From October 1, 2015 thru September 30, 2016, 23% of the home study requests received from other states were completed within 60 days.

From October 1, 2016 thru March 31, 2017, 13% of the home study requests received from other states were completed within 60 days.
NOTE: The data source used is the Web Focus ICPC Database. The total number of home studies completed within 60 days is divided by the total number of home studies requested is the methodology used for analyzing the data.

The ICPC database is used to track overdue home studies, and colleagues in other compact offices are cooperative when inquiries are made regarding pending studies. However, there are concerns about delays in achieving permanency for children with cross-jurisdictional resources. Some contributing factors include staff retention, high caseloads, licensure of relatives by some states and low priority assigned to interstate home studies.

To minimize placement delays with parents, a provision in Regulation 2, “Public Court Jurisdiction Cases” adopted by AAICPC allows for the court to place children with the non-offending parent and terminate jurisdiction without invoking the compact.

A strategy for minimizing delays in permanency can include expanding the use of purchase of services to place children across state lines. Private licensed agencies case load size is normally low in comparison to public state agencies, and therefore allows for a shorter time period to complete studies.
UPDATE ON ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOMES/PLANS FOR IMPROVEMENT: On an ongoing basis, departmental staff reviews outcomes using various sources of data to assess performance on the achievement of federal outcome measures. In the following pages, the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) has provided available data for federal fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2017 (and in some instances previous years) from the Louisiana Data Profile, from CQI case review data, DCFS dashboard and Web focus reports, stakeholder input and LaPAS measures which are provided to the Louisiana Legislature.

Using these data sources and input, DCFS narrowed the focus for improvement for FFY 2017 to the areas where performance data has consistently shown areas needing improvement. Through the CQI case review process, DCFS has consistently performed below expected outcome levels in Items 5, 6, 8, 11, 12B, 13 and 15 of the current federal OSRI. These areas include timely establishment of an appropriate permanency goal, timely achievement of a permanency goal, visits between parents, children and siblings, the relationship of child in care with the parents, a needs assessment and services to parents, child and family involvement in case planning and caseworker visits with parents. Louisiana Data Profile data also indicates that DCFS child welfare has performed below the federal outcome measure in the areas of repeat maltreatment and placement stability.

When reviewing the data closely for FFY 2018 assessment and planning purposes, the data once again showed the Department’s areas in need of improvement as being those identified for FFY 2017. As a result, the goals and action steps developed and outlined in the Updated Plans for Improvement for FFY 2017 Section remain relevant for FFY 2018 Plans for Improvement.

RELATED FEDERAL OUTCOME MEASURES for STRATEGY 1:

Safety Outcome 1: children are first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect; and

Safety Outcome 2: children are safely maintained in their own homes whenever possible and appropriate.

Permanency Outcome 1: children have permanency and stability in their living situations

Permanency Outcome 2: the continuity of family relationships is preserved for children.

Well-being Outcome 1: families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children’s needs;

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ANALYSIS for STRATEGY 1: All CFSR items in this section are included for FFY 2014 and 2015 CQI case reviews. All related federal data measures from FFY 2013 and 2014 are included. FFY 2015 was not issued at the time of this report. When available, DCFS dashboard data are provided as are other reports and performance measures data.
SAFETY OUTCOMES 1 & 2: As part of its long-term commitment to keep children safe, the DCFS implemented a research-based safety focused approach for assessing child abuse and neglect. Implementation of the Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) model [also referred to as Safety Focused Practice (SFP)] shifted the focus away from the traditional incident based model to a focus on child safety. ASFP was piloted in Monroe, Alexandria and Baton Rouge Regions 2012 with training provided by Action for Child Protection. Implementation was initiated in Orleans, Thibodaux, Lafayette and Lake Charles Regions in October 2013. Statewide implementation was completed in November 2013 with Covington and Shreveport Regions. All child welfare staff completed basic foundational training on assessing child safety under the new model. Centralized Intake integrated the ASFP model into the intake and screening process in December 2012. Through ongoing monitoring of ASFP/SFP the Department has provided additional training to staff as implementation concerns have been identified or as issues have been identified through the ASFP ad hoc case review process.

SFP provides Louisiana with an opportunity to implement consistent methodical standards for child safety decision-making, while engaging caretakers in insuring the safety of their children.

The safety-focused approach requires information collection in six critical areas:
- nature and extent of maltreatment
- circumstances surrounding maltreatment
- adult functioning
- child functioning
- parenting skills and
- disciplinary practices

Information collection begins with initial contact (Intake) and continues throughout agency involvement with the family. The identification of present and impending danger to alleged child (ren) victims is necessary to make more appropriate safety decisions. Ongoing safety assessment, along with periodic risk assessment, informs decisions about the need for intervention, ongoing services, and permanency planning for children who are removed from their homes to ensure safety.

Gathering comprehensive information in the six areas of assessment allows the worker to determine if abuse and neglect is a continuous family condition or a one-time incident and how present and past traumatic events impact the family. The parent/caretaker’s capacity to protect is assessed as an integral part of the overall assessment. Parent/caretaker protective capacity is critical to determining the overall safety of the child, the need for intervention, and identification of services for the family.

In January 2014, the CQI team began reviewing 120 Child Protective Service (CPS) cases quarterly. These reviews focus on the following:
- the identification of danger (present and impending)
the sufficiency of information in the six areas of assessment (extent of maltreatment, circumstances of maltreatment, child functioning, adult functioning, parenting general and discipline practices)
the use of the Structured Decision Making (SDM) Risk Assessment

The CPS state office program staff provided second level reviews of a small sample of the reviews by CQI reviewers to support fidelity of the reviews.

The first quarter reviews were considered baseline statistics for measurement of future improvements and to inform the Department of additional training and consultation needs for field staff. The review period was October 1 through December 31, 2013. Since Covington and Shreveport Regions implemented ASFP in November 2013, those regions were excluded from the first quarterly review. Thus, the baseline was established from case reviews in the seven regions that implemented by October 2013.

The following charts provide the results of the statewide review of CPS cases for FFY 2014 and FFY 2015.

Advanced Safety Focused Practice Case Review Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Cases Meeting Practice</td>
<td>Baseline %</td>
<td># of Cases Meeting Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>81.51</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Maltreatment</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Functioning</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Functioning</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parenting</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Practice</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Protective Capacities</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Impending Danger</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>47.90</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tabular Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th># of Cases Meeting Practice</th>
<th>%</th>
<th># of Cases Meeting Practice</th>
<th>%</th>
<th># of Cases Meeting Practice</th>
<th>%</th>
<th># of Cases Meeting Practice</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extent of Maltreatment</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>52.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>60.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Child Functioning</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult Functioning</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Parenting</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disciplinary Practice</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caregiver Protective Capacities</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of Impending Danger</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tabular Data - FFY 2016 Q1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th># of Cases Meeting Practice</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Maltreatment</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Functioning</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Functioning</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parenting</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Practice</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Protective Capacities</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Impending Danger</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Present Danger Assessment:
The assessment is accurate if Present Danger (PD) is rated ‘Safe’ and case documentation indicates the child is safe or if PD is rated ‘Unsafe’ and case documentation indicates the child is unsafe. If the child is unsafe, collaborative decisions must be made with the family to determine interventions to keep the child safe. An in-home, court ordered safety plan is one option to keep the child safe while allowing the child to remain in the
home. If this option are not appropriate or available, court intervention to remove the child from the home is necessary. The baseline case review indicates that workers accurately assessed Present Danger in 81.51% of cases.

In FFY 2015 practice related to identifying PD remained near the original baseline of 81.51%. For quarters 1 and 2, the rating was slightly above the baseline, achieving 83.4%. In quarter 3 there was a drop to 70.8% and in quarter 4 and increase again to 89.1%.

In FFY 2016 approximately 85% of the time, in 1\textsuperscript{st} quarter 2016 present danger or a lack of present danger was assessed correctly. In 3.3% of the cases reviewed, present danger was identified without sufficient cause. In 3.3% of the cases reviewed, present danger was not identified when it should have been. In 8.3% of the cases reviewed, the case record lacked sufficient documentation. Data indicates that there was a slight decrease in assessing present danger in the FFY 2016 1\textsuperscript{st} quarter compared to the 3\textsuperscript{rd} quarter in FFY 2015, but it was an increase from the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} quarters in FFY 2015.

**Extent of Maltreatment:** The extent of maltreatment describes the facts and evidence that support alleged abuse or neglect. This area of assessment focuses on the CPS worker’s assessment of events leading up to the alleged maltreatment and clearly identifies the unsafe child and the maltreating parent/caretaker.

The baseline case review indicates that worker documentation of the extent of maltreatment was sufficient in only 21.67% of cases reviewed. Sufficiency of documentation declined to 19.17% in the following quarter. While the most recent quarters have shown improvement with FFY2014-Q4 measuring 27.50%, these results indicate more consultation and mentoring with workers and supervisors is needed in this area of assessment.

In FFY 2015 there was an improvement seen in documentation of the extent of maltreatment. While the 1\textsuperscript{st} quarter data shows a rating of 28.3%, there was an improvement in findings for the 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd}, and 4\textsuperscript{th} quarters to 50.0%, 45.8%, and 52.9%.

In FFY 2016, the data indicates that in 1\textsuperscript{st} quarter of 2016, 49.2% of the cases reviewed resulted in a sufficient rating in the areas of Extent of Maltreatment. This is a slight decrease as compared to 4\textsuperscript{th} quarter of 2015 of 52.9%, but it was an increase from 1\textsuperscript{st} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} quarter 2015.

**Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment:** This area describes the events leading up to the maltreatment of the alleged victim. The intent of the parent/caretaker is assessed to fully understand the attitudes of the parents regarding the maltreatment. The baseline case review indicates that worker documentation of the circumstances surrounding maltreatment was sufficient in only 29.17% of cases reviewed. Performance declined to 24.17% the following quarter. Performance improved to 35.00% for FFY 2014 Q4. While improvement has occurred, continued efforts need to focus on worker skill in assessing and documenting this area of assessment.
In FFY 2015 incremental improvements in the practice of assessing and documenting circumstances surrounding the maltreatment was noted. At the beginning of the FFY, DCFS was at 40.0% with an increase to 60.8% for FFY 2015 Q4.

In FFY 2016, 55.0% of the cases reviewed resulted in a sufficient rating in the Circumstances Surrounding the Maltreatment. This was a decrease from 4th quarter 2015 of 60.8%; however, it was an increase from 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quarter 2015.

**Child Functioning:** This area of assessment describes the day to day functioning of the child(ren). This information is necessary to determine the parent’s knowledge of the needs of their child.

The baseline case review indicates that worker documentation of child functioning was sufficient in only 7.5% of cases reviewed. Performance has increased during each of the subsequent review quarters and was at 17.50% in FFY 2014-Q4. While this increase suggests workers are obtaining more pertinent information regarding child functioning, the low level of sufficiency also identifies this as an area needing substantial practice improvement.

In FFY 2015 staff noted incremental improvements in the area of assessing and documenting child functioning. The data indicates that performance was at 22.5% at the beginning of the FFY and had improved to 42.5% by Q4 quarter.

In FFY 2016, sufficient information rating in Child Functioning was 40.8%. There was a slight decrease from 4th quarter 2015 of 42.5%. This was an increase however from 1st, 2nd and 3rd quarter 2015.

**Adult Functioning:** This area of assessment describes how the adults in the family function on a daily basis. This information is important in determining how adult functioning impacts children in the family.

The baseline case review indicates that worker documentation of adult functioning was sufficient in only 3.33% of cases reviewed. Sufficiency in this area has fluctuated with the highest performance at 5.83%. Of 120 cases reviewed only 7 cases had sufficient documentation of adult functioning. Substantial effort is needed to improve worker assessment and documentation in this area.

In FFY 2015 staff saw incremental improvements in the area of assessing and documenting adult functioning. The data indicates that performance was at a low 5.8% at the beginning of the FFY and had improved to 23.3% by Q4 quarter.

In FFY 2016, the data indicates that in the 1st quarter of 2016, 23.3% cases resulted in a sufficient rating in the Adult Functioning. This is the same results from the 4th quarter 2015 and an increase from 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quarter 2015. Data indicates that improvement is needed in gathering relevant information in this area.
**General Parenting:** This area of assessment describes overall parenting activities. Information gathered in this area assists the worker in assessing the parent’s motivation toward parenting and knowledge of child development and expectations. This information is also critical in assessing the parent’s belief about parenting, its origin, and how they parent their children, which aids decisions at determining the parent’s capacity to safely nurture and protect their child (ren).

The baseline case review indicates that worker documentation of General Parenting was sufficient in only 4.17% of cases. By the third review quarter (FFY 2014 Q4) performance increased slightly to 10.83% with only 13 cases meeting sufficiency. Substantial effort is needed to improve worker assessment and documentation in this area.

In FFY 2015, performance in the area of assessing and documenting general parenting practices indicated incremental improvement over time. At the beginning of the FFY performance in this area of practice was at a low 10.8% and had improved to 30.0% by Q4 quarter.

In FFY 2016, the data indicates that in the 1st quarter of 2016, 30.0% cases resulted in a sufficient rating in General Parenting. This is the same results from the 4th quarter 2015 and an increase from 1st and 2nd quarter 2015. Data indicates that improvement is needed in gathering relevant information in this area.

**Disciplinary Practice:** The focus of assessment in this area centers on the disciplinary practices of the parent and parental knowledge of age appropriate discipline.

The baseline case review indicates worker documentation of Disciplinary Practice was sufficient in only 2.52% of cases. This was the lowest performance of all the assessment areas. Performance increased to 8.33% by FFY 2014 Q4. The continued low level of sufficiency identifies this as an area needing substantial practice improvement.

In FFY 2015, performance improved in the area of assessing and documenting information relative to disciplinary practice and parental knowledge of age appropriate discipline. At the beginning of the FFY performance was at 8.3% and improved to 33.3% by Q4 quarter.

In FFY 2016, sufficient information in regards to disciplinary practices was 35.8%. This is a slight increase from 4th quarter of 2015 of 33.3%. Data indicates that improvement is needed in gathering relevant information in this area.

**Caregiver Protective Capacities:** Accurate identification of caretaker protective capacities is critical to safety planning and the alignment of services for Family Services and Foster Care as case plans are developed to remove the threats that place the child in danger. The baseline case review indicates that assessment and documentation was sufficient in 21.01% of cases. The FFY 2014 Q4 review shows substantial improvement with performance at 44.17%. The data indicates workers are beginning to understand the importance of fully assessing parental ability to protect. However, further staff development efforts are needed to improve performance in this area.

In FFY 2015, there was little change in performance related to accurate identification of caretaker protective capacities for the purposes of safety plan development and/or case planning. At the Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
beginning of the FFY, performance was at 41.7%, dropped to 32.5% for the 2nd quarter, and increased back to 42.5% for Q4 quarter.

In FFY 2016, data indicates that in 49.2% of the cases reviewed, caretaker protective capacities were identified in the case. This is an increase from 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quarters of 2015.

**Impending Danger:** The identification of impending danger (ID) is determined based on the information collection in the six areas of assessment. This is important to the safety, permanency, and well-being of children and in assuring that appropriate interventions and services are offered to the family.

The baseline case review indicates moderate performance in assessing ID. Worker documentation is this area was appropriate in 47.90% of cases reviewed. Some improvement was apparent in the FFY 2014 Q4 case review with 55.83% of cases containing sufficient documentation. Comparison of the identification of ID to PD assessments indicates that workers may be experiencing more difficulty with the concepts associated with ID.

In FFY 2015 there was a decrease in performance in the area of identifying impending danger based on information collection. Performance at the beginning of the FFY was at 50.8%. The 4th Quarter performance increased to 60.9% regarding Impending Danger.

In FFY 2016, 63.3% of the 120 cases reviewed, ID was correctly identified. There was a slight increase from 4th quarter 2015 of 60.9% in the gathering of sufficient data to make decisions regarding impending danger.

**Risk Assessment/Structured Decision Making (SDM):** The CPS Case Review instrument included three items to assess competency in using the SDM Risk Assessment instrument. The assessed areas were: completion of the SDM on the correct household; completion of the SDM within 30 days; and consistency of items endorsed on the SDM with information contained in case documentation. The SDM risk assessment, along with accurate safety decision making, assists workers in determining the appropriate disposition of investigations and the need for continued services through departmental or community service providers.

In FFY 2016, the data indicates in approximately 95% of the 120 cases reviewed the risk assessment tool was completed on the correct household. The data also shows that in 57.5% of the cases reviewed, risk was assessed timely (within 30 days). However, in 65% of the cases, information documented to determine the risk level was incorrect. This indicates that workers are not endorsing risk factors accurately on the SDM assessment tool. The data reflects that a decrease was maintained in all areas of the SDM for Q1 2016 from Q1, Q2, and Q3 of FFY 2015.
### Correct Household: CPS policy requires that an SDM Initial Risk Assessment be completed for each household with an alleged perpetrator in the investigation case.

The baseline case review indicates that workers select the correct household form completion of the SDM. Performance has improved from 93.22% at baseline to 99.17% in FFY2014 Q4.

In FFY 2015 performance in the area of completing the SDM Initial Risk Assessment on the correct household has continued to be at a satisfactory level. Performances in this area, for the FFY were: 95.0%, 94.2%, 91.7%, and 95%.
In FFY 2016, performance in the area of completing SDM initial Risk Assessment on the correct household continued to be at satisfactory level. 95.8% of the cases reviewed were completed on the correct household.

**Timely Approval:** The SDM Initial Risk Assessment is expected to be completed within 30 days of receipt of the report and must be completed prior to validity determination. The SDM risk rating should be used by the worker and supervisor to inform recommendations for ongoing services.

Workers and supervisors demonstrate moderate performance in timely completion and approval of the SDM. Baseline performance was 50.85% and this improved to 62.50% in FFY2014 Q4. Continued improvement in timeliness is needed.

In FFY 2015 performance in the area of timely review and approval of the SDM Initial Risk Assessment remained relatively the same with no improvement demonstrated. Performance in this area was 62.5% for Q1, 63.3% for Q2, 53.9% for Q3, and 65% for Q4. CQI reviewers have noted issues with dates being documented at the 30 day period before the SDM was completed by staff according to the SDM site. Further exploration is needed to determine how this may be impacting the data.

In FFY 2016, 57.5% of the cases reviewed, risk was assessed timely (within 30 days). This was a decrease from 4th quarter 2015 of 65.0%; however it was an increase from 3rd quarter 2015 of 53.9%.

**Consistency:** The endorsement of items on the SDM Initial Risk Assessment should be consistent with information contained in the CPS case documentation.

The baseline case review indicates moderate performance in the consistency of information in the SDM compared to case documentation and performance declined over the next two review quarters. The baseline was 64.41% and performance dropped to 55.00% in FFY 2014 Q4. This indicates that workers are not successfully transferring the information gathered during their investigative assessment activities into the SDM risk assessment. Inconsistencies between the SDM and case documentation can result in lower or higher risk ratings than are appropriate. An incorrect lower risk rating may result in the absence of needed intervention and services. An incorrect higher risk rating may result in recommending services that are not needed.

SDM refresher trainings were held in all the regions from October 2013 to March 2014. The data gathered in this area is still being analyzed for consistency and validity; however, there is an expectation that this training will contribute to continued improvement in practice in completion and timeliness of the SDM risk assessment.

In FFY 2015 performance did not improve in this area of practice. There were slight incremental decreases in performance even though refresher training had been provided to staff in the prior FFY. Performance in this area for the FFY was 55.0% for the 1st quarter and decreased to 43.3% for the 2nd quarter with a slight improvement to 48.3% for the 3rd quarter and 44.2% for the 4th quarter.
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While the ASFP model was integrated into Centralized Intake’s screening process in December 2012, modifications to Intake screens in the ACESS system were effective August 4, 2014. Screening cases more thoroughly at intake using ASFP can serve as the foundation for safety planning in the investigative and case planning phases for all child welfare program areas. These changes allow CPS workers to respond to reports based on the assessment.

Centralized Intake workers and supervisors have engaged in ongoing activities to focus on improving model fidelity to intakes to ensure consistency in assigning appropriate response priorities. Modifications to the Investigations component of ACESS to integrate the AFSP model were completed on March 8, 2015.

In FFY 2016, information documented in 65.0% of the cases was incorrect. This indicates that the workers are not endorsing risk factors accurately on the SDM assessment tool.

**Ongoing Support:** All staff was trained at the basic level of ASFP; however, the Department provided continued support around implementation throughout the child welfare continuum. To that end, the safety plan development training was developed in 2014 and provided to the regions beginning in October of 2014 through April of 2015. The training information is being incorporated into the New Worker Orientation (NWO) material. The second phase of training on writing behaviorally specific case plan goals was developed in summer 2015 and implemented in fall 2015. Identification of further staff development activities will be defined through ongoing consultation and case reviews efforts.

FFY 2016, In January of 2016, the Department embarked on a reorganization effort with the election of a new Governor, Governor John Bel Edwards, and appointment of a new Secretary for DCFS, Marketa Garner Walters. Reorganization efforts are on-going and focus on regaining program integrity throughout the Department, by separating the administration and oversight of the programs within DCFS, particularly Child Welfare (CW), Child Support and Economic Stability. The emphasis of the Department has been on CW, particularly with ensuring that CW is led by CW professionals, as well as advocating for CW to have the resources needed to support the work that we do. The reorganization efforts have also resulted in the extinction of the Field Services Unit, with more resources and oversight being placed at the regional and local levels. The Department has already seen a reduction in the amount of staff turnover, leading to hope that this will assist in having staff that are knowledgeable and are able to apply the safety practice model consistently.

DCFS continued in its' efforts to improve safety practice during 2016-2017, while also focusing on ensuring that the review process assisted in improving practice. A workgroup was developed to enhance Quality Assurance in the review protocols. The workgroup included all CPS State Office Consultants, the SFP Implementation Specialists, and the CQI SFP. The workgroup consisted of eight trainings/meetings in which each SFP review question was reviewed amongst team members to ensure the questions were understandable, accurate, and reliable. Several cases were reviewed during this group to ensure reliability and accuracy among panel members. The goals of the group were achieved as CPS consultants, Implementation Specialists, and CQI reviewers were able to improve consistency in review practices and dissemination of review data.
to field staff. This effort resulted in more consistency in ratings amongst all reviewers, and reduced the amount of errors found by the second level reviews of the SFP reviews recently conducted. Exit Conferences held with field staff to discuss the results of the individual case reviews also.

SFP reviews were not completed for Q1, Q3, and Q4 during this fiscal year due to the need to organize the workgroup to ensure reliability as well as transitioning to aligning the reviews to be consistent with the CFSR timeframes for reviews, six month periods of time. Although only one quarter of SFP cases was reviewed during this FFY, safety practice was continuously assessed through the on-going CQI reviews utilizing the CFSR instrument used throughout the year.

Now that the Department is nearly five years into implementing SFP, with an extensive amount of data obtained, and improved workforce stability, the Department plans to review the practice area strengths and needs, and target efforts to strengthen particular areas of safety focused practice.

**Update FFY 2016:** Staff developed a series of webinars to include information gathering in the six areas of assessment. The webinars were recorded and are included on the intranet for quick references for staff. Each segment is less than one hour. Targeted SFP consultation/training has been and will continue to be provided by the Implementation Specialists and program staff to regions that have requested more assistance with the implementation.

In FFY 2015 training was provided in the area of safety planning. The training was designed to build capacity in the area of understanding how to develop a safety plan around the identified safety concerns while utilizing the supports and resources available to the family. The safety planning training was implemented in the first quarter of the FFY and concluded in the 2nd quarter. Positive feedback was received from staff regarding an improved understanding of safety planning and the importance of gaining sufficient information to assist with identifying protective capacities, supports and resources that could be utilized in a safety plan.

The CPS program staff along with two implementation specialists continued to provide consultation upon request to field level staff. Consultation was individualized to meet the specific requests of the region, manager or supervisor. Consultation included a focus on understanding the importance of information collection, correct identification of present and impending danger and risk, and development of safety plans. The findings from the reviews for the FFY indicate that the training provided along with the supportive consultation has resulted in incremental improvements in practice.

*Note: Only the electronic record is reviewed during the ASFP reviews. The paper record is not reviewed.*

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS has worked with the Child Welfare Law and Advanced Institute to provide a multi-disciplinary training, Safety Decision Making, which is based off the American Bar Association publication, Child Safety: A Guide for Judges and Attorneys. This training was developed and implemented initially this year, with the majority of training sessions extending into next year. Through this effort, attorneys, Judges, children’s advocates, and staff will be
trained in the SFP model, along with other stakeholders. It is anticipated that through education of our legal partners and other stakeholders, it will increase the knowledge of SFP and ultimately lead to consistency in its application. The Louisiana Court Improvement Project (CIP), as well as Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), is sponsoring this training, and one training session was completed on March 24, 2017. More trainings will be will be implemented in 2018. This effort is part of a larger initiative through the CIP to improve safety focused practice in the State of Louisiana.

In May of 2016, a regional exit meeting is held with the each region to discuss the data with regards to the child protective services cases which were reviewed by the CQI team. In attendance in each meeting are the Regional Administrator, Area Director, Supervisors, Managers, CPS Consultants, FS Consultants, CPS CW Program Manager, and the FS CW Program Manager. Information is provided to the region with regards to the six areas of assessment, identifying Present, and Impending Danger, identifying Caretaker Protective Capacities, and SDM. The regions data is discussed through a PowerPoint presentation to reflect the regions current data as well as prior data from previous quarters. Staff led these meetings and provides feedback for the regions during these conferences. Staff highlights strengths and areas of improvements with each region. Information as to next steps and areas where the region feels they would benefit from assistance are able to be discussed. This data was placed on the DCFS intranet where it is now readily available to all staff. Since this information is now placed on the intranet, regional staff is able to use this information to assist them with overall performance throughout multiple time periods. In an effort to strengthen SFP with the regional staff, an emphasis was placed on ensuring that the SFP Implementation Specialists completed individual consultations with supervisors and managers as new workers received SFP training from an SFP implementation specialist during their new worker orientation. A total of 223 SFP case consultations were completed this year which is an increase from prior years. The goal has been to ensure that these consultations are not with regional management, but with frontline workers and supervisors so staff felt comfortable asking questions about information they may not know, but feel as though they should know. Emphasis is always placed on ensuring that the consultations are completed to where the person is able to understand present danger, impending danger, and the six areas of assessment.

When assessing the data comprehensively, it suggests that workers are predominantly continuing to conduct allegation-based investigations rather than conducting an overall assessment to guide decision-making. Much work has been done to address this, such as specific exercises regarding present and impending danger scenarios were sent by program staff and implementation staff to each group of field staff scheduled for a consultation. Staff was expected to identify present danger and then identify impending danger in each example prior to meeting and send back to consultant. During the meeting, the consultant took note of each person’s answers. Each employee had to read their answer and discuss their rational for their answer, and then had to read the corresponding definition for the answer they selected. At this time the employee was asked if the information met the definition description. The process continued for each employee until the employees made a correct determination for threats for each exercise and example. Workers generally made the correct determination after reading the threat definition without the consultant giving the correct answer. The consultant provided guidance for the exercise/examples through discussions. The consultation did not end until each person
understood how to assess present danger and impending danger for each exercise and understand how it applied to all cases assessed.

Workers brought individual cases to work through to assist with determination of present and impending danger. Information for the six areas of assessment was discussed to include necessary information to make a determination about the safety of the child(ren) within the home.

Staff implemented monthly WebEx conferences with field staff. They are held on two different dates each month and are recorded so field staff can understand how to address difficult cases. To date, three WebEx’s have been conducted and these WebEx’s have focused on out of home investigations, the six areas of assessment and safety plans, and fatality investigations. Positive feedback has been received from these WebEx’s and topics have been submitted for future WebEx’s.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**
- Form a stronger partnership with the Quality Assurance (QA) process to reevaluate, identify, target, and apply focused improvement strategies regarding the safety practice that can be monitored by regional leadership that changes practice in real time.
- Continue the distribution of data on safety reviews and conversations around the results of reviews to directly impact practice.
- Increase capacity of program and regional management staff around strengthening the SFP.
- Continue monthly WebEx’s with the field staff to provide training on safety topics, updated laws, and other areas of practice that become known to the CPS unit that need to be addressed.
- Implement the use of predictive analytics to identify high risk cases along with the development and implementation of a review process to achieve better outcomes for victims of abuse/neglect.

**Safety Outcome 1:**
**Children Are, First and Foremost, Protected From Abuse and Neglect**

Data Sources: ACESS Report - ACN0004 - Compliance Rate with Initial Face-to-Face Contact with Victim and Parent/Caretaker Report. During Federal Fiscal Year 2013, 84.34% of alleged victims and 81.63% of at least one parent/caretaker were seen in accordance with departmental policy. In FFY 2014 timely victim contact was accomplished for 78.11% of all alleged victims and 80.83% of at least one parent/caretaker. This contrasts with 69.11% of alleged victims and 69.85% of parent/caretakers seen in accordance with policy during FFY 2010, as DCFS was entering its PIP.

Maltreatment data are available through the Annual Safety Profile provided by the Children’s Bureau following NCANDS submission, DCFS dashboard report ACNOOO7- Absence of Maltreatment Recurrence and dashboard report CFSR S2 - Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care. Note: Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 represented Quarters.
## CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 1: Timeliness of Initiating Investigations</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>86.27%</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>83.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.73%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2015</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 1: Timeliness of Initiating Investigations</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84.00%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>82.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/2015-12/31/2015</td>
<td>01/01/2016-06/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 1: Timeliness of Initiating Investigations</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

### ACN0004: Compliance Rate with Initial Face-to-Face Contact with Victim and Parent/Caretaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTACT TYPE</th>
<th>FFY2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLEGED VICTIM</td>
<td>80.81%</td>
<td>76.13%</td>
<td>77.17%</td>
<td>78.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARENT/CARETAKER</td>
<td>81.47%</td>
<td>78.57%</td>
<td>80.90%</td>
<td>82.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transmittal Date June 30, 2017

ACN0004: Compliance Rate with Initial Face-to-Face Contact with Victim and Parent/Caretaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTACT TYPE</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLEGED VICTIM</td>
<td>81.24%</td>
<td>84.42%</td>
<td>82.08%</td>
<td>80.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARENT/CARETAKER</td>
<td>83.25%</td>
<td>85.74%</td>
<td>86.72%</td>
<td>84.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACN0004: Compliance Rate with Initial Face-to-Face Contact with Victim and Parent/Caretaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTACT TYPE</th>
<th>FFY 2016 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2016 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2016 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2016 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLEGED VICTIM</td>
<td>80.05%</td>
<td>77.90%</td>
<td>76.85%</td>
<td>76.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARENT/CARETAKER</td>
<td>83.45%</td>
<td>81.73%</td>
<td>82.24%</td>
<td>81.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 86.27% conformity on Item 1. For the same time period, the ACN0004 report indicates the compliance rate for all cases for timely contact with alleged victims was 80.81% and for contact with parent/caretakers was 81.47%.

While there are some differences in the performance measured by case reviews and data reports, the trends are similar with some decrease in performance from Q1 to Q4 for contact with alleged victims. The ACN0004 provides data on 100% of cases. The case review data is a snapshot of a subset of cases that advanced to Family Services or Foster Care.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 84.00% conformity on Item 1. For the same time period, the ACN0004 report indicates the compliance rate for all cases for timely contact with alleged victims was 81.24% and for contact with parent/caretakers was 83.25%. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

In Calendar Year (CY) 2015 RP3, the CQI case review found the State had 79.00% conformity on Item 1. For the same time period, the ACN0004 report indicates the compliance rate for all cases for timely contact with alleged victims was 82.06% and for contact with parent/caretakers was 85.05%.

In CY 2016 RP1, the CQI case review found the state had 86.00% conformity on Item 1. For the same time period, the ACN0004 report indicates the compliance rate for all cases for timely contact with alleged victims was 80.20% and for contact with parent/caretakers was 84.07%. While there are some differences in the performance measured by case reviews and data reports, the trends are similar with a slight increase in performance from RP3 (CY2015) to RP1 (CY2016) for contact with alleged victims. The ACN0004 provides data on 100% of cases. The case review data is a snapshot of a subset of cases that advanced to Family Services or Foster Care.
Alternately in FFY 2016, the ACN0004 indicates the compliance rate for all timely contact with alleged victims to be 77.84% and 82.16% for contact with parent/caretakers. A 4.18% decrease was seen in timely victim contact from FFY 2015 to FFY 2016. The state experienced a number of natural disasters during FFY 2016 which result in CW staff being assigned to emergency disaster duties such as Disaster Food Stamps (DSNAP) in addition to many staff themselves being displaced and relocated due to flooding. This increased the caseload burden of workers remaining to work CW cases and therefore could be part of the reason for the decrease in response priority. In addition, the flood that took place in August 2016 caused families and foster families in many areas to be displaced. Therefore, if reports were received on displaced families, an increase in overall response time could be a result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence of Maltreatment Recurrence</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of Child Abuse and/or Neglect in FC</td>
<td>99.52</td>
<td>99.28</td>
<td>99.56</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Data, Analysis, Research and Evaluation; Administration on Children, Youth and Families
*Data not yet available.

The FFY 2013 Safety Profile indicates Louisiana is at 93.5% while the standard is 94.6% for Absence of Maltreatment Recurrence. The 2014 Profile reflects an improvement to 94.2 for FFY 2014.

Louisiana is above the standard for Absence of CA/N in Foster Care with a Profile measure of 99.7% and a standard of 99.68%. Based on the 2013 and 2014 performance, Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care is not currently an area needing improvement. However, continued monitoring will occur through CQI case reviews and the DCFS dashboard report CFSR S.2. The CQI case review process will also continue to provide additional qualitative data for this item. (For additional information on the case review process, please refer to the Systemic Factors section of this plan). Note: Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 represented Quarters.

At the time of this report, the Louisiana data profile for FFY 2015-2016 have not been made available.

### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 2: Repeat Maltreatment Within a 6-month Period</td>
<td><strong>Strength</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>82.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Area Needing Improvement</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Not Applicable</strong></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY 2015 Q1 | FFY 2015 Q2 | FFY 2015 Q3 | FFY 2015 Q4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2015</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 2: Repeat Maltreatment Within a 6-month Period</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>83.70</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Repeat Maltreatment, Safety Item 2 was eliminated in the Round 3 OSRI instrument. Louisiana began using the new OSRI in January 2015.

**ACN0007 – ABSENCE OF REPEAT MALTREATMENT WITHIN 6-MONTHS OF INITIAL INCIDENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>Period Start Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Absent Repeat Maltreatment</td>
<td>94.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unduplicated Valid Victims-1st 6-months of Period</td>
<td>5,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unduplicated Valid Victims Without Recurrence</td>
<td>5,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unduplicated Valid Victims With Recurrence</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data extracted on March 22, 2017 from Web Focus ACESS Dashboard

**ACN0007 – ABSENCE OF REPEAT MALTREATMENT WITHIN 6-MONTHS OF INITIAL INCIDENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>Period Start Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Absent Repeat Maltreatment</td>
<td>94.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unduplicated Valid Victims-1st 6-months of Period</td>
<td>6,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unduplicated Valid Victims Without Recurrence</td>
<td>5,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unduplicated Valid Victims With Recurrence</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 75.00% conformity on Item 2. The ACN0007 report for the same reporting period reflects a compliance rate of 94.05%. The CQI case review reflects improvement through FFY 2014 to 88.57% in Q4. A similar trend is reflected in the ACN0007 report with improvement to 94.70% by Q3. Not enough time has elapsed for Q4 reporting on ACN0007. The increase in the number of Valid Victims in period beginning 4/4/2014 is likely a reflection of the phase out of Alternate Response and more children being assessed under investigation criteria.
FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 83.7% conformity on Item 2. The ACN0007 report for the same reporting period reflects a compliance rate of 94.22%. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this Item decreased from 88.57% to 83.70% from FFY 2014 Q4 to FFY 2015 Q1.

In FFY 2016, the ACN0007 report reflects a consistent 94% compliance rate for the first half of the year. The second half of FFY 2016 cannot be reported as 100% of cases from the 6-month maltreatment time frame have not yet closed.

SAFETY OUTCOME 2:
Children Are Safely Maintained In Their Homes Whenever Possible and Appropriate

CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 3: Services to family to protect children in the home and prevent removal or re-entry into FC</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>69.86%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>73.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30.14%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Round 3 OSRI, Safety Item 3 was changed to Safety Item 2. Louisiana began using the new OSRI in January 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 3/2: Services to family to protect children in the home and prevent removal or re-entry into FC</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal...
fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the State had 69.86% conformity on Item 3. CFSR Permanency Item C1.4-Re-entries to foster care in less than 12 months, provides a partial measure of Item 3. Louisiana’s annual Permanency Profile for FFY 2013 provides a performance level of 11.60%. This is better than the national median of 15% but still falls short of the 9.9% standard. The FFY 2014 Profile reflects a decrease in performance at 14.80%. The DCFS dashboard report C1.4 provides a mechanism for interim monitoring of this measure.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 68.5% conformity on Safety Item 2, previously Safety Item 3. Performance on this measure has improved during the year with 75% of cases rated as a strength in Q4. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

In FFY 2016, RP3 CY2015 CQI case review found the state had 75% conformity on Safety Item 2. Performance on this measure had a slight decrease during RP1 CY2016 with 73% of cases rated as a strength.

| Louisiana Data Profile: Re-Entries to Foster Care in Less Than 12 Months |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Measure C1 - 4: Re-entries to foster care in less than 12 months [national median = 15.0%, 25th Percentile = 9.9% (lower score is preferable in this measure)] | 8.40% | 9.80% | 7.10% | 11.80% | 11.60% | 14.80% | * | * |

Source: Office of Data, Analysis, Research and Evaluation; Administration on Children, Youth and Families
*Federal Data Outcomes not received for FFYs 2015 and 2016.

In addition, the DCFS has added two budget performance indicators (LaPAS – developed for the Louisiana Legislature) to its quarterly state performance measures that will provide additional monitoring of this item. These new measures are the percent of valid CPS case not referred to Family Services or Foster Care and percent of children in new Family Services cases that enter Foster Care within 6 months. Note: Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 represented quarters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LaPAS Measure 13295</th>
<th>SFY 2015</th>
<th>SFY 2016</th>
<th>SFY 2017</th>
<th>SFY 2018</th>
<th>SFY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of valid findings referred to family services (this is an annual measure)</td>
<td>28.37%</td>
<td>28.76%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LaPAS Measure 23651</th>
<th>SFY 2015</th>
<th>SFY 2016</th>
<th>SFY 2017</th>
<th>SFY 2018</th>
<th>SFY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence (in percent) of maltreatment of children receiving Family Services for 6 months after validated CPI report (Goal = 95%)</td>
<td>93.55%</td>
<td>92.91%</td>
<td>92.83%</td>
<td>93.73%</td>
<td>94.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SFY 2015</th>
<th>SFY 2016</th>
<th>SFY 2017</th>
<th>SFY 2018</th>
<th>SFY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/16</td>
<td>4/1/16</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/16</td>
<td>10/1-12/31/16</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.75%</td>
<td>93.52%</td>
<td>92.64%</td>
<td>91.32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE: At the time of this report, the Louisiana data profiles for FFY 2015 and 2016 have not been made available.

**CQI Case Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOMES</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 4: Risk assessment and safety management</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>64.17%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>61.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35.83%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>38.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the Round 3 OSRI, Safety Item 4 was changed to Safety Item 3. DCFS began using the new OSRI in 1/2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety 4/3: Risk assessment and safety management</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 64.17% conformity on Item 4. Performance on this measure has declined during the year with 56.67% of cases rated as a strength in Q4. FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case reviews found the state had 60/5% conformity on Safety Item 3, previously Safety Item 4. Performance on this measure has improved during the year with 62% of cases rated a strength in Q4. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 201Q1. In FFY 2016, RP3 CY2015 CQI case review found the state had 62% conformity on Safety Item 3. Performance on this measure improved by 5% in RP1 CY2016 with 67% of cases rated as a strength.
PERMANENCY OUTCOME 1:
Children Have Permanency and Stability in Their Living Situations

CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 5: Foster care re-entries in less than 12 months</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>88.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEM                                      | OUTCOME                                                                 | FFY 2015 Q1 10/1-12/31/2014 | FFY 2015 Q2 1/1-3/31/2015 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 5: Foster Care re-entries in less than 12 months</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>19 for 95%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>1 for 5%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The Permanency Item 5 was eliminated from the 2015 OSRI instrument beginning January 2015.

Louisiana Data Profile: Re-Entries to Foster Care in Less Than 12 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure C1 - 4: Re-entries to foster care in less than 12 months (national median = 15.0%, 25th Percentile = 9.9% (lower score is preferable in this measure))</td>
<td>8.40%</td>
<td>9.80%</td>
<td>7.10%</td>
<td>11.80%</td>
<td>11.60%</td>
<td>14.80%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Data, Analysis, Research and Evaluation; Administration on Children, Youth and Families
*Federal Data Outcomes not received for FFYs 2015 and 2016.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 95.00% conformity on Item 5. Performance declined for Q2 and Q3 but increased to 100% for Q4. This measure is reported on the annual state data profile as CFSR Permanency Measure C1.4. Louisiana’s performance was 11.60% for FFY 2013. Performance for FFY 2014 was 14.80%, which is a decline in performance compared to the 5 previous fiscal years. The DCFS dashboard report C1.4 provides a mechanism for interim monitoring of this measure. FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 95.00% conformity on Item 5. The DCFS dashboard report C1.4 provides a mechanism for monitoring of this measure. At the time of this report, the Louisiana data profiles for FFY 2015 and 2016 have not been made available. In FFY 2016 Permanency Item 5 was eliminated from the 2015 OSRI instrument beginning January 2015. The DCFS dashboard report C1.4 provides a mechanism for monitoring of this measure.

CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 6:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY 2014 Q1 | FFY 2014 Q2 | FFY 2014 Q3 | FFY 2014 Q4  
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---  
Stability of foster care placement | Area Needing Improvement | 14 | 16.67% | 13 | 17.33% | 15 | 20.00% | 13 | 17.33%  
Stability of foster care placement | Not Applicable | 36 | 45 | 45 | 45  
ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY 2015 Q1 | FFY 2015 Q2 | FFY 2015 Q3 | FFY 2015 Q4  
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---  
Permanency 6/4: Stability of foster care placement | Strength | 59 | 78.7% | 59 | 78.7% | 57 | 76% | 57 | 76%  
Permanency 6/4: Stability of foster care placement | Area Needing Improvement | 16 | 21.3% | 16 | 21.3% | 18 | 24% | 18 | 24%  
Permanency 4: Stability of Foster Care Placement | Strength | 57 | 76% | 59 | 79%  
Permanency 4: Stability of Foster Care Placement | Area Needing Improvement | 18 | 24% | 16 | 21%  
Permanency 4: Stability of Foster Care Placement | Not Applicable | 0 | 0  

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 6 information regarding the stability of foster care placement changed to being captured in Item 4 of the 2014 OSRI.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 83.33% conformity on Item 6. This measure is reported on the annual state data profile as CFSR Permanency Composite 4 through Measure C4.1, C4.2 and C4.3. In FFY 2013 Louisiana’s performance on Composite 4 was 92.4, which was below the national standard of 101.5. The composite score remained the same for FFY 2014. However, Louisiana has shown some improvement from FFY 2009 to FFY 2014 in composite score and national ranking. The DCFS dashboard reports are available for interim monitoring of this measure.

In FFY 2015 CQI case review found the state had 78.7% conformity on Item 4, previously Item 6. There was a marginal decline in Q3 and Q4 FFY 2015 from 78.7% to 76%. This measure is reported on the annual state data profile as CFSR Permanency Composite 4 through Measure C4.1, C4.2 and C4.3. Louisiana’s performance on Composite 4 is not yet known as the state’s profile data had not been issued at the time of this report. The composite score remained the same for FFY 2014. However, Louisiana has shown some improvement from FFY 2009 to FFY 2014 in composite score and national ranking. The DCFS dashboard reports are available for
interim monitoring of this measure. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began biannual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

In FFY 2016 there was a slight increase in conformity from 76% in RP3 2015 to 79% in RP1 2016. Louisiana has maintained 76% or above for performance in this item. This measure is reported on the annual state data profile as CFSR Permanency Composite 4 through Measure C4.1, C4.2 and C4.3. Louisiana’s performance on Composite 4 is not yet known as the state’s profile data had not been issued at the time of this report.

**Louisiana Data Profile: Placement Stability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Scaled scored for this composite incorporates no components but three individual measures)</td>
<td>State Score = 84.5</td>
<td>State Score = 82.1</td>
<td>State Score = 88.7</td>
<td>State Score = 91.6</td>
<td>State Score = 92.4</td>
<td>State Score = 92.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Ranking of State Composite Scores (see footnote A on page 12 for details)</td>
<td>37 of 51</td>
<td>40 of 51</td>
<td>33 of 51</td>
<td>31 of 51</td>
<td>29 of 51</td>
<td>29 of 51</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure C4 - 1) Two or fewer placement settings for children in care for less than 12 months [national median = 83.3%, 75th Percentile = 86.0%]</td>
<td>77.70%</td>
<td>76.80%</td>
<td>78.60%</td>
<td>82.60%</td>
<td>81.90%</td>
<td>80.50%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure C4 - 2) Two or fewer placement settings for children in care for 12 to 24 months [national median = 59.9%, 75th Percentile = 65.4%]</td>
<td>54.30%</td>
<td>51.80%</td>
<td>56.50%</td>
<td>55.00%</td>
<td>60.90%</td>
<td>61.30%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure C4 - 3) Two or fewer placement settings for children in care for 24+ months [national median = 33.9%, 75th Percentile = 41.8%]</td>
<td>28.30%</td>
<td>27.80%</td>
<td>35.70%</td>
<td>36.40%</td>
<td>33.80%</td>
<td>34.30%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Measure C4.1** – **Two or fewer placement settings for children in care for less than 12 months** For FFY 2013, the Louisiana Data Profile reported a performance measure of 81.90%. The Profile reflects a decline to 80.50% for FFY 2014. This falls below both the national standard of 86.0% and the national median of 83.3%.

**Measure C4.2** – **Two or fewer placement settings for children in care 12 to less than 24 months** - For FFY 2013, the Louisiana Data Profile reported a performance measure of 60.90%. The Profile reflects an increase to 61.30% for FFY 2014. This falls below the national standard of 65.4% but is higher than the national median of 59.9%.

**Measure C4.3** - **Two or fewer placement settings for children in care for 24+ months** - For FFY 2013, the Louisiana Data Profile reported a performance of 33.80%. The Profile reflects an increase to 34.30% for FFY 2014. This falls below the national standard of 41.8% but is higher than the national median of 33.9%.

**CFSR Review Item 7** - does not relate to a specific measure on the state data profile; however, both timely identification of an appropriate case plan goal and diligent efforts to achieve the goal are linked to the other permanency measures.

**CQI Case Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 7: Permanency goal for child</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>62.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 7/5: Permanency goal for child</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 7 information regarding the permanency goal for the child changed to being captured in Item 5 of the 2014 OSRI.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**ITEM** 5: Permanency Goal for Child

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/2015-12/31/2015</td>
<td>01/01/2016-06/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># OF CASES %</td>
<td># OF CASES %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>27 36%</td>
<td>32 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 66.67% conformity on Item 7. Performance declined for Q2 but improved in Q3 and Q4. Current system limitations impact data availability related to the case plan goal. Establishment of goal dates and changes in goal are not captured in a system history table. In addition, there is no capture of a concurrent goal. As part of the AFCARS Improvement Plan, system changes are planned in late 2016 or early 2017 to enable capture and maintenance of goal history. Staff training and dashboard reports will be developed in tandem with the system changes to promote improvement in data quality. The CQI case review process will continue to be the primary source for details related to children whose cases receive an ANI rating.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 70.7 % conformity on Item 5, previously Item 7. Performance declined from Q2 through Q4. In the FFY 2014, the ASPR indicated that Louisiana’s current system limitations impact data availability related to the case plan goal; and establishment of goal dates and changes in goal are not captured in a system history table. However, in FFY 2015 Q2 Louisiana has been able to capture aggregate data through utilizing the OSRI and OMS system to extrapolate data of permanency goal establishment and changes in case plan goals. This is an area needing improvement.

In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.

In FFY 2016 the CQI case review RP3 2015 found the state had 64% conformity on Item 5, and performance declined to 57% in RP1 2016. According to the CQI case review data in the OMS system, Louisiana continues to show a need to improve in this area.

**Permanency Composite 1**: Component A contains 3 sub-measures associated with CFSR review Item 8. These are C1.1-Exits to reunification in less than 12 months; C1.2- Exits to reunification-median; and C1.3-Entry cohort reunification.
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CQI Case Review -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanency 8:</strong> Reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relatives</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>29 72.50%</td>
<td>31 72.09%</td>
<td>25 71.43%</td>
<td>28 75.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>11 27.50%</td>
<td>12 27.91%</td>
<td>10 28.57%</td>
<td>9 24.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>80 NA</td>
<td>76 NA</td>
<td>85 NA</td>
<td>83 NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1 10/1-12/31/2014</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2 1/1-3/31/2015</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3 4/1-6/30/15</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4 7/1-12/31/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanency 8:</strong> Reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relatives</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>30 75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>10 25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0 NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1 10/1-12/31/2014</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2 1/1-3/31/2015</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3 4/1-6/30/15</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4 7/1-12/31/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanency 6:</strong> Achieving reunification, guardianship, adoptions or other planned permanent living arrangement</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>51 64%</td>
<td>48 64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 32%</td>
<td>27 36%</td>
<td>27 36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 NA</td>
<td>0 NA</td>
<td>0 NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 items 8, 9 (Adoption) and 10 (APPLA) information regarding reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relatives, changed to be combined into one Item 6 of the 2014 OSRI.*

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 72.50% conformity on Item 8. Performance for Q4 was 75.68%.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 75% conformity on Item 6, previously Item 8. Performance declined from Q2 through Q4. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016. This is an area needing improvement.

In FFY 2016 items 8, 9 (Adoption) and 10 (APLA) information regarding reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relatives, changed to be combined into one Item 6 of the 2014 OSRI. CFSR case review data showed Louisiana declined from 64% to 51% from RP3 2015 and RP1 2016.
### Louisiana Data Profile: Timeliness of Reunification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure C1 - 1:</strong> Exits to reunification in less than 12 months [national median = 69.9%, 75th percentile = 75.2%]</td>
<td>65.90%</td>
<td>67.50%</td>
<td>67.40%</td>
<td>71.00%</td>
<td>69.80%</td>
<td>72.10%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure C1 - 2:</strong> Exits to reunification, median stay [national median = 6.5 months, 25th Percentile = 5.4 months (lower score is preferable in this measure)]</td>
<td>Median = 9.2 months</td>
<td>Median = 8.7 months</td>
<td>Median = 8.5 months</td>
<td>Median = 7.8 months</td>
<td>Median = 7.4 months</td>
<td>Median = 6.9 months</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure C1 - 3:</strong> Entry cohort reunification in &lt; 12 months [national median = 39.4%, 75th Percentile = 48.4%]</td>
<td>47.10%</td>
<td>49.00%</td>
<td>45.70%</td>
<td>51.50%</td>
<td>48.10%</td>
<td>44.10%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Data, Analysis, Research and Evaluation; Administration on Children, Youth and Families
*These measures are not being used in Round 3 CFSR.

**Measures C1.1 and C1.2 – Exits to reunification in less than 12 months:** The FFY 2013 Data Profile for Louisiana indicates that 69.8% of exits to reunification occurred in less than 12 months. FFY2014 saw improvement to 72.10%. Louisiana continues to fall below the national standard of 75.2%. The FFY 2014 median time to exit was 7.4 months, which improved to 6.9 months for FFY 2014. The national median is 5.4 months.

The DCFS dashboard report indicates that for FFY 2015 Q1, 73.55% of exits occurred in less than 12 months with 7.46 as the median number of months to exit.

In FFY 2016 the state’s conformity rating in the achievement of reunification was combined into one Item 6 of the 2014 OSRI, with goal items 9 (Adoption) and 10 (APPLA). CFSR case review data showed Louisiana declined from 64% to 51% from RP3 2015 and RP1 2016.

**Measure C1.3 – Entry Cohort Reunification less than 12 months:** The Louisiana Data Profile for FFY 2013 reports a performance of 48.1% for this measure. The national standard is 48.4% with a national median of 39.4%. Performance declined to 44.10% for FFY 2014. Louisiana exceeds the nation median but continues to fall below the national standard.

CFSR Review Item 9 focuses on timely achievement of the goal of adoption. This item is related to Data Profile measures captured in Permanency Composite 2, which contains 5 measures. Louisiana exceeds the national standard in 4 of the 5 measures in this composite. In addition, Louisiana has ranked 1 out of 47 states for the last 4 FFYs.
In FFY 2016 the state’s conformity rating in the timely achievement of adoption was combined into one Item 6 of the 2014 OSRI, with goal items 8 (Reunification) and 10(APPLA). CFSR case review data showed Louisiana declined from 64% to 51% from RP3 2015 and RP1 2016.

At the time of this report, the Louisiana data profile for FFY 2015-2016 have not been made available.

### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 9: Adoption</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.66%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>55.81%</td>
<td>71.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46.34%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>44.19%</td>
<td>28.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 9: Adoption</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>55.81%</td>
<td>71.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>44.19%</td>
<td>28.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 6: Achieving</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 9 data regarding Adoption was merged into Item 6 for the 2014 OSRI.*
**Louisiana Data Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X. Permanency Composite 2: Timeliness of Adoptions [standard: 106.4 or higher], Scaled Scores for this composite incorporate three components.</td>
<td>State Score = 128.1</td>
<td>State Score = 137.1</td>
<td>State Score = 144.8</td>
<td>State Score = 145.8</td>
<td>State Score = 153.5</td>
<td>State Score = 154.3</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Ranking of State Composite Scores</td>
<td>3 of 47</td>
<td>2 of 47</td>
<td>1 of 47</td>
<td>1 of 47</td>
<td>1 of 47</td>
<td>1 of 47</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Component A: Timeliness of Adoptions of Children Discharged From Foster Care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exits to adoption in less than 24 months [national median = 26.8%, 75th Percentile = 36.6%]</td>
<td>27.70%</td>
<td>24.10%</td>
<td>26.40%</td>
<td>28.80%</td>
<td>30.70%</td>
<td>37.20%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure C2 - 2:</th>
<th>Exits to adoption, median length of stay [national median = 32.4 months, 25th Percentile = 27.3 months (lower score is preferable in this measure)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median = 30.7 months Median = 33.5 months Median = 31.2 months Median = 30.8 months Median = 28.7 months Median = 27.5 months</td>
<td>Median = 27.7 months Median = 24.10% Median = 26.40% Median = 28.80% Median = 30.70% Median = 37.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Component B: Progress Toward Adoption for Children in Foster Care for 17 Months or Longer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure C2 - 3:</th>
<th>Children in care 17+ months, adopted by the end of the year [national median = 20.2%, 75th Percentile = 22.7%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.70%</td>
<td>30.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure C2 - 4:</th>
<th>Children in care 17+ months achieving legal freedom within 6 months [national median = 8.8%, 75th Percentile = 10.9%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.80%</td>
<td>16.90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Component C: Progress Toward Adoption of Children Who Are Legally Free for Adoption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure C2 - 5:</th>
<th>Legally free children adopted in less than 12 months [national median = 45.8%, 75th Percentile = 53.7%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55.20%</td>
<td>67.40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Data, Analysis, Research and Evaluation; Administration on Children, Youth and Families

*Federal Data Outcomes not received for FFYs 2015 and 2016.

**Measures C2.1 and C2.2 – Exits to adoption in less than 24 months (percent and median)**

The Data Profile for FFY 2013 reports that Louisiana had 30.70% children who were discharged to adoption did so within 24 months of foster care entry. For all children exiting to adoption, the median number of months to a finalized adoption was 28.7 months. For FFY 2014, 37.20% of children exited to adoption within 24 months and the median number of months to adoption as 27.5. Both measures were improvements over the previous year. The national standards are 36.6% and 37.3 months.

**Measure C2.3 – Children in care 17+ months, adopted by the end of the year:** The FFY 2013 Data Profile reflects a performance rate of 39.2%, which exceeds the national standard of 22.7% as well as the national median of 20.2%. FFY 2014 performance was 36.90%. While this
is a decline from FFY2013, it exceeds the national standard. Louisiana has exceeded the standard on this measure for the last five FFYs.

**Measure C2 - 4: Children in care 17+ months achieving legal freedom within 6 months:** Louisiana’s Data Profile for FFY2013 reflects a 22.1% performance on this measure. FFY2014 performance was 22.20%. This exceeds the national standard of 10.9% and the national median of 8.8%. Louisiana has exceeded the standard on this measure for the last 5 FFY.

**Measure C2.5 – Legally free children adopted in less than 12 months:** According to the Louisiana Data Profile for FFY2013, of all children who became legally free for adoption (i.e., there was a parental rights termination date reported to AFCARS for both mother and father), 64.7% were discharged from foster care to a finalized adoption in less than 12 months of becoming legally free. Performance for FFY2014 was 77.30%. Louisiana has exceeded the national standard of 53.7% for the last five FFYs.

**Louisiana Data Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure C3 - 1:</strong> Exits to permanency prior to 18th birthday for children in care for 24+ months [national median 25.0%, 75th Percentile = 29.1%]</td>
<td>29.40%</td>
<td>38.30%</td>
<td>37.20%</td>
<td>39.00%</td>
<td>39.70%</td>
<td>40.30%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure C3 - 2:</strong> Exits to permanency for children with TPR [national median 96.8%, 75th Percentile = 98.0%]</td>
<td>89.60%</td>
<td>93.40%</td>
<td>93.30%</td>
<td>92.80%</td>
<td>94.30%</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Office of Data, Analysis, Research and Evaluation; Administration on Children, Youth and Families

*Federal Data Outcomes not received for FFYs 2015 and 2016.

**Measure C3.1 Exits to permanency prior to 18th birthday for children in care for 24+ months:** Louisiana’s performance on this measure for FFY 2013 was 39.70% and was 40.30% for FFY2014. Louisiana has exceeded the national standard of 29.1% for the last six FFYs.

**Measure C3.2: Exits to permanency for children with TPR:** Louisiana’s performance on this measure for FFY2013 was 94.3%. FFY2014 reflects a slight improvement to 95.00%. The national standard is 98.0%.

**CFSR Review Item 10:** This Item focuses on Alternate Planned Permanent Living Arrangement. Permanency Composite 3, Component B – Growing Up in Foster Care – is related to this review item.
### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 10: Other planned permanent living arrangement</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47.83</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52.17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>120</strong></td>
<td><strong>120</strong></td>
<td><strong>120</strong></td>
<td><strong>120</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FFY 2015 Q2 Item 10 data regarding Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement was merged into Item 6 for the 2014 OSRI.

### RP 3 CY2015 vs RP 1 CY2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015 7/1/2015-12/31/2015</th>
<th># OF CASES</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016 01/01/2016-06/30/2016</th>
<th># OF CASES</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 6: Achieving Reunification, Guardianship, Adoption, or Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 47.83% conformity in Item 10. Performance improved in each subsequent quarter and was at 85.71% by Q4. In FFY 2015 case review data shows the state had 66.7% conformity in Item 6, previously Item 10. Performance improved by 18 % from data provided in FFY 2014; however, this is an area needing improvement. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY Q4 2015 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.
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In FFY 2016 items 8, 9 (Adoption) and 10 (APPLA) information regarding reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relatives, changed to be combined into one Item 6 of the 2014 OSRI. CFSR case review data showed Louisiana declined from 64% to 51% from RP3 2015 and RP1 2016

**Louisiana Data Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure C3 - 3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children Emancipated Who Were</td>
<td>58.30%</td>
<td>49.20%</td>
<td>49.00%</td>
<td>43.80%</td>
<td>46.40%</td>
<td>35.40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Foster Care for 3 Years or More</td>
<td>[national median 47.8%, 25th Percentile = 37.5% (lower score is preferable)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Data, Analysis, Research and Evaluation; Administration on Children, Youth and Families. *Federal Data Outcomes not received for FFYs 2015 and 2016.

**Measure C3.3 – Children emancipated who were in foster care for three or more years:**

FFY 2013 Data Profile shows that 46.4% of Louisiana children who were emancipated or aged out of foster care were in care three years or more. Performance improved in FFY 2014 in which only 35.40% of youth exiting to emancipation had spent 3 years or more in foster care. This exceeds the national standard.

**PERMANENCY OUTCOME 2:**

The Continuity of Family Relationships and Connections Is Preserved For Children

**CQI Case Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 11: Proximity of foster care placement</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>98.44%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>96.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.56%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITEM** | **OUTCOME** | **FFY 2015 Q1** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 11: Proximity of foster care placement</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Permanency Item 11 was eliminated from the 2015 OSRI instrument beginning January 2015.*

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 98.44% conformity on Item 11. DCFS does not have a geographic definition of proximity of placement. However, 40% of children placed in
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parish of the removal court are used as a state performance measure (LAPAS 23090). This is a Point-In-Time measure calculated as of the last day of each quarter. On the last day of FFY 2013 Q4, 48.19% of children in care were placed in the removal court parish. For FFY 2014 Q4 performance was 49.63%.

In FFY 2015 Q2, the Louisiana CQI team began utilizing the Online Monitoring System (OMS) as well as the updated CFSR OSRI instrument which reduced the number of items from 23 to 18. As a result of this change, item 11 was eliminated from the instrument in order to prevent redundancy. The item is encompassed in other items and therefore, it was determined that a separate item was no longer needed. For FFY 2015 Q1, the state had 98.1% conformity on item 11 which was a 2% decrease from the prior quarter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of Foster Children Placed in Court Parish</td>
<td>50.95</td>
<td>50.11</td>
<td>50.63</td>
<td>49.63</td>
<td>49.75</td>
<td>49.70</td>
<td>49.61</td>
<td>48.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12/31/15</td>
<td>3/31/16</td>
<td>6/30/16</td>
<td>9/30/16</td>
<td>12/31/16</td>
<td>3/31/17</td>
<td>6/30/2017</td>
<td>9/30/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48.58</td>
<td>49.38</td>
<td>48.30</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>46.10</td>
<td>46.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data taken from Louisiana Performance Accountability System. These data are extracted based on placement location on the last day of each quarter.

*Federal Data Outcomes not received for FFYs 2015 and 2016.

### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 12: Placement with siblings</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>93.48%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.52%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 12/7: Placement with siblings</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 12 information regarding the placement with siblings changed to being captured in Item 7 of the 2014 OSRI.
ITEM | OUTCOME | RP 3 CY2015 | RP 1 CY2016
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 7: Placement with Siblings</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 93.48% conformity on Item 12. While performance has fluctuated over the four quarters, Q4 reflects an improvement to 94.44%. The DCFS dashboard enhancement plan includes adding reports on placement with siblings.

In FFY 2015 Q1 case review found the state had 97.6% conformity with item 7, previously item 12. Although there were drops in performance during Q2 and Q4, the average over time has remained above 90% for this item. The overall average for FFY 2014 was 93% compared to a slight drop in FFY 2015 at 92.2%. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.

FFY 2016 RP3 CY2015 case review found the state had 85.4% conformity with Item 7. In RP 1 CY2016 there was improved performance to 97.5% which was an increase of 12.1%. The overall average for FFY 2015 was 92.2% compared to FFY 2016, which showed a slight decrease in performance to 91.5%.

CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanency 13: Visiting with parents and siblings in foster care</strong></td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>64.71%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35.29%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39.06%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY 2015 | FFY 2015 Q3 | FFY 2015 Q4 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanency</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>OUTCOME</td>
<td>FFY 2015 Q1</td>
<td>FFY 2015 Q2</td>
<td>FFY 2015 Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13/8:</strong> Visiting with parents and siblings in foster care</td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 13 information regarding visitation with parents and siblings in foster care changed to being captured in Item 8 of the 2014 OSRI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/2015-12/31/2015</td>
<td>01/01/2016-06/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanency 8:</strong> Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.*

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 64.71% conformity on Item 13. Performance has declined over FFY 2014 to 54.39% in Q4. The DCFS dashboard enhancement plan includes adding reports on parent, child and sibling visits to provide ongoing data resources for the frequency of contacts. The quality of visits will continue to be assessed through the case review process.

In FFY 2015, there was a slight decline from Q1 to Q2; but steady increases from Q2 through Q4. There has been some improvement in this item from FFY 2014 to FFY 2015. The average during FFY 2014 was 56.73%. During FFY 2015, Q4 reached its highest mark at 72% and the overall average was 66.73%, a 10% increase from FFY 2014. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016. This is an area needing improvement.

FFY 2016 RP 3 CY2015 case review found the state had 72.2% conformity with Item 8. In RP 1 CY2016 there was a decline in performance to 65%. The overall average for Item 8 in FFY 2015 was 66.7% compared to FFY 2016, which showed a slight increase in the overall performance to 68.6%.
### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 14: Preserving connections</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 14 information regarding preserving connections changed to being captured in Item 9 of the 2014 OSRI.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/2015-12/31/2015</td>
<td>01/01/2016-06/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 9: Preserving Connections</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.*

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 80.72% conformity on Item 14. Performance declined to 70.67% for Q4.

In FFY 2015 In FFY 2015, Q1 CQI case review found the state had 74.8% conformity on Item 9, previously Item 14 with a 5.5% increase in Q2. There was a decline in Q3 and Q4 of 7%; however, the overall percentages in FFY 2015 reflect improvement on this item from FFY 2014. The overall average in FFY 2014 was 74.4% and in FFY 2015 it increased to 75.8%. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.

FFY 2016 RP3 CY2015 case review found the state had 72.6% conformity with Item 9. In RP 1 CY2016 there was a significant increase to 80% which showed improved performance in Item 9. The overall average for Item 9 in FFY 2015 was 75.8% compared to FFY 2016, which showed a slight increase in performance to 76.3%.
CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTFCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 15: Relative placement</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74.03%</td>
<td>78.26%</td>
<td>52.11%</td>
<td>74.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>25.97%</td>
<td>21.74%</td>
<td>47.89%</td>
<td>25.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 item 15 information regarding the placement with relatives changed to being captured in Item 10 of the 2014 OSRI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTFCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency 15/10: Relative placement</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75.4%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 74.03% conformity on Item 15. Q4 performance was 74.32%. The dashboard currently contains limited placement data by placement type, but relative placements is not one of the options. The DCFS dashboard enhancement plan includes additional detailed reports on placement types for children in foster care, which will include relative placements. Data on relative placements is periodically reviewed and assessments have been completed to identify strategies to promote certification of non-certified relative caregivers. This is intended to prepare these caregivers to be in a position to adopt or accept legal guardianship if the child cannot be returned home. In addition, a monthly executive manage report includes the percentage of foster children placed with a relative. This report is provided to the DCFS Secretary, the state level executive management team and all Regional Administrators.
In FFY 2015, case review found Item 10, previously Item 15 to be relatively consistent across Q1, Q2 and Q4 with percentages ranging from 74% to 79.2%. Q3 reached its highest percentage at 90%. The overall average for FFY 2015 was 79.7% which was a 9.8% increase from FFY 2014. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.

FFY 2016 RP3 CY2015 case review found the state had 73.6% conformity with Item 10. In RP 1 CY2016 there was an increase to 87.8% showing a substantial improvement in performance, a gain of 14.2%. The overall average performance in Item 10 for FFY 2015 was 79.7% compared to FFY 2016, which showed a slight improvement in the overall performance to 80.7%.

### Executive Management Report

| % of Foster Children Placed with Relative (last day of month) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Oct-13 | Nov-13 | Dec-13 | Jan-14 | Feb-14 | Mar-14 | Apr-14 | May-14 | Jun-14 | Jul-14 | Aug-14 | Sep-14 |
| 41.13% | 41.57% | 43.13% | 42.59% | 42.53% | 41.77% | 41.65% | 43.11% | 41.44% | 41.39% | 40.87% | 40.71% |
| 41.04% | 41.07% | 42.40% | 42.32% | 43.18% | 43.19% | 43.31% | 42.43% | 42.37% | 43.44% | 42.88% | 43.77% |
| 43.76% | 44.26% | 45.83% | 44.80% | 44.73% | 44.02% | 42.28% | 42.21% | 43.25% | 42.85% | 42.59% | 42.16% |

* Data taken from April, 2016 Monthly Executive Management Report

### CQI Case Review

#### Item: Permanency 16: Relationship of child in care with parents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2014 Q1</td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>62.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Item: Permanency 16/11: Relationship of child in care with parents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Q1</td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2015</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2015 Q2 Item 16 information regarding the relationship of the child in care with their parents changed to being captured in Item 11 of the 2014 OSRI.
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ITEM | OUTCOME | RP 3 CY2015 | RP 1 CY2016
| | 7/1/2015-12/31/2015 | 01/01/2016-06/30/2016 |
| # OF CASES | % | # OF CASES | % |

Permanency 11: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents

| | Strength | 32 | 65.3% | 31 | 62.0% |
| | Area Needing Improvement | 17 | 34.7% | 19 | 38.0% |
| | Not Applicable | 26 | NA | 25 | NA |

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 50.0% conformity on Item 16. Performance declined substantially in Q2 and Q3. Q4 suggests some rebound of performance with 43.14% conformity. DCFS does not currently have a dashboard report for visits between foster children and their parents. This is included in the DCFS dashboard enhancement plan.

In FFY 2015, CQI case review found increases in Item 11, previously Item 16 from Q1 through Q3 and overall increases from FFY 2014 to FFY 2015. In FFY 2015, there was an increase of 16.9% from Q1 to Q3 and there was a slight 1% drop from Q3 to Q4. Overall, there was a significant increase from FFY 2014 to FFY 2015 with a 22.3% rate of improvement. DCFS made significant improvement in two fiscal years; however, this is an area needing improvement.

In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

In FFY 2016, RP3 CY2015 case review found the state had 65.3% conformity for Item 11. In RP 1 CY2016 there was a slight decrease to 62.0%. The overall average performance for Item 11 in FFY 2015 was 60.0% compared to FFY 2016, which showed a slight increase in performance to 63.7%.

**WELL-BEING OUTCOME 1: Families Have Enhanced Capacity to Provide For Their Children's Needs**

**CQI Case Review**

| ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY2014Q1 10/1-12/31/2013 | FFY2014Q2 1/1-31/2014 | FFY2014Q3 4/1-6/30/2014 | FFY2014Q4 7/1-9/30/2014 |
| | | | | | |
| Well-being 17: Needs and services of child, parents and foster parents | Strength | 63 | 52.50% | 56 | 46.67% | 43 | 35.83% | 65 | 54.17% |
| | Area Needing Improvement | 57 | 47.50% | 64 | 53.33% | 77 | 64.17% | 55 | 45.83% |

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
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ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY2015 Q1 10/1-12/31/2014 | FFY2015 Q2 1/1-3/31/2015 | FFY2015 Q3 4/1-6/30/2015 | FFY2015 Q4 7/1-12/31/2015
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Well-being 17/12: Needs and services of child, parents and foster parents | Strength | 68 | 57.10% | 56 | 54.9 | 64 | 63% | 65 | 54%
| Area Needing Improvement | 51 | 42.90% | 46 | 45.1 | 38 | 37% | 55 | 46%

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 17 information changed to being captured in Item 12 of the 2014 OSRI.

**ITEM | OUTCOME | RP 3 CY2015 7/1/2015-12/31/2015 | RP 1 CY2016 01/01/2016-06/30/2016
--- | --- | --- | ---
| # OF CASES | % | # OF CASES | %
Well-Being 12: Needs and Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents | Strength | 65 | 54% | 57 | 48%
| Area Needing Improvement | 55 | 46% | 63 | 52%
| Not Applicable | 0 | NA | 0 | NA

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 52.5% conformity on Item 17. Performance fluctuated throughout the year with some improvement in Q4 with 54.17% conformity.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 57.1% conformity on Item 12, previously Item 17. Performance fluctuated throughout the year with declining conformity of 54% in Q4. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item decreased slightly from 54.17% for FFY 2014 to 54% for FFY 2015. DCFS has identified (Item 12B) needs and services to parents as an area needing improvement.

The Louisiana case review data collected in FFY 2015 Q1 and Q2 was collected using the old data system and reflects a discrepancy in this item. The discrepancy affects the item rating less than 1%. DCFS plans to continue the use of OMS, which will result in closer data accuracy. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.

FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 54% conformity on Item 12 overall and declined to 48% in RP1 2016. This is an area needing improvement.

**CQI Case Review**

--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Well-being 17A: | Strength | 93 | 77.50% | 94 | 78.33% | 82 | 68.33% | 97 | 80.83%

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
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--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Needs assessment and services to children | Area Needing Improvement | 27 | 22.50% | 26 | 21.67% | 38 | 31.67% | 23 | 19.17%

ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY2015 Q1 10/1-12/31/2014 | FFY2015 Q2 1/1-3/31/2015 | FFY2015 Q3 4/1-6/30/15 | FFY2015 Q4 7/1-12/31/15
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Well-being 17A/12A: Needs assessment and services to children | Strength | 95 | 79.80% | 84 | 82.4% | 90 | 88% | 95 | 79%
Area Needing Improvement | 24 | 20.20% | 18 | 17.6% | 12 | 12% | 25 | 21%

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 17a information changed to being captured in Item 12a of the 2014 OSRI.

ITEM | OUTCOME | RP 3 CY2015 7/1/2015-12/31/2015 | RP 1 CY2016 01/01/2016-06/30/2016
--- | --- | --- | ---
# OF CASES | % | # OF CASES | %
Well-Being 12A: Needs Assessment and Services to Children | Strength | 95 | 79% | 95 | 79%
Area Needing Improvement | 25 | 21% | 25 | 21%
Not Applicable | 0 | NA | 0 | NA

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 77.5% conformity on Item 17A. Performance for Q4 was 80.83%.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 79.8% conformity on Item 12A, previously Item 17A. Performance increased in Q2 and Q3, with Q3 reaching 88%, but conformity declined to 79% in Q4. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item decreased slightly from 80.83% for FFY 2014 to 79% for FFY 2015.

As with Item 17/12, case review data collected in FFY 2015 Q1 and Q2 was collected using the old data system and reflects a discrepancy in this item. The discrepancy affects the item rating less than 1%. DCFS plans to continue the use of OMS, which will result in closer data accuracy.

In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.

FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 79% conformity on Item 12A. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item in RP3 2016 remained the same as in 2015, 79%. This is an area needing improvement.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 17B: Needs assessment and services to parents</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49.49%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.51%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2015 Q2 Item 17b information changed to being captured in Item 12b of the 2014 OSRI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 17B: Needs assessment and services to parents</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50.50%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49.50%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 49.49% conformity on Item 17B. Performance declined throughout the year with Q4 reflecting 43.75% conformity.

In FFY 2015 FFY2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 50.5% conformity on Item 12B, previously Item 17B. Performance increased in Q2 and Q3, reaching 63%, and ended with 61% conformity in Q4. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item increased from 43.75% for FFY 2014 to 61% for FFY 2015. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began biannual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 61% conformity on Item 12B. Louisiana’s performance in this item decreased from 61% to 48% in RP3 2016. This is an area needing improvement.
### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 17C: Needs assessment and services to foster parents</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>89.87%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>85.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.13%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 17C/12C: Needs assessment and services to foster parents</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>84.7%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2015 Q2 Item 17c information changed to being captured in Item 12c of the 2014 OSRI.

### RP Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/2015-12/31/2015</td>
<td>01/01/2016-06/30/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-Being 12C: Needs Assessment and Services to Foster Parents</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 89.87% conformity on Item 17C. Q4 reflects improvement to 91.43%.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 84.7% conformity on Item 12C, previously Item 17C. Performance increased in Q2 with 91.7% and declined to 90% in Q3, ending with 78% conformity in Q4. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item decreased from 91.43% for FFY 2014 to 78% for FFY 2015. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.
FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 78% conformity on Item 12C. Louisiana’s performance in this item increased from 78% in RP3 2015 to 82% for RP1 2016. This is remains area needing improvement.

CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 18: Child and family involvement in case planning</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>47.86%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>52.14%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 18 information changed to being captured in Item 13 of the 2014 OSRI.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 18/13: Child and family involvement in case planning</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53.10%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46.90%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.*

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 47.86% conformity on Item 18. Performance declined in Q3 and Q4 to 34.78% and 41.07% respectively.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 53.1% conformity on Item 13, previously Item 18. Performance increased in Q2 and Q3, reaching 69% in Q3 before declining slightly in Q4 with 68% conformity. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item increased from 41.07% for FFY 2014 to 68% for FFY 2015. Despite the increase in performance this is an area needing improvement.
FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 68% conformity on Item 13. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item decreased from 68% in RP3 2015 to 53% for RP1 2016. This is an area needing improvement.

### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 19: Caseworker visits with child</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>72.50%</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>73.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27.50%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 19/14: Caseworker visits with child</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>71.40%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28.60%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 19 information changed to being captured in Item 14 of the 2014 OSRI.*

### FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 72.5% conformity on Item 19. Performance has declined in each quarter of FFY 2014 with Q4 performance at 65.00%. The DCFS reports caseworker visits with children in foster care based on the federal performance requirements. However, the dashboard enhancement plan includes the addition of a report to capture all face to face visits with children in foster care. While this enhanced report will capture the frequency of documented visits with children, the case review process will continue to be an essential tool for assessing the quality of visits.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 71.4% conformity on Item 14, previously Item 19. Performance increased in Q2 and Q3, with 83.3% and 86% respectively, declining to 84% in Q4. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item increased from 65% for FFY
2014 to 84% for FFY 2015. The Annual Federal Caseworker Visits Report indicates 96% conformity, which is the same as FFY 2014 and shows improvement over previous years.

The Louisiana case review data collected in FFY Q1 2015 and FFY Q2 2015 was collected using the old data system and reflects a discrepancy in this item. The discrepancy affects the item rating less than 1%. DCFS plans to continue the use of OMS, which will result in closer data accuracy. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY Q1 2016.

FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 84% conformity on Item 14. Louisiana’s performance in this item slightly decreased from 84% in RP3 2015 to 83% for RP1 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Visits Completed</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Visits In Residence</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Annual Report Submitted to the Children’s Bureau on Monthly Caseworker Visits with Children in Foster Care

### CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well-being 20:</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36.36%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caseworker visits with parents</td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63.64%</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal Caseworker Visits Report Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2014</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/15</td>
<td>7/1-12/31/15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 20/15: Caseworker visits with parents</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40.20%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59.80%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 20 information changed to being captured in Item 15 of the 2014 OSRI.*
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
**Well-Being 15:** | Caseworker Visit with Parents | | |
 | Strength | 47 | 49% | 48 | 48% |
 | Area Needing Improvement | 48 | 51% | 52 | 52% |
 | Not Applicable | 25 | NA | 20 | NA |

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 36.36% conformity on Item 20. Performance declined in Q2 and Q3. Performance for Q4 was 36.46%. While data is available on case worker visits with parents, this is not currently being reported from the information system. The dashboard enhancement plan includes adding a report this item.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 40.2% conformity on Item 15, previously Item 20. Performance improved in Q2 and Q3 with 43% and 61% respectively, declining in Q4 with 49% conformity. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item increased from 36.46% for FFY 2014 to 49% for FFY 2015, but this is still an area needing improvement.

FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 49% conformity on Item 15. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this item decreased slightly from 49% in RP3 2015 to 48% for RP1 2016. This is an area needing improvement.

**WELL-BEING OUTCOME 2:**
Children Receive Appropriate Services to Meet Their Educational Needs

**CQI Case Review**

--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
**Well-being 21:** | Educational needs of the child | | |
 | Strength | 73 | 83.91% | 72 | 86.75% | 59 | 79.73% | 56 | 81.16% |
 | Area Needing Improvement | 14 | 16.09% | 11 | 13.25% | 15 | 20.27% | 13 | 18.84% |
 | Not Applicable | 33 | NA | 37 | NA | 46 | NA | 51 | NA |

ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY2015 Q1 10/1-12/31/2014 | FFY2015 Q2 1/1-3/31/2015 | FFY2015 Q3 4/1-6/30/2015 | FFY2015 Q4 7/1-12/31/15
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
**Well-being** | Strength | 59 | 78.7% | 62 | 87.3% | 61 | 88.0% | 57 | 83.0% |

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY2015 Q1 | FFY2015 Q2 | FFY2015 Q3 | FFY2015 Q4
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
21/16: Educational needs of the child | Area Needing Improvement | 16 | 9 | 8 | 12
| Not Applicable | 45 | 31 | 33 | 51
*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 21 information changed to being captured in Item 16 of the 2014 OSRI.

ITEM | OUTCOME | RP 3 CY2015 | RP 1 CY2016
--- | --- | --- | ---
Well-Being: Educational Needs of the Child | Strength | 54 | 83.0% | 59 | 89.0%
| Area Needing Improvement | 12 | 17.0% | 7 | 11.0%
| Not Applicable | 51 | NA | 54 | NA
*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014, Q1 CQI case review found the State had 83.91% conformity on Item 21. Performance has fluctuated during the year with Q4 at 81.16% conformity.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 78.7% conformity on Item 16, previously Item 21. Performance did rise to 88% during FFY 2015 year, but fluctuated ending the year with Q4 at 83% conformity. In comparison, Louisiana’s performance in this Item decreased slightly from 83.91% in Q1 FFY2014 to 83% in Q4 FFY 2015, with fluctuations throughout both years. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

FFY 2016 RP3 2015 case review found the state had 83.0% conformity on Item 16. During RP1 2016, conformity was 89.0% and increase of 6%.

**WELL-BEING OUTCOME 3:**
Children Receive Adequate Services to Meet Their Physical and Mental Health Needs

**CQI Case Review**

| ITEM | OUTCOME | FFY2014Q1 | FFY2014Q2 | FFY2014Q3 | FFY2014Q4
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Well-being 22: Physical health of the child | Strength | 83 | 76.15% | 78 | 72.90% | 48 | 49.48% | 74 | 68.52%
| Area Needing Improvement | 26 | 23.85% | 29 | 27.10% | 49 | 50.52% | 34 | 31.48%
| Not Applicable | 11 | NA | 13 | NA | 23 | NA | 12 | NA

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
ITEM OUTCOME FFY2015 Q1 10/1-12/31/2015 FFY2015 Q2 1/1-3/31/2015 FFY2015 Q3 4/1-6/30/2015 FFY2015 Q4 7/1-9/30/2015  
Well-being 22/17: Physical health of the child  
Strength 71 68.3% 65 73% 62 69% 56 58%  
Area Needing Improvement 33 31.7% 24 27% 28 31% 41 42%  
Not Applicable 16 NA 13 NA 12 NA 23 NA  
* FFY 2015 Q2 Item 22 information changed to being captured in Item 17 of the 2014 OSRI.

ITEM OUTCOME RP 3 CY2015 7/1/2015-12/31/2015 RP 1 CY2016 01/01/2016-06/30/2016  
Strength 56 57.7% 51 56%  
Area Needing Improvement 41 42.3% 40 44%  
Not Applicable 23 NA 29 NA  
*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 76.15% conformity on Item 22. Performance in subsequent quarters has been lower than Q1. The DCFS dashboard contains a report on timely initial medical and dental assessments. Additional reports are planned for ongoing medical and dental evaluations. Dashboard reporting will not capture unusual events requiring non-routine medical needs. This level of assessment will continue to be derived through the case review process.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the State had 68.3% conformity on Item 17, previously Item 22. Performance fluctuated during the year with an increase to 73% in Q2. There was a decrease in overall performance in both Q3 to 69% and 58% in Q4. The Louisiana case review data collected in FFY Q1 2015 and FFY Q2 2015 was collected using the old data system and reflects a discrepancy in this item. The discrepancy affects the item rating less than 1%. DCFS plans to continue the use of OMS, which will result in closer data accuracy. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

FFY 2016 demonstrated a consistent conformity on Item 17 with little variation between the review periods. In RP3 CY2015 CQI case review found the state had 57.7% conformity on Item 17. In RP1 CY2016 CQI case reviews showed a slight decrease with 56% conformity on item 17.
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CQI Case Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2014Q1</th>
<th>FFY2014Q2</th>
<th>FFY2014Q3</th>
<th>FFY2014Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2013</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2014</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2014</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 23: Mental/behavioral health of the child</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>85.32%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>79.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.68%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q1</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q2</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q3</th>
<th>FFY2015 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1-12/31/2015</td>
<td>1/1-3/31/2015</td>
<td>4/1-6/30/2015</td>
<td>7/1-9/30/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being 23/18: Mental/behavioral health of the child</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FFY 2015 Q2 Item 23 information changed to being captured in Item 18 of the 2014 OSRI.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>RP 3 CY2015</th>
<th>RP 1 CY2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td># OF CASES</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-Being 18: Mental/Behavioral Health of the Child</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Needing Improvement</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to Louisiana switching from a quarterly review cycle to a 6 month review cycle, RP 3 CY2015 includes 3 months of data from FFY 2015. In FFY 2016, Louisiana switched from a calendar year review cycle to a federal fiscal year review cycle to align with the FFY and CFSR time frames. This switch caused Louisiana to undergo a preparatory period from 7/1/2016-9/30/2016 when no CFSR reviews were completed.*

FFY 2014 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 85.32% conformity on Item 23. Performance declined in Q2 and Q3. Improvement is reflected in Q4 with 84.16% conformity.

In FFY 2015 Q1 CQI case review found the state had 82.2% conformity on Item 18, previously Item 23. Performance declined in Q2 with a rating of 79.5%. There was a slight increase in performance in Q3 with a rating of 82%. Performance decreased to 75% in Q4. In preparation for the 2018 CFSR, Louisiana began bi-annual case reviews in FFY 2015. FFY 2015 Q4 data for this item includes case review data for FFY 2016 Q1.

FFY 2016 demonstrated a consistent conformity on Item 18 with little variation between the review periods. In RP3 CY2015 CQI case review found the state had 74.7% conformity on Item 18. In RP1 CY2016 CQI case reviews showed a slight increase with 75% conformity on item 18.
UPDATE: PLANS FOR IMPROVEMENT - GOALS AND ACTION STEPS IN 2017 APSR

STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION for STRATEGY 1: The DCFS engaged various stakeholders in the review of data and development of strategies, goals and action steps to improve outcomes of safety, permanency, and well-being. Stakeholders included a social service director from a federally recognized tribe, the consumer and community stakeholder group (PQI/CQI subcommittee) and the state level PQI/CQI team. Feedback from the regional CQI quarterly case review exit interviews with various levels of staff was also used to inform this process as were other collaborative efforts listed below.

The Children’s Justice Act Task Force works with community partners collaboratively to improve investigative, administrative, prosecutorial and judicial processes for child victims of abuse and neglect by advancing systemic reform through innovative and evidence based policies, programs, practices and training. The CJA task force is made up of individuals from law enforcement, Judges, Attorneys, CASA, health and mental health professionals, the DCFS, parents and representatives of parent groups, former victims of abuse and neglect, and individuals who work with individuals with disabilities and homeless children.

The CJA task force has supported trainings for departmental staff, CASA, CAC’s and law enforcement regarding child victims with disabilities. The task force has also funded the 15th JDC Family Preservation Court project, human trafficking training with HP Serve, and Powerful Paws. The task force worked with community partners to submit a grant for human trafficking within child welfare.

Stakeholders from the community are invited to participate in regular scheduled meetings to update the task force on initiatives to support the Department’s efforts to address child abuse and neglect. Recent presentations included the Louisiana Sheriff’s Association, Louisiana Children or [Child Advocacy Centers (CAC) and CASA], and the East Baton Rough Coroner’s office. CJA also partnered with the Department to provide business cards for all law enforcement, which contains the centralized intake number for reporting child abuse and neglect.

The Together We Can Conference, which is a multi-disciplinary training on abuse and neglect for CASA, CAC, Judges, parents’ and children attorneys, social workers, Indigent Defenders, law enforcement, educational and mental health professionals and DCFS staff, is also supported by the task force efforts.

Update FFY 2016: For additional information on stakeholder involvement please refer to the following sections in this plan:

- Collaboration
- Consultation and Coordination Between the State and Tribes
- Systemic Factor - Agency Responsiveness to the Community
- Foster and Adoptive Parent Diligent Recruitment Plan
- Systemic Factor – Foster and Adoptive Parent Licensing, Recruitment and Retention
- Systemic Factor - Staff Training
STRATEGY 1: Focus on child safety and child and family strengths and well-being

Goal: Improve family engagement, assessment, decision making and trauma-informed care.

Population and geographic information: (Children, under 18 years of age, and families in which there have been reports of abuse and/or neglect). Services are provided to families following an allegation of child neglect and/or abuse when immediate safety concerns appear manageable, yet future risk of harm continues to be a concern. These families have been assessed as needing services that can be provided while the child remains in the home. Children ages 0-5, including substance affected newborns, and children with developmental or medical disabilities have been identified as a population of greater focus as they are at greater risk for increased safety and risk concerns. Services are provided on a statewide basis through 9 regional offices and 48 parish offices.

Action Steps:

1. Implement and Monitor Advanced Safety Focused Practice
   - Centralized Intake (CI) – improve information collection to support accurate assessments and appropriate information for decision-making by completing the following:
     - Supervisor review of Intakes by their staff;
     - Ongoing ASFP refresher trainings;
     - ACESS and Policy changes relative to Intake assessments;
     - Monitoring of ASFP implementation;
     - Supervisory ownership of assigned measures for ASFP improvement;
   - Fully align and integrate alternate responses and investigative practices into overall CPS assessment practice consistent with best practice in safety and risk assessment;
   - In collaboration with Training Academy leadership, participate in the development of safety and risk assessment tools and decision-making module for supervisory certification, and integrating trauma informed practice into ASFP;
   - Incrementally expand select staff’s knowledge to produce advanced practitioners in safety and risk assessment practice;
   - Through the CQI process, review CPS, FS, and FC cases quarterly to support quality safety and risk assessment practice to seek opportunities to appropriately respond to varying impact of traumatic stress on children, caregivers, families, and others who have contact with the child welfare system.
   - Hold quarterly meetings at both state and regional level to review progress and maintain fundamental application of effective safety and risk assessment practice through multiple means;
   - Continue to seek opportunities to orient the judiciary and legal system (building on the state wide August 1, 2014 meeting) on effective safety and risk assessment practice, through conferences, regional outreach, and other forums that requests ASFP information;
   - Develop/coordinate state and regional implementation plans utilizing statewide CQI ASFP reviews/data to include specific improvement targets in the areas of:
sufficiency of information collection, recognition of danger, and development of safety and service plans that promote child and family resilience after trauma.

- Develop and implement FS and FC program specific Advanced Safety Focused Practices (ASFP) and training for all Family Services and Foster Care staff.

2015 Update on Action Step 1:

- Supervisors are now reviewing all intakes by staff prior to approval
- Centralize Intake (CI) has provided on-going trainings for their staff. The focus was on Present Danger, Impending Danger, and the 6 areas of assessment
- New response priorities were implemented by CI and a webinar and overview of new response priorities was conducted
- Policy and ACESS was updated to include the new present and impending danger threats. New Present and Impending Danger Plans were updated and made available in policy management system
- Safety Assessment policy was updated to reflect ASFP practice
- The six areas of assessment were made a part of the CI intake process - they ask reporters information related to the 6 areas and documenting.
- Consultations with field staff was/continue to be provided by Implementation Specialists and CPS Consultants.
- Alternative Response (AR) was aligned and integrated in to the overall CPS assessment practice on August 3, 2014
- Program partnered with Marsha Silas and Training Academy to develop specialized supervisory training
- Ongoing work continued on the development of steps in the continuum of learning and application or the safety model
- Specific regional training was developed for Orleans Region and conducted by CPS Consultant and Implementation Specialist
- Consultant Matthew Gephardt offered refresher workshops on ASFP for the region during Nov./Dec. 2014
- Consultant Matthew Gephardt conducted a review of intakes for CI at the end of 2013.
- Several webinars were conducted that focused on the overview of safety and on the specific 6 areas of assessment (they were posted on the intranet for staff review at any time
- The CQI staff reviewed (second level reviewed were conducted by CPS program staff) 120 CPS cases a quarter with result utilized to inform policy and training/consultation needs. These reviews and resulting data were shared with each respective region to be utilized in their regional plans to improved ASFP
- ASFP was presented at a Judge’s meeting in January in Orleans Parish. Judges statewide attended
- The fall “Together We Can Conference” had presentations ASFP – panel and workshop which included court ordered safety plans
- Program staff presented Mandated Reporter trainings at NASW included information on ASFP
• An August 1, 2014 state wide CW meeting was held with Bureau of General Consul, Regional Administrators, Area Directors, Operation Managers and state office program staff on safety and court ordered safety plans

2016 Update – Action Step 1:
• The Pelican Center Training and Education Committee met monthly meeting which is held via conference call with attorneys, stakeholders, Judges, DCFS staff, foster parents, and other community partners on education around the Advanced Safety Focused Practice Model.
• In January 2015, the department’s ASFP model was presented at the 2015 City Family Juvenile Judges Conference in New Orleans.
• Each quarter 120 Child Protective Services cases were reviewed by the CQI implementation team to assess the implementation of advanced safety focused practice and strategies for improvements.
• The CQI reviewers consulted with CPS supervisors and workers statewide, quarterly, from January-December of 2015 to discuss CPS Investigation cases randomly selected for review during the sampling period.
• A new Form 5 FAC Safety Assessment for Facilities has been created in ACESS which will be applicable for Residential Care Facilities and Day Care Centers to capture the roles in facility investigations and to create performance metrics for facility investigations.
• The Observation page in ACESS will have an increase in the character limit on each section on the page to 5000 characters to enable better documentation of ASFP (update scheduled for May 2016).
• The AFSP Implementation Team continued to meet weekly, as part of the ongoing strategy to improve the safety practice to develop next steps, review data, and research/develop supports.

2. Provide Supervisor Mentoring and Support
• Each year state office staff will mentor a 20% collective sample of the CW supervisors in the CPS, FS and FC programs on integrating ASFP principles in the assessment of safety, risk, and the assessment of family functioning and case planning within the context of their respective programs;
• Develop targeted staff training through various mediums to address challenging practice areas (i.e. vicarious trauma, the impact of past trauma on families who are served by the system, how the system can mitigate trauma or inadvertently add new traumatic experiences);
• Develop a competency assessment tool to be utilized by front line staff, supervisors and managers/consultants with guidance from the Casey Foundation
• In order to improve the relationships of children in care with their parents, fully utilize/increase referrals to the visit coaching services provided by the Family Resource Centers (FRC) statewide by having FRC staff present to staff in each region on a quarterly basis and have that staff supported by state office program staff (CQI case review Item 11).
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- Conduct a webinar, develop practice pointers and provide ongoing training via the DCFS intranet for field staff on the ways parents can be more involved in their child’s care while in foster care. (CQI case review Item 11).

2015 Update on Action Step 2:
- A Safety Plan Development (SPD) curriculum was developed by the State Office Implementation team as a means to strengthen staff’s competency in development appropriate safety plans that supported the ASFP model. This developed curriculum was folded into a “two-day workshop” for delivery to staff. The workshops rolled out November 13, 2014 in the regions and concluded in April 2015. Feedback from the workshops were positive and appeared to help staff better understand how to safety plan to keep children safe.
- Formalized mentoring of 20% of the child welfare supervisors is still being developed. However, program staff have been involved in a number of consultative activities with supervisors and their staff around ASFP
- Ongoing work continues with Casey Foundation and the ASFP Implementation team around the refining a competency assessment for front line child welfare staff
- Case Planning training will be made available to staff the fall of 2015 in an effort to develop skills in the area of addressing present and impending danger affecting children and the parental/caregiver’s protective capacities.

2016 Update on Action Step 2 CPS & FS:
- A Comprehensive Enterprise Social Services System (ACCESS) was upgraded in March 2015 to reflect Present and Impending Danger assessments.
- All new CW staff now receive training on the ASFP model in the New Worker Orientation (NWO).
- Moving forward with the department’s goal to provide additional support and training the field to reinforce the basics of the safety practice, in November and December of 2014, and January 2015 refresher training was conducted by Matthew Gebhardt, consultant, in all the regions.
- The Implementation Specialists and CW Program Consultants provided over 140 consultations around the safety practice utilizing a mentoring approach with staff’s live cases.
- A CPS streamline Pilot was initiated (Lafayette and Covington Regions) with a goal to increase work efficiencies while maintaining/increasing the quality of documentation and assessment in investigation cases.
- Developed a “Behavioral Goal” training curriculum that will address appropriate goal writing to match identified safety threats. Training dates to be later in the year.
- Six (6) Program Consultants from the CPS, FS, and CQI programs participated in the Supervisors as Safety Decision Makers Lab on Present Danger, facilitated by ACTION for Child Protection – This was in response to improve internal consultative capacity around the safety practice.
- Each quarter a regional exit meeting is held with each region to discuss the data with regards to the Child Protective Services cases which were reviewed by the CQI team.
The Safety Implementation Specialists concluded a statewide ASFP safety plan writing training from January through May of 2015

2016 Update on Action Step 2 FC:

- A two day training was provided by State Office FC Program staff to field staff statewide on the use of the SFP model in FC practice to utilize the assessed dangers and diminished caregiver protective capacities in targeting goals for enhanced parental functioning to manage the dangers and safely care for the children.
- Teaming practice for engagement and case planning with families was expanded from the pilot regions of Lafayette, Lake Charles, Shreveport and Monroe into statewide practice with the addition of Covington, Baton Rouge, Orleans, Thibodaux and Alexandria regions and incorporation of teaming philosophy throughout FC policy.
- DCFS State Office FC Program staff also provided a one day training statewide to field staff in the FC and Adoptions programs as well as some FS staff on the integration of SFP, SDM, Assessment of Family Functioning and Case Planning through use of the teaming process to help staff understand how to more effectively manage their day-to-day job responsibilities.

Measures/Data Sources for CI:

- Fidelity Intake Assessment Review Instrument
- TIPS and ACESS Reports
- Dashboard Reports
- Case Crisis Review Feedback Reports

Measures/Data Sources for CPS and FS:

- OSRI
- Safety Focused Practice (SFP) case review instrument
- Structured Decision Making (SDM) reviews
- ACESS reports: Initial Face to Face contact (ACN0004) and Investigation Compliance Report ACN0005
- Family Services Webfocus/LaPas reports
- Repeat Maltreatment (Webfocus)

Incremental Improvement Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intake Cases Reviewed for Sufficient Information Regarding the Extent of the</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 50%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 51%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 52%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 53%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>92% Achieved</td>
<td>100% Achieved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Centralized Intake - Outcome Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Improvement</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 50%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 51%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 52%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 53%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CPI/FS Outcome Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Improvement</td>
<td>IG: 80% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>IG: 81% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI - Timely initiation of face to face contact with Alleged Victims</td>
<td>79.64%</td>
<td>IG: 80% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>IG: 81% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI – Timely completion of Present Danger Safety Assessments</td>
<td>83.48%</td>
<td>IG: 85% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>IG: 86% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS - Absence of Maltreatment Occurrence (cases with an open date during a period of time)</td>
<td>82.73%</td>
<td>IG: 83% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>IG: 84% &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS - Safe reduction of Risk</td>
<td>To be determined in Yr. 2</td>
<td><strong>See notes below</strong></td>
<td><strong>See notes below</strong></td>
<td><strong>See notes below</strong></td>
<td><strong>See notes below</strong></td>
<td><strong>See notes below</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Data extracted WebFocus ACESS Dashboard report ACN0007; data updated 4.27.2016.
- Data extracted QATS Rollup Reports from Advanced Safety Focused Practice Case Reviews

Family Services Safety and Permanence Measure: Percentage of children opened in Family Services during the reporting period who did not have a valid CPI and did not come into foster care (while FS case open or within 6 months of closure date). Ex: For FFY 2014 FS Children with an Open Date between 10/01/2013 and 09/30/2014

For the outcome measure FS –Absence of Maltreatment Occurrence, the actual performance rate exceeded the improvement goal of 83%. The performance rate of 85.18 % for the 2014 reporting period may be attributed to an array of services made available to families through nine Child Welfare Resource Centers and services provided by three Infant Teams. Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) training and consultation has been available to staff statewide, including training, conferences, and onsite practice consultation. Performance for FFY 2015 was 86.17%, which continues to exceed the established Improvement Goal for the reporting period.
In considering measurement of Safe Reduction of Risk, the intent is to capture data by way of dashboard reporting. Details of data collection have not been finalized. Based on discussions, this measure may involve examination of SDM risk levels (i.e. higher to lower risk levels or reduction of risk factors), and referrals or incidences involving reoccurrence of child maltreatment.

**Final Update (Absence of Maltreatment in FS) FFY 2016:** There continues to be progress in the absence of repeat maltreatment occurrence involving FS families. As cases loads have increased in the FS program, supports continue to address appropriate FS referrals, adherence to practice, policy updates, and the utilization of services that address actual safety threats. Additionally, FS program staff highlighted in case consultation, training, and reviews the importance of information gathering/clarity at transfer staffing as a means to better focus the FS intervention. Consultative opportunities utilize the FS field staff’s actual cases to improve upon the practice.

**RELATED FEDERAL OUTCOME MEASURES for STRATEGY 2:**

**Permanency Outcomes 1:** children have permanency and stability in their living situations;

**Permanency Outcomes 2:** the continuity of family relationships is preserved for children.

**Well-being Outcome 2:** children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs; and

**Well-being Outcome 3:** children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

**DATA SOURCES AND ANALYSIS:** Please refer to previous pages for data on federal outcome measures for Strategy 2.

**STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION for STRATEGY 2:** The DCFS continues to engage various stakeholders in the review of data and development of strategies, goals and action steps to improve outcomes of safety, permanency, and well-being. Stakeholders, those invited to participate and those who did not participate, included a social service director from federally recognized tribe, the consumer and community stakeholder group (PQI/CQI subcommittee), the state level PQI/CQI team and the DCFS Child Welfare executive management team. Further, feedback from the regional CQI quarterly case review exit interviews which included various levels of staff was also used to inform this process as well as other groups listed below.

The CQI staff continues review of the new Youth in Transition Plan with the specially developed case review instrument. All involved stakeholders continue to be consulted for collaboration in developing follow-up training as a result of issues identified through the training process and as additional issues arise in practice.
Through collaborative efforts between the Louisiana Court Improvement Project (CIP) and the DCFS, surveys were developed to obtain input from certified foster/adoptive parents regarding their involvement in the court process. The results of the initial survey(s) were provided to the foster/adoptive parents during the 2013 Foster/Adoptive Parent Association Conference and are being utilized to evaluate foster/adoptive parents training needs, as well as determine the need for additional consultation/policy enhancement.

**Update FFY 2016:** The Louisiana CIP has staff assigned to the board of The Pelican Center. By way of a MOU with DCFS and Southeastern Louisiana University, The Pelican Center created the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA). One of the LCWTA’s goals is to provide ongoing training to foster/adoptive families; the training is viewed as a means of helping to improve the retention of foster/adoptive families. During FFY 2015, surveys were conducted to obtain input from certified foster/adoptive parents and DCFS staff on training needs of foster/adoptive parents. Dr. Corie Hebert, with Southeast Louisiana University, was the survey project lead. The data collection came from three primary sources: 1) a written survey administered to foster parents at a Foster/Adoptive Parent Association Conference (2014); 2) an interactive survey of foster/adoptive parents attending the 2015 conference; and 3) an open inquiry sent to the DCFS workers (2015). In the written survey, foster parents were asked to rank order a list of training topics from MOST to LEAST important. The survey also included an open ended question which asked foster parents to list additional suggestions for training. There were 54 surveys completed; the descriptive data collected included: 1) age range – from 32 to 83; 2) gender – 38 female and 16 male; and 3) race – 27 African-American; 20 Caucasian; 2 Latino; 1 Native American; and 1 Not Stated. When the interactive survey of foster parents was conducted: 82% agreed foster parents should have prescribed training classes after pre-service training; 81% indicated having child care during training was very important; and most preferred guided discussions and role playing in training over videos/lectures.

During the inquiry for feedback from the DCFS CW staff, responses were received from a variety of staff at different levels: FC Supervisors, FC Workers, consultants to the agency, CQI and some staff asked foster parents for their input. A total of 113 suggestions were solicited and the suggestions were condensed into 41 needs. Of the 41 needs, some were suggested numerous times; therefore, the needs identified by the workers were placed in ranked order, based on the number of times a specific need was suggested. When collecting the responses to the open ended questions, it was noted that the foster/adoptive parent(s)’ expressed needs were basically the same topics that the DCFS workers suggested. The list of proposed trainings included ten topics. The top listings for the workers included: #1 - Mental Health Problems and How to Engage Birth Parents; #2 – Agency Expectations and Foster Parent Roles/Responsibilities; and #3 – Child Development. The top listings for the foster parents included: #1 – Understanding the Foster Child; #2 – Behavior Management; and #3 – Agency Expectations and Foster Parent Roles/Responsibilities. The Foster Parent Feedback on the open-ended questions included the following:

- We need CPR training/certification;
- How to navigate the system for resources for kids/how to work better with case workers;
- How to help teenagers who are aging-out; and
- We want to attend the same trainings workers attend.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
The LCWTA, using the above survey results, is working towards the development of a comprehensive foster parent training plan. This plan will outline the ongoing training foster parents must receive following initial certification. More details on training are outlined under the Systemic Factor – Training section.

The DCFS continues to advocate for the inclusion and participation of certified foster/adoptive parents on various departmental projects, such as Continuous/Performance and Quality Improvement (CQI/PQI) processes. The foster/adoptive parents’ level of participation is recorded in minute/meeting notes.

Due to efforts of the faith-based and private sector community partners, there has been an increase in activities from a state and regional level related to the recruitment and retention of foster/adoptive parents. The Faith In Families Initiative has continued to provide an avenue to access members of the faith-based community through a key contact (Louisiana Family Forum). There has been a commitment from the organization to not only recruit foster/adoptive parents, but also provide a means of support services for foster/adoptive parents (e.g., respite, mentor, trainings, heart gallery, etc.) and bring about a greater awareness of the DCFS children that are in need of a forever family.

A cooperative agreement was established between the Department and Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home. The agreement resulted from the private agency recognizing the Department’s need for assistance in the recruitment and retention of foster/adoptive families. The organization provides data on their work within the community (e.g., number of orientations and/or trainings, number of new certifications, etc.).

The Louisiana Adoption Advisory Board held another rally at the State Capitol in an effort to bring attention to the need for foster/adoptive parents and the number of children awaiting foster/adoptive families.

**Update FFY 2016:** For additional information on stakeholder involvement please refer to the following sections in this plan:

- Collaboration
- Consultation and Coordination Between the State and Tribes
- Systemic Factor - Agency Responsiveness to the Community
- Foster and Adoptive Parent Diligent Recruitment Plan
- Systemic Factor – Foster and Adoptive Parent Licensing, Recruitment and Retention
- Systemic Factor - Staff Training
STRATEGY 2: Focus on permanency for children in foster care. Concurrently prepare older youth for independent living and provide services to all that ensure their well-being.

Goal: Improve family/youth engagement, trauma-informed care and youth in transition planning

Population and geographic information: All areas of the state are being targeted for improvement efforts. Children and youth in foster care transitioning from foster care to adoption availability status will be served by the proposed improvement efforts.

Action Steps:
1. Continue implementation of the Family Team Meeting (FTM) Model
   - Continue implementation of FTM principles for family engagement and case planning to include expansion statewide;
   - Continue implementing Family Teaming process in relation to youth team building for youth transition planning;
     - Improve engagement of families or youth from initial contact. To improve performance on Items 13 & 15 of the case review process DCFS is planning the following:
   - Family Teaming is required from initiation of case work to closure in every case.
   - All Youth Transition Planning shall incorporate Family Teaming in case planning
   - Building a Trusting Relationship with Families/Youth
   - Engage parent(s)/youth to get to know them and their family.
   - Talk with parent(s)/youth about how they would like the department to help them.
   - Introduce Family Teaming Process to Families/Youth
   - Explain the Family Teaming process and discuss the benefits of creating a team of support to help with case planning and to remain a support for the family/youth after the department is no longer involve with them.
   - Work with the family/youth to plan a location and time for the Family Team Meeting.
   - Identifying, Locating, and Notifying Team Members for the Family/Youth
   - Help parent(s)/youth make a list of people (formal and informal supports) in their life, and identify strengths of those, who can be part of their team.
   - Youth ages 14 and older may identify 2 or more people (other than the worker or foster caretaker) to participate specifically as their team members.
   - Obtain contact information for people identified.
   - Have parent(s) sign a release (may use FTMP form) to allow case manager to contact team members and invite them to be part of the family team (not necessary for youth in DCFS custody).
   - Notify parent(s) of scheduled Family Team Meeting by form 475FTM and notify formal supports (all involved attorneys, CASA, FP, IL Provider) by copy of the 475FTM.
   - Meeting with the Team Members for the Family/Youth
   - Contact team members and engage them by making introductions and advising that they have been identified to be part of a family or youth’s team of support.
   - Explain what it means to be part of a family team and how to participate in a Family Team Meeting.
- Assess whether each team member can positively support the family/youth.
- Working with the Family/Youth’s Team - The Meeting
  - First team meeting is held within 3 to 4 weeks of the parent(s)/youth’s contact with the department.
  - At the beginning of the Family Team Meeting obtain signatures and dates of signatures on the Family Team Meeting Confidentiality and Sign in Sheet.
  - The family/youth tells their story and strengths are identified.
  - Review non-negotiable issues for parents related to the child’s safety, permanency, and well-being.
  - Document goals and action steps related to non-negotiables for parents that are developed with the family in the meeting.
- After the Team Meeting
  - Type names of participants into Case Plan Cover sheet in FATS.
  - Transfer notes, goals, and action steps from meeting into the appropriate assessment and planning domains on the case plan document in FATS.
  - Contact required participants (foster caretaker, attorney, CASA, or IL Provider) who did not take part in the meeting to collect input.
  - Any changes to the case plan (after the meeting with non-involved participants) must be discussed with the parent(s)/youth and agreed upon.
  - Finalize case plan within 5 days of the final meeting of a team.
  - Obtain signatures and dates of signatures for parent(s) and youth (over age twelve) prior to submitting the case plan to the court.
  - Initial case plan must be completed with signatures by the 45th day after foster care entry.
  - Provide copies of case plan to parent(s)/youth, professional partners, and the court within a minimum of 10 days prior to the next court hearing. Parent(s) may provide non-professional team members copies of the case plan. Caretakers (foster parents) are provided copies of the case plan related to any child placed in their home.
  - Meetings to assess progress and update case plans must continue to be held every 4-6 months after the plan is established. (Updates to case plans are required at a minimum of every 6 months from the date the child entered foster care).
- Maintaining the Family Youth Team
  - Continue contact with the family/youth and team members throughout the life of the case via phone, email, and/or in-person contacts.
  - Utilize the case plan goals to drive each conversation with the family/youth and their team members.
  - Document the following: ongoing involvement of team members in case documentation notes in FATS; changes in parental protective capacities in the Assessment of Family Functioning in FATS; and, progress in completing case action steps in the appropriate case plan domain in FATS.
    - Exercise engagement strategies to improve family or youth involvement in case planning; To improve performance on Items 13 & 15 of the case review process DCFS is planning the following:
- staff shall allow the family to invite individuals to participate in case planning who can support them in enhancing caretaker protective capacities and reducing safety threats (Refer to attached Practice Pointer to determine who would be appropriate to invite.);
- staff shall allow youth ages 14 and older to identify individuals to participate in their portion of case planning who they wish to have as supports and to advocate for them;
- staff shall schedule the Family Team Meeting at the convenience of the family and team members and within reasonable and safe parameters; and,
- staff shall not enter the meeting with a pre-developed case plan.
  - Encourage family or youth to share their family experiences for thorough assessment purposes;
  - Empower family or youth in the planning, decision making regarding case planning, goal setting, and identification of actions to achieve those goals.
- Develop a supplemental review instrument (that will be utilized by CQI staff in conjunction with the OSRI review instrument) to drill down on the effectiveness of the Family Teaming process and the impact on involvement in case planning.
- Utilize the right evidence-based or evidence informed mental health services, when applicable
- Screen for traumatic history and traumatic stress responses, to assist staff in understanding a child’s and his family’s history and potential triggers in creating a trauma informed case plan
- Help staff recognize through education/training how secondary traumatic stress impacts the ability to appropriately engage and empower families

**Update FFY 2016 Action Step 1:**
- FTM principles for family engagement and case planning was expanded statewide;
- In collaboration with the CWPPG presented the Family Teaming process in relation to youth team building for youth transition planning;
- Family Teaming is now required through policy from initiation of case work to closure in every case of a child in foster care.
- All Youth Transition Planning is expected to incorporate Teaming with the youth and the youth’s support system in development of the youth’s case plan
  - Federal requirements for youth ages 14 and older to be allowed to include a minimum of two individuals of their choosing in case planning activities was incorporated into policy
  - Webinar training was provided to DCFS staff statewide on the federal requirements and DCFS policy changes
- A supplemental review instrument (that is utilized by CQI staff in conjunction with the OSRI review instrument) was developed to drill down on the effectiveness of the Family Teaming process and the impact on involvement in case planning.
- An observation process was developed for the 4 pilot regions (Lafayette, Lake Charles, Monroe and Shreveport) to allow for a few team meetings per quarter to be monitored by state office program consultants to insure consistency with the Teaming model.

2. Enhance work efforts initiated through the Faith in Families initiative
   - Ensure each child exiting foster care has a permanent connection;
Monitoring through CQI reviews;
- Utilize YTP training with staff to promote the of importance of establishing permanent connections;
  - Establish more timely and appropriate permanency for children in foster care;
    - Continued practice improvement and tracking potential around concurrent planning;
      - Utilizing supervisory mentoring of FC supervisors by program staff to guide in planning for multiple permanency options in case planning;
        - Develop targeted staff training through various mediums to address challenging practice areas, i.e., vicarious trauma, the impact of past trauma on families who are served by the system, how the system can mitigate trauma or inadvertently add new traumatic experiences, etc.
        - Employ a “strength based approach” that assists staff in looking for strengths and the capacity to do what is best for children in all families;
        - Partner with other agencies and systems that interact with children and families
      - TIPS data collection system improvements through AIP process to allow for multiple case goals and a history of case goals for children in foster care.

Update FFY 2016 Action Step 2:
- Ensure each child exiting foster care has a permanent connection;
  - YTP training was provided statewide on two different occasions with all foster care and adoption staff to promote the of importance of thorough case planning, engagement of teams of support in achieving youth goals as well as establishing permanent connections and mentors for youth
  - Chafee IL contract providers were engaged as partners in preparing the statewide YTP training;
  - CASA and older youth were also engaged in the first round as partners in preparing the statewide YTP training
  - The second round of training was provided through collaborative work between the State Office Foster Care Program and CQI staff
- Establish more timely and appropriate permanency for children in foster care;
  - Continued practice improvement and tracking potential around concurrent planning;
    - Policy clarifications were made by program staff to guide field staff in planning for multiple permanency options in case planning;
    - The guidance on staff development of a teaming philosophy in working with families encouraged
      - Employing a “strength based approach” to assist staff in looking for strengths and the supporting development of the capacity to safely parent the children in the family;
Partnering with other agencies and systems that were serving those children and families to coordinate more effective service delivery

- TIPS data collection system improvements continued to be planned for through the AIP process to allow for multiple case goals and a history of case goals for children in foster care.

3.) Improve assessment of developmental/educational status and assure improved and consistent service delivery to promote progress for children in foster care.

**Year 1** – Ensure all children entering or in foster care have had an Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPDST) assessment (birth – age 20) and referral to the Early Steps (birth – age 3), Louisiana’s Early Intervention system for those infants and toddlers with disabilities upon entrance into foster care according to the Louisiana LDH guidelines. Ensure any recommendations made as a result of these assessments are followed. This is will be tracked in TIPS and other electronic data collection systems, cumulative school records and the school counselor notification form. Collect existing data for baseline.

In a separate effort, work with the LDH to develop a protocol for health screening of children entering foster care within the first 72 hours after foster care entry to establish physical health baselines on children entering foster care and insure immediate identification of any health issues to guide foster caretakers in more effective physical care of the children.

**Year 2** – Continue data collection outlined in/from Year 1 and increase compliance by 1%. Host training with Louisiana Department of Education (LDE) regarding trends for Louisiana children. Review a random selection of cases to determine compliance with educational progression. The review will capture whether the youth is in the age appropriate grade, current standardized testing results, and IEP/504 Plan status, if needed.

**Year 3** - Continue efforts and data collection outlined in/from Year 1 and increase compliance of Year 2 by 1%.

**Year 4** - Continue efforts and data collection outlined in/from Year 1 and increase compliance of Year 3 by 1%.

**Year 5** - Continue efforts and data collection outlined in/from Year 1 and increase compliance of Year 4 by 1%.

**Update FFY 2016 Action Step 3:** Efforts continued to pull Regional DCFS Educational Liaisons together on a monthly basis to review challenges to improved educational outcomes with children in foster care and work collaboratively to identify solutions.

DCFS worked with the LDH to develop a protocol for health screening of children entering foster care within the first 72 hours after foster care entry to establish physical health baselines on children entering foster care and insure immediate identification of any health issues to guide foster caretakers in more effective physical care of the children. Within a few months of Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
establishing the contract services and training staff within LDH and DCFS in utilization of the process, budgetary restraints required dissolution of this process. Other available processes such as Urgent Care Clinics and Emergency Rooms were encouraged through policy when needs were assessed which could not be immediately addressed through the child’s current pediatrician. Availability of 72 hour health screenings was also discussed in the process of identifying priority health care plans through the Healthy LA managed care system for the care of children in foster care.

3. (a.) Develop measures to show improved educational outcomes and measure work efforts

**Year 1**  – Fully implement usage of the Cumulative Medical/Educational Record (98-B) and the School Counselor Notification Form to more effectively track and support educational progress of children in foster care. Establish baseline for compliance. The Department will host meetings with the tribal, consumer & community stakeholder groups and state level PQI/CQI teams to identify elements to be included in an electronic system which will yield reports regarding the progression of foster youth through the primary, secondary and post-secondary education systems.

**Year 2**  – Collect information from the 98-B and School Counselor Notification Form to determine compliance with full implementation as established in Year 1 through CQI case record reviews. Compile elements identified in Year 1 team meetings to include in an electronic reporting system once developed. Submit identified elements to DCFS Management for inclusion to any existing systems and any subsequently developed systems.

**Years 3-5**  – Continue all efforts outlined in Year 2.

4.) Initiate mental health well-being treatment protocols for children in foster care

- Implement use of psychotropic medication tracking forms;
- Engage biological parents in decision making and in provision of authorization for use of psychotropic medications in treating children;
- State level psychiatric consultation in decision making regarding children prescribed multiple psychotropic medications;
- Screen for traumatic history and traumatic stress responses to assist staff in understanding a child’s and his family’s history for alignment with appropriate interventions.

**Update FFY 2016 Action Step 4:**

- Psychotropic medication tracking forms were implemented;
- Psychotropic medication web-based training was developed and disseminated statewide;
- A form was developed for use in working to engage biological parents in decision making and in provision of authorization for use of psychotropic medications in treating children in foster care;
- A process is used at the state level to provide psychiatric consultation to field staff in decision making regarding children prescribed multiple psychotropic medications;
(Adoption/Home Development Programs)
5.) Increase the number of adoption finalizations within 24 months of foster care entry and/or permanent connections

Year 1:
- Enhance collaboration with Faith in Families community partners;
- Monitor work efforts initiated through the Faith in Families Initiative;
- Focus on the population of youth (nine and older) available for adoption without an identified adoptive resource;
- Develop and implement targeted recruitment protocol;
- Conduct quarterly contacts with staff to review progress, enhance communication and reinforce the importance of permanency.

Year 2:
- Review recruitment efforts on children served and recruited families;
- Monitoring through CQI reviews;
- Review and disseminate the Bureau of General Counsel TPR tracking reports to adoption staff for management purposes;
- Conduct quarterly contacts with staff to review placement progress, enhance communication and reinforce the importance of permanency.

Years 3-5:
- Review trends and identify practice that results in timely permanency;
- Provide guidance/consultation to regions in achieving timely permanency goals;
- Conduct quarterly contacts with staff to review progress, enhance communication and reinforce the importance of permanency.

FFY 2016 Update on years 1 & 2 of Action Step 5:
- The Faith Based Collaborative meets every other month to discuss foster parent recruitment needs of the Department and support services needed for current foster parents.
- The Faith Based Collaborative has identified Department Liaisons and Faith Based Liaisons in every region.
- The Department was awarded two grants from the Dave Thomas Foundation to for two Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK) recruiters. Adoption incentive funds were utilized to employ two more WWK recruiters. These recruiters provide child focused recruitment to children without an identified adoptive resource. These recruiters, adoption and home development staff meet quarterly in each reach to discuss children who are freed for adoption without an identified resource.

6.) Increase the number of newly certified foster/adoptive family resources by 2% each state fiscal year

Year 1:
- Review the foster care population and specifically the children available for adoption to identify special needs population;
- Assess interests and skill level of foster/adoptive family population;
Assess regional recruitment/retention plans and review effectiveness for achieving regional placement resource needs;  
Conduct quarterly contacts with staff to review placement progress and reinforce the importance of recruitment/retention based on regional placement needs.

Year 2:  
- Develop plan for recruitment/retention;  
- Develop plan for tracking foster/adoptive family interests and skills;  
- Develop plan to improve current practice for matching families with children;  
- Focus on data collection to identify trends and develop plan for analysis of data.

Years 3 and 4:  
- Implement plan for recruitment/retention, matching of children and families, data collection/tracking and data analysis;  
- Review data with regions to support regional focus for recruitment/retention based on identified regional placement needs;  
- Provide mentoring/guidance/consultation to regions in achieving recruitment/retention plan goals.

Year 5:  
- Conduct review of foster/adoptive family closure reasons to assess challenges to retention;  
- Review trends and identify practice that results in achieving recruitment/retention plan goals.

FFY 2016 Update on Year 1 & 2 for Action Step 6: The regions evaluated their foster parent recruitment needs; and developed a plan to recruit foster parents for the identified populations. As stated above, the WWK recruiters perform child focused recruitment for children with an unidentified resource. The WWK recruiters, adoption and HD regional staff meets quarterly to staff those children and any new children who may need a WWK recruiter.

The Department started the process of evaluating the Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) to determine if it should be implemented.

Measures/Data Sources:  
- CQI case review findings (Item 18, Item 10, Item 9, and Item 8)  
- YTP case review instrument;  
- TIPS/INFOPAC reports;  
- dashboard reports;  
- AFCARS AIP;  
- Federal outcomes report;  
- FTM data tracking reports;  
- Monthly Home Development statistical reports;  
- Bureau of General Counsel TPR tracking reports;  
- New data measures will be identified or developed;
### Incremental Improvement Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Involvement of family in case planning</strong></td>
<td>Baseline=FFY2013=72.7%</td>
<td>1.1%+72.7%= Goal 73.8% Actual 55.52%</td>
<td>2%+72.7%= Goal 74.7% Actual 68% (CQI case review Item 13)</td>
<td>2.5%+72.7%= Goal 75.2% Actual 5%+72.7%= Goal 77.7% Actual 3.5%+72.7%= Goal 78.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase % of exits to permanency within 12 months for children in care 12 to 23 months</strong></td>
<td>57.92%</td>
<td>Goal 58.92% Actual 59.11</td>
<td>Goal 59.92% Actual 56.16%</td>
<td>Goal 60.92% Actual 61.92% Actual 62.92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase number of new foster/adoptive families certified</strong></td>
<td>***697 total</td>
<td>IG: Increase by 2% AP: 2% increase - total of 717 homes</td>
<td>IG: Increase by 2% (731); AP 4%: Increase total of 748 homes</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Baseline revised to include data from CQI reviews in FFY 2013.

**Using CFSR3 Permanency Performance Area 2: Of all children in foster care on the first day of the 12-month measurement period who had been in foster care between 12 and 23 months, what percent discharged from foster care to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the period. For FFY 2013, the denominator is the children who were in care on 10.01.2012 who had been in care 12 to 23 months. The numerator is the number of those children who exited to permanency (reunification with parents or primary caretakers, living with other relative(s), adoption and guardianship) by 09.30.2013.

*** Data extracted from the DCFS Tracking and Payment Information System/Louisiana Adoption Resource Exchange System.

For decreased performance with involvement of family in case planning DCFS identified the following issues in cases reviewed through the CQI process:
- Lack of worker discussion with one or all family members regarding needs and services to address needs;
- Lack of worker efforts to work with one or all family members to establish case goals or evaluate progress in achieving case goals;
- Children on runaway status during timeframe reviewed;
- Parent whereabouts unknown during timeframe reviewed;
Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services
2017 Annual Progress and Service Report

- Lack of worker efforts to locate fathers;
- Mothers not keeping worker advised of contact information;
- No documentation of worker providing mother copy of case plan;
- Lack of worker efforts to engage ICPC support in working with family and monitoring progress when parents were out of state;
- No indication of case plan meeting held;
- No case plan developed for fathers;
- Inconsistent efforts by workers to maintain regular contact with parents.

Update FFY 2015: Based on information from regional staff, the increase in the number of newly certified foster/adoptive family resources is attributed to efforts put forth to make orientation and training sessions more accessible to potential applicants. Orientations were held at churches, hospitals, regional/parish offices, libraries and college campuses. Training sessions were held on various days of the week and at least one weekend session monthly, throughout the regions. Ongoing community partnerships and events such as Over the Edge and Wait No More – 2014 have resulted in potential applicants and newly certified families. Four of the nine regions reported certification of homes that were referred to the Department through our partnership with Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home.

Update FFY 2016: During this time period DCFS exceeded its goal in recruiting foster/adoptive parents by 100%. The goal was to increase by 2%, but instead the department increased by 4%.

- Efforts to address lack of worker discussion with one or all family members regarding needs and services to address needs included the following:
  o FTM principles for family engagement and case planning were expanded statewide;
  o In collaboration with the CWPPG presented the Family Teaming process in relation to youth team building for youth transition planning;
  o Family Teaming is now required through policy from initiation of case work to closure in every case of a child in foster care.
  o All Youth Transition Planning is expected to incorporate Teaming with the youth and the youth’s support system in development of the youth’s case plan
    ▪ Federal requirements for youth ages 14 and older to be allowed to include a minimum of two individuals of their choosing in case planning activities was incorporated into policy
    ▪ Webinar training was provided to DCFS staff statewide on the federal requirements and DCFS policy changes
  o A supplemental review instrument was developed to drill down on the effectiveness of the Family Teaming process.
  o An observation process was developed for the 4 pilot regions (Lafayette, Lake Charles, Monroe and Shreveport) to allow for a few team meetings per quarter to be monitored by State Office Program Consultants to insure consistency with the Teaming model.
• Efforts to address lack of worker efforts to work with one or all family members to establish case goals or evaluate progress in achieving case goals included the following:
  o Please refer to expansion efforts related to the Teaming process noted in the previous item
• Efforts to address the issue of children on runaway status during timeframe reviewed included the following:
  o DCFS has extensive policy to ensure federal guidelines related to NCMEC and NCIC are followed; the state police are notified; efforts to locate the child are implemented; and steps are taken to assess the well-being and meet the needs of the child when returned from runaway status
  o Assessment of the child upon return from runaway status include assessment of any potential risk of involvement in trafficking
  o DCFS also has resources for referral of youth for additional assessment and/or support if behaviors indicate a high or very high risk of runaway behavior
    ▪ This is provided through collaboration with the Chafee IL providers
• Efforts to address the issue of parent whose whereabouts were unknown during timeframe reviewed included the following:
  o DCFS utilizes the Thomson Reuters CLEAR web-based search tool to try to locate parents whose whereabouts are unknown
  o DCFS partners with the Department’s, Child Support Enforcement program to utilize the Federal Parent Locator Service to locate parents whose whereabouts are unknown
  o DCFS has policy to guide field staff in consistent, ongoing activities to attempt to locate parents whose whereabouts are unknown
• Efforts to address the lack of worker efforts to locate fathers included the following:
  o DCFS has policy to guide field staff in the location, notification and engagement of all parents of a child in foster care, which includes requesting court intervention during case review hearings to have the judge order any parent or other family members present to provide information on any missing parent
• Efforts to address the issue of mothers not keeping worker advised of contact information included the following:
  o DCFS has policy to guide field staff in advising all parents of a child in foster care as well as a Parents Rights and Responsibilities document to provide those parents, which includes advising the parent of the responsibility of keeping the worker advised of contact information
  o Additionally DCFS policy guides workers who are experiencing difficulty in this area to request court intervention during case review hearings to have the judge order any parent for whom this is a problem to provide current contact information
• Efforts to address the issue of no documentation of worker providing mother copy of case plan included the following:
  o DCFS has policy which requires provision of case plan documents to all parents, youth, foster caretakers of children in foster care and legal stakeholders, including parents’ attorneys
Policy will be updated to clearly state staff are required to make a case note documenting they actually provided a copy of the case plan to all involved parties as indicated, including the mother.

- **Efforts to address the lack of worker efforts to engage ICPC support in working with family and monitoring progress when parents were out of state included the following:**
  - This will be addressed through policy updates in the coming year and through webinar discussion with field staff.

- **Efforts to address the issue of no indication of case plan meeting held included the following:**
  - DCFS has policy which requires case plan meetings a minimum of every six months with all families with a child in Foster Care.
  - Completion of case plan revisions every six months based on the case plan meetings have to be documented in the TIPs data system in the section for Case Events.
  - Staff are required to meet with supervisors every six months to review the department recommendations to be made during the case plan meeting based on parental progress and the best interests of the children.
  - All of these activities are required to be documented in the case record.
  - The case plan developed during case plan meetings is required by law and policy to be submitted every six months to the court for case plan review and approval.

- **Efforts to address the issue of no case plan developed for fathers included the following:**
  - The information provided in the previous item related to no indication of a case plan meeting would be applicable to the development of case plans developed for fathers.

- **Efforts to address the inconsistent efforts by workers to maintain regular contact with parents included the following:**
  - DCFS follows SDM guidelines for contact with parents for the duration of the department’s efforts to support the parents in achieving reunification.
  - DCFS policy guides staff to continue contacts with parents on a minimum of monthly or more frequently if necessary to achieve the best interests of the child for the duration of the department’s work with that family which typically concludes at the point of termination of the parent’s rights to all children in the family or the resolution of an appeal following a termination of parental rights.
  - Staff are only allowed to minimize contact with parents when parents refuse contact, a parent is in an institution such as a prison which denies agency contact or approved through the court by court order.
PLANS FOR IMPROVEMENT FOR FFY 2018: In 2016 DCFS narrowed the focus of plans for improvement for FFY 2017. The focus was on the areas where performance data has consistently shown the need for improvement. In 2017, after an assessment of the most recent case review data, it appears these goals, strategies and action steps still need improvement and are being carried over for FFY 2018.

Through the CQI case review process, DCFS has consistently performed below expected outcome levels in Items 5, 6, 8, 11, 12B, 13 and 15 of the current federal OSRI. These areas include timely establishment of an appropriate permanency goal, timely achievement of a permanency goal, visits between parents, children and siblings, the relationship of child in care with the parents, a needs assessment and services to parents, child and family involvement in case planning and caseworker visits with parents. Louisiana Data Profile has not been available for the last two reporting years; however, previous reports of federal data also indicated that DCFS has performed below the federal outcome measure in the areas of repeat maltreatment and placement stability.

The Department believes these federal outcome measures could be positively impacted by the revised goals and action steps outlined on the following pages.

RELATED FEDERAL OUTCOME MEASURES for STRATEGY 1:

Safety Outcome 1: children are first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect; and

Safety Outcome 2: children are safely maintained in their own homes whenever possible and appropriate.

Permanency Outcome 1: children have permanency and stability in their living situations

Permanency Outcome 2: the continuity of family relationships is preserved for children.

Well-being Outcome 1: families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children’s needs;

STRATEGY 1: Focus on child safety and child and family strengths and well-being

Goal: Improve family engagement, assessment, decision making and trauma- informed care.

Population and geographic information: (Children, under 18 years of age, and families in which there have been reports of abuse and/or neglect). Services are provided to families following an allegation of child neglect and/or abuse when immediate safety concerns appear manageable, yet future risk of harm continues to be a concern. These families have been assessed as needing services that can be provided while the child remains in the home.

Children ages 0-5, including substance affected newborns, and children with developmental or medical disabilities have been identified as a population of greater focus as they are at greater
risk for increased safety and risk concerns. Services are provided on a statewide basis through 9 regional offices and 48 parish offices.

**Action Step 1: Ongoing Monitoring of Safety Focused Practice (SFP)**

- Continue efforts to fully align and integrate overall CPS assessment consistent with best practice in safety and risk assessment;
- In collaboration with the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA), participate in the development of safety and risk assessment tools and decision-making module for supervisory certification program;
- Develop/coordinate state and regional improvement plans utilizing statewide CQI ASFP reviews/data to include specific improvement targets in the areas of: sufficiency of information collection.

**Update Action Step 1 FFY 2017:** Efforts continue to improve safety practice during 2016-2017, while focusing on ensuring that the review process assisted in improving practice. A workgroup was developed to enhance Quality Assurance in the review protocols. The workgroup included all CPS consultants, the Safety Focused Practice (SFP) Implementation Specialists, and the CQI Safety Focused Practice (SFP). The workgroup consisted of eight trainings/meetings in which each SFP review question was reviewed amongst team members to ensure the questions were understandable, accurate, and reliable. Several cases were reviewed to ensure reliability and accuracy among panel members. The goals of the group were achieved as reviewers were able to improve consistency in review practices and dissemination of review data to field staff. This effort reduced the amount of errors found by the second level reviews of the SFP reviews recently conducted. Exit Conferences were held with field staff to discuss the results of the individual case reviews.

In May of 2016, a regional exit meeting was held with each region to discuss the data with regards to the child protective services cases which were reviewed. In attendance in each meeting were the Regional Administrator, Area Directors, Supervisors, Managers, CPS Consultants, FS Consultants, CPS Manager, and the FS Manager. Information was provided to the region with regards to the six areas of assessment, identifying Present and Impending Danger, identifying Caretaker Protective Capacities, and Structured Decision Making (SDM). The regions data was discussed through a PowerPoint presentation to reflect the regions current data as well as prior data from previous quarters. CPS Program Consultants led these meetings and provided feedback for the regions during these conferences. CPS Consultants highlight strengths and areas of improvements with each region. Information as to next steps and areas where the region feels they would benefit from assistance were discussed. This data was placed on the DCFS intranet where it is now readily available to all staff. Since this information is now placed on the intranet, regional staff is able to use this information to assist them with overall performance throughout multiple time periods. In an effort to strengthen SFP with the regional staff, an emphasis was placed on ensuring that the Advanced Safety Implementation Specialists completed individual consultations with supervisors and managers as new workers received SFP training from an SFP implementation specialist during their new worker orientation. A total of 223 SFP case consultations were completed this year which was an increase from prior years. The goal was to ensure that these consultations were not with regional management, but with
frontline workers and supervisors so staff felt comfortable asking questions about information they may not know, but feel as though they should know. Emphasis was always placed on ensuring that the consultations were completed to where the person is able to understand present danger, impending danger, and the six areas of assessment.

When assessing the data comprehensively, it suggested that workers are predominantly continuing to conduct allegation-based investigations rather than conducting an overall assessment to guide decision-making. Much work has been done to address this, such as specific exercises regarding present and impending danger scenarios were sent by to each group of field staff scheduled for a consultation. Staff was expected to identify present danger and impending danger in each example prior to meeting. During the meeting each employee had to read their answer discussing their rational, and then had to read the corresponding definition for the answer selected. The employee was asked if the information met the definition description and the process continued until the employees made a correct determination for threats for each example. Workers generally made the correct determination after reading the threat definition without the consultant giving the correct answer. The consultant provided guidance for the exercise/examples through discussions. The consultation did not end until each person understood how to assess present danger and impending danger for each exercise and understand how it applied to all cases assessed. Workers brought individual cases to work through to assist with determination of present and impending danger. The six areas of assessment were discussed to include necessary information to make a determination about the safety of the child(ren) within the home.

Activities Planned for Action Step 1 in FFY 2018:
- Continue efforts to fully align and integrate overall CPS assessment consistent with best practice in safety and risk assessment;
- Continue the distribution of data on safety reviews and conversations around the results of reviews to directly impact practice.

Action Step 2: Assess staff knowledge and skills in family engagement, assessment, case planning and service delivery.
- Analyze CQI findings from case reviews and findings from Teaming Observations to assess integration of the teaming process in staff practice of engaging, empowering and planning with families and their team of support.
- Utilize analysis of CQI case reviews and Teaming Observations to develop training plan to educate field supervisors in more effectively guiding field staff in more supportive and purposeful contacts with families and their teams to engage them more fully, and complete better assessments of family situations, and thus better inform the case planning and service delivery process.
- Train staff statewide via KIT conferences and utilize the Child Welfare Policy & Practice Group (CWPPG) to conduct training on making contacts matter with families, family supports, caretakers of children in foster care and stakeholder more meaningful and productive.
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- CQI will develop, pilot and explore implementation of practice focused supervisor consultation with supervisors in the Supervisory Training and Professional Development Program through the Child Welfare Training Academy.
- In the PQI data subcommittee drill down on case review data to client demographic and program specific levels and determine a plan of action.

Update Action Step 2 FFY 2017:
- Teaming observations were ended to prioritize other agency endeavors. The time of the CWPPG and the funds available for their services was dedicated to a pilot with the East Baton Rouge Parish field supervisors to provide one-to-one mentoring in more effectively managing the daily work efforts of staff.
- FC Program staff was available for consultation as needed by field staff.
- DCFS strives to continue to provide field staff with information to assist them in their work with children. Foster Care Program provides monthly webinars to provide information to case managers. Some of the most recent topic for kit conferences has been the JAG Program, JOB Corps, Youth Challenge, Annual Legislative Updates and Current issues on Psychopharmacology.
- CQI piloted the practice focused supervisor consultation program with 7 front line supervisors participating in the CWTA Supervisory Training and Professional Development Program. In January 2017 a new group of 22 supervisors began the program. The subject of consultations provided by CQI staff is on the top areas needing improvement related to the items in the OSRI instrument. The curriculum includes 6 modules with an optional 7th module.
- The CQI data subcommittee (formerly PQI data subcommittee) meets quarterly to analyze and develop reports on drill down case view data. In FFY 2017 the subcommittee held two meetings with middle management to present data findings and to conduct planning sessions.

Activities Planned for Action Step 2 in FFY 2018:
- Staff will continue to be available for consultation as needed by field staff.
- Continued efforts will be placed on one-to-one mentoring in more effectively managing the daily work efforts of staff.
- The CWTA Supervisory Training and Professional Development Program will continue. The subject of consultations provided by CQI staff is on the top areas needing improvement related to the items in the OSRI instrument. The curriculum includes 6 modules with an optional 7th module.
- The CQI data subcommittee will continue to meet quarterly to analyze and develop reports on drill down case view data.

Measures/Data Sources for CI:
- Fidelity Intake Assessment Review Instrument
- TIPS and ACESS Reports
- Dashboard Reports
- Case Crisis Review Feedback Reports
Measures/Data Sources for CPS and FS:
- OSRI
- SFP case review instrument
- SDM reviews
- ACESS reports: Initial Face to Face contact (ACN0004) and Investigation Compliance Report ACN0005
- Family Services WebFocus/LaPas reports
- Repeat Maltreatment (WebFocus)

Incremental Improvement Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Improvement Goal 50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement Goal 51%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 52%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 53%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal 54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Cases Reviewed for Sufficient Information Regarding the Extent of the Maltreatment</td>
<td>48% Achieved</td>
<td>100% Achieved</td>
<td>95% Achieved</td>
<td>100% Achieved</td>
<td>100% Achieved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI - Timely initiation of face to face contact with Alleged Victims</td>
<td>79.64% IG: 80% AP: 78.11%</td>
<td>IG: 81% AP: 82.06%</td>
<td>IG: 82% AP: 77.84%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI – Timely completion of Present Danger Safety Assessments</td>
<td>83.48% IG: 85% AP: 82.50% (297 yes out of 360 reviews)</td>
<td>IG: 86% AP: 87.03% (416 Yes out of 478 reviews)</td>
<td>IG: 87% AP: 84.2% (101 Yes out of 120 Reviews)</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS - Repeat Maltreatment +</td>
<td>Not Available at this time</td>
<td>Not Available at this time</td>
<td>Not Available at this time</td>
<td>Not Available at this time</td>
<td>Not Available at this time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS - Repeat Maltreatment</td>
<td>82.73 IG: 83%</td>
<td>IG: 84%</td>
<td>IG: 85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>% Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>% Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>% Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>% Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence (cases with an open date during a period of time)</td>
<td><strong>See notes below</strong></td>
<td>AP: 85.18%</td>
<td>AP: 86.17%</td>
<td>AP: 84.78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FS Repeat Maltreatment Measure: Percentage of children opened in Family Services during the reporting period who did not have a valid CPI and did not come into foster care (while FS case open or within 6 months of closure date). For FFY 2014 FS Children with an Open Date between 10/01/13 and 09/30/14.**

+ **Louisiana Federal Data Profile**

In considering measurement of Safe Reduction of Risk, the intent is to capture data by way of dash board reporting. Details of data collection have not been finalized. Based on discussions, this measure may involve examination of SDM risk levels (i.e. higher to lower risk levels or reduction of risk factors), and referrals or incidences involving reoccurrence of child maltreatment.
RELATED FEDERAL OUTCOME MEASURES for STRATEGY 2:

Permanency Outcomes 1: children have permanency and stability in their living situations;

Permanency Outcomes 2: the continuity of family relationships is preserved for children.

Well-being Outcome 2: children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs; and

Well-being Outcome 3: children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

STRATEGY 2: Focus on permanency for children in foster care. Concurrently prepare older youth for independent living and provide services to all that ensure their well-being.

Goal: Improve family/youth engagement and youth in transition planning

Population and geographic information: All areas of the state are being targeted for improvement efforts. Children and youth in foster care transitioning from foster care to adoption availability status will be served by the proposed improvement efforts.

Action Steps:
I.) Continue implementation of family engagement and empowerment strategies for case planning

- Improve engagement of families or youth from initial contact.
  - To improve performance on Items 13 & 15 of the case review process DCFS is planning the following:
    - Developing family and youth support systems for case planning
    - Preparing supportive partners for participation in case planning
    - Incorporating family, youth and support system recommendations in the case plan goals and actions
  - Provide field supervisors statewide training
    - To assess field staff practice to identify areas for development and provide supportive guidance
      - Engaging and empowering families and youth
      - Having more effective and purposeful contacts with families, youth and supportive partners

- Utilize the case plan goals to drive each conversation with the family/youth and their team members.
  - Continue to engage biological parents in decision making and in provision of authorization for use of psychotropic medications in treating children.
- Increase referrals to Family Resource Centers (FRC) for children and families served in the foster care program
• Promote greater utilization of and involvement in the FRC’s Visit Coaching program for both staff and families.
  • Screen for traumatic history and traumatic stress responses, to assist staff in understanding a child’s and his family’s history and potential triggers in creating a trauma informed case plan
    o Help staff recognize through education/training how secondary traumatic stress impacts the ability to appropriately engage and empower families

**Update Action Step 1 FFY 2017:** Targeted support related to Teaming practice was provided in the Baton Rouge region through one-to-one supervisory mentoring by the Child Welfare Policy and Practice Group through November and December 2016 to strengthen supervisory skill in guiding staff practice with families. In March and April 2017 additional training on the Teaming practice was provided to staff in the FS and FC programs in Baton Rouge region to ensure clarity in how the concepts of teaming with families should inform practice and more fully engage families and their support systems in facilitating the case planning process.

Foster Care Consultants began providing monthly case consultation to field staff working with each youth preparing to age out of foster care within the upcoming six month timeframe to ensure a permanent connection was established for each youth and to offer assistance in establishing other necessary community supports, connections and services when needed to support each youth’s ability to transition into adulthood successfully.

Foster Care Consultants and Family Services Consultants share contract monitoring responsibility for the FRC holding joint calls to assess service delivery successes and challenges. They have worked together to encourage greater field utilization of services through contact with field CW Managers. Consultants make joint visits to the FRCs periodically to assess service delivery.

The FS Unit and FC Unit have partnered in the statewide rollout of the trauma screening practice which is in the final stages of implementation. They have worked jointly with Tulane University in providing the training to field staff, updating policy where necessary, providing field support in implementation, and developing tracking mechanisms to monitor the utilization of the trauma screening process.

**Activities Planned for Action Step 1 in FFY 2018:**
  • The assessment of the service array available for parents of children in foster care will be a targeted area for work.
  • There will be greater emphasis on improved utilization of case contacts and more purposeful interactions with families to assess the progress of parents in improving their capacity to care for their children safely.
  • The service delivery and case planning around youth ages 14-17 in FC has been separated into a new unit within DCFS for High Risk Youth. The development of this unit to more effectively utilize department resources in preparing these youth for the transition to adulthood will be a primary focus of the department.
2.) Establish timely and appropriate permanency for children in foster care and ensure each child exiting foster care has a permanent connection;

- Effective 10/2016, implement case review staffing on a subsample of cases from the CQI case review sample in all regions throughout the state. (i.e. process similar to the one utilized in the Alexandria Region in early 2016).
- Follow-up on statewide YTP training to filed staff by offering programmatic mentoring to supervisory units to promote the importance of establishing permanent connections and offer guidance in YTP planning around establishing permanent connections;
- Analysis of CQI findings from YTP reviews to identify potential practice improvement opportunities and provide tracking data around concurrent planning for programmatic and supervisory use in staff guidance and support in the case planning process, particularly when youth have a case goal of APLA.
- Make TIPS data collection system improvements through AIP process to allow for multiple case goals and a history of case goals for children in foster care.
- Ensure each child exiting foster care has a permanent connection;
  - Monitoring through CQI reviews;
    - Utilize CQI case review staffing using the CASEY modified Permanency Roundtable guidelines for those older youth (16-17) with an unidentified adoptive resource or permanent connection.
    - Follow up on statewide YTP training to field staff by offering programmatic mentoring to supervisory units to promote the importance of establishing permanent connections, and offer guidance in YTP planning around establishing permanent connections;
  - Establish more timely and appropriate permanency for children in foster care;
    - Continued practice guidance around concurrent planning, particularly for youth with APLA goal

TIPS data collection system improvements through AIP process to allow for multiple case goals and a history of case goals for children in foster care.

Provision of policy updates and webinar education to field staff on importance of identification of relatives for placement of children who are capable of achieving certification

- Develop staff awareness of how to effectively educate families on the benefits of certification to the achievement of long-term permanency of children
- Support field staff in the development of strategies for overcoming barriers to relative certification
Update Action Step 2 FFY 2017: Webinar trainings, mentoring to field staff and supervisory units, and individual case consultation to promote the importance of establishing permanent connections, and offer guidance in YTP planning around establishing permanent connections were offered. These included promoting the use of the Foster Club Permanency Pact.

CW staff continues to work with systems staff and IT staff to improve the TIPS data collection system through the AIP process to allow for multiple case goals and a history of case goals for children in FC. Continued challenges in achieving timely change include: availability of IT staff resources to complete changes, department focus and allocation of funds to researching and developing overall system replacement as opposed to current system enhancement, the complexity of the technological change process, and the need for prioritization of FC specific technological changes in the queue of CW needs.

Staff works with the regions on assessing each non-certified relative placement and determining the barriers to the certification of the relative caretaker. Staffings are held with field staff in each region at least monthly to continue monitoring progress of relative placements in the certification process and brainstorming solutions to any barriers.

A new foster/adoptive family pre-service training curricula (The Journey Home) was developed and is being rolled out statewide, which has a special module for relative caretakers to help ensure their understanding of the role they are committing to fulfill.

Activities Planned for Action Step 2 in FFY 2018:

- Develop case tools to support staff decision making in planning for the most appropriate case goal for children and families.
- Provide practice support in continued staff skill development in utilization of teaming principles to more fully engage and guide families in goal achievement.
- Explore the Open Table model for use in building teams of permanent connections for youth as they age out of care.
- The department will endeavor to recruit foster/adoptive parents committed to working with older youth to ensure more stable and permanent outcomes for older youth, through the “Wendy’s Wonderful Kids” (WWK) project.

3.) Assess data on placement stability and analyze reasons for placement disruption.

- Obtain comments entered into OMS for Item 4 of the CQI case review process to identify trends related to placement stability.
- Convene a workgroup of staff, youth, foster parents, residential providers, and behavioral health providers to review identified trends and develop recommendations for improved outcomes.

Update Action Step 3 FFY 2017: Several youth panel discussions have been held in relation to the Legislative Task Force on Youth Aging Out of Foster Care, the Louisiana Youth Leadership Advisory Council, and the Child Welfare Assistant Secretary’s Youth Needs Focus Groups. The information gathered from these discussions has been helpful in identifying challenges to
placement stability and will be a source of information for further analysis in moving forward with planning for achieving greater placement stability, particularly for older youth in foster care.

**Activities Planned for Action Step 3 in FFY 2018:** Continued analysis of CQI data and utilization of information collected from various youth panels over the past year in planning for achieving greater placement stability, particularly for older youth in foster care.

4.) Increase the number of adoption finalizations within 24 months of foster care entry and/or permanent connections

- Utilize CQI case review staffing, as mentioned in Action Step 2 above using the CASEY modified Permanency Roundtable guidelines, for those older youth (16-17) with an unidentified adoptive resource or permanent connection.
- Review trends and identify practices utilized in the staffing process in the Alexandria Region pilot that resulted in achieving more timely permanency or permanent connections, and communicate through policy as well as providing guidance/consultation to other regions in implementing similar practices.

**Update Action Step 4 FFY 2017:** Webinar training, mentoring to field staff and supervisory units were offered. Individual case consultations were offered to promote the importance of establishing permanent connections, and offer guidance in YTP planning around establishing permanent connections, which included promoting the use of the Foster Club Permanency Pact.

Foster Care Consultants began providing monthly case consultation to field staff. The consultations included working with each youth preparing to age out of foster care within the upcoming six month timeframe to ensure a permanent connection was established and to offer assistance in establishing other necessary community supports, connections and services when needed to support each youth’s ability to transition into adulthood successfully.

The Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) was introduced statewide to change the staff perspective on greater partnering with foster caretakers in the way children and youth are served. Emphasis was placed on encouraging improved relationships between foster caretakers and the families of the children and youth, and developing greater commitment of foster caretakers to the parenting role.

**Activities Planned for Action Step 4 in FFY 2018:**

- Explore the Open Table model for use in building teams of permanent connections for youth as they age out of care.
- Recruit foster/adoptive parents committed to working with older youth to ensure more stable and permanent outcomes for older youth, through the WWK project.
- The QPI will expand statewide to include focus on developing families with greater commitment to supporting the successful transition of older youth to permanency and ensuring staff commitment to improved partnership in working with foster caretakers of our youth.
### Use the tracking system to collect data that includes:
- the date of TPRs, the date of transfer from foster care to adoption, the date of filed petition, and the date of adoption finalization. This information will be submitted monthly by the adoption units to identify trends/barriers to permanency.
- WWK recruiters will begin to accept youth with the goal of APLA to assist in identifying permanent connections.

### Measures/Data Sources:
- OSRI;
- YTP case review instrument;
- TIPS/INFOPAC reports;
- dashboard reports;
- AFCARS AIP;
- Federal outcomes report; and
- Bureau of General Counsel TPR tracking reports.

### Incremental Improvement Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Involvement of family in case planning</strong> Baseline= FFY2013= 72.7%</td>
<td>1.1%+72.7%= Goal 73.8% Actual 41% (CQI case review Item 18)</td>
<td>2%+72.7%= Goal 74.7% Actual 68% (CQI case review Item 13)</td>
<td>2.5%+72.7%= Goal 75.2% Actual = 53% AP</td>
<td>3%+72.7%= Goal 77.7%</td>
<td>3.5%+72.7%= Goal 78.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase % of exits to permanency within 12 months for children in care 12 to 23 months</strong> 57.92% Goal= 58.92% Actual= 59.11</td>
<td>Goal= 59.92% Actual = 56.16%</td>
<td>Goal= 60.92% Data Not Available</td>
<td>Goal= 61.92%</td>
<td>Goal= 62.92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement Stability + 92.4%</td>
<td>Data Not Available</td>
<td>Data Not Available</td>
<td>Data Not Available</td>
<td>Data Not Available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*B Baseline revised to include data from CQI reviews in FFY 2013.
**Using CFSR3 Permanency Performance Area 2: Of all children in foster care on the first day of the 12-month measurement period who had been in foster care between 12 and 23 months, what percent discharged from foster care to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the period. For FFY 2013, the denominator is the children who were in care on 10.01.2012 who had been in care 12 to 23 months. The numerator is the number of those children who exited to permanency (reunification with parents or primary caretakers, living with other relative(s), adoption and guardianship) by 09.30.2013.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
*** Data extracted from the DCFS Tracking and Payment Information System/Louisiana Adoption Resource Exchange System.
+ Louisiana Federal Data Profile
ADOPTION AND FOSTER CARE ANALYSIS AND REPORTING SYSTEM ASSESSMENT REVIEW:

AFCARS Review Findings: The official AFCARS Review findings were received February 10, 2014, and the AFCARS Improvement Plan was provided to the Dallas Regions VI ACF office on March 13, 2014.

Staff identified areas needing modification and initiated planning for changes immediately following the review.

- Some areas of focus are:
  - Reporting population corrections identified:
    - Accurately capturing the foster care population – Removals improperly reported for children in care under 24 hours;
    - Fully capturing the adoption population – Private agency adoptions not reported.

- Other data element corrections identified:
  - Diagnosed Conditions – under-reported
    - Circumstances associated with a child’s removal from home – under-reported,
    - Incorrect reporting of the primary basis for a child’s special needs,
    - Need to more fully record all locations of the child while in DCFS custody.
  - System Issues recognized:
    - Defaults and Mandatory Screens/Fields,
    - Multiple Systems/Databases,
    - Certain key information is overwritten,
    - Obsolete values and values not representative of what is being collected,
    - Incomplete data collection.
  - Data Quality needs recognized:
    - Additional reports to facilitate improvement in data quality,
    - Develop and maintain data quality assurance process that links to a CQI process including OJJ,
    - Ongoing staff training and supervisory oversight
    - Timely Data Entry and Flow of Data Entry/Screen Design.

General Requirements (23)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Foster Care (8)</th>
<th>Adoption (3)</th>
<th>Technical (11)</th>
<th>Data Quality (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
Data Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Factor</th>
<th>Foster Care (66)</th>
<th>Adoption (37)</th>
<th>Total (103)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 (15%)</td>
<td>8 (22%)</td>
<td>18 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21 (32%)</td>
<td>5 (14%)</td>
<td>26 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35 (53%)</td>
<td>23 (62%)</td>
<td>58 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AFCARS Improvement:** Implementation of quality data improvement measures post AFCARS audit included:
- Changes to service authorization sort to allow for more accurate results;
- The capacity level for institutions was changed from 15 beds to 13 beds;
- Updated major/minor service codes;
- Removed default coding with regards to placement settings;
- “Home of Parent” is no longer coded as “Relative Foster Care”;
- Reassigned values for case closure;
- Updated eligibility and payment codes;
- Remapped disability codes.
- An AIP report was submitted July 15, 2014.

AIP reviews became part of the CQI process in April 2014. Between April 2014 and September 30, 2014 there were 47 cases reviewed. There were many errors identified with date of birth of caretakers. The Hispanic origin of the family and the court location are generally accurately recorded. The reasons for the child’s removal usually include only one reason as opposed to all the reasons for removal. The caretaker family structure documentation is typically inaccurate. Both the caretaker dates of birth and caretaker family structure typically reflect the initial foster caretaker(s) of the child as opposed to the caretakers from whom the child is removed at initiation of the foster care episode.

**Successes in the AIP implementation have included:**
- Greater staff awareness of importance of timely, complete and accurate data entry;
- Greater staff understanding of the data elements;
- Greater program awareness of necessary technological changes and data reporting to support practice; and
- Enhanced program and operations collaboration in planning for improved data collection.

**Concerns in the AIP implementation have included:**
- Lack of a SACWIS system for more effective data collection and reporting;
- Multiple legacy systems which must be assessed for compatibility and assessed for impact related to proposed technological changes;
- Coordination of change efforts with another government agency under another government department;
A goal of the Child Welfare Program in the 2015-2019 CFSP was to begin utilizing data more effectively in the management of the program. To achieve this more accurate, complete and timely data entry is necessary. The successes of the AIP have supported this achievement.

AIP tasks completed:

1) General Requirements
   - Monthly data report on case events for periodic reviews and case plans completed. Report is provided to CQI staff for each region. CQI staff alert workers regarding reviews coming due or overdue. Workers are required to update data entries. Action to improve data entry is implemented at each phase of the reporting process.
   - Conference call held with regional Performance Measures Consultants regarding where the child is removed from a custodial parent and placed with a non-custodial parent with DCFS holding legal custody of the child to inform and achieve more accurate data collection.
   - The DCFS piloted a centralized data entry portal for linking all legacy systems called CAFE. CAFE provides staff with alerts regarding case events coming due and alerts to supervisors when case events are overdue to help monitor timely entry of data. CAFE training was provided to training facilitators in CW statewide 3/2014. CAFE training was provided to staff in CW statewide 5/2014.
   - Management report developed to flag key AFCARS data elements that are potential errors. Training developed and delivered on June 17, 2014 for Supervisors to teach them how to use WEBFOCUS management reports.

2) Elements
   - Local Agency (FIPS Code) - FIPS code re-mapped to court of original jurisdiction and does not change from point of FC entry. Conference call held with Performance Measures Consultants regarding change to court location as the source of the FIPS code. Provided instructions for updating the court screen in TIPS (103 screen).
   - Date of Most Recent Periodic Review – The DCFS reviewed and confirmed accuracy of code used to extract data from case events. The OJJ corrected data field. Conference call held with regional Performance Measures Consultants regarding this data element to inform and achieve more accurate data collection.
   - Has the child been clinically diagnosed with a disability – A field was added to the case events to identify if the child received an examination. Conference call held with regional Performance Measures Consultants regarding this data element to inform and achieve more accurate data collection.
• Date of first removal from the home – Coding added to replace open date with first IV-E placement if the first placement is non-IVE.
• Date child was discharged from last foster care episode - Gap coding removed. Do not count children in care less than 24 hours. Do not count episodes in which the only placement of the child was a non-IVE placement.
• Date of placement in current foster care setting – Gap coding removed.
• Number of previous placement settings – Gap coding removed.
• Actions or conditions associated with child’s removal - Conference call held with regional Performance Measures Consultants regarding this data element to inform and achieve more accurate data collection.
• Is current placement setting outside of the state or tribal service area - Changed coding to look at STATE field instead of PARISH field. If STATE is not Louisiana and not blank then value set at out of state. If STATE is not Louisiana and blank then blank stays blank. Otherwise, not out of state.
• Caretaker family structure – OJJ completed programmatic corrections.
• Year of Birth (First Principal Caretaker) – OJJ completed programmatic corrections.
• Year of Birth (Second Principal Caretaker) – OJJ completed programmatic corrections.

AIP Tasks Completed FFY 2014-2015
• Corrected FIPs coding to reflect court of original jurisdiction to ensure it would not change from point of entry for children entering DCFS custody through court order
• Established parish of case record location as FIPS code for children entering by voluntary placement agreement as these cases are only open on a temporary basis, do not have court involvement, and do not change location.
• An AFCARS case review instrument was implement
• DCFS developed and delivered training on June 17, 2014 for field supervisors to teach them how to use WEBFOCUS management reports for planning around practice improvement.
• Webinar meetings are held every two weeks with Regional Administrators and Performance Measures Consultants as well as other DCFS staff as appropriate to stress the importance of accurate and timely data entry, provide feedback on identified problem areas, and offer guidance on improved performance
• Removed system defaults related to child’s race and ethnicity
• Modified programming code to determine if a child entered foster care as a safe haven relinquishment and then automatically report FC element 16 (Has the child ever been adopted?) as “unable to determine” to ensure data consistency
• System adapted to capture age of child at previous adoption if child is identified as having been previously adopted.
• Removed gap coding related to the way the number of previous placement settings during a removal episode is calculated.
• Coding modified to check and accurately reflect in the data submission whether the child’s current placement setting is outside of the state service area.
- Modified coding to more accurately map to the reason for discharge of the child from FC.
- Completed program coding modifications around the determination of eligibility of the child for IV-E benefits.
- Completed program coding modifications to accurately extract information on children still in foster care receiving pre-adoption assistance.

Additional AIP Tasks Completed and Underway FFY 2015-2016:

- Findings from the AFCARS case review were used to provide a statewide webinar on improving data quality related to the assessed elements
- Webinar meetings continue to be held every two weeks with Regional Administrators and Performance Measures Consultants as well as other DCFS staff as appropriate to stress the importance of accurate and timely data entry, provide feedback on identified problem areas, and offer guidance on improved performance
- Enhanced system to capture more accurately when a child was in DCFS custody less than 24 hours
- Implemented system changes to capture information on private agency adoptions
- Made system change to allow for identification of primary special need in determining child eligibility for adoption subsidy
- Developing a new program code to track placement of a child with a non-custodial parent
- Developing a new program code to track when a child is placed in a certified relative/fictive kin placement, but the placement is opting to receive SSI rather than IV-E eligible maintenance payments
- Improved case event coding for Administrative Reviews, Case Review, and Case Goal establishment
- Provided staff training on accurate and complete data entry related to child race and ethnicity to include data entry related to all identified races of the child
- Worked on ensuring consistency in race data reported in NYTD and TIPS
- Coding changes to more accurately report first removal from home in conjunction with first eligible placement
- Programming code revised to remove gap logic for counting placements and number of removals of the child from the home and determination of when the child was discharged from foster care
- Improved extraction coding related to identification of date of placement of child in current foster care setting
- Programming changes and staff training to more accurately reflect all actions or conditions associated with a child’s removal
- Changes to coding to more accurately reflect child’s current placement setting and map to the most accurate description for AFCARS reporting purposes
- Clarification of policy around identification and data entry on caretaker family structure and marital status for both children’s parents and foster caretakers
- Modified the program code to check if the child’s adoption subsidy agreement is for Medicaid only, and ensured this would map to “yes” for AD element 35 (Is the Child Receiving a Monthly Subsidy)
Change was made to calculate the monthly adoption subsidy amount by multiplying the payable rate by the number of days in the month. The rate used is from the payment detail record and not from the adoption agreement. AD element 36

Additional AIP Tasks Completed and Underway FFY 2016-2017:
- Initiated work on case plan goal history table to show timeframes for all case goals throughout history of case, including any concurrent case goals and timeframes applicable for those goals
- Drafted screens for more accurate disability coding, with capacity to retain a history table of disabilities along with begin and end dates of diagnoses
  - Capacity to enter data only once in the TIPS system and push to other systems automatically where disability information is also stored
  - Revision of TIPs and LARE data systems to align in the manner in which disability information is reflected and have it auto-populate from TIPs to LARE reducing data entry and potential for human error
- Continued to achieve improved data extraction through improved data entry by continuing staff education on timely, accurate data entry as measured by CQI case reviews
- Started technical changes to create capacity to collect and store information on both biological and legal parents of a child who enters care after an adoption, so the original information on the biological parents does not get erased and replaced by the information on the child’s current legal parents at re-entry
- Started technical changes to modify programming code to collect date of mother’s parental rights termination and date of legal/putative father’s parental rights termination
- Completed improved coding and extraction logic around race and ethnicity of foster caretakers
- Improved data extraction coding related to identification of child as Safe Haven in FC element 16 to set response to “unable to determine”
- Improved data extraction coding related to identification of how old a child was when adoption was legalized.
- Completed technical changes to prevent system from showing a change in placement for a child when the status of the placement provider changed, e.g., when a non-certified relative placement gets certified.

AIP Tasks Planned for Initiation in FFY 2017-2018:
- Finalization of work on disabilities screen;
- Finalization of work on case goal history;
- Finalization of work on temporary, planned absences from the foster home; and
- Initiating work efforts around AFCARS 2.0.
SECTION 2: COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND LEGISLATION:

TITLE IV-E FOSTER CARE ELIGIBILITY REVIEW: This regulatory review of the foster care program focuses on whether a child meets title IV-E eligibility requirements for foster care maintenance payments. The review team, comprised of federal and state representatives, examines cases for federal eligibility requirements, such as the following:

- A court order confirming the need to remove the child from the home,
- A court order confirming the state’s reasonable efforts to preserve the family, when it is safe to do so, and to finalize a permanency plan,
- A valid agreement for the child voluntarily placed in foster care and a court order authorizing continued placement,
- Completed criminal background checks on prospective foster and adoptive parents,
- Compliance with safety requirements for licensed foster care providers and child-care institutions,
- Compliance with proper payments.

Louisiana’s last review was held January 2017 and the Department passed the review; however, the audit revealed that the following areas needing improvement (ANI):

(1) Court orders need to be reviewed for accuracy regarding “contrary to the welfare” home and dates within the orders. To address this ANI, the Federal Programs section reviews sample court orders and federal and agency policy guiding decisions with analysts in monthly conference call trainings.

(2) Fingerprint criminal background checks need to be reviewed for completion prior to foster home certification. To address this ANI, the Federal Programs section distributed policy reiterating certification requirements for relative and fictive kin certifications.
HEALTH CARE OVERSIGHT AND COORDINATION PLAN: The Child Welfare (CW) Division of the Department provides comprehensive health care services for children in foster care with multi-level oversight and ongoing consultation with physicians and other healthcare professionals. The plan was developed in collaboration with the Louisiana Department of Health (LDH), Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ), foster parents, youth in care, and others. The Health Care Services plan is operational as a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the DCFS, LDH and OJJ.

Children will receive health care services according to the following schedule:

1. Initial medical screenings
   A.) For newborns accepted into Foster Care (FC), the examination must occur prior to hospital discharge,
   B.) For children other than newborns entering FC, the examination must occur within 7 calendar days of FC entry,
      ○ Exceptions Include:
          ▪ Entered foster care from a medical facility,
          ▪ Documentation of medical exam and findings within the past 30 days.
   ● Will include screening of current development, medications, immunization status, hearing, speech and vision;
   ● For children under 6 years of age will include universal blood lead screening;
   ● Will be completed by licensed physician, physician’s assistant, or nurse practitioner;
   ● Shall result in documented description of child’s medical status and recommendations for ongoing medical care, equipment and services;

2. Regular periodic medical screenings
   ● Must occur after birth as follows for children under 2 years of age
      ○ 1 month
      ○ 2 months
      ○ 4 months
      ○ 6 months
      ○ 9 months
      ○ 12 months
      ○ 15 months
      ○ 18 months
      ○ 2 years
   ● All screenings must be at least 30 days apart.
   ● Must occur a minimum of annually for children ages 2 through 17.
      ○ Clarification:
          ▪ Exam to be scheduled no sooner than 12 months from the date of the previous exam and no later than 14 months from that date
          ▪ Exam to occur during this 12 to 14 month time frame even if the child has had other medical exams in the interim
   ● Will include screening of current development, medications, immunization status, hearing, speech and vision,
In accordance with Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 48:V.§7005, will include blood lead screening of children ages 6 months to 72 months who reside or spend more than 10 hours per week in any Louisiana parish in accordance with practices consistent with the current Center for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines and in compliance with Louisiana Medicaid;
Will be completed by licensed physician, physician’s assistant, or nurse practitioner,
 Shall result in documented description of child’s medical status and recommendations for ongoing medical care, equipment and services.

3. Will be completed by an assistant, or nurse practitioner.
 Shall result in documented description of child’s medical status and recommendations for ongoing medical care, equipment and services.

4. Specialized medical exams, services and equipment
 Will not require referral by current treating physician,
 Will be completed by licensed physician, physician’s assistant, or nurse practitioner with credentials in area of specialization,
 Shall result in documented description of child’s medical status and recommendation for ongoing care,
 Medically necessary equipment will be provided to the child according to physician recommendations,
 Medically necessary transportation will be provided to the child according to physician orders,
 Medically acute hospital care, emergency room services, rehabilitation of hospital services, psychiatric hospital care, medical tests, laboratory test, x-rays, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, and other medically necessary services will be provided according to physician orders and in accordance with Medicaid or parental insurance guidelines,
 Other specialized medical clinic services such as family planning, prenatal, substance abuse, mental health, dialysis, radiation, sexually transmitted disease, tuberculosis, etc., will be provided according to physician orders and in accordance with Medicaid or parental insurance guidelines,
 Waiver supports and services are provided as available and based on eligibility,
 Drug trails or experimental treatment is not provided to any child in FC for the purpose of research or treatment unless the child’s condition is such that:
   ○ all other options for treatment have been exhausted,
   ○ there is no hope for improvement or recovery,
   ○ potential risks do not outweigh the experimental opportunity to the child,
   ○ the child, based on ability to understand, has been consulted and agreed,
   ○ the child’s parents have provided written agreement for the child’s participation, and,
   ○ the judge with ongoing jurisdiction in the child’s “Child In Need of Care” proceeding is in agreement with the treatment.

5. Initial dental screenings
 At the eruption of the first tooth for infants,
6. Regular periodic dental screenings
   ● Every 6 months,
   ● More frequently as indicated by risk or susceptibility to oral disease,
   ● Will be completed by licensed dentist,
   ● Shall result in documented description of child’s oral health and recommendations for ongoing dental care.

7. Inter-periodic dental screenings may occur when:
   ● Oral health concerns arise,
   ● Will be completed by licensed dentist,
   ● Shall result in documented description of child’s oral health and recommendations for ongoing dental care.

8. Initial mental health screening
   ● Completed within 15 days of FC entry.
   ● Completed by child’s FC case manager.

9. Follow-up mental health screenings
   ● Arranged based on indicators:
     ○ in the initial screening,
     ○ in child’s current level of functioning in child’s home, school, and/or social environment,
     ○ in child’s emotional condition.
   ● Will be completed by professionally licensed and credentialed:
     ○ Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW),
     ○ Licensed Professional Counselor (LPC),
     ○ Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist (LMFT),
     ○ Child Psychologist,
     ○ Child Psychiatrist.
   ● Shall utilized only tests and diagnostic tools absolutely necessary to adequately assess identified areas of concern,
   ● Shall result in documented description of child’s mental health status and recommendations for ongoing mental health care.

Health needs identified through screenings will be monitored and treated, including emotional trauma associated with a child's maltreatment and removal from home;

1. Treatment for identified medical care needs:
   ● Provided in adherence to all physician recommendations to maintain medical well-being of child and in accordance with Medicaid or parental insurance guidelines,
Immunizations to follow current American Academy of Pediatrics “Recommended Immunization Schedule”,
- Specialized vaccinations to be provided upon recommendation of child’s current physician in periods of widespread epidemic,
- Will be completed by licensed physician, physician’s assistant, or nurse practitioner,
- Shall result in documented description of child’s medical status and recommendations for ongoing medical care, equipment and services.

2. Treatment for identified dental care needs:
- Provided only to resolve oral health issues,
- Preventive services for physically handicapping and medically necessary malocclusions impacting swallowing or speech,
- Medicaid covered or parent contracted and financially subsidized services only,
- Will be completed by licensed dentist,
- Shall result in documented description of child’s oral health and recommendations for ongoing dental care.

3. Treatment for identified mental health care needs:
- Arranged based on:
  - Recommendations by professionally licensed and credentialed evaluator (i.e., LCSW, LPC, LMFT, Child Psychologist, or Child Psychiatrist),
  - Evidence of child’s current level of functioning in child’s home, school, and/or social environment,
  - Child’s emotional condition,
  - Child’s readiness to participate in treatment.
- Completed by professionally licensed and credentialed professionals:
  - Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW),
  - Licensed Professional Counselor (LPC),
  - Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist (LMFT),
  - Child Psychologist,
  - Child Psychiatrist.
- Involve medication only when: medically necessary and all other options insufficient, and the minimum necessary dosage are utilized,
- Documentation of the description of child’s mental health status,
- Documentation of ongoing mental health care.

Medical information will be updated and appropriately shared, which may include developing and implementing an electronic health record:

Updating a child’s health information
- The child’s foster caregiver collects documentation of all health care services provided to a child at the point of service.
- FC case managers collect documentation of health care services during monthly visits with the child and the child’s caregiver.
● FC case managers maintain health care services documentation in the child’s case record.

Sharing a child’s health information
● FC case managers provide copies of the child’s health care information:
  ○ at a minimum standard of every six months to the parents at case planning meetings,
  ○ at least every six months through report the court,
  ○ prior to or at placement with any foster caregivers.
● Information may be provided to the child, foster caregiver or parents at any time needed or requested,
● Information is provided to other service providers only as needed to access services to meet the child’s care needs or to provide for the protection of others when the child has a communicable disease.

Development and implementation of an electronic health record
● A database is maintained for electronic documentation and the updating of children’s health records within the case plan system.
● The database is accessible to all departmental staff to track child’s health care updates from different areas of the state, when feasible.

How the state actively consults with and involves physicians or other appropriate medical or non-medical professionals in assessing the health and well-being of children in foster care and in determining appropriate medical treatment for the children; and

Monitoring for medical, dental and mental care needs:
● Each medical provider will be responsible for monitoring the child’s well-being and the impact of all treatment provided,
● The child’s foster caregiver will be responsible for daily monitoring of the child’s health status and for accessing the appropriate services as needed to address any health concerns which arise,
● The child’s parents will be engaged in making health care decisions, authorizing treatment and participating in examinations and treatment to the fullest extent possible and in the best interests of the child,
● The DCFS or OJJ FC case manager will monitor the child’s health status through monthly visits with the child and child’s caregiver, collection of documentation of all screenings, examinations, assessments, testing, evaluations or treatment as well as consultation with health care providers as needed,
● LDH will insure the Department and OJJ are informed of changes with Medicaid coverage for children in Foster Care.

Steps to ensure the components of the transition plan development process that relate to the health care needs of youth aging out of foster care, including the requirements to include options for health insurance, information about a health care power of attorney, health care proxy, or other similar document recognized under state law, and to provide the child with the option to execute such a document:
All youth age 16 and older will be informed by their foster care, adoption or juvenile justice worker of the importance of establishing a health care power of attorney, also known as a health care proxy or health care mandate. The worker will explain to the youth that a health care power of attorney is an advanced directive to appoint another person to make health care decisions in the event the individual is unable to make these decisions for him or herself. The worker will also explain that the health care power of attorney is a contract and legal document and only adults (persons age 18 or older or persons who have been emancipated) can enter into a contract in Louisiana. This will include the worker encouraging the youth to discuss establishing a health care power of attorney with his or her court appointed attorney prior to reaching age 18 and explaining there is a legal sequence of persons who may consent to medical treatment for an individual in the absence of a health care power of attorney as follows, pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statute 40:1299.53:

- Any adult for himself,
- The judicially appointed tutor or curator of the patient, if one has been appointed,
- The agency acting pursuant to a valid mandate, specifically authorizing the agency to make health care decisions,
- The patient’s spouse, not judicially separated,
- Any adult child of the patient,
- Any parent, whether adult or minor, for his or her child,
- The patient’s sibling.

LDH provides the DCFS and OJJ staff information from their databases regarding Medicaid covered services provided to children in custody of the respective agency upon request.

**Steps to ensure continuity of health care services, which may include establishing a medical home for every child in care:** Through creation of the Medicaid managed care system known as Healthy LA the child’s medical home is the managed care provider. Even if the child changes physicians for any reason the child managed care provider can identify another care provider within the same provider network to resume healthcare services.

**The oversight of prescription medicines, including protocols for the appropriate use and monitoring of psychotropic medications:** The Department developed specialized forms and policy to address the use of psychotropic medications with children in foster care. The protocols established require psychotropic medications only be used as a last resort after all other less-intrusive behavioral modification options for treatment have been exhausted or emergency circumstances warrant the medical intervention to protect the child or others from harm. The protocol requires parental authorization for psychotropic medication usage if the parents retain parental rights to the child unless emergency situations exist or treatment is court ordered in the best interests of the child. The protocol requires only a psychiatrist or psychiatric nurse practitioner be allowed to prescribe psychotropic medications for a child in state custody. The protocol requires all proposed psychotropic medications be discussed with the child as appropriate, the parents and the foster caregiver to include potential side effects prior to administration of the medication.
The Department is also currently partnering with LDH to utilize the services of a psychiatrist for state level consultation by departmental staff regarding children prescribed multiple psychotropic medications to assess the impact of long-term usage of multiple medications.

**How the state actively consults with and involves physicians or other appropriate medical or non-medical professionals in assessing the health and well-being of children in foster care and in determining appropriate medical treatment for the children; and**

Monitoring for medical, dental and mental care needs:
- Each medical provider will be responsible for monitoring the child’s well-being and the impact of all treatment provided,
- The child’s foster caregiver will be responsible for daily monitoring of the child’s health status and for accessing the appropriate services as needed to address any health concerns which arise,
- The child’s parents will be engaged in making health care decisions, authorizing treatment and participating in examinations and treatment to the fullest extent possible and in the best interests of the child,
- The DCFS or OJJ foster care case manager will monitor the child’s health status through monthly visits with the child and child’s caregiver, collection of documentation of all screenings, examinations, assessment, testing, evaluations or treatments as well as consultation with health care providers as needed,
- LDH will insure the DCFS and OJJ are informed of changes in Medicaid coverage for children in foster care.

**Activities Planned in FFY 2015-2019 to improve health care and oversight of children and youth in foster care:**
- Research American Academy of Pediatrics for best practice protocols in health care services for children,
- Revise Health Care Oversight and Coordination Plan, re-establishing multi-department Memorandum of Understanding,
- Establish regular data sharing routine with LDH for psychotropic medication monitoring,
- Evaluate and develop extension of current psychotropic medication consultation process with psychiatrist for children receiving multiple medications.

**Update FFY 2015:** The CW Division of the Department provides comprehensive health care services for children in foster care with multi-level oversight and ongoing consultation with physicians and other healthcare professionals. The plan was developed in collaboration with the Louisiana Department of Health (LDH), Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ), foster parents, youth in care, and others. The Health Care Services plan is operational as a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the DCFS, LDH and OJJ. Currently, there are no changes or additions to the Health Care Oversight and Coordination Plan developed previously.

- For children entering FC, an infectious and communicable disease screening by a qualified medical practitioner must occur with 72 hours of the time the child enters custody and the initial physical examination must now occur within 5 calendar days of
The only exception currently allowed is when the child entered foster care from a medical facility.

- DCFS has re-established the MOU to with OCDD which ensures a coordinated system of support and services including Early Steps services and Medicaid Waiver services for children and youth with developmental disabilities who are in the custody of DCFS or at risk of placement.

- Psychotropic Medication Use with Children in custody - Policy expectations regarding oversight and safe, effective use of psychotropic medications by children in foster care have been developed, and teleconference training has been provided to staff.

- DCFS continues to have a regular data sharing routine with LDH which now includes the identification of those children in custody on multiple psychotropic medications.

- DCFS is conducting bi-weekly scheduled psychopharmacology consultations with an Office of Behavioral Health (OBH) representative, a Board Certified Child Psychiatrist and staff, on children in foster care identified as being on multiple psychotropic medications.

- DCFS worked in collaboration with The Louisiana Child Welfare Trauma Project (LCTP) to improve access to needs-driven, evidence-based mental and behavioral health services in child welfare. Training and trauma assessment tools were piloted in the Covington Region.

- Included Adoption staff in CWPPG training on the teaming process in the pilot regions of Lake Charles, Lafayette, Shreveport and Monroe to insure teams of support is built around children available for adoption and families who have committed to adoption to help achieve more timely and successful adoptions.

- Policy revisions for case staffing reviews quarterly by supervisors and workers on each case in foster care to require particular consideration in cases involving children ages 5 and under to insure developmental level is being reviewed, appropriate services are being provided, level of risk is being thoroughly assessed, and appropriateness of concurrent planning completed.

- Introduced principles of teaming and the use of teaming with children freed for adoption and for families who have committed to adopting a child to build teams of support to help the achieve timely, sustainable adoptions in the five new regions (Baton Rouge, Thibodaux, Covington, Orleans and Alexandria) where teaming will be implemented in the latter part of this FFY (2015) and next FFY (2016).

- Regular Medicaid healthcare providers (pediatricians and Family Physicians) provided EPSDT services to children through the regular exam protocol documented herein.

**Update FFY 2016:**

- DCFS continues working in collaboration with the LCTP to improve access to needs-driven, evidence-based mental and behavioral health services in child welfare. Training and trauma assessment tools are now being provided in the following regions: Baton Rouge, Lafayette, Covington and Alexandria.

- Continued partnership with a group of stakeholders which includes representatives from LDH-OBH (the state’s behavioral health managed care entity), OCDD, OJJ, LDOE and the state Medicaid program in forming a ADHD taskforce working to develop best practices surrounding proper utilization of psychotropic medications, developing and
adapting parameters for use of psychotropic medications with children in FC and discussion of solutions on how to best serve Louisiana youth.

• Staff is being trained on psychotropic medications through the new worker, 24 week training curriculum. Psychotropic medication with children in FC is a requirement for part of the in-service training for foster/adoptive parents.
• DCFS has continued to regularly share data with LDH to identify those children in DCFS custody on multiple psychotropic medications. Information is shared via DCFS and LDH data systems (i.e., TIPS, MMIS-MARS).
• Continued bi-weekly psychopharmacology consultations with OBH representative, a Board Certified Child Psychiatrist and DCFS staff, on children in FC identified as being on multiple psychotropic medications. As a result, practice and procedure regarding the use of psychotropic and children in foster care is being better implemented ensuring best practice methods.
• DCFS has worked with HP Serve to develop both Risk of Homelessness assessments and potential Human Trafficking (HT) victim assessments for use in working with youth in FC to more effectively serve these populations of youth; this is including development of policy around getting medical exams and referring for services when youth are returned from a runaway episode or identified as being involved in sex trafficking.

Update FFY 2017:
• A trauma representative is now included in bi-weekly psychopharmacology consults to assist in identifying treatment needs and improve access to needs-driven, evidence-based mental and behavioral health services.
• Conducting follow-up psychopharmacology consultations on foster care cases identified as having more extreme circumstances as an additional departmental effort to assure continued oversight and safe, effective use of psychotropic medications by children in state custody.
• Offering quarterly webinar trainings to educate/train staff on psychotropic medication.
• Continuing to utilize the Homelessness Risk Assessment and the HT Risk Assessment in working with youth.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:
• Review of proposal that calls for the establishment of a tele-psychiatry program for a complex cohort of children/adolescents in the FC system in Louisiana.
• Continued utilization of Homelessness and HT Risk Assessment Tools.
SERVICES TO CHILDREN UNDER AGE 5

In FFY 2014 the Department served the following children (by race) who were age 5 or younger who had a goal of reunification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>African-American</th>
<th>% African American</th>
<th>American Indian</th>
<th>% American Indian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>% Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>49.11%</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>44.13%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>50.89%</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>44.84%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2704</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>44.49%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>% Caucasian</th>
<th>More than 1 race</th>
<th>% More than 1 race</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>% Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>Missing Data</th>
<th>% Missing Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>49.11%</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>49.85%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.24%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>50.89%</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>48.04%</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.78%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2704</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>48.93%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3.51%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FFY 2015, the Department served the following children (unduplicated count, by race) who were age 5 or younger and had a goal of reunification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>African-American</th>
<th>% African American</th>
<th>American Indian</th>
<th>% American Indian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>% Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>49.52%</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>42.44%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>50.48%</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>45.04%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2793</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>43.75%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>% Caucasian</th>
<th>More than 1 race</th>
<th>% More than 1 race</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>% Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>Missing Data</th>
<th>% Missing Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>49.52%</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>50.69%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>50.48%</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>50.72%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.04%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2793</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>49.09%</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3.97%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data extracted on April 29, 2016 from WebFocus Developer Studio

Updated Data for FFY 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>African-American</th>
<th>% African American</th>
<th>American Indian</th>
<th>% American Indian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>% Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,346</td>
<td>50.51%</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>41.68%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,319</td>
<td>49.49%</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>39.58%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,665</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1,083</td>
<td>40.64%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The table below addresses **ethnicity** of children who were age 5 or younger who had a goal of reunification in FFY 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>% Caucasian</th>
<th>More than 1 race</th>
<th>% More than 1 race</th>
<th>Native Hawaiia n</th>
<th>% Native Hawaiia n</th>
<th>Missing Data</th>
<th>% Missing Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,346</td>
<td>50.51%</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>51.71%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.76%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,319</td>
<td>49.49%</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>53.30%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.25%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,665</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>52.50%</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.02%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table below addresses **ethnicity** of children who were age 5 or younger who had a goal of reunification in FFY 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>% Hispanic</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>% Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>Missing Ethnicity</th>
<th>% Missing Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>49.11%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.16%</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>88.25%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>8.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>50.89%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.47%</td>
<td>1,221</td>
<td>88.74%</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>8.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2704</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2.81%</td>
<td>2,393</td>
<td>88.50%</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>8.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table below addresses **ethnicity** of children who were age 5 or younger who had a goal of reunification in FFY 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>% Hispanic</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>% Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>Missing Ethnicity</th>
<th>% Missing Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,346</td>
<td>50.51%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.38%</td>
<td>1,210</td>
<td>89.90%</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,319</td>
<td>49.49%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>91.28%</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>6.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,665</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
<td>2,414</td>
<td>90.58%</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>7.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FFY 2014 the Department served the following children (by **race**) who were age 5 or younger who had a goal other than the goal of reunification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>African-American</th>
<th>% African American</th>
<th>American Indian</th>
<th>% American Indian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>% Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>46.97%</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>37.19%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>53.14%</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>37.24%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>37.21%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In FFY 2015, the Department served the following children (unduplicated count, by race) who were age 5 or younger and had a goal other than reunification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>% Caucasian</th>
<th>More than 1 race</th>
<th>% More than 1 race</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>% Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>Missing Data</th>
<th>% Missing Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>46.11%</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>36.60%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>53.89%</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>36.20%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1594</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>36.69%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data extracted on April 29, 2016 from WebFocus Developer Studio

In FFY 2016, the Department served the following children (unduplicated count, by race) who were age 5 or younger and had a goal other than reunification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>% Caucasian</th>
<th>More than 1 race</th>
<th>% More than 1 race</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>% Native Hawaiian</th>
<th>Missing Data</th>
<th>% Missing Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>46.75%</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>36.41%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>53.25%</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>35.85%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,692</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>36.11%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data extracted on April 29, 2016 from WebFocus Developer Studio

The table below addresses ethnicity of children who were age 5 or younger who had a goal other than the goal of reunification in FFY 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>% Hispanic</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>% Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>Missing Ethnicity</th>
<th>% Missing Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>46.97%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.18%</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>91.79%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
The table below addresses ethnicity of children who were age 5 or younger what had a goal other than reunification in FFY 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>% Hispanic</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>% Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>Missing Ethnicity</th>
<th>% Missing Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>53.03%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>90.55%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>91.13%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6.28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table below addresses ethnicity of children who were age 5 or younger what had a goal other than reunification in FFY 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Gender</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>% Hispanic</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>% Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>Missing Ethnicity</th>
<th>% Missing Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>46.11%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.04%</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>92.24%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>53.89%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>91.85%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1594</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
<td>1467</td>
<td>92.03%</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>6.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method of tracking these children – The Department’s Tracking Information Payment System (TIPS) database contains all information related to these children.

Targeted services provided to these children to find reunify or find a permanent family – all services typically offered to children in foster care to insure safety, promote permanency and sustain child well-being are provided to this population of children. Through concurrent planning, efforts are made to place children with families who can provide permanent placements for them should they be unable to return to their parents' custody. This involves placing children with relatives who are willing to adopt or accept custody or guardianship of the child or with foster parents who are dually certified as adoptive parents and who are willing to accept legal risk placements.

How developmental needs of children under age five are addressed: Early Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) services are provided through the child’s Medicaid provider. Through collaboration with the LDH, Medicaid program, the new Healthy LA managed care programs established a medical home for all children receiving Medicaid, which includes children in foster care, so the primary care physician will be able to more efficiently monitor the child’s developmental needs; through collaboration with the LDH, Office of Citizens with Developmental Disabilities (OCDD), Early Steps screening for all children involved in an abuse/neglect investigation is required to identify early signs of developmental delays and acquire appropriate services; and, through interdepartmental collaboration with the Child Care Assistance Program, child care services are offered to children in foster care to address developmental and socialization needs.
Staff is required to complete an assessment of the client family (Assessment of Family Functioning) including assessment of each child in the home regardless of their involvement in the abuse and neglect. The assessment includes assessment for safety as well as any needs related to development, physical or mental and emotional health.

The DCFS has specific policy to address how to assess and work with Substance Exposed Newborns and their families. The policy provides guidance on conducting a thorough assessment of the infant, caregivers and the environment in order to determine what services, if any, are appropriate for the family.

An Infant Mental Health/behavioral health screening tool was developed for children age 5 and under to assist workers with identifying behaviors that indicate further assessment and treatment might be indicated. All children are required by DCFS policy to be screened unless they are already receiving early intervention, Early Childhood Support and Services (ECSS) or other behavioral health services. ECSS is a state program managed by the Louisiana Department of Health (LDH), Office of Behavioral Health (OBH) which provides a coordinated system of screening, evaluation and referral services and treatment for families of children ages 0 through 5 years who are at risk of developing cognitive, behavioral and relationship difficulties.

Infant mental health services are provided by three infant teams in the state in the Orleans and Baton Rouge Regions. (For additional information on the Infant teams please refer to the PSSF section of this plan.) The infant teams provide comprehensive services to children, ages 0-60 months whose families are involved with DCFS due to maltreatment or who have been prenatally exposed to drugs or alcohol. Comprehensive assessments include: intake assessment, psychosocial assessment of caregiver and child, infant mental health assessments, developmental evaluation, neurodevelopmental evaluation and school/daycare observations. The infant mental health assessment includes a variety of evidence based assessments that are used to assess the status of the caregiver-child relationship.

The numbers of children and families served are listed below:

- **Baton Rouge Infant Team** –
  - In SFY 2012-2013 the infant team worked with 85 children representing 67 families.
  - In SFY 2013-2014 the infant team worked with 69 children representing 65 families.
  - In SFY 2014-2015 the infant team worked with 99 children representing 76 families.
  - In SFY 2015-2016 the infant team worked with a total of 62 children and their parents/caregivers. Infant team services were discontinued during SFY 2016.

- **Tulane Infant Team** –
  - In SFY 2012-2013 the infant team worked with 60 children representing 51 families.
In SFY 2013-2014 the infant team worked with 56 children representing 27 families.

In SFY 2014-2015 the infant team worked with 56 children representing 27 families.

In SFY 2015-2016 the infant mental health services were incorporated into the service array of the Tulane Parent Education Center (T-PEP).

- **Orleans Infant Team** – (services provided through Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center).
  
  a. In SFY 2012-2013 the infant team worked with 34 children representing 29 families.
  
  b. In SFY 2013-2014 the infant team worked with 55 children representing 32 families.
  
  
  d. In SFY 2015-2016 the infant team worked with 65 children and their parents and caregivers.

**Approach for working with this group:** DCFS provides the necessary care and supervision to promote child well-being while seeking the best permanency option for the child. One of the ways in which the Department does this is by limiting the number of children placed in foster/adoptive homes. The placement of a child in a foster/adoptive home is dependent on the type of certification, space within the home, number and ages of biological children within the home and the abilities and responsibilities of the foster/adoptive parents. DCFS foster/adoptive parents certified prior to May 1, 2015, were allowed eight dependents including foster children and their own children. They could not care for more than six foster children at any given time and there could not be more than two children under the age of two years, including their own children. Effective May 1, 2015, DCFS changed its policy to allow only five children in the home with two children under the age of two. The plan is to keep the capacity as 8 for families certified prior to May 1, 2015 and for families certified after May 1, 2015 the capacity is five.

Among the DCFS’ certified foster/adoptive family homes, there are specialized family homes that are required to meet or exceed the Department's minimum requirements for family foster homes. They are required to possess or develop skills and abilities that enable them to provide a specialized type of care to a specific category of children. Because of the specialized services required by some children foster/adoptive parents are required to adhere to certain restrictions regarding the age range, number, and extent of the special needs of the children placed in the home. Except for homes certified to provide care for large sibling groups, specialized family foster homes have a maximum capacity of three to four children. Specialized foster parents certified to provide care for children with medical problems, handicapping conditions and/or developmental disabilities are certified for a minimum capacity of two children and a maximum capacity of three (age range can vary).

Specialized recruitment efforts are employed when there is an identified need for a child of a particular age group or with a particular condition or disability.
How the state addresses training and supervision of caseworkers and foster parents and other providers regarding this population: Information related to child development is integrated into all training initiatives provided through DCFS. Specifically child welfare training has a child development component in new worker training entitled “Separation and Attachment” and “Basic Interviewing”.

The Department’s MAPP/GPS training contains a child development component which also focuses on separation and attachment, stages of development, impact of placement on children’s growth and development; behaviors exhibited by abused/neglected children, discipline and behavior management. The DCFS Foster Parent Handbook is provided to each foster/adoptive parent. Outlined in the handbook are the developmental milestones of a child, starting from infancy. The milestones are broken into the categories of infancy to six months, six to twelve months, twelve to eighteen months, eighteen to twenty-four months, twenty-four to thirty months, thirty to thirty-six months and then age three, four and five.

The DCFS works with providers to deliver specialized services to facilitate timely reunification when a child is in foster care. These services include visit coaching and the Nurturing Parent Program. Family Resource Centers (FRC) provide Visit Coaching services which target children in foster care as well as in-home families. Each center has staff trained as visit coaches to help the child welfare worker and parent structure visits. Visit coaching helps the parent take charge of their visits and demonstrate more responsiveness to their child’s needs. Before each visit, families are prepared to give their children their full attention, including meeting the competing needs of siblings and the different reactions of each child. During the visit, the coach actively recognizes the family’s strengths in responding to their children and guides them in improving their skills. After the visit, the family and coach evaluate how the next visit could be improved and the coach helps the family cope with their feelings so they will return for the following visit. The Nurturing Parenting Program (NPP) (also cited under time-limited reunification services) is provided to parents with children of all ages; however, the program is delivered to three groups of parents; parents of infants, toddlers, and preschool children; parents of children ages 5-11 and; parents and their adolescents. This program provides support groups for parents and caregivers as well as education on parenting skills.

Departmental policy requires case staffing reviews quarterly by supervisors and workers on each case in FC to require particular consideration in cases involving children ages 5 and under to insure developmental level is being reviewed, appropriate services are being provided, level of risk is being thoroughly assessed, and appropriateness of concurrent planning completed.

The Department works with the LDH Nurse and Parent Partnership program to gain greater access to this program for child welfare families and youth in the early months of caring for their first child to insure knowledge and skills in caring for these young children to prevent FC entry of the infants.

**Update FFY 2016**: Baton Rouge Infant Team (Infant Child and Family Services-ICFC): In SFY 2014-2015 the infant team worked with 99 children, representing 76 families. The ICFC team also worked collaboratively with a variety of systems affecting the lives of infants and toddlers, including child welfare, legal, educational, health care and mental health care systems.
goal to reduce the chance of further maltreatment, they provided services to improve developmental trajectory of children and strengthen child/caregiver relationship. Louisiana policy in the Baton Rouge region requires that all children under the age of 6 years who are involved with DCFS, including all substance exposed newborns be referred to ICFC. Families involved with Family Services Program where children are in home are also referred to ICFC if they have children who are 0-5. This program is funded by an alternate funding source (TANF).

Orleans Infant Team (services provided through Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center). In SFY 2014-2015 the infant team worked with 43 children, representing 41 families.

- 25 parents participated in the evaluation process
- 17 parents participated in treatment
- 53 additional adults worked with the team as relative or non-relative potential caregivers; for 38 of these children, a permanent placement was achieved
- 22 children were reunified with at least one of their biological parents
- 12 children were adopted by relatives
- 4 were adopted by non-relatives
- 20 children remain open cases where permanency has not yet been achieved but recommendations have been made to the courts or agency

Tulane Infant Team - In SFY 2014-2015 the Tulane infant Team is no longer a standalone program but has been incorporated and included in the Tulane Parent Education Program as a Family Resource center. Trough T-PEP families receive a variety of services including assessments and services for children ages 0-5

**Update FFY 2017:** Tulane Infant Mental Health services continue to be provided by the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP). The program offers intensive intervention for infants and young children, between the ages of birth and 60 months, who have experienced some form of maltreatment. Referrals to the team are received from DCFS staff. The team works in collaboration with community based entities to provide assessment and treatment for this young, at risk population. The number of children receiving infant mental health services through the Tulane Parent Education Program, has been included the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP) data.

Baton Rouge Infant Team (Infant, Children, and Family Center or ICFC), services were discontinued during the SFY 2016. The contractual agreement between DCFS and the Infant, Children, and Family Center terminated as of June 30, 2016. The Infant Mental Health service provider did not enter into an additional agreement with DCFS to continue the provision of infant mental health services for infants and children. Services to infants and children continue to be available through the CW FRC, Early Steps Program, and Maternal Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program (MIECHV) through the Louisiana Department of Health. Interagency efforts continue to improve referral processes and data sharing within departments and child serving agencies.
The LSU Health Sciences Center (LSUHSC) Orleans Parish Infant Team will continue to provide infant team services for children ages 0-5 years old, in the Orleans Region. The provider continues to provide ongoing treatment services to children and their parents/caregivers. Caregivers may include biological parents, foster parents, or any biological relatives involved in seeking custody of the child based on their availability. Agency case planning outcomes for children and families referred for services vary as some of the children were successfully reunited with biological parents or adopted by a relative. Other outcomes include termination of parental rights or surrender of the child by the parent. The Orleans infant team members have worked in collaboration with the agency in providing monthly court reports and participating in monthly case staffing(s) in the provision of mental health services for children ages 0-3.

CW FRC services are available in all DCFS Regions. During prior reporting periods, FRC services were unavailable for the Monroe Region. A contract was entered into between the Louisiana DCFS and the Children’s Coalition of Northwest Louisiana during the FFY 2015. The Department did not increase the number of referrals to the FRCs by 10% in FFY 2017 as there was a reduction in the number of staff referrals to some FRCs. FRC State Office and Regional Liaisons will continue efforts to improve the partnership between local office staff and FRC staff. Efforts are underway to improve the FRC Service Array to include additional evidence based parent education programs, as well as services to support families of substance exposed newborns. FRC staff continues to review fidelity measure for Family Skill Building and Visit Coaching sessions. FRC teams convene meetings to review standards and expectations of these services. Family assessment tools, pre and post-test material and information are under review. Workgroups including staff from DCFS and CW FRC, continue actions to enhance practice and service delivery. Skill development workshops and activities continue, as well as, FRC staff consultation with clinical staff of the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP). Statewide FRC staff has reviewed models and peer review processes in an effort to ensure quality practice and continuity of care for families served by child welfare FRC staff.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Program and Orleans Infant Team staff will continue efforts to improve data collection and reporting for children ages 0-5 and their parents/caregivers;
- DCFS and Tulane Parent Education Program staff will continue efforts to improve data reporting for children ages 0-5;
- Staff will continue to work collaboratively with the Tulane Parent Education Center to coordinate services for families served;
- Emphasis on improved data collection, data analysis, and service outcomes will be a consideration for future planning;
- Continue efforts to work collaboratively with providers of infant mental health services toward achieving the goal of improved data collection and reporting;
- Support FRC staff and DCFS local office staff in incorporating Quality Parenting Practice (QPI) principles into practice;
- Offer assistance and guidance to FRC staff and DCFS local office staff providing support services to families of substance exposed infants; and
• Continue with the FRC’s and regional liaisons to increase the number of referrals by 10% in FFY 2018 to ultimately improve staff referrals by 30% over the next five years and improve services being provided by the FRC.
SECTION 3: CHILD WELFARE SERVICES: The following pages include services provided under Title IV-B, Subparts 1 and 2, as well as the Chafee Foster Care Independence and Educational and Training Voucher Programs and caseworker visits funding.
STEPPHANIE TUBBS JONES CHILD WELFARE SERVICES PROGRAM, TITLE IV-B, and SUBPART 1: Child welfare service components of the Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) are focused on an effective and accountable child welfare system. Services are provided statewide in 64 parishes through 9 regional offices and 48 parish offices. Major service components include Centralized Intake (CI), Child Protective Services (CPS), Prevention and Family Services (FS), Foster Care Services (FC) and Adoption Services (AD).

The grant allocation for the Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program (Title IV-B, Subpart 1) to Louisiana will continue to be used in Louisiana to prevent the neglect, abuse or exploitation of children and to keep families together in two of the stated purpose areas of the grant; to protect and promote the welfare of all children; and for prevention and support services to at-risk families with services to allow children to remain with their families (whenever that can be safely achieved).

In 2005 the state expended $1,300,615 of the grant on foster care maintenance. Non-federal funds expended by the state for foster care maintenance payments for FFY 2005 were $433,538. The state assures that funding for this service will not exceed the 2005 expenditure levels. DCFS budget and fiscal staff confirm that none of these funds were used for child care or adoption assistance payments.

For this CFSP, the Department will continue to focus on improving the service array to children and families to ensure safety, permanency and well-being. The DCFS child welfare practice principles will guide the service delivery process as well as the ongoing implementation of the Advanced Safety Focused Practice Model (ASFP) [also known as Safety Focused Practice (SFP)], the Family Team Meeting (FTM) Model and continuous quality improvement efforts. The Department will continue to focus on improving staff and stakeholder involvement, the use of data and strengthening its commitment to quality improvement.

The following pages provide details on child welfare services (i.e. intake, CPS, FS, FC and AD) and the Department’s progress in meeting the goals of safety, permanency, and well-being.
CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)

Child Protection Service (CPS) Description: CPS is a legally mandated, specialized social service for children who are neglected, abused, exploited, or who are without proper custody or guardianship. The services include an investigation to determine if the child(ren) has been abused or neglected; a determination, if possible, of the person(s) responsible for the injury or harm; an assessment of the severity of the harm which has occurred; an assessment of the current safety of the child in the home or facility and determination of whether a safety plan/intervention is needed to protect the child from imminent, or moderate, or severe harm, an assessment of future risk of possible harm, a provision of emergency, short term and concrete services as needed, participation in court hearing, and timely referral to Family Services (FS) and/or community service providers in order to protect the child(ren).

Service Coordination: Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) was initially implemented in the CPS Program as a component of the Program Improvement Plan (PIP) with support from the National Resource Center for CPS and Action for Child Protection. As noted above, after implementation in CPS, the Department made the decision to implement ASFP as a component of Centralized Intake (CI). The implementation of ASFP in intake was supported by Action for Child Protection through the development of training material for intake staff and providing ongoing technical and fidelity reviews during the first six months of implementation. The addition of ASFP in CI resulted in better information collection regarding who to serve and how quickly field staff should respond to assess the family.

Safety of children is the guiding mission of the Department. ASFP was introduced into the FS and Foster Care (FC) programs. Safety planning and case plan development will continue to focus on providing safety for children who remain in the home with their parents as well as when they return home. Safety to address threats, which brought the family to the Department’s attention, is the key focus.

Services are coordinated with field staff in FS and FC when cases are transferred for further assessment and services. Cases with safety threats, safety plans, high and very high SDM’s are transferred to FS if the child(ren) can remain in the home with an in-home safety plan. Safety planning is coordinated with the family and others in the family’s support environment who agree to provide monitoring and oversight of the safety plan.

CPS will continue to work with community stakeholders to provide quality services to clients. Referrals to programs such as Early Steps, Addictive Disorder Professionals, and Mental Health Practitioners will continue to ensure service needs of the family are being met. There is on-going collaboration between professionals proficient in trauma informed care to provide CW staff information regarding best practice with the children served by the Department. Staff development and training in collaboration with infant mental health professional assists are the primary focus with staff that primarily works with children under the age of three.
Goal: Improve family engagement, assessment, decision making and trauma-informed care.

Population and geographic information: (Children, under 18 years of age, and families in which there have been reports of abuse and/or neglect). Services are provided to families following an allegation of child neglect and/or abuse when immediate safety concerns appear manageable, yet future risk of harm continues to be a concern. These families have been assessed as needing services that can be provided while the child remains in the home. Children ages 0-5, including substance affected newborns, and children with developmental or medical disabilities have been identified as a population of greater focus as they are at greater risk for increased safety and risk concerns. Services are provided on a statewide basis through 9 regional offices and 48 parish offices.

Population Served: Children, under the age of 18 years, and families in which there have been reports of abuse and/or neglect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>CPS Intake Cases Established</th>
<th>Number of CPS Investigations</th>
<th>Total number of ARFA cases</th>
<th>CPS Unduplicated Victim Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>49,889</td>
<td>21,563</td>
<td>6,574</td>
<td>10,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>49,992</td>
<td>23,490</td>
<td>3,445</td>
<td>12,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>51,969</td>
<td>25,825</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>53,260</td>
<td>24,184</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Number of CPS Intake Cases established; reported by Intake-ACN0001; Disposition Count of CPS Investigation Cases by Intake Response Priority and Investigation Level-ACN0002; Count of unduplicated victims in validated CPS Investigation Cases by Investigation Type ACN0017

Update FFY 2015: All policies were updated to reflect Safety Focused Practice in December 2014. A Comprehensive Enterprise Social Services System (ACCESS) was upgraded in March 2015 to reflect Present and Impending Danger assessments. Due to policies and systems being updated, information is now consistent statewide. The State’s goal of all new CW Staff receiving training on the ASFP model in the New Worker Orientation (NWO) and program specific trainings has been reached as currently all new CW Staff having received the NWO have received the ASFP training.

In November, December of 2014, and January 2015 CPS staff, Regional Administrators, CW Managers, and Supervisors were able to receive refresher training with regards to ASFP by
National Consultant Matthew Gebhardt moving forward with the State’s goal of providing additional support and training to reinforce and extend expertise in safety and risk assessment practice, and to guide others in the fidelity application of the programs.

Implementation specialists, and CW Program Staff, are responsible for providing training and consultation to field staff to ensure staff is able to effectively identify Present and Impending Danger. Implementation specialists and CW Program Staff are assigned to specific regions where they provide case specific consultation as requested by the region. There were 140 consultations provided. During these consultations staff was able to ask questions about safety decisions, which furthered knowledge and development of skills to support ASFP.

In August 2014, an overview of ASFP and Instanter Court Ordered Safety Plans was held in Baton Rouge for DCFS Bureau of General Counsel, Regional Administrators, and CW Managers. State Office Field Operations, CW Program Staff and the DCFS Training staff participated in the workshop. This workshop provided information with regards to ASFP to the DCFS legal team statewide. In addition, information was presented regarding the new Instanter Court Ordered Safety Plans which was included as an alternative to removal as a result of a bill passed in the 2014 Legislative Session. Starting in October Implementation Specialist provided a series of workshops on how to write a Present Danger (PD) and Impending Danger (ID) plan to alleviate the safety threats identified during the department’s intervention with families.

Additional ASFP training regarding Present and Impending Danger plans was implemented in September 2014. The training provided additional information discussing how to write a Present and Impending Danger plan, assessment to determine an appropriate safety monitor for a family if needed, how to engage a family in the safety plan decision making process, and how safety threats are identified and used to develop case plans for FC and FS. Staff was taught how to develop a plan that should provide services to enhance the parent’s protective capacities. Staff was taught that information collection in the six areas of assessment, (extent of maltreatment, circumstances surrounding the maltreatment, child functioning, adult functioning, general parenting, and disciplinary practices) is critical as this information is used to assist FC and FS with their Family Teaming. There was an opportunity for feedback and evaluation. This training has been completed in every region.

In October 2014, Stakeholders, DCFS Staff, Foster Parents, Public Defenders, District Attorney’s, and other Legal representatives were able to receive information regarding ASFP. Information was provided on how ASFP will assist in making safety decisions for children as it relates to the LA Children’s Code. In January 2015 ASFP information was provided at the 2015 City Family Juvenile Judges Conference in New Orleans.

There were 120 CPS cases reviewed by the CQI implementation team to assess the implementation of the safety focused practice. In the following section you will find a chart of the data pulled from the Department’s Quality Assurance Tracking System (QATS) for FFY 2014 and 2015 with regards to ASFP. It should be noted that FFY 2014 Q2 was baseline data.

**Update FFY 2016**: Below is information on the ASFP quarterly review for FFY 2015-2016. The sampling period began January 1st and ended December 30th for the 2015-2016 fiscal years. The cases reviewed were pulled from a statewide random sample derived from all cases served
during the sampling period based on the assigned worker and the worker’s location in ACESS and TIPS. The following key points are assessed during the life of a case for a comprehensive family assessment:

**Identifying and assessing Present Danger (PD):** Present danger occurs early in the life of a CPS case and is totally transparent. Approximately 85% of the time, in Q1 2016 present danger or a lack of present danger was assessed correctly. In 3.3% of the cases reviewed, present danger was identified without sufficient cause. In 3.3% of the cases reviewed, present danger was not identified when it should have been. In 8.3% of the cases reviewed, the case record lacked sufficient documentation. Data indicates that there was a slight decrease in assessing present danger in the FFY 2016 1st quarter compare to the 3rd quarter in FFY 2015, but it was an increase from the 1st, 2nd quarters in FFY 2015.

**Sufficiency of Information:** Assuring sufficient information is captured during the information-gathering phase leads to better decision making regarding safety, risk and case disposition. An additional component to complete the six areas of assessment (Extent of Maltreatment, Circumstance Surrounding the Maltreatment, Child Functioning, Adult Functioning, General Parenting, and Disciplinary Practices) is identifying Caretaker Protective Capacities.

The data indicates that in Q1 of 2016, 49.2% of the cases reviewed resulted in a sufficient rating in the areas of Extent of Maltreatment and 55.0% in Circumstances Surrounding the maltreatment. There is a slight decrease in quality information collection in the remaining areas of assessment as compared to Q3 2015. The data for the 120 cases reviewed in Q1 of 2016 rated sufficiency of information as follows for the remaining areas: Child Functioning, 40.8%; Adult Functioning, 23.3%; General Parenting, 30.0%. There was a slight increase in the area of disciplinary practices to 35.8%. Data indicates that improvement is needed in gathering relevant information in the areas of Child Functioning, Adult Functioning, General Parenting and Disciplinary Practices.

Understanding protective and diminished caretaker capacities is significant to the application of the Advanced Safety model in practice. The presence of threats always requires us to consider whether a caretaker has the ability to be protective. Data indicates that in 49.2% of the cases reviewed, caretaker protective capacities were identified in the case and in 49.2% of the cases reviewed capacities were not identified.

**Identifying and assessing Impending Danger (ID):** ID refers to threats to child safety that are not obvious or occurring at the onset of CPI investigation. To accurately assess for ID, sufficient information gathering in the six areas of assessment is crucial.

In 63.3% of the 120 cases reviewed, ID was correctly identified. There was not sufficient information documented to make a determination regarding ID for 23.3% of the cases reviewed. This correlates with the previous data in the 1st and 2nd quarter of 2015 regarding lack of sufficient information gathering in the six areas of assessment. There was a slight increase for 2016 in the gathering of sufficient data to make decisions regarding ID.
Collecting sufficient information is the necessary first step to effectively assess safety. Having sufficient information can only be of real value to decision making if it is clearly understood why information is important and how that information is used to analyze family conditions and caregiver functioning in order to determine if there is impending danger to the child. Therefore, it is critical that workers understand the importance of information collection as it relates to identifying impending danger.

**Structured Decision Making (SDM)/Risk Assessment:** The Department uses the SDM assessment tool to guide staff in determining levels of risk for children within the family structure, during abuse/neglect investigations. Goals of SDM are to reduce maltreatment to children, reduce subsequent referrals, substantiations, subsequent injuries and subsequent foster care replacements.

The data indicates in approximately 95% of the 120 cases reviewed the risk assessment tool was completed on the correct household. In 57.5% of the cases reviewed, risk was assessed timely (within 30 days). In 65% of the cases, information documented to determine the risk level was incorrect. This indicates that workers are not endorsing risk factors accurately on the SDM assessment tool. A decrease was maintained in all areas of the SDM for Q1 2016 from Q1, Q2, and Q3 of FFY 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Cases Reviewed</td>
<td># of Cases Meeting Practice</td>
<td>Baseline %</td>
<td># of Cases Reviewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>81.51%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Maltreatment</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21.67%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29.17%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Functioning</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Functioning</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.33%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parenting</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.17%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Practice</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.52%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Protective Capacities</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.01%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>47.90%</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Cases Reviewed</td>
<td># of Cases Meeting Practice</td>
<td>Baseline %</td>
<td># of Cases Meeting Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impending Danger</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>98.22%</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Household</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50.85%</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Approval</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>64.41%</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Maltreatment</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Functioning</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Functioning</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parenting</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Practice</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Protective Capacities</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Impending Danger</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Household</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Approval</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***The number of cases for FFY Q3 2014, FFY Q4 2014, FFY Q1 2015 is 120.

Note: There were no safety focused reviews conducted in Q4 of the CQI case review process.
Below is a comparative analysis of the ASFP quarterly review for FFY 2014-2015. The sampling period began January 1st and ended December 30th for both 2014 and 2015 fiscal year. The cases reviewed were pulled from a statewide random sample derived from all cases served during the sampling period based on the assigned worker and the worker’s location in ACESS and TIPS. The following key points are assessed during the life of a case for a comprehensive family assessment:

**Identifying and assessing Present Danger (PD):** With the exception of the 3rd quarter, FFY 2015 had an increase of assessing PD accurately compared to FFY 2014. In 2014, there was a decrease in assessing PD in the first, second, and third quarter.

**Sufficiency of Information:** During the FFY 2015 review period, changes were made to the ASFP instrument to obtain a more accurate data. When assessing the accuracy of sufficient information gathered in 2015, partially sufficient was removed leaving sufficient and insufficient as ratings for each of the assessment areas. Therefore, sufficient and partially sufficient data for FFY 2014 were added together to provide an overall percentage of sufficient information collected.

There continued to be improvement in obtaining sufficient information in the six areas of assessment. There was an increase of gathering sufficient information in FFY 2015 data in the areas of extent of maltreatment and circumstances surrounding the maltreatment compare to FFY 2014 data. There was a slight increase in 2015 data compare to 2014 in the areas of child functioning, adult functioning, general parenting, and disciplinary practices.

Assessing the Caregiver Protective Capacities (CPC) is crucial during the family functioning assessment. CPC are personal characteristics that contribute to a person being protective of their

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Practice</th>
<th>FFY 2016 Q1 Jan 1- March 30, 2016</th>
<th># of Cases Reviewed</th>
<th># of Cases Meeting Practice</th>
<th>Percentage meeting practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Present Danger</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>85.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Maltreatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances Surrounding Maltreatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Functioning</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Functioning</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parenting</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Protective Capacities</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Impending Danger</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Household</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Approval</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOTE: SFP practice reviews were only conducted in the first quarter of FFY 2016.*
children. These capacities are apparent in three domains: cognitive, emotional, and behavioral. The presence of threats always requires an assessment to determine whether a caretaker has the ability to be protective. There was a slight increase in assessing CPC in the FFY 2015 2nd and 3rd quarter compared to the 2nd and 3rd quarter in FFY 2014. In FFY 2015 4th quarter, there was a slight decrease compare to FFY 2014 4th quarter data.

**Identifying and assessing Impending Danger:** The second quarter of FFY 2014, 47.9% of cases accurately assessed impending danger, 55.83% accurately assessed ID for the third quarter, and 55.83% accurately assessed ID for the fourth quarter. For FFY 2015, 50.8% of cases accurately assessed impending danger in the first quarter, 32.5% of cases accurately assessed ID in the second quarter, 43.3 % assessed impending danger accurately in the third quarter, and 60.9% in the fourth quarter. There was a decrease in assessing ID in the second and third quarter of 2015 compared to the second and third quarter in 2014. In the fourth quarter of 2015, there was an increase in assessing ID compared to the fourth quarter in 2014.

**Structured Decision Making (SDM)/Risk Assessment:** SDM is an evidence-based assessment instrument that promotes safety and well-being for children who are most at risk. Since the Risk Assessment is used to guide decision-making regarding the ongoing need for services, it is important that the SDM is created on the correct household, completed based on all information gathered surrounding the six areas of assessment and the caretaker’s protective capacities, and approved timely to prevent delay of services, if warranted.

The accuracy of the SDM is based on the three following factors:

1. SDM completed on the correct household
2. Timely approved
3. SDM consistency

In 2015, 94.2% of the risk assessments were created on the correct household in the second quarter. This was an increase from 2014 second quarter which was 93.2%. In 2014, the third quarter had 97.5% and fourth quarter had 99.17% of the risk assessments completed on the correct household. In 2015, the third quarter had 91.7% and the fourth quarter had 95%. There was a slight a decrease in creating the risk assessment on the correct household in the second and third quarter of 2015 compared to the third and fourth in 2014.

In August of 2014, an SDM approval check box was added to ACESS for CPS Investigation type In-Home-Family. The SDM approval check box was added to ensure that Supervisors reviewed and concurred with the information the CPS worker endorsed on the instrument tool. Since the addition of the SDM approval box, the department continued to make improvement on approving the SDM instrument tool. The data for approving the risk assessment timely in the second quarter of 2014 was 50.9%, the third was quarter 46.7%, and fourth quarter was 59.2%. In 2015, the percentage of cases timely approved in the first quarter was 62.5%, second quarter was 63.3%, third quarter 61.7%, and fourth quarter was 65%. There was an increase in approving the risk assessment timely in 2015 compared to 2014.

In 2015, there was a decrease in completing the risk assessment accurately compared to 2014. In the second quarter of 2014, 64.4% of the instrument tool was completed accurately, 60.8% for
the third quarter, and 69.7% for the fourth quarter. In 2015, 55.0% was completed accurately for the first quarter, 64.4% of the instrument tool was completed accurately for the second quarter, 60.8% for the third quarter, and 69.2% of the instrument tool was completed accurately for the fourth.

Progress was made in 2015 in applying the ASFP model. More emphasis is needed on gathering sufficient information in the six areas of assessment, in particularly child and adult functioning, as well as, the caretaker protective capacities to adequately assess ID. This information is crucial in decision making to determine if children are safe or unsafe. Ongoing training and consultations were scheduled in 2015 to enhance the staff knowledge of the ASFP application model.

**Safety Implementation Team:** As part of the implementation and progression of learning of the ASFP model, a team composed of ASFP Implementation Specialists, CW Program Staff, and stakeholders met every Thursday from January–December of 2015. The team discussed innovated strategies to enhance field staff knowledge of ASFP to improve present and impending danger assessments and safety decision making to ensure the safety of our children.

**Child Protective Services Consultant (CPS), Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI), and ASFP Implementation Specialist:** The CPS, CQI, and the DCFS ASFP Administrators experts supported field staff in improving ASFP which ultimately led to improving services to families we served, increased positive outcomes, and provide supported continuous learning of the ASFP ensuring the safety of our children. From January through December of 2015, the safety experts reviewed a random sample of 120 in-home child protection investigation cases statewide on a quarterly basis. A strenuous in depth protocol was implemented to further discuss cases reviewed and closed with child safety concerns.

**DCFS/CPS System Improvement:** ACESS, TIPS, and DCFS/CPS Policy Management System were streamlined from January–December of 2015 to incorporate accessible tools essential to assess safety, provide consistency in safety decision making, and gather accurate data critical for improving safety and well-being of our children.

In January of 2016, the Department embarked on a reorganization effort with the election of a new Governor, Governor John Bel Edwards, and appointment of a new Secretary for DCFS, Marketa Garner Walters. Reorganization efforts are on-going and focus on regaining program integrity throughout the Department, by separating the administration and oversight of the programs within DCFS, particularly CW, Child Support and Economic Stability. The emphasis of the Department has been on CW, particularly with ensuring that CW is led by CW professionals, as well as advocating for CW to have the resources needed to support our work. The reorganization efforts have resulted in the extinction of the Field Services Unit, with more resources and oversight being placed at the regional and local levels. The Department has already seen a reduction in the amount of staff turnover, leading to hope that this will assist in having staff that are knowledgeable and are able to apply the safety practice model consistently.

DCFS continued in its' efforts to improve safety practice during 2016-2017, while focusing on ensuring that the review process assisted in improving practice. A workgroup was developed to Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
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enhance QA in the review protocols. The workgroup included all CPS State Office Consultants, the ASFP Implementation Specialists, and the CQI Safety Focused Practice (SFP). The workgroup consisted of eight trainings/meetings in which each SFP review question was reviewed amongst team members to ensure the questions were understandable, accurate, and reliable. Several cases were reviewed during this group to ensure reliability and accuracy amongst panel members. The goals of the group were achieved as CPS consultants, Implementation Specialists, and CQI reviewers were able to improve consistency in review practices and dissemination of review data to field staff. This effort resulted in more consistency in ratings amongst all reviewers, and reduced the amount of errors found by the second level reviews of the SFP reviews recently conducted. Exit Conferences were held with field staff to discuss the results of the individual case reviews.

SFP reviews were not completed for Q1, Q3, and Q4 during this fiscal year due to the need to organize the workgroup to ensure reliability as well as transitioning to aligning the reviews to be consistent with the CFSR timeframes for reviews, six month periods of time. Only one quarter of ASFP cases was reviewed during this FFY; however, safety practice was continuously assessed through the on-going CQI reviews utilizing the CFSR instrument used throughout the year. Item #2 “Did the agency make concerted efforts to provide services to the family to prevent the children’s entry into foster care or re-entry after reunification”, and item #3 “Did the agency make concerted efforts to assess and address the risk and safety concerns relating to the child(ren) in their own homes or while in foster care” focuses on the safety of the children.

The Department plans to review the practice area strengths and needs, and target efforts to strengthen particular areas of safety focused practice.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018: With the focus of building capacity of supervisors, a shift is being made to have CW Managers review a minimum of 10 cases per month to assess practice in their assigned areas. The goal of the reviews is to utilize the information to identify areas of practice where supervisors may need additional training or mentoring, with an ultimate goal of improving the overall practice within the Manager’s team and office.

A review instrument was developed to focus on the same critical decision points in practice as well as to assess supervisor's guidance provided to workers throughout the life of the case. A reporting tool was developed to track trends in specific practice areas that can be targeted for monitoring by State Office and Regional leadership. The primary areas of focus for CPS include reducing repeat maltreatment and enhancing protective caretaker capacities. Items from the review instrument that will be included in tracking and monitoring are:

6. # Cases SDM completed correctly
7. # Cases SDM used accurately for decision making to determine case closure, referral for services or removal
8. # Cases Present Danger was assessed accurately
9. # Cases Impending Danger was assessed accurately
10. # Cases Protective Capacities were explored and identified
The Manager reviews are scheduled to start in July of 2017. Reports from the reviews will be forwarded to Regional and State office leadership quarterly and reviewed during Management and Leadership meetings. Information from the reviews will be used to:

- Track trends at the State Office level to inform policy clarifications or changes
- Assess practice areas for additional training and/or mentoring needs
- Identify areas of practice for supervisory focus during consultation with managers

**DCFS/CPS System Improvement:**

The Department made numerous system changes to assist regional staff with SFP as well as assist with new laws that were implemented. These changes included:

- Modification of the Expungement Process in ACESS to correct errors in programming that resulted in cases being expunged in ACESS prior to their correct Expungement date.
- The observation page in ACESS increased the character limit. Each section on the page now has the capacity to store 5,000 characters.
- A new Form 5 FAC - Safety Assessment for facilities was created in ACESS, which is only applicable for residential care facilities and day care centers. The new safety assessment screens will capture the data from new Form 5 FAC along with using new involvement codes to capture the roles in facility investigations and to create performance metrics for facility investigations.
- ACESS and TIPS were updated with a new CPS open reason code for the allegation of “Life Threatening Injury/Near Fatality”. This is for an anticipated requirement by National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) for the Department to track all cases in which a life threatening injury has occurred.
- A system change was completed to enable the Department to notify valid perpetrators in facility and daycare investigations that they have the right to request a Risk Evaluation Panel (REP) decision as the Form XI in ACESS did not disclose this process to the employee/owner. The Notice of Intent now reflects that employees, and/or owners, of daycare and residential facilities have 10 days from receipt of the notification of the valid finding to request an REP determination.
- Policy changes were made to accompany the associated system changes.

**Substance Exposed Newborns (SEN):** The Department continues to see a rise in the number of SEN. As a result, DCFS policies were updated to ensure all cases involving a SEN receive a Priority 1 (24 hour) response by CPS Staff to determine the safety of the newborn infant. The CPS policy prioritizes these reports to make contact with the mother prior to discharge from the hospital. The development of an investigative plan between the worker and supervisor are required on all cases involving a SEN. These cases require a high risk staffing with the Parish CW Manager. The PD plan is to be completed within 24 hours of initial contact with the parent and infant. The father shall be contacted to determine his level of care and commitment to the infant, his knowledge of the mother’s substance use during pregnancy, and to assess him for substance abuse, mental health issues and domestic violence. All individuals who will be assisting with the care of the infant will be assessed for the same items.

If PD is assessed a safety plan must be put into place immediately. The safety plan can either be an in-home safety plan or an out-of-home safety plan (foster care through a court order). If an
in-home safety plan is appropriate, the Bureau of General Counsel is contacted to determine if a protective order or a petition should be filed to assure the family’s compliance with the safety plan. The case is then transferred to FS for continued assessment and service provision.

Policy was strengthened relating to a family’s second SEN. The Bureau of General Counsel must be consulted to pursue necessary court intervention if agency history reveals this is a subsequent SEN. The chart below provides specific data on the number of CPS cases where SEN were identified and the disposition of the case:

| Disposition of # of children w/allegation of SUBSTANCE EXPOSED NEWBORNS (SEN) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Valid | 1,113 | 1,301 | 1,395 | 1,677 |  |
| Not Valid | 143 | 131 | 125 | 134 |  |
| Total | 1,256 | 1,432 | 1,520 | 1,811 |  |

*Note: Information regarding: Structured Decision Making (SDM), A Comprehensive Enterprise Social Services System (ACCESS), and Centralized Intake (CI) can be found in the CAPTA section.

**Update FFY 2016:**

**Child Protective Services (CPS):** Action for Child Protection provided six safety implementation team members with the supervisors as “Safety Decision Makers Training”. This training began in March 2015 and ended in November 2015 and included nine days of in-person training. This module focused on PD and after this training was completed all six implementation team members were able to correctly assess present danger according to Action for Child Protection. These six implementation team members are now considered experts in assessing present danger.

The Pelican Center Training and Education Committee have a monthly meeting which is held via conference call with attorneys, stakeholders, Judges, DCFS staff, foster parents, and other community partners to teach these providers about the ASFP Model. This is to ensure that the model is being implemented correctly in all professions, and professionals have the same understanding of present and impending danger. By having these meetings this ensures better consistency in services and better outcomes for our families and children as all stakeholders, Judges, community partners, legal, DCFS staff, etc. all understand safety. This call provides an opportunity for concerns regarding services and needs that require attention.

Each quarter a detailed report is developed from the case reviews and this report is provided to executive staff. The report entails the purpose of the review, the overall assessment for identifying PD, the summary of data regarding assessment of present danger, sufficiency of information, overall assessment for sufficiency of information, overall analysis of safety assessment/ID, and overall analysis of risk assessment/SDM.

The Safety Implementation Specialists launched a statewide ASFP safety plan writing training from January through May of 2015. The ASFP safety plan writing was piloted and tested in Lake Charles and Thibodaux regions in September of 2014. Alexandria, Covington, Orleans, Monroe, Shreveport, and a statewide makeup safety plan training was held January through May. The ASFP safety plan writing training objective was to give field staff a thorough understanding of
child safety decisions as it relates to a comprehensive assessment of the family’s strengths, caretaker’s protective capacities, child functioning, present/impending danger assessment, safety analysis, safety planning, and the management of PD and/or ID threats to child safety. The Safety Implementation Specialist presented an ASFP safety seminar at the Together We Can conference in October of 2015.

The CPS consultants conducted quarterly ASFP exits from January-December of 2015 with the Regional Administrators, Area Directors, and Managers to discuss ASFP case review data and assess additional support and training to reinforce and enhance field staff expertise in safety and risk assessment practice to continue improvement of the ASFP. As a result, the CPS Consultants conducted several refresher ASFP trainings with CW Managers, Supervisors, and Workers. The areas of focus were gathering sufficient information and using critical thinking skills to assess safety and decision making.

The CQI experts consulted with CPS supervisors and workers statewide quarterly from January-December of 2015 to discuss CPS cases randomly selected for review during the sampling period. The consultations focused on identifying and assessing PD/ID, sufficiency of information collection, and identifying the CPC.

The CPS Regional Consultants conducted statewide consultations from January-December of 2015 to discuss present/impending danger safety, sufficiency of information documented in the six areas of assessment, utilizing information gathered to assess safety and decision making.

The Safety Implementation Specialist conducted several statewide onsite consultations to provide a comprehensive assessment of CPS Investigations as it related to present/impending danger assessments, child functioning, adult functioning, caretaker protective capacities, and sufficiency of information. From July through December of 2015, the Safety Implementation Specialist provided consultations in Lafayette, Monroe, Shreveport, and Lake Charles regions.

CPS new employees are required to attend four consecutive weeks of new worker orientation (NWO). Prior to the orientation, the supervisor and worker complete pre-class assignments. The orientations are scheduled between one week and six weeks of the worker’s hire date. The NWO curriculum provides a series of trainings designed to prepare the worker with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively perform the duties of a CPS worker. January through December of 2015, 260 CPS workers attended the NWO.

A regional exit meeting is held each quarter to discuss data regarding CPS cases. In attendance in each meeting are the Regional Administrator, Area Directors, Supervisors, Managers, CPS Consultants, FS Consultants, CPS CW Program Manager, and the FS CW Program Manager. Information is provided to the region with regards to the six areas of assessment, identifying PD and ID, identifying CPC, and SDM. CPS Program Consultants lead these meetings and provide feedback for the regions during these conferences.

DCFS continues to strengthen the policies and procedures and trainings to ensure that all children who are under the age of three are referred to Early Steps when a developmental delay is suspected. Certain cases have a mandatory referral to Early Steps. Through our collaborative effort with multiple addictive disorder professionals DCFS has continued to place an emphasis on ensuring that addiction services are available to all clients. DCFS partnered with LDH Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
through the Substance Use Disorder Collaborative as alternative medications may be utilized to some clients who have substance abuse disorders. On November 30, 2015 the agency no longer utilized Magellan for mental health or behavioral health services. The Healthy LA Plans became the new provider for DCFS clients.

**Update FFY 2017:** CPS new employees are required to attend four consecutive weeks of NWO. Prior to the orientation, the supervisor and worker complete pre-class assignments. The orientations are scheduled between one week and four weeks of the worker’s hire date. The NWO curriculum provides a series of trainings designed to prepare the worker with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively perform the duties of a CPS worker. There were 86 new CPS workers required to attend these trainings from January 2016 to December 2016.

DCFS has worked with the CW Law and Advanced Institute to provide a multi-disciplinary training, SDM, which is based off the American Bar Association publication, Child Safety: A Guide for Judges and Attorneys. This training was developed and implemented initially this year, with the majority of training sessions extending into next year. Through this effort, attorneys, Judges, children’s advocates, and DCFS staff will be trained in the SFP model, along with other stakeholders. It is anticipated that through education of our legal partners and other stakeholders, it will increase the knowledge of SFP and ultimately lead to consistency in its application. The Louisiana Court Improvement Project (CIP), as well as Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), are sponsoring these trainings, and on March 24, 2017 a training was held. More training will be implemented in 2018. This effort is part of a larger initiative through the CIP to improve SFP in the State of Louisiana.

The Pelican Center for Children and Families along with CPS consultants, DCFS legal, Mental Health advocates, IV-E students, a local Judge, and others, embarked on a six month initiative to develop a mock trial for a large statewide conference and recording for future use. This training consisted of a case that was presented from the Continued Custody hearing through a Permanency Review hearing. This training was designed to assist DCFS workers, legal partners, foster families, and other stakeholders in their understanding of the investigative and court processes. This training was acted out, videoed and will be used for multiple other trainings to assist with understanding the DCFS investigative and court process that takes place when a child enters FC. This training was presented at the Together We Can (TWC) conference and positive feedback was received with regards to this training.

DCFS provided multiple trainings on human trafficking (HT) to multiple different stakeholders. These trainings were presented to the Homeland Security Information Networking Group, the TWC conference, and Children’s hospital. These trainings reached multiple disciplines including law enforcement, medical professions, social workers, and others.

In May of 2016, a regional exit meeting was held with the each region to discuss the data with regards to the CPS cases which were reviewed by the CQI team. In attendance in each meeting are the Regional Administrator, Area Directors, Supervisors, Managers, CPS Consultants, FS Consultants, CPS CW Program Manager, and the FS CW Program Manager. This data was placed on the DCFS intranet and is available to all staff. Staff is able to use this information to assist them with overall performance. In an effort to strengthen SFP with the regional staff, an emphasis was placed on ensuring that the Advanced Safety Implementation Specialists
completed individual consultations with supervisors and managers as new workers received SFP training from an SFP implementation specialist during their NWO. A total of 223 SFP case consultations were completed this year which is an increase from prior years. The goal has been to ensure that these consultations are not with regional management, but with frontline workers and supervisors so staff felt comfortable asking questions about information they may not know, but feel as though they should know. Emphasis is always placed on ensuring that the consultations are completed to where the person is able to understand PD, ID and the six areas of assessment.

A comprehensive assessment suggests that workers are predominantly continuing to conduct allegation-based investigations. Specific exercises regarding PD and ID scenarios were sent to each group of field staff scheduled for a consultation. Staff was expected to identify PD and ID prior to meeting and send the exercises back to the consultant. Each employee read their answer, discussed their rational and read the corresponding definition for the answer selected. The process continued until the employees made a correct determination for threats. Workers generally made the correct determination after reading the threat definition. The consultant provided guidance.

Workers brought cases to review to assist with determining PD and ID. Information for the six areas of assessment was discussed to include necessary information to make a determination about the safety of the child(ren) within the home.

Policy has been updated throughout the year regarding safety planning activities, conducting safety assessments in out-of-home investigations, changes to approval level required to reverse a validity finding, adding the allegation of Life Threatening Injury to allegations of abuse/neglect, and providing additional guidance and forms in completing court-ordered safety plans. Policy was not updated to include the work of the Tulane Trauma Project as it implements a process to screen children for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and other mental health needs. Policy was updated to include the Tulane Project, but it’s located in a section of policy where all programs can use the policy. Much of the focus with the trauma grant has focused on the FS and FC population; therefore it was not mandated in CPS policy. CPS staff is trained on how to complete the screening tool.

CPS Program Consultants implemented monthly WebEx conferences with field staff which are held on two different dates each month and are recorded so field staff can understand how to address difficult cases. To date, three WebEx’s have been conducted focusing on Out of Home Investigations, the six areas of assessment, safety plans, and fatality investigations. Positive feedback has been received and topics have been submitted for future WebEx topics.

CPS and the Children’s Justice Act completed multiple activities this FFY to increase the training needs of staff, specifically addressing the areas of risk and safety. Some of these highlights were:

- Provide Child Victims with Disabilities training to 1011 DCFS workers and other CW Multi-Disciplined partners.
- Fund a total of 150 slots for the statewide DCFS staff to attend the 2016 TWC Conference.
• Fund a total of 37 slots for DCFS staff and multi-disciplined CW Professionals to attend the 2016 Connections Count Conference in Lake Charles.
• Launch the CJA funded APP “Louisiana Help Desk” including all safe haven sites.

**Substance Exposed Newborns:** In December 2015 the Department added the Drug/Alcohol Identification page to the ACESS system. Policy on how to correctly complete the Drug/Alcohol Identification page was completed in December 2015. When substance abuse/drug use is alleged, the CPS worker assesses whether the parent or caregiver has a past or current substance abuse/alcohol abuse problem that interferes with his/her or the family’s functioning. Legal, non-abusive prescription drug or alcohol use is not considered an alcohol or drug problem. The worker makes diligent efforts (drug tests, documentation from substance abuse treatment agencies, and other collateral contacts that have knowledge of the substance use) to verify the drug use and documents the findings.

Interference in the parent’s or caretaker’s functioning may be evidenced by the following:

- Substance use that affects or affected employment, criminal involvement;
- Marital or family relationships, ability to provide protection, supervision, and care for the child;
- Arrest in the past two years for driving under the influence or refusing breathalyzer testing;
- Self-report;
- Treatment received;
- Multiple positive urine samples;
- Health/medical problems resulting from substance use; and/or
- The child was diagnosed with Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS) or Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASDs) or the child had a positive toxicology screen at birth and the primary caregiver was the birthing parent.

The worker must complete this page on every household member who has a drug/alcohol addiction. The worker must enter the drug/alcohol category (i.e. Opiates, Benzodiazepines, Cannabinoids, Alcohol, Amphetamines, Barbiturates, Cocaine, MDMA, Methadone, Methamphetamine, Phencyclidine, Propoxyphene, and other), and the Diagnosis Category. If the infant was diagnosed by a Physician with NAS or FASD then it is endorsed. The worker may enter comments as well and comments are mandatory if “other” is identified as the drug/alcohol category. With this new drug/identification page the Department will be able to identify trends within the SEN (i.e. geographical areas, medical concerns, need for treatment programs).

The Department has designated members from the CPS Program, FS Program, and regional managers to serve on the NAS Committee. Community partners participate in this workgroup (i.e. hospital staff, the Nurse Family Partnership, Intensive Home-based Service providers, and members of the court system). The goal of this group is to reduce NAS in Lafayette Parish by 15% by December 2018 as measured by DCFS, Medicaid Patients, Lafayette General Data, Our Lady of Lourdes, Women and Children’s Hospital, Drug Court Data, Office of Public Health, and Local Treatment or Public Health Services. The objectives of this group is to increase collaboration among public, private, and non-profit agencies, integrate behavioral health into
primary care, and increase policy initiatives to protect unborn children. This committee meets monthly.

The Department has a designated member who works with LDH on the Substance Use Disorder Collaborative. “The Substance Use Disorder Collaborative exists to lead Louisiana’s efforts in SUD treatment reform through inter-departmental prevention efforts, early identification, enhanced benefit design, data-driven decision-making, integrated care models, innovative delivery and payment models. The project hopes to dispel myths about addiction, reduce stigma, and advocate for policy changes at all levels” is the mission.

**Update FFY 2017:** The Drug/Alcohol Identification page in ACESS allows the Department to collect data regarding the substances mothers of affected newborns are using. This allows targeted treatment opportunities in areas of need. Data from 2016 reveals that the highest trends were: Cannabinoids (913), Methamphetamines/Amphetamines (303), and Opioids (301). This data has been used to educate stakeholders and staff about substance use in their communities. This data was used for planning efforts regarding implementation of the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act of 2016 (CARA). The Governor signed Act 359 on June 22, but it is effective upon promulgation of rules, and the emergency rule went into effect on 10/1/17.

Efforts to date include:

- Development of a DCFS workgroup that meets weekly to plan for implementation of CAPTA requirements, including establishing additional committees; and revising applicable laws, rules, and agency policies;
- Establishment of a state collaboration to begin implementing provisions to the state plan relating to infants affected by substance use. Through this collaboration, existing services have been discussed, along with strategies to fill identified gaps in services. One such effort includes the exploration of expedited substance abuse assessments for DCFS clients, revising practices to strengthen the referral process and provision of services for Early Steps.
- Plans of safe care for the infant born and identified as being affected by substance abuse or withdrawal symptoms, or a FASD are being developed. The plan of safe care will address the health and substance use disorder treatment needs of the infant and affected family or caregiver.
- Development of proposed legislation to meet the new requirements of CAPTA;
- Submission of request for required system changes to capture the required NCANDS data elements;
- Through the collaboration, address the development and implementation by the State of monitoring systems regarding the implementation of the plans of safe care to determine whether and in what manner local entities are providing referrals to and delivery of appropriate services for the infant and affected family and caregiver. This includes exploring the use of regional committees comprised of DCFS staff and community stakeholders to regularly review the provision of services, identify gaps in the delivery of services, and strategize to improve the outcomes of the families affected by substance use/abuse.
The Department continued to work with the Substance Use Disorder Collaborative and the NAS Committee to bring awareness to the public regarding SEN and reducing the numbers of SEN. Through these efforts, the Department participated in a Governor’s commission on the prevention and treatment of Opioid use and as a result was instrumental in the development of the Louisiana Substance Use in Pregnancy Clinicians-Toolkit. The Department has become an active participant in the Governor’s Commission on the Prevention and Treatment of Opioid Use, which recently submitted a report to the legislature that includes recommended changes to enhance screening practices, and services available for families in need.

**Activities Planned for CPS in FFY 2018:**

**Child Protection Service (CPS):**

- Form a stronger partnership with QA to reevaluate, identify, target, and apply focused improvement strategies regarding the safety practice that can be monitored by regional leadership that changes practice in real time.
- Provide better quality services to clients through collaboration and training with community stakeholders including judges, and legal partners.
- Reorganization and workforce development to retain staff and strengthen the integrity of CW practice so as to retain a knowledgeable workforce on safety practices.
- Distribute and discuss data on safety reviews to directly impact practice.
- Increase capacity of program and regional management staff regarding ASFP.
- Reinforce the use of community experts/programs (i.e. Early Steps, Addictive Disorder, and Mental Health Practitioners) to assess and ensure service needs promoting child safety.
- Provide training on safety topics, updated laws, and other areas of practice as needed via Webex.
- Update system requirements to ensure compliance with state and federal laws.
- Achieve compliance with ACF legislation to conduct State Central Registry Clearances on all volunteers, owners, employees, and operators of Early Learning Centers to achieve safety for children while in the care of such facilities.
- Child Death Scene investigation trainings for CPS staff. This training will enhance understanding and assessment of infant deaths enhancing safety for any surviving children.
- Implement the use of predictive analytics to identify high risk cases to achieve better outcomes for victims of abuse/neglect.

**Activities Planned for SEN in FFY 2018:** Louisiana’s existing laws now address all requirements of CARA, including those infants who exhibited withdrawal symptoms from the mother’s use of a legally prescribed, lawfully used medication. To comply with the Public Law 114-198, DCFS will implement the following to ensure enforcement of the law that includes provisions for infants affected by substance abuse and the infant’s family. The implementation will be developed and monitored by DCFS which works across agencies and providers to develop a strategic response. Details on the specific tasks to be accomplished are outlined below.

- House Bill 678 passed the state legislature and on June 22, 2017 the Governor signed Act 359. The Department then promulgated an emergency rule that went into effect on 10/1/17. This legislation provides for physicians to notify the Department of newborns
born with withdrawal symptoms when the mother used legally prescribed medications in a lawfully manner. This notification from the hospital also includes components of a Plan of Safe Care to ensure the needs of the family are met upon discharge from the hospital. These notifications will not constitute a report of prenatal neglect. Upon signature of the Governor, rulemaking will occur to establish specific procedures for notifications. The plan is to have rulemaking completed by October 1, 2017.

- The Department has a statewide system for intake and investigation of reports of child abuse/neglect. The system captures some data for SEN cases that meet the criteria for CPS services, including validity decisions of either drug affected and/or alcohol affected newborns, and the substances that the infant is exposed to. Currently, the system does not capture data for SEN Non-Accepted Reports. The Department is updating the system to capture the following data:
  - Through the addition of a new intake type of SEN/No Prenatal Neglect Reported, data on newborns with withdrawal symptoms, not meeting the criteria for prenatal neglect will be captured. This data will allow DCFS to report the number of infants not screened in as SEN. Although not required, DCFS will also capture if a Plan of Safe Care was developed, and the referrals made through the notification form.
  - Changes are being made to update the statewide systems to also capture whether or not a Plan of Safe Care was developed for screened in reports, and the referrals made as part of the Plan of Safe Care. Certain data will be pushed to our TIPS system to allow for NCANDS reporting. The data will capture the number of infants for whom a plan of safe care was developed and monitored, including services for the affected family or caregiver.

- DCFS will update both Centralized Intake and CPS policy to include receipt of all reports of SEN identified as being affected by substance abuse, withdrawal symptoms resulting from prenatal drug exposure, or Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder.

- CPS policy will also be updated to provide more direction and focus on the development of Plans of Safe Care, including the newborn’s mother/family/caregivers.

- An interagency meeting is held weekly to develop a comprehensive plan for the implementation of Public Law 114-198. The meeting focuses on current state statutes and policy manuals, best practices, policy changes, data-driven decision making, responding effectively to the needs of infants who are affected by prenatal substance exposure, the infant’s mother with substance use disorders and families/caregivers.

- Children’s Justice Act (CJA) funds will be utilized to conduct stakeholder trainings to educate the community on requirements from CARA legislation.

- The plan to monitor the Plans of Safe Care is multi-faceted. On a case specific basis, all cases will be monitored on a supervisor level to ensure that appropriate referrals are made and that there is follow-up on those referrals. On a systematic level, DCFS will implement quarterly stakeholder meetings within each region of the state. These meetings will be held to review referral processes, availability of services, barriers to accessing services, and work processes to ensure a smooth process of accessing services for clients.

- Louisiana’s IAP-SUD Substance Use in Pregnancy Clinician’s Toolkit is scheduled to be published in the year of 2017 and distributed to the obstetricians/gynecologists.
- Through statewide WebEx’s, consultations, and trainings, CPS staff will receive guidance regarding policies and procedures when assessing reports of SEN accepted in CPS as per Public Law 114-198, CARA.

- Stakeholders including the ten regional substance abuse clinics located in the state, Early Steps, Homebuilders Providers, the Maternal and Child Health Division of the Office of Public Health, and the DCFS FS unit will continue to collaborate to provide additional preventative services with the growing population of SEN.

- Through the CW Training Academy, a two day substance abuse and co-occurring disorders curriculum will be rolled out to assist staff in understanding the dynamics of substance abuse, the unique characteristics involving Opioid use, enhancing engagement skills that will result in better outcomes, and to assist staff in assessing safety/risk in substance using families.
PREVENTION AND FAMILY SERVICES

Family services (FS) are provided to families following an allegation of child neglect and/or abuse when immediate safety concerns appear manageable, yet future risk of harm continues to be a concern. These families have been assessed as needing services that can be provided while the child remains in the home. In limited situations, families can voluntarily request services in order to prevent child abuse or neglect from occurring. Services are provided on a statewide basis through 9 regional and 48 parish offices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevention and Family Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline: 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Unduplicated Families: (Web FOCUS ad hoc report <FS_Cases>)

Update FFY 2015: DCFS staff participation in the Louisiana Department of Health (LDH) sponsored Infant Mental Health (IMH) Training has been ongoing since 2013 as a result of the DCFS Child Welfare Division/LDH Office of Public Health partnership focused on the care and safety of infants and very young children. DCFS FS staff has been invited to participate in cross training with staff of the Nurse Family Partnership and LDH Maternal and Child Health staff. Infant mental Health training is offered to DCFS staff two times each year. During the FFY 2014, DCFS staff participated in a six series Infant Mental Health training beginning January 2014 and ending March 2014. Approximately twenty FS Workers were trained in Infant Mental Health assessment and intervention during this period. The six session series include the following topics: Introduction, Social-emotional development, Attachment, Social and ethnic influences on parenting, Parenting styles, Risk factors, Pathology, Assessment including child and maternal health, and Interventions.

ASFP training was offered in Shreveport and Monroe Regions during FFY 2015. Three training sessions were offered in the Shreveport Region during the month of November 2013 with approximately 81 Family Service (FS) and Foster Care (FC) staff participating. Two training sessions were offered in the Monroe Region during November 2013 with approximately 50 FS and FC staff participating. Two additional training sessions were offered in the Monroe Region during December 2013 with approximately 50 FS and FC staff participating. Advanced Safety Training consultations were offered and remain available to staff in all Child Welfare programs statewide.

Per the Department’s goal to continue to update policy, several policy updates were made to strengthen practice, specifically policies referring to families in FS where their cases are being
closed due to compliance and completion of the case plan. Specific FS policy revisions were completed in an effort to provide further clarification and guidance in the following areas: Assessment of Safety and Risk, Frequency and Nature of Contacts with Families and Collaterals, Visitation Expectations, Family Engagement and Assessment, Working with the Court, Case Closure and After Care Planning.

Per the goal initially set efforts were made to enhance supervisors and field staff’s knowledge, skills and practice related to safety, risk and family functioning as FS program consultants conducted refresher SDM® workshops to improve practice, completion, and timeliness of the SDM® tool in Alexandria (February 2014), Lafayette (March 6, 2014), and Lake Charles (March 19, 2014). Trainers accommodated 30-60 per SDM refresher session. Moreover, webinars were offered to all field staff on topics such as the six areas of assessment.

In FFY 2015 and FFY 2016, FS staff was able to participate in the following teleconferences/webinars:

| FFY 2015 Teleconferences and Webinars for FS Staff: |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Date            | Topic                              |
| 12/04/2013      | Achieving Sufficiency in the Six Areas of Assessment |
| 03/25/2014      | 2014 Behavioral Health Partnership Update |
| 04/16/2014      | Human Trafficking                 |
| 05/07/2014      | ICPC                               |
| 06/04/2014      | Family Resource Centers (FRC and Visit Coaching) |
| 08/13/2014      | FATS and YTP Changes              |

| FFY 2016 Teleconferences and Webinars for FS Staff: |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Date            | Topic                              |
| 01/30/15        | AAPI (Adult & Adolescent Parenting Inventory |
| 03/04/15        | Guardianship                     |
| 03/20/15        | Exposure to Violence & Child Development |
| 03/24/15        | Reasonable Candidacy              |
| 04/17/15        | Empathy & Building Nurturing Families |
| 05/06/15        | Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA)   |
| 08/05/15        | LA Legislative Update             |
| 09/08/15        | Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) |
| 12/02/15        | An Overview of Visit Coaching: Building on Family Strengths to Meet Children’s Needs |
| 02/05/16        | Understanding Adverse Childhood Experiences: Building Self-Healing Communities (Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana Webinar) |
| 04/26/16        | Brain Development and the Impact of Toxic Stress on Children and Teens (Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana Webinar) |
| 06/17/16        | Communicating with R-E-S-P-E-C-T (Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana Webinar) |

**Update FFY 2016:** DCFS, Child Welfare (CW) staff, cross training opportunities with Nurse Family Partnership and LDH/Maternal and Child Health staff continues to strengthen the
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interagency partnership. Staff from each department participates in discussions and share details, including success, and challenges experienced relative to safety, health and welfare of children and families. FS case workers were allowed to participate in training sessions during FFY 2016. Infant Mental Health (IMH) training events were scheduled and conducted on the following dates: January 26-27, 2015; February 17-18, 2015; April 5-6, 2015. IMH Training topics included the following: Infant Mental Health 1 (overview, social-emotional development, attachment, values); Keys to Caregiving; Infant Mental Health 2 (social and cultural impact of parenting, parenting styles and roles); Infant Mental Health 3 (psychopathology); Infant Mental Health 4 (assessment: environmental, parent, infant, relationship); Infant Mental Health 5 (implications and interventions).

In partnership with the Louisiana CW Centers, DCFS child welfare case workers were invited to participate in the Nurturing Parenting Program Facilitator. The three day training event was held on July 22-24, 2015 in Baton Rouge, LA. The training was facilitated by staff of Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana (NPP Trainer/Consultant), and Family Resource Center NPP Trainers. Family Services staff from several DCFS regions attended the sessions to become trained as NPP facilitators, and gain knowledge of the NPP Curriculum.

Trauma Informed Practice is a focus of DCFS staff. In partnership with Tulane University, DCFS staff is committed to training of staff in all regions of the state, with follow up consultation on the TBH Screening Instrument. Provider training on Youth PTSD (YPT) was conducted in Baton Rouge region on January 1/20/15; Lafayette region on April 2, 2015; Alexandria region on 9/29/15; and Monroe region on 12/15/15. As of March 14, 2016, approximately 4000 TBH screens have been completed. TBH training in the Covington region was conducted in 2014, with implementation in Baton Rouge, Lafayette, and Alexandria regions in 2015. Regions for future TBH expansion during years 2016-2017 include Monroe, Orleans, Thibodaux, Shreveport and Lake Charles. TBH 2015 training dates for Baton Rouge, Lafayette, and Alexandria Regions are included below:

**Baton Rouge Region TBH Training Dates:** (January 6, 2015, January 12, 2015, January 13, 2015), with approximately seven follow up consultative visits to offices within the Baton Rouge Region during the months of February 2015 through June 2015.

**Lafayette Region TBH Training Dates:** (May 7, 2015, May 8, 2015), with approximately fifteen follow up consultative visits to offices within the region during the months of June 2015 through September 2015.

**Alexandria Region TBH Training Dates:** (October 22, 2015, October 23, 2015), with approximately nine follow up consultative visits to offices within the region during the months of November 2015 through January 2016.

Future plans are being discussed regarding incorporating TBH training into DCFS CW NWO. TBH training is currently available in Moodle. TBH Quarterly Steering Committee meetings include members from DCFS, Louisiana Department of Health, Medicaid and Healthy LA representatives.
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FS Consultants participated in New Worker Orientation (NWO) to review essential policies pertaining to child safety, assessment of risk, safety planning, family assessment, home visitation expectations, and case planning. FS Consultants offer practice guidance, consultation, and participate in skill building activities with Case Management and Supervisory staff assigned to the FS Program. During NWO and during subsequent staff training and consultation, FS Consultants and staff discussions include CW Client Rights and Responsibilities (CW Client E & R – FS, Revised May 2015). Staff will assist clients and families with translation needs, such as translator services and tools to aid in communication. Families are encouraged to request special accommodations necessary to ensure understanding and clarity of the DCFS involvement.

FS staff participated in Family Team Meeting (FTM) training events during the 2015 calendar year. FTM observations and consultative sessions were offered in an effort to enhance family engagement skills, needs and strengths identification, as well as, appropriate planning and service provision. FTM 1 training consists of a two day training session on “Facilitating the Family Team Meetings Process.” FTM 2 training consists of one training session on “Incorporating Teaming into Everyday Practice.”

FTM training events were scheduled and conducted as follows:

**Alexandria Region:**
- FTM 2 Training: (10/19/15; 10/26/15; 11/24/15; 12/8/15);

**Baton Rouge Region:**
- FTM 1 Training: (9/1/15-9/2/15; 10/19/15-10/20/15; 10/21/15-10/22/15);
- FTM 2 Training: 10/26/15; 10/28/15; 11/2/15);

**Covington Region:**
- FTM 2 Training: (11/10/15; 11/12/15; 11/13/15; 11/19/15; 11/20/15);

**Thibodaux Region:**
- FTM 1 Training: (9/15/15-9/16/15; 10/26/15-10/27/15; 10/28/15-10/29/15);
- FTM 2 Training: 11/5/15; 11/9/15; 11/23/15);

**Orleans Region:**
- FTM 1 Training: (9/23/15-9/24/15; 10/13/15-10/14/15; 10/15/15-10/16/15);
- FTM 2 Training: (11/3/15; 11/4/15; 11/15/15);

ASFP training with follow up ASFP consultation was offered throughout the 2015 calendar year. Training events were scheduled and conducted for staff in Alexandria, Covington, Lake Charles, and Orleans Regions during the month of January and February 2015. During the first quarter of 2015, training sessions primarily focused on effective and appropriate safety plan development. Subsequently, during the months of February, March, and April 2015, additional ASFP training and follow up staff development activities were scheduled and conducted for staff in the
following regions: Monroe, Shreveport; consultative sessions were also offered for staff throughout the state. Safety plan development activities continued into the second quarter of 2015. During the second quarter (May 2015), ASFP training was offered for staff in Lake Charles. ASFP review and refresher training was offered for staff in Thibodaux Region during the month of May 2015. During the third quarter of 2015, ASFP consultation sessions were offered for staff in Lafayette Region in July 2015.

Additionally, follow up ASFP consultative sessions were conducted for staff in the Monroe region during the month of August 2015. Staff in the Lafayette region received follow-up ASFP consultation during the month of September 2015. During the fourth quarter of 2015, DCFS staff presented an ASFP conference workshop during the “Together We Can Conference” during the month of October 2015 in Lafayette, LA. In October 2015, ASFP consultation was offered for staff in Shreveport Region. Subsequently, during the month of November 2015, ASFP consultation was offered to staff in Lake Charles and Lafayette Regions. Finally, during the month of December 2015, ASFP consultation sessions were offered to staff in Central and North Louisiana, including Lafayette, Lake Charles, and Shreveport Regions.

FS policy was updated to include the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA). Policy Reference is FS Program Policy, Chapter 5: Appendix 5-C, with an effective date of May 1, 2015. As of May 2015, Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) course offering is available in Moodle.

FS policy was updated to include human trafficking. Policy Reference is FS Program Policy, Chapter 5: 5-1100 with an effective date of August 1, 2015. Human Trafficking and Trauma training was provided to child welfare staff in all nine regions of the state beginning March 2015 through June 2015. Human trafficking training was offered to foster caregivers or providers, residential providers, and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) staff.

**Update FFY 2017:** CW Consultants assigned to the FS Program continue staff development efforts by participation in staffing and consultation sessions with local office staff statewide. State Office CW Consultants offer feedback and guidance by leading and participating in onsite training, webinars and consultation sessions with frontline staff. Consultation with staff may be onsite or by telephone conferences. Family Services Program Consultants and CW Managers are actively involved in workgroups and collaborations to support the continued development and coordination of services to ensure availability of assessment and treatment of families caring for substance exposed infants. Efforts are underway to improve coordination of services to support assessment and treatment of families with identified substance use or behavioral health needs. Families are receiving services through collaborative efforts involving state agencies, community providers, and drug courts. Substance use treatment efforts have been supported by Memoranda of Understanding or contractual agreements between the Louisiana DCFS and the Louisiana Department of Health.

Trauma Informed Practice is a focus of DCFS staff. In partnership with Tulane University, DCFS state office CW staff is committed to training of staff in all regions of the state, with follow up consultation on Trauma Behavioral Health (TBH) Screening and treatment. Trauma Behavioral Health (TBH) assessment and treatment training and follow up consultation have continued throughout the Federal Fiscal Year. TBH training was provided to staff and
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therapeutic providers with follow up consultation provided with assistance from staff of Tulane University, New Orleans. Training and consultation sessions included an introduction to TBH Screening instruments, and implications for intervention and treatment. Efforts have continued toward statewide implementation of TBH screening and treatment practices. TBH screening is a vital process to assist professionals in designing plans to address the specialized needs of children and families affected by traumatic events and experiences.

Provider training on Youth PTSD (YPT) was conducted in New Orleans on May 17, 2016; Thibodaux on June 14, 2016; Shreveport October 20, 2016 and Lake Charles March 9, 2017. As of March 20, 2017, approximately 9000 TBH screens have been completed. TBH training has been completed in Monroe, Orleans, Thibodaux, Shreveport and Lake Charles in 2016-2017 so that all regions have been trained and have begun using the TBH screen. Regional training dates are listed below:

Monroe Region TBH Training Dates: January 13-14, 2016 with 4 follow up consultative visits to each of the five offices within the Monroe Region during the months of February 2016 through June 2016.

Orleans Region TBH Training Dates: June 13 and 15, 2016 with four follow up consultative visits with each of the two offices within the region during the months of July 2016 through October 2016.

Thibodaux Region TBH Training Dates: July 12 and 25, 2016 with four follow up consultative visits each of the four offices within the region during the months of August 2016 through January 2017.

Shreveport Region TBH Training Dates: November 2-3, 2016 with four follow up consultative visits to each of the four offices within the region during the months of December 2016 and March 2017.

Lake Charles Region TBH Training Dates: March 15-16, 2017. The follow up visits will be held within the following 4 months. Data sharing agreements have been signed with Medicaid in order to use diagnostic and treatment data from that system in conjunction with data collected from completion of the TBH screens and DCFS case data to further inform the agency and provider agencies of the treatment needs of DCFS children and to further examine the wellbeing of children involved with DCFS.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

- FS Program Consultants will continue staff development efforts, including consultation and training, focused on the following:
  - Advanced Safety Focused Practice
  - Assessment of Family Functioning
  - Trauma Behavioral Health Screens
  - Family Engagement and Visitation
  - Identification of Needs and Strengths
Case Planning with Child and Family

- Continue collaborative efforts with local community-based organizations, focused on data collection and reporting, for interagency referrals across systems.
- State Office Program staff will continue interagency collaborative efforts focused on outcomes for infants, children, and families served, also considerations for areas of need.
- DCFS monitors and staff will support FRC staff and DCFS local office staff in incorporating Quality Parenting Practice principles into CW practice.
- FS Consultants will offer assistance and guidance to FRC staff and DCFS local office staff providing support services for families involved in substance use recovery and addiction treatment and/or families of substance exposed infants.
- FS Consultants will support staff in an effort to incorporate trauma behavioral health screening and assessment into child welfare practices and treatment.
- Future plans are being considered regarding incorporating TBH training into NWO.
- TBH training is currently available in Moodle to allow staff access to information.
- Training on the TBH screening will be included in the foster parent training so that foster parents will be able to understand the purpose and value of the screen in providing better care and treatment for their children.
- Quarterly Steering Committee meetings include members from DCFS, Louisiana Department of Health, Medicaid and Healthy LA representatives.
- The plan is to continue to look at ways to utilize the data from the TBH screens to inform improvement of service array for children involved with DCFS.

Additional Activities Planned in FS for CARA implementation in FFY 2018:

- FS Consultants will offer assistance and guidance to FRC staff and DCFS local office staff providing support services for families involved in substance use recovery and addiction treatment and/or families of substance exposed infants;
- Foster interagency coordination efforts and continue involvement with LDH and other community partners to address service needs of families with Drug Affected Newborns (including Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome, Substance Use Disorders, and conditions involving dual diagnoses); and
- Establish regional teams to address successful practices and challenges related to CARA legislation and reporting; FRC staff will be requested to coordinate and convene quarterly meetings of regional teams.

Family Services Program

Plan of Safe Care Description: Assessment of Family Functioning will be necessary for families referred for services due to the birth of a substance exposed newborn. Assessment of the infant and family will include the following:

- Substance abuse evaluation/assessment of parents and other caregivers in the home by a professional substance abuse clinician
- Documentation of the newborn’s medical and developmental needs, as it relates to the drug exposure, from a medical professional (including current conditions, special needs, or disabilities)
- Assessment of parental attachment and bonding (mother, father) and their ability to provide safe care for the newborn

Following comprehensive assessment of the medical and substance use treatment needs of the infant and family, a plan of safe care will be incorporated into the family’s case plan or service agreement. Plans of safe care are based on the specific needs of the infant and family and will include the following:

- Referral for assessment and possible services, such as Early Steps, Maternal and Child Health Home Visiting, if recommended
- Recommendations for post discharge care, such as Home Health services or other support services (including formal and informal support)
- Preparation and necessities for infant including crib, clothing, housing, formula, medication, (including medical follow up)
- Referral for medical and substance use services for infant and family, based on recommendations of medical examinations and substance use assessments by clinicians
FOSTER CARE: Services are provided statewide in all 64 parishes through 9 regional and 48 parish offices. The foster care program provides services for a planned period of time when an abused or neglected child must be separated from parents or family, and when the state has been awarded legal custody of the child through the court of jurisdiction.

The chart below gives the total number of children and youth served during the federal fiscal years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>Cumulative FFY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline: 2013</td>
<td>7,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>8,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>8,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data obtained from Web Focus Report

Update FFY 2015: The following services reflect the Department’s foster care activities. Safety Focused Practice (SFP) case studies were completed on safety assessments being completed by staff and then consultation was provided to staff to help improve assessment skills based case study findings.

In the area of risk assessment, SDM refresher training was provided statewide to Foster Care (FC) staff, supervisors and managers with guidance on using along with safety assessments. SDM trainings were held between January and March 2014 in all regions and State Office.

Case Planning efforts continued the partnership with Casey Family Programs and the Child Welfare Policy and Practice Group (CWPPG) to continue to develop basic knowledge of the teaming process for case planning in Lafayette, Lake Charles, Shreveport and Monroe regions, as well as continuing to build Facilitators and Coaches in those regions to support sustainability of the process over time. DCFS partnered with Michael Seider in this work to assist the implementing regions in managing court system relationships and providing guidance to field supervisors in how to supervise differently to support staff development in the use of the teaming process.

- **Youth Transition Planning** - YTP trainings were held between May and August 2014 in all regions and State Office for DCFS staff and foster caretakers. Trainings were developed with input from CFCIP providers, youth, CASA, foster caretakers and staff.

- The Department supported the education of staff and stakeholders on unique issues faced by older youth transitioning to adulthood through the youth conferences. Conference dates include:
YOUTH CONFERENCE DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria/Shreveport</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette/Lake Charles</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge/Covington</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans/Thibodaux</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Youth Conferences with not held in the SFY 2017 due to the delay in approval of CFILP contracts. DCFS has however scheduled youth meetings where executive staff can meet with youth to determine areas of need and areas of improvement within the department. These meetings will be held prior to the end of SFY 2017.

The Youth in Transition program was developed for youth aging out of care at 18, within one year of completing secondary education program, planning to continue in secondary education program, and performing satisfactorily. Below is a list of the number of educational stipends awarded to youth in Louisiana’s foster care system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Youth stipends remain in effect until the youth graduates, receive a GED (HiSET) or continue to attend school and perform satisfactorily. If the youth turns 18 in April and graduates in May, the youth will only get the stipend for the months of April and May. Alternatively, DCFS may enter into an arrangement with the youth to provide a stipend for one year as long as the youth remains in school. Excessive absences, however, will result in the termination of the stipend.

DCFS collaborated with the Louisiana Housing Corporation (LHC) to offer housing options in the state that were more accessible to youth aging out of foster care. The LHC allotted 25 housing vouchers to DCFS for assignment to older youth. The LHC also agreed to use a youth’s status as aging out of foster care to prioritize these youth for consideration in other housing.
programs as well. From the periods of July 2014 through April 2015, there were total of nine (9) referrals made, and two (2) vouchers awarded as opposed to the previous years’ referrals of fifty three (53) and twenty-five (25) awarded vouchers. Two vouchers were awarded in the July/2014-April/2015 period either because a youth lost his/her voucher due to some form of non-compliance, or because a youth decided to give up the voucher due to other living arrangements. It is at that time the Department has the opportunity to offer those vouchers to other youth on a waiting list for the service. Vouchers are non-renewable, and are only awarded at two year time frame intervals. Consequently, during the months of July and August, most vouchers will expire and become available to other youth exiting foster care.

Not all referrals result in a voucher award as there are insufficient awards to meet the demand. The referrals are prioritized based upon the number of available vouchers at the time. A youth with a child is prioritized over a youth with no child. Youth with no connections or relatives is prioritized over a youth that does have identifiable, documented and supportive connections and relatives. A youth with a child results in the consumption of two vouchers as opposed to one, according to LHC guidelines.

Vouchers are lost by youth as a result of a variety of situations including the following:

- youth allowing other people to reside within the rental property;
- youth not following the rules of the property in relation to such things as noise level;
- youth not maintaining sanitary conditions within the dwelling.

On a few occasions, the LHC had to work with property owners due to unhealthy living conditions that prevented the youth from moving in to the property right away. In those instances, LHC allowed landlords a brief time frame to improve the conditions or find a replacement rental situation.

- **Human Trafficking Service Continuum** – DCFS continues to collaborate with legal and faith based organizations to fully identify and serve survivors of human trafficking (labor and sex), reduce high risk behaviors, and improve permanency outcomes for those children from FC involved in these situations. Departmental staff that serve on the task force through the Governor’s office include many public and private organizational representatives. In partnership with Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home, a few specialized foster homes were developed for sex trafficking victims in FC. These homes experienced many challenges in the care of the placements they received, and further work will be needed to sustain these specialized types of homes. In partnership with HP Serve, a faith based organization with specialized training in providing support to sex trafficking victims, DCFS was able to identify sex trafficked victims from foster care with resources to cope with their victimization. A specialized tool was developed for FC workers to use with youth returning from runaway status to assess potential involvement in sex trafficking activities.
Update FFY 2016:
Safety Focused Practice – Dates for the upcoming training have not been set at this time.

Case Planning – The FC supervisor mentoring program began in February 2016 with bi-monthly meetings and supervisor participants. The training will provide the supervisors with 6 Modules and an optional 7th module focused on Youth Transition Planning.

Casey Family Programs and CWPPG – The Department will continue work with the Casey Family Programs and the CWPPG. The teaming process training has been completed statewide. All nine regions have been trained and teaming has been implemented.

Master Coaches were developed by CWPPG in the Lake Charles, Lafayette, Shreveport and Monroe regions in the beginning of the fiscal year 2015 to become practice experts for ongoing consultation. Currently master coaches are monitoring teaming in process and providing immediate feedback on the implementation of the teaming principles. The coaches provide follow up feedback and training as requested.

Youth Transition Planning – A baseline for the quality of Youth Transition Plans is being developed. CQI staff continues to review and evaluate the accuracy of the Youth Transition Plans to ensure compliance and completion.

The Department will continue to support the education of staff and stakeholders on unique issues faced by older youth transitioning to adulthood through the youth conferences.

Human Trafficking Service Continuum - DCFS has continued its work to combat Human Trafficking (HT). In 2012 an allegation of Sexual Exploitation/Juvenile Sexual Trafficking was added to the allegation list and definition of child abuse and neglect/sexual abuse. In March 2013, screening for HT was added to the policy regarding foster youth on runaway or missing. A medical screening and a screening for case managers and youth were developed. The screening tools are mandatory for completion with each foster youth upon their return from runaway or a missing episode. In addition to the screening tools, procedures were added to the policy regarding identification of trafficking and specialized staffing to determine needs and services. Between July 2015 and August 2015 additional policy regarding HT was added for Child Protective Services (CPS), Family Services (FS), and Foster Care (FC). The additional policies include updated definitions of HT/Sex Trafficking, lists of criteria for determination of “Confirmed/Identified Victim”,” High-Risk of Trafficking”, or “At Risk of Trafficking”, procedures to identify and assess trafficking victims, and guidance regarding service provision for sex trafficking victims. DCFS has established protocol for Centralized Intake to assess for HT and refer for further services as indicated. The policy and additional training to support the protocol for Centralized Intake is currently in draft. Screening tools for the FS and FC programs have been developed and submitted for addition to the Family Assessment Tracking System. These tools align with the identification lists already in policy and screen for Sex Trafficking with categories of, “Confirmed/Identified Victims”, “High-Risk of Trafficking”, and “At Risk of Trafficking”. Policy will direct FC case managers to complete the screening tool on all children/youth age 10-17 a minimum of once every six months, prior to any identification of trafficking, along with the regular assessment updates. The screening tool for FS will be
completed upon indication by policy of the need to do so. During FFY 2016 CPS will also be implementing a screening tool for Sex Trafficking.

DCFS continues to work to collect data regarding human trafficking victims within Louisiana. This has required many meetings with stakeholders and service providers regarding data collection for this population. Though this is a continuing effort, DCFS completed its CY 2015 annual report to the Louisiana Legislature on Human Trafficking in Louisiana, which is the 2nd report completed of this type.

A representative from the data unit and from CPS, have been participating as one of the three state/county child welfare representatives on the HHS Human Trafficking Work Group. This group has been developing the data elements to enhance ACF’s capability of collecting data on human trafficking and child welfare. The work group is scheduled for a final wrap up on May 10. Beginning in February of 2016, DCFS established a work group to develop recommendations for changes to the data systems, ACESS and TIPS, to be able to identify and track which cases have human trafficking involvement throughout the entire child welfare system. Though there are many challenges in this effort, the work group continues to meet and make plans to improve collection of data.

Beginning in 2015, DCFS attended meetings regarding combating human trafficking within Louisiana with a group that included representatives from the Court Improvement Program (CIP), Louisiana State Police (LSP), and judiciary partners. Though this is not the first time DCFS has met with LSP regarding the issue of trafficking, these specific meetings were held to determine needs in Louisiana and develop a multi-disciplinary rapid screening tool. This group continues to meet and plans to have the screening tool developed and in use prior to the end of 2016. Through these meetings DCFS was able to work with LSP to create an MOU regarding protocol and information sharing for Human Trafficking cases. The MOU was signed in May of 2016.

In March of 2015 through July of 2015, DCFS partnered with the Children’s Justice Act (CJA) and Healing Place Serve (HP Serve) to provide training on HT that included basic definitions and knowledge, identification and red flags, victim presentation, victim needs and services, coordination with other agencies, and trauma informed best practices for victims of HT. This training was provided to all DCFS field level staff providing direct services to clients and their supervisors. All other DCFS staff at all levels, foster parents, residential care providers, and CASA workers were also invited to attend. This training was performed as in-person training in all regions of the state. DCFS is currently working with the Pelican Center for Children and Families to post the training on their website for viewing by all. In 2016, partnering with LouisianaChildren.org and HP Serve, additional multidisciplinary trainings have been provided across the state to include all aspects listed above in addition to presentation on legal considerations for Human Trafficking. DCFS has partnered with the Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) and Connecticut Department of Children and Families to obtain a train the trainer on the Understanding Girls: A Trauma Informed Perspective curriculum. This training will be held in June 2016. Following the train the trainer, DCFS will continue preparations and plans to use this training with foster parents, residential facilities, service providers, and case managers. Plans are also underway to bring Love 146 to Louisiana to provide a train the trainer...
for their Not a #Number curriculum. Through partnership with HP Serve this will be possible and is tentative for September 2016. Prior to the training, DCFS, HP Serve, and Louisianachildren.org will be working with stakeholders to partner with them through MOUs to provide this training to children/youth involved with DCFS on an on-going basis.

A placement group was formed in May 2015 as part of the partnership with HP Serve through their federal grant to address trafficking. Multiple parties within DCFS and additional stakeholders have been part of the placement group work. The group has been working to develop specialized foster homes for youth who are victims of human trafficking or are at high-risk of human trafficking. The work regarding these homes includes development of policy, procedure, board rates, criteria for foster parents, recruitment of foster parents, training for foster parents, support services for foster parents, and support services for youth victims. This group has also been working with current residential providers to ensure they receive training on human trafficking on-site, as it was found that they could attend easier this way. During training sessions, the residential providers and staff are being engaged to discuss what their needs are relative to housing this population and what types of supports are warranted. The group will continue to plan this work and identify solutions for providers. The group has recently begun discussion of developing a protocol/ best practices quick reference guide for placement providers to utilize once a child is placed with them that is a victim of HT. Work on development of this guide should continue through FFY 2016 and possibly into FFY 2017.

DCFS continues work with multiple stakeholders to combat human trafficking. Meetings with stakeholders are held at different times within the year by DCFS, Louisianachildren.org, or HP Serve and have included work with the following for FFY 2015 and FFY 2016:

- The Court Improvement Program
- Department of Juvenile Services (BR)
- Louisiana Children’s CJA Task Force
- Department of Health & Hospitals/Office of Behavioral Health
- Louisiana Sheriff’s Association
- Louisiana State Police
- Office of Juvenile Justice
- Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home
- Alliance for Freedom, Restoration & Justice
- The Wilson Foundation
- Youth Oasis
- Covenant House
- The Hub Urban Ministries
- Child Advocacy Centers of Louisiana

Update FFY 2017:

Safety Focused Practice – Individual case reviews have been provided through the FC Consultants. Consultants have staffed cases and reviewed the safety focused practice through case consultation.
Case Planning – The FC supervisor mentoring program has been successful. The supervisors were provided with 6 Modules and the optional 7th module focused on Youth Transition Planning (YTP) will take place by the end of this fiscal year. FC supervisors will understand how to plan and review YTPs of their workers and how to encourage adequate completion of the YTP. Due to high turnover, the policy and practice unit met with field staff of Baton Rouge Region to assist with practice development and how to assist with the case planning process.

Youth Transition Planning – In following up with the YTP training that was completed in 2016, follow up staffing have been implemented. FC Consultants are having monthly calls to staff youth that are aging out of foster care. The purpose of the calls was to ensure youth are aging out of care with at least one permanent connection and a place to live. FC Consultants review the YTPs and then discuss the agency’s plan for the youth to exit foster care with a viable resource and plan as he/she transitions to adulthood. The calls were implemented in November 2016 and FC Consultants have staffed all youth that are 6-12 months from aging out of FC. Assisting field staff have been vital to determine youth eligible for stipends, ETV and other resources otherwise not known by field staff. FC Consultants have also encouraged and reiterated to field staff to refer youth to our Independent Living Providers and that they provide case management services to youth aging out until age 26.

CQI staff has assisted in reviewing youth transition plan and have provided onsite mentoring to case managers/supervisors to improve practice. CQI and FC Consultants collaborated together to review youth transition plans to ensure the assessment of supervisors’ decision making can be consistent with best practice standards. The evaluation of YTPs by CQI staff has indicated weaknesses in developing adequate transition plans for youth aging out of foster care. In January and February 2017, CQI staff, in partnership with FC State Office Staff, conducted focus groups in each region with multiple levels of staff to determine reasons for the lower outcome ratings. The information from those focus groups is currently being formulated into a report so recommendations can be made. Due to some of the immediately noticed needs regarding YTP development, a new YTP review instrument has been developed to begin use in April 2017. This new review instrument narrows focus in YTP development to the most basic needs of a youth aging out of foster care to allow staff to develop expertise incrementally.

Human Trafficking Service Continuum – DCFS continues to work on the development of specialization and expertise within the department for work on human trafficking, the establishment of specialized multi-disciplinary staffings for human trafficking cases, and further outreach and development of support services specific to victims of Human Trafficking, to include mental health providers.

DCFS continues to work in collaboration with other community partners in developing resources to serve victims of human trafficking. Policy has been developed for FC to guide staff in serving these youth. A statewide webinar was conducted in July 2016 to explain the additional to policy and assist staff with understanding these changes regarding human trafficking. A human trafficking tool was developed and placed in policy. This tool is used for youth ages 12 and over that are at risk or have indicated his/her involvement in human trafficking. The tool was updated and added into FATS system to assess youth 12-17 years of age to determine if he/she is at risk of being a victim of human trafficking. The agency provided an interactive “Lunch and Learn” to
provide field staff with further information on the implementation of the human trafficking tool along with how and when to complete it. DCFS continues to serve on the Human Trafficking Task Force through the Governor’s Office.

Early Childhood - DCFS continues to ensure all children entering or in FC have had an Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPDST) assessment (birth-age 1) and referral to the Early Steps (birth-age 3), Louisiana’s Early Intervention system for those infants and toddlers with disabilities upon entrance into foster care according to LDH guidelines. The agency ensures any recommendations made as a result of these assessments are followed. Identified areas of disability will be tracked in TIPS with greater detail by the end of the fiscal year regarding the child’s conditions provided through other electronic data collection systems such as FATS, cumulative school records and the school counselor notification form. This is a year for extensive revision and greater data collections through the AIP process.

DCFS and the Children’s Code Committee are part of legislated task force (2015/2016 – HCR 125; 2016/2017 – HCR 34) charged with reviewing and improving DCFS policies and Louisiana laws around continued contact between children, families, siblings and relatives when a child is involved in a CINC case. Policies were revised in February 2015 and a statewide webinar was conducted in September 2016 to further reiterate the importance of maintaining family connections for youth in FC. DCFS has been revised pre-service training for foster parents and the LCWTA revised the New Worker Orientation (NWO) training.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:
Safety Focused Practice (SFP) – The Department will continue to assess the SFP by reviewing cases and evaluating what specific trainings are needed to ensure safety measures for foster youth are being used accurately. The field staff will work to develop behaviorally focused case plan goals that are directed targeted to the reason why the child entered FC.

Case Planning – Once the initial round of FC supervisors have completed his/her mentoring program along with the optional 7th module focused on YTPs, FC Consultants will be able to evaluate the mentoring program and how effective the youth training planning module has assisted supervisors with evaluating and assisting his/her workers in developing effective and useful youth transition plans for foster youth. As information is received and the module is evaluated the FC Consultants will make adequate training adjustments to provide the information and training that FC supervisors require to be successful in obtaining and documenting information in the youth transition plan.

Youth Transition Planning – FC Consultants will continue to have monthly calls with staff foster youth aging out of care. This will be an ongoing process to ensure foster youth have what they need upon exiting foster care. The calls will continue until all of the foster youth are being staff 18 months prior to aging out of care. By staffing 18 months prior to their 18th birthday, the Department should be able to implement better plans for youth to have more than one permanent connection and adequate housing plans to ensure foster youth aren’t homeless upon aging out of care.
Human Trafficking Service Continuum – DCFS will continue to work on the development of specialization and expertise within the department for work on human trafficking, the establishment of specialized multi-disciplinary staffings for human trafficking cases, and further outreach and development of support services specific to victims of Human Trafficking, to include mental health providers. DCFS is working on AFCARS 2.0 changes to be input in TIPS.

DCFS will continue to work in collaboration with other community partners in developing resources to serve victims of human trafficking (HT). Policy was developed for FC to guide staff in serving these youth. A HT tool was placed in policy. This tool will be used to obtain statistical data on our foster youth and determine what services are needed to better serve these youth at risk or being victims of HT. The data will assist the agency in providing data in regarding to what factors increase and/or decreases a foster youth’s risk of being a victim of HT. The tool will be completed every 6 months on foster youth and the data will provide the answer to what is needed for this population. DCFS will continue to serve on the HT Task Force through the Governor’s Office.

Early Childhood - DCFS will continue to ensure all children entering or in FC have had an Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPDST) assessment (birth-age 1) and a referral to the Early Steps (birth-age 3), Louisiana’s Early Intervention system for those infants and toddlers with disabilities upon entrance into foster care according to LDH guidelines. The agency will continue to ensure any recommendations made as a result of these assessments are followed. Identified areas of disability will be tracked in TIPS by labeling the data collection as “History of Diagnosed Conditions” which will replace the need to document the child’s condition in any other electronic data collection systems such as FATS and cumulative school records. The school counselor notification form will still be required to provide adequate information to the school regarding the child’s diagnosed conditions that require special accommodations.

DCFS and the Children’s Code Committee are part of legislated task force (2015/2016 – HCR 125; 2016/2017 – HCR 34) charged with reviewing and improving DCFS policies and Louisiana laws around continued contact between children, families, siblings and relatives when a child is involved in a CINC case. DCFS revised its policies and conducted a statewide webinar in to further reiterate the importance of maintaining family connections for youth in foster care. DCFS has been revised pre-service training for foster parents and the Child Welfare Training Academy revised the New Worker Orientation (NWO) training. Based on numerous sources of case consultation it will be determined what further training will be needed regarding continued contact with families and children.
MONTHLY CASEWORKER VISITS: DCFS will continue ensure that by FFY 2016 and thereafter, 95% of the children in the custody of the state will be visited each and every month by their caseworker and 50% of these visits take place in the home of the child. Departmental policy requires that caseworker visits occur each and every month in the home of the child and also allows a supervisor to temporarily assign another worker to a case when the normal worker is out of the office for an extended period of time. If this type of reassignment occurs, it is documented in the electronic case record activity log.

Use of Monthly Caseworker Visit Funds:
- A portion of the additional IV-B, Subpart 2 funds will be used for travel and associated costs to support caseworker visits.
- Support for core competencies that include teaching the skills required to conduct quality visits which focus on engagement with emphasis on the necessity to see each child every month.
- Stress the importance of worker visits in New Worker Orientation, at Regional Administrator meetings, in foster care program supervisory mentoring, and in on-going training on risk and safety assessments, family engagement, assessment of family functioning, and case planning.
- Ongoing implementation of the training program for new child welfare workers. The new workers remain in trainee status for a six month period after employment and are trained using a competency-based training model which includes traditional classroom training, on-the-job training, computer-based training, and blended learning.
- Encrypted laptops with air cards will be provided to field staff to support a more mobile workforce.
- The Department will continue implementation of a teleworker plan to increase staff mobility and opportunities for teleworking will improve case work as well as improve staff retention.
- The Department will continue to focus resources on how staffing issues related to funding reductions might impact key performance indicators. DCFS will continue to develop strategies for managing the workload effectively in a climate of staff reductions as well demonstrating the impact of staff reductions on service delivery resulting from fiscal shortfalls. In addition, the Department will continue examination of trends in performance indicators in the context of human resources data (staff on board, FMLA hours, separations and overtime hours worked) and workload data (number of cases per program, average caseload size, etc.).
- Support and upgrades for the Family Assessment Tracking System (FATS) which is the electronic mechanism for documenting the dates, locations and purposes of worker visits.
- Streamlining and modernization efforts including the ongoing implementation and fine tuning of a Common Access Front End (CAFÉ), which is movement toward a paperless workplace. DCFS staff will be able to conduct interactive interviews and input electronic case notes, which reduces duplicative data entry and key strokes.
- Document imaging, the electronic storage and indexing of key child welfare documents to support future efforts to develop an electronic case record and provide additional functionality for mobile workers and teleworkers.
DCFS will utilize the FATS system to provide the required data regarding monthly case worker visits. Data will be extracted from the Tracking, Information and Payment System (TIPS), state identification numbers (ID) and foster care entry and exit dates of all children served in foster care from October 1st through September 30th annually. The entry and exit dates will be concatenated such that each child had one record in the core data file and children with multiple episodes had all full months in care stored as a single episode. These IDs will be matched against electronic case record notes to extract all face to face visits with each child that were made by an assigned caseworker or supervisor. If multiple visits occurred in the same month, only one visit will be counted. If any one of the qualifying visits was made in the child's residence, the month was included in the numerator for visits in the residence.

MONTHLY CASEWORKER VISITS/DATA (Statistical and Supporting Information):
This section provides information on the federal mandate to assess and improve frequency and location of caseworker visits with children in foster care. Sampling methodology is provided below.

The table below tracks the annual progress of 95% of children in foster care being visited by their worker each and every month with 50% of the visits taking place in the child’s residence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>% of children visited monthly by caseworker Baseline/Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>% of children visited monthly whose visits were in child’s residence monthly Baseline/Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>94.62%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>88.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95.86%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>88.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96.34%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>89.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96.05%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>97.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities Planned for FFY 2015-2019: In order to continuously monitor and improve compliance with monthly case worker visits, the DCFS will do the following:

- Continue monthly Performance Measures Consultant teleconferences to review regional performance in completion of caseworker visits in the child’s residence.
- Systems unit provides % of visits held with children held monthly to operations. (This report is reviewed in state office and sent to regional management for review. The case for every visit not made is reviewed to insure this is not just a documentation error.)
- In some regions all caseworker visits to children are required by a certain point in the month, and then the manager has to monitor unachieved visits and the worker has 1 week to complete the visit from that point.
- DCFS Systems to develop a dashboard report to daily reflect for workers statewide the current status of all caseworker visits for ease in monitoring compliance.
Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) Sampling Methodology: Beginning FFY 2012 Louisiana OJJ utilized the following methodology for evaluating compliance with the case worker visit requirements.

Data Reporting Population:
- The OJJ population, for purposes of federal visitation is those youth who were submitted to DCFS on the OJJ AFCARS file or children in OJJ custody who are covered by a Title IV-E agreement between the state Title IV-E agencies are included in the population.
- Children in custody for at least one full calendar month during the FFY are included in the population.
- A child with more than one custody episode during the 12-month period is considered one child.
- Children placed in an out-of-state placement are included in the data reporting population of the state that has placement and care responsibility for the children.
- If a state considers children who have returned home for a trial home visit to be in OJJ custody, then the children are included in the population.
- Children who have run away from a placement are included in the population for as long as the child remains in the state’s placement and care.

Data Utilized for Computation and Verification:
1. The SAS data warehouse and DB2 SQL was used to develop reports to extract data from JETS related to caseworker visits with children identified as IV-E. JETS is a distributed Lotus Notes application that supports data from Lotus Notes and DB2.

2. Case level data was extracted from JETS for all children indicated as IV-E. The extraction criteria identified which months were full months in care and which months were not full months in care. The extraction criteria also identified the months that contain a recorded face-to-face visit and the months that do not reflect a face-to-face visit.

3. The data file generated by the OJJ SAS data warehouse was merged with the data file from DCFS. The merged file was then analyzed by DCFS to complete computations on the number and percentage of expected and accomplished face-to-face visits and the number and percentage of those visits that occurred in the child’s residence.

4. Testing and verification included case matches between the SAS data warehouse, the JETS Lotus Notes Narrative databases, and DB2. Case record reviews were also conducted to verify the accuracy of the extraction logic.

5. Data submitted to the Department included statewide totals for OJJ as well as data broken down by each region. The final data was submitted in Excel spreadsheet format.

6. The DCFS provided the calculation of percentages and statistical data to the US Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Children & Families from the combined DCFS and OJJ data sets.
The percentage of visits made on a monthly basis by caseworkers to youth was determined by taking the number of visits made during all full months children in the reporting population were in care and dividing it by the number of full months in care for all children in the reporting population. This quotient was multiplied by 100 and expressed as a percentage, rounded to the nearest whole number.

The percentage of visits that occurred in the residence of the child was determined by taking the number of monthly visits made to children in the reporting population during full months in care that occurred in the residence of the child and dividing it by the total number of monthly visits made to children in the reporting population during full months in care. This quotient was multiplied by 100 and expressed as a percentage, rounded to the nearest whole number.

**DCFS Sampling Methodology:** Since FFY 2012 DCFS has utilized the following methodology for evaluating compliance with the case worker visit requirements.

**Data Reporting Population:**
- All children under age 18 in foster care for at least one full calendar month during the FFY were included in the population.
- A child with more than one foster care episode during the 12-month period was considered one child.
- Children placed in an out-of-state foster care placement were included in the data reporting population of the state that has placement and care responsibility for the children.
- If a state considers children who have returned home for a trial home visit to be in foster care, then the children were included in the population.
- Children who had run away from a foster care placement were included in the population for as long as the child remained in the state’s placement and care.
- Children in foster care covered by a Title IV-E agreement between the state Title IV-E agency and an Indian Tribe or another agency (e.g., juvenile justice) were included in the population.

**Data Utilized for Computation and Verification:**
1. Web Focus Business Intelligence reporting application was used to develop reports related to caseworker visits with children in foster care. Web Focus is a robust application that supports such as integration of data from multiple sources TIPS and FATS and languages (DB2, SQL).

2. Case level data was extracted from TIPS using basic AFCARS and visitation inclusion criteria (in state custody and in a qualified placement for at least one full month during the FFY). The extraction criteria included a data ‘flag’ to identify which months were full months in care and which months were not full months in care. The TIPS extraction file served as the reporting population.

3. Case level data was extracted from the FATS for all children indicated as foster children in FATS. The extraction criteria included a data flag to identify the months that contain a recorded
face-to-face visit and the months that did not reflect a face-to-face visit. An additional flag was created as an indicator of visits occurring in the child’s residence or not in the child’s residence.

4. The data file from TIPS was merged with the date file from FATS. The merged file was used to complete computations on the number and percentage of expected and accomplished face-to-face visits and the number and percentage of those visits that occurred in the child’s residence.

5. Testing and verification included case matches between TIPS and FATS to insure that all children qualifying for inclusion in the reporting population in TIPS are also captured in the FATS system. Case record reviews were also conducted to verify the accuracy of the extraction logic.

**Calculation of Percentages:** The percentage of visits made on a monthly basis by caseworkers to children in foster care was determined by taking the number of visits made during all full months children in the reporting population are in care and dividing it by the number of full months in care for all children in the reporting population. This quotient was multiplied by 100 and expressed as a percentage, rounded to the nearest whole number.

The percentage of visits that occurred in the residence of the child was determined by taking the number of monthly visits made to children in the reporting population during full months in care that occurred in the residence of the child and dividing it by the total number of monthly visits made to children in the reporting population during full months in care. This quotient was multiplied by 100 and expressed as a percentage, rounded to the nearest whole number.

For FFY 2014 and 2015, DCFS continued with activities listed in the CFSP as they have proven successful in meeting the federal outcome measures for caseworker visits. DCFS child welfare achieved/exceeded the goals of 95% of the children in the custody of the state visited each and every month by their caseworker and 50% of these visits take place in the home of the child.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th># of Children Served in FC at Least 1 Full Month FFY 2014 (unduplicated)</th>
<th># of Full Months in Care</th>
<th># of Full Months in Care with Face to Face Visit by Assigned Worker</th>
<th># of Qualifying Visit Months with a Visit in the Child’s residence</th>
<th>% of Full Months in Care with Face to Face Visits</th>
<th>% of Qualifying Visits that occurred in the Child’s Residence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6,695</td>
<td>47,294</td>
<td>45,338</td>
<td>40,227</td>
<td>95.86%</td>
<td>88.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6,828</td>
<td>49,313</td>
<td>47,510</td>
<td>42,407</td>
<td>96.34%</td>
<td>89.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7,015</td>
<td>50,866</td>
<td>48,933</td>
<td>47,652</td>
<td>96.05%</td>
<td>97.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data extracted on March 3, 2016 from Web Focus Developer Studio*

**Update FFY 2017:** The Department continues to ensure that children in FC are visited by their worker each and every month with 50% of the visits taking place in the child’s residence. Monthly updates of visit documentation will be provided to the regional Performance Measures Consultants and Area Directors to begin monitoring case worker visits monthly.

**Activities Planned in FFY 2018:** Strategies to ensure that children in FC are visited by their worker each and every month with 50% of the visits taking place in the child’s residence will be implemented. For usage of case worker visit funds refer to page 236.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
JUVENILE JUSTICE TRANSFERS: Data shows children who were in the care (custody) of the DCFS and were transferred to the supervision (custody) of the state Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ). Context information about the source of this information and how the reporting population is defined is provided below.

### Regional Analysis of Children Transferred from DCFS to OJJ:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of Child’s Domicile</th>
<th>FFY 2013 Baseline</th>
<th>FFY 2014</th>
<th>FFY 2015</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Court Identified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans/Jefferson</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data extracted from WebFocus Developer Studio*

**Contextual Information:** The provide data reflects DCFS database information on children who changed custody statewide in a specified federal fiscal year. The data is on children whose case was opened in the state’s foster care system and who had their custody transferred to the Department of Corrections (DOC). DOC has responsibility for children adjudicated to the OJJ, the state’s juvenile justice system. The information presented above was obtained through a DCFS Web-focus Report.
FOSTER AND ADOPTIVE PARENT DILIGENT RECRUITMENT PLAN: As per Section 422(b)(7) of the Social Security Act, the state provides for the diligent recruitment of foster/adoptive families that meet the needs of the infants, children, youth served by the child welfare (CW) agency. Please refer to the Systemic Factor portion of this plan on Foster and Adoptive Parent Licensing, Recruitment and Retention for additional information on foster/adoptive parent recruitment.

Characteristics of children for whom foster and adoptive homes are needed: Children who enter the Louisiana foster care (FC) program are from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds. Many of these children have various medical, emotional, behavioral, developmental and/or psychiatric needs. In addition, other characteristics include: adolescents, older youth and sibling groups. One of the Department’s goals is to have a sufficient number of foster/adoptive parents to meet and/or match the various placement needs. On April 14, 2014 there was 1817 (African-American); 2160 (Caucasian); and 233 (Other) children in foster care which equates to 43% being African-American; 51% being Caucasian; and 06% other. (This is information obtained from Web Focus.)

The regional Home Development (HD) program staff is responsible for preparing an annual recruitment/retention plan that is tailored to address the region specific temporary and/or permanent placement needs. A copy of the plan is made available to the state office level HD program for monitoring purposes.

Update FFY 2016: On May 2, 2016, there were a total of 4,361 children in FC. The racial breakdown shows that 1,720 were African-American; 2,385 were Caucasian; and 256 were Other. (This is information obtained from WebFocus.)

Update FFY 2017: On March 14, 2017, there were a total of 4,373 children in FC. The racial breakdown shows that 1,637 were African-American; 2,443 were Caucasian; and 293 were Other.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase number of new foster/adoptive families certified</td>
<td>***697 total</td>
<td>IG: Increase by 2% (711) AP: 2.9% increase - total of 717 homes</td>
<td>IG: Increase by 2% (731) AP: 4% Increase – total of 748 homes</td>
<td>Increase by 2% (762) AP: 16% Increase – total of 870 homes</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
<td>Improvement Goal (IG) &amp; Actual Performance (AP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The demographic data shows the following racial makeup of 1,066 single parent families:
- 393 Caucasians (393 females and 0 males)
- 667 African-Americans (667 females and 0 males)
- 3 American Indian/Alaska Native (3 females and 0 male)
- 1 Asian/Pacific Islander (1 female and 0 males)
- 2 Unknown (2 females and 0 males)

Of the 1,066 single parent families, 1,010 were not Hispanic; 11 were Hispanic; and 45 were Unknown.

The remaining 2,179 were two-parent families; the demographic data indicates the following racial makeup:
- Caucasians – 1,645 (Parent 1) and 1,625 (Parent 2)
- African-Americans – 516 (Parent 1) and 533 (Parent 2)
- American Indian/Alaska Native – 8 (Parent 1) and 8 (Parent 2)
- Asian – 5 (Parent 1) and 4 (Parent 2)
- Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander – 1 (Parent 1) and 4 (Parent 2)
- Unknown – 4 (Parent 1) and 5 (Parent 2)

Of the 2,179 – 2,048 (Parent 1) and 2,050 (Parent 2) were not Hispanic; 31 (Parent 1) and 36 (Parent 2) were Hispanic; and 100 (Parent 1) and 93 (Parent 2) were Unknown.

**Specific strategies to reach out to all parts of the community:** The DCFS continuously, through ongoing efforts seeks out community partners and/or resources to assist with meeting the temporary and/or permanent placement needs of children in the FC program. During the previous five years, the Department experienced tremendous strides (as result of the designated regional recruiters), as well as some minor delays (resulting from the dissolving of the regional recruiter positions). Moving forward, the Department began to expand its utilization of community partners and stakeholders in the recruitment, certification and retention process. The partners include the Louisiana Foster/Adoptive Parent Association, members of the Faith in Families Initiative, the cooperative agreement with the Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home, the Court Improvement Project and Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK).

**Update FFY 2016:** The DCFS partnered with Louisiana Foster/Adoptive Parent Association and the Faith Based Collaborative (Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home, Cross Road NOLA, Healing Place Church, Our Savior’s Church and Catholic Charities) to assist with the recruitment, certification and retention of foster/adoptive parents. Foster parents were offered support through parent’s night out, training opportunities, family days and other support services.

Partnership continued with the Dave Thomas Foundation. Two grants were awarded to the Department to employ two child focused WWK recruiters. The WWK trained two additional staff members as WWK recruiters. The WWK program served 82 children and finalized six adoptions. Ten children are placed in pre-adoptive homes awaiting adoption finalization. Six children have been matched with families who are awaiting placement.
Update FFY 2017: The Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) rollout began in 2016. The focus of QPI is partnering of the Department, birth parents and caregivers with a goal of strengthening families. QPI is an approach to strengthening FC including kinship care, by refocusing on excellent parenting for all children in the CW system. It is a process designed to help a site develop new strategies and practices, rather than imposing upon it a predetermined set of "best practices." When parents cannot care for their children, the foster or relative family must be able to provide the loving, committed, skilled care that the child needs, while working effectively with the system to achieve the best possible permanency option for that child. Both the caregiver's parenting skills and the system's policies and practices should be based on child development research, information and tools. QPI is an effort to rebrand FC, not simply by changing a logo or an advertisement, but by changing the expectations of and support for foster parents and other caregivers.

QPI kickoffs were held in Covington and Lafayette regions in the summer of 2016. Focus groups were held and committees were developed to determine the needs of the child welfare system; and determine what each region’s priorities are as it relates to ensuring that the state is providing quality parenting for children. In August 2016, a state kickoff was held that included staff, foster parents, birth parents, judges, attorneys, CASA and community partners. The group listed four items that are needed to make a good foster parent, which will be embedded in our QPI philosophy.

In the summer of 2016, the HD Program along with a few foster parents and community partners began the development of a new foster parent pre-service training (A Journey Home). A Journey Home was created to include the QPI philosophies as well as ensure that foster parents were more prepared to care for children from hard places. A Journey Home includes creating partnerships with the agency and birth parents; as well as providing information regarding normalcy, sex abuse, substance abuse, attachment, trauma and Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI). A new kinship curriculum was developed to ensure that relatives were better prepared to be relative caregivers. In January 2017, HD Units around the state began to train new foster parents in A Journey Home. All current foster parents and staff will receive a one day overview of A Journey Home.

In February 2017, QPI rolled out in Orleans and Thibodaux regions. In April 2017, QPI will roll out in Alexandria, Monroe, Shreveport and Lake Charles and in May 2017 in Baton Rouge.

In February 2017, a Program Manager was hired to manage QPI; especially as it relates to building community partners in the faith based community. Their role will be to assist in the recruitment of QPI foster parents and supportive services for those parents.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018: Continue to expand QPI throughout the state. The new Program Manager will lead the efforts in the recruitment of foster families and supportive services to families and the children they are parenting.

Strategies for recruitment of foster/adoptive parents may vary from region to region. From an overall, statewide perspective, DCFS plans to utilize the following strategies to reach out to all parts of the community:
1.) General recruitment, which will focus on bringing about an awareness of the need for foster/adoptive families;
2.) Targeted recruitment, which will focus on the specific needs of the children and youth in care, through the use of demographic data (e.g., characteristics of children in care and characteristics of certified families); and
3.) Child specific recruitment will focus on seeking adoptive resources for a child and/or a sibling group who are without an identified adoptive resource.
4.) Child focused recruitment will focus on recruitment of families with the child’s needs and wants as factors when recruiting. This type of recruitment is conducted by the WWK recruiters.

**Diverse methods of disseminating both general information about being a foster/adoptive parent and child specific information:** The DCFS will utilize some of the following diverse methods of disseminating general information about becoming a certified foster/adoptive parent and disseminating child specific information:

1.) General recruitment activities: distribution of flyers and informational booklets at community events; conduct presentations on the need for foster/adoptive parents at faith-based events, educational forums and informational booth; post orientation dates and basic foster/adoptive information in local church bulletins; post orientation and pre-service training schedules on the Department’s website; post and foster/adoption awareness events. The Department will utilize current foster parents and the faith based community to recruit foster families.
2.) Targeted recruitment activities: invite certified foster/adoptive parents who are placement resources for a particular population of children (medically fragile children, adolescents, or, older youth) to participate on the pre-service training panel; and invite older youth (awaiting adoption and/or aged out of care) to participate on the pre-service training panel session; provide demographic information on children in care and certified families during training sessions and presentations at community events; and faith and community based partnerships.
3.) Child specific recruitment activities: Mini and Centralized Exchange meetings; photo listing (AdoptUsKids) and DCFS websites; media (television); Heart Galleries; present strength-based profiles of children/youth without an identified placement resource; solicit input from older children regarding their profiles; and partner with the community groups to feature child specific digital stories.
4) Child focused recruitment activities: WWK Recruiters with their children on their caseloads and the child’s network by building relationships and connections. Recruitment activities include: sibling visits and pre-placement outings (laser tag, ice cream, and zoo).

**Strategies for assuring that all prospective foster/adoptive parents have access to agencies that license/approve foster/adoptive parents, including location and hours of services so that the agencies can be accessed by all members of the community:**

Develop orientation/training pre-service schedule to cover at least a six-month period of time; post community partners’ information on the DCFS website; send notification via e-mail to community partners regarding DCFS regional orientation/pre-service training schedules once posted on-line; opening A Journey Home Training and Train the Trainers community partners.
The DCFS HD staff is responsible for conducting the foster/adoptive parent certification process. Each region prepares an orientation and pre-service training schedule for a six-month period. This information is submitted to the state office HD Unit and the information is forwarded to the Department’s Bureau of Communication for on-line posting. Each region offers at least one Saturday as well as day and evening pre-service training sessions.

**Strategies for training staff to work with diverse communities including cultural, racial, and socio-economic variations:** The DCFS developed a new pre-service training for foster parents entitled A Journey Home. One session of the curriculum focuses on Cultural Diversity. Staff was trained during a train the trainer session in December 2016.

The Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) offers trainings for staff on working with diverse communities.

**Update FFY 2016:** Training was offered entitled “Increasing Competency in Practice with Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Questioning (LGBTQ) Youth in Child Welfare Systems”. The HD staff compiled information on “Working With Diverse Families” and “Good Customer Service” to be handed out and discussed with regional staff.

**Update FFY 2017:** The LCWTA offered staff training on working with LGBTQ youth. In January 2017, LCWTA began offering training in Cultural Diversity to all foster parents. This is a mandatory training for foster parents that must be completed by the end of December 2017.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The Cultural Diversity training offered through LCWTA for foster parents will continue to be trained on an as needed basis; depending on the needs from the regions. The LCWTA will offer foster parents training on parenting LGBTQ youth.

**Strategies for dealing with linguistic barriers:** The DCFS has a responsibility to provide Limited English Proficiency (LEP) persons with access to programs and services. Staff utilizes the foreign language interpreters, when necessary and each region has an internal protocol for accessing an interpreter. The Department has membership with Language Line Services which allows staff to communicate with LEP individuals in various languages 24 hours per day, seven days per week. The service also allows staff to utilize the bilingual services when communicating with families who do not use English as their first language. Of course, staff can seek assistance from other staff that may be proficient a language other than English.

**Non-discriminatory fee structures:** DCFS does not charge a fee for individuals that are interested in becoming certified as foster/adoptive parents.

**Procedures for a timely search for prospective parents for a child needing an adoptive placement, including the use of exchanges and other interagency efforts, provided that such procedures ensure that placement of a child in an appropriate household is not delayed by the search for a same race or ethnic placement:** A concentrated individualized recruitment search utilizing regional, statewide and national child-specific recruitment strategies is conducted. The search occurs within 60 days of the date a child is made available for adoption, if there is no identified adoptive resource. Within 45 days of the child becoming legally available
for adoption, the adoption staff reviews all available certified/prospective foster/adoptive families. If a potential match is located, pre-placement exploration occurs within a two week period. The DCFS partners with faith-based communities to feature the “Heart Gallery” and assist with child specific digital stories.

Youth who are freed for adoption and do not have an identified resource, can be referred to the WWK program. The WWK recruiters conduct child focused recruitment for youth who may be hard to place.

**Update FFY 2015: Characteristics of children for whom foster and adoptive homes are needed:** As of the end of FFY 2014, there was 1,756 (African-American); 2,280 (Caucasian); 133 (Multi-Race) and 99 (Other) children in foster care which equates to 41.14% being African-American; 53.42% being Caucasian; 3.11% being Multi-Race; and 2.33% Other.

The recruitment/retention plans (2014) from five of the nine regions were reviewed. Each plan indicated the demographics of the children and the certified families, as well as the targeted objective (e.g., increase number of homes by 10%; certify a projected number of homes; increase the use of recruitment teams). The staff obtained data from the DCFS CW Dashboard (WebFocus). The recruitment strategies were developed based upon the regional data. As of the end of FFY 2014, there were 2,528 active certified; this number reflects foster/adoptive families that were active at any point during the FFY. There were 4,268 children in care. Based upon the above racial breakdown for children care, the two largest populations were Caucasian and African-American. Of the 2,528 certified families 1,536 were Caucasian families and 970 were African-American families.

Based upon a review of the recruitment information submitted by the staff, most regions were utilizing the strategies set forth in their plans. Orleans Region set a goal of increasing the new certifications by 10%; their 2014 numbers showed 105 new certified compared to the prior year number of 84. The majority of the Orleans’s recruitment activities were child specific; there main focus was on adoptive resources for the child awaiting adoption. Covington Region projected an increase in new certifications and public awareness. There was slight increase by four new certifications; and the recruitment activities included presentations at churches, libraries, restaurants, etc. Thibodaux Region showed a slight decrease in the number of new homes by four. The region’s plan was to mainly utilized general and child specific recruitment. Lake Charles region noted the region’s need for families of all races and ethnic background willing to accept children of any age and with a special need. The region presented information about FC/adoption at some of the following functions: Community Health Walk, annual community baby shower, Kiwanis Club; Walk for Cystic Fibrosis and Making Stride against Breast Cancer Walk. Alexandria Region set a goal of 55 new homes; for FFY 2014, they certified 58 new homes.

In FFY 2014 there was an increase (717) from the previous year in the number of new families. There was a decrease (688) in the number of closures from the previous. The average number of new families can range from 600 to 700 and these numbers also reflect an average for the number of closures per fiscal year. In some cases, the new certifications are child specific for fostering and/or adoption; when this is the case, this does not allow the department to have a pool
of available foster/adoptive homes. Child specific certifications allow the child to remain with individuals they have a connection/bond with. The Department’s families that are initially interested in fostering, although dually certified, will often become the adoptive parent of a child in their home that’s awaiting adoption.

**Update FFY 2016: Characteristics of children for whom foster and adoptive homes are needed:** As of the end of FFY 2015, there was a total of 4,515 children in FC; of which 1,770 were African-American; 2,448 were Caucasian; 186 were Multi-Race; and 111 were Other. This equates to 39.20% being African-American; 54.22% being Caucasian; 4.12% being Multi-Race; and 2.46% being Other.

The recruitment/retention plans (2015) from seven of the nine regions were reviewed. Each plan indicated the demographics of the children and the certified families, as well as the targeted objective (e.g., increase number of homes by 10%; certify a projected number of homes; increase the use of recruitment teams; increase the number of orientations).

In FFY 2015 there was an increase of 126 new foster homes; totaling 843 newly certified homes. There was a decrease of 29 home closures from the previous year; totaling 659 total homes closed. Many of the new homes certified were child specific. This does not allow the Department to have a pool of available foster/adoptive homes. However, with child specific certifications, it allows the child to remain with individuals they have a connection/bond with. The Department’s families that are initially interested in fostering, although dually certified, will often become the adoptive parent of a child in their home that’s awaiting adoption.

The staff obtained data from the DCFS CW Dashboard (WebFocus). The recruitment strategies were developed based upon the regional data. As of the end of FFY 2015, there were 3,000 active/certified families; this number reflects foster/adoptive families that were active at any point during the FFY. There were 4,515 children in care. Based upon the above racial breakdown for children in care, the two largest populations were Caucasian and African-American. Of the 3,000 certified families, 1,815 were Caucasian families and 1,164 were African-American families.

**Update FFY 2017:** The recruitment/retention plans (2016) from the nine regions were reviewed. Each plan indicated the demographics of the children and the certified families, as well as the targeted objectives (e.g., increase number of homes by 10%; certify a projected number of homes; increase the use of recruitment teams; increase the number of orientations).

In FFY 2016 there was an increase of 251 new foster homes; totaling 1,024 newly certified homes. There was a decrease of 114 home closures from the previous year; totaling 773 homes closed. Many of the new homes certified were child specific. This does not allow the Department to have a pool of available foster/adoptive homes. However, with child specific certifications, it allows the child to remain with individuals they have a connection/bond with. The Department’s families that are initially interested in fostering, although dually certified, will often become the adoptive parent of a child in their home that’s awaiting adoption.
On March 14, 2017, there were a total of 4,373 children in FC. The racial breakdown shows that 1,637 were African-American; 2,443 were Caucasian; and 293 were Other.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** With the rollout of QPI, recruitment for families willing to partner with the Department and with birth families will begin. QPI will increase placement stability, increase engagement among staff, foster parents and birth parents and increase timeliness to permanency. Child specific certification and look at potential policy changes needed to support QPI will be prioritized.

**Strategies for recruitment of foster/adoptive parents may vary from region to region.** From an overall, statewide perspective, DCFS plans to utilize the following strategies to reach out to all parts of the community: Specific strategies to reach out to all parts of the community: A review of the listing of some of the regions’ recruitment activities shows that staff continues to make strives to enhance and establish relationships within their community. General, targeted and child specific recruitment strategies were utilized to: maintain an awareness of the need for foster/adoptive families for provide temporary care of children in foster care; focus on specific needs of children in care; and seek out potential foster/adoptive resource for children awaiting adoption. Wendy’s Wonderful Kids recruiters conducted child focused recruitment for children freed for adoption without an identified placement resource.

**Update FFY 2016:** Opportunities continue to be sought to obtain input from certified foster/adoptive parents in an effort to improve the retention of foster/adoptive homes. The LCWTA conducted a survey to identify training needs from the perspective of the foster/adoptive parent(s) and the DCFS staff. Dr. Corie Hebert with Southeast Louisiana University (community partner) was the survey project lead. The data collection came from three primary sources: 1) a written survey administered to foster parents at a Foster/Adoptive Parent Association Conference (2014); 2) an interactive survey of foster/adoptive parents attending the 2015 conference; and 3) an open inquiry sent to the DCFS workers (2015).

In the written survey, foster parents were asked to rank order a list of training topics from MOST important to LEAST important. The survey also included an open ended question which asked foster parents to list additional suggestions for training; 54 surveys were obtained.

When the interactive survey of foster parents was conducted:
   4) 82% agreed foster parents should have prescribed training classes after pre-service training;
   5) Most preferred guided discussions and role playing in training over videos/lectures; and
   6) 81% indicated having child care during training was very important.

During the inquiry for feedback from the DCFS CW staff (FC Supervisors, FC Workers, consultants to the agency, and CQI), a total of 113 suggestions were solicited and the suggestions were condensed into 41 needs. Of the 41 needs, some were suggested numerous times; therefore, the needs identified by the workers were placed in ranked order, based on the number of times a specific need was suggested. When collecting the responses to the open ended questions, it was noted that the foster/adoptive parent(s)’ expressed needs were basically the same topics that the DCFS workers suggested.
**Update FFY 2017:** Partnership continued with the Louisiana’s Baptist Children’s Home, Crossroads NOLA, Louisiana’s Foster/Adoptive Parent Association and Healing Place in the recruitment and support of foster/adoptive parents. They completed 41 home studies and assisted in training and supporting foster parents.

Those regions who have rolled out QPI, have identified foster parents who are willing to work with the HD Program to help mentor current foster parents and recruit more foster parents to become certified.

The WWK recruiters recruited for 111 youth and finalized 12 adoptions and two guardianships. The program is projected to finalize an additional five adoptions before the end of FFY 2017.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Staff will collaborate with community partners in the recruitment and retention of foster parents who meet the QPI expectations. Regions will continue to track placement needs for children in order to conduct targeted recruitment. The WWK program has expanded and four more recruiters will be hired by May 2017. This will increase the number of child focused recruitment activities that will take place.

**Diverse methods of disseminating both general information about being a foster/adoptive parent and child specific information:** Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home (LBCH) and Crossroads NOLA continue to work with the Department to recruit and provide orientation/training for potential foster/adoptive parents; and provide support to certified foster/adoptive families. The Louisiana Heart Gallery (LHG) staff continues to partner with the department to facilitate professional photography of children awaiting adoption and without an identified adoptive resource. In addition to the LHG, there are at least four Heart Gallery websites listed for Louisiana. The sites feature children that are in the custody and care of DCFS. The children awaiting adoption are also featured on Adopt Us Kids website and the DCFS website. Within the regions, the following methods of dissemination of information were used: presentations, flyers, billboards and media (television and newspaper).

**Update FFY 2016:** During FFY 2015, the LHG featured approximately 80 children. The Heart Gallery was displayed approximately 10-15 times in Louisiana. It was displayed at the Louisiana State Capitol steps, Louisiana Foster/Adoptive Parent Association’s Conference, Together We Can Conference (TWC), Governor’s Prayer Breakfast, Over the Edge, and several churches throughout the state.

The LHG filmed three youth in FFY 2015. The films have been posted on the AdoptUsKids and the LHG’s websites. The films are shown at regional MAPP/GPS classes and other recruitment events.

**Update FFY 2017:** The LHG continued to assist with the recruitment of adoptive families by displaying the gallery at events throughout the state. There 30 children who had professional photos taken and videos were made for six children.
Local TV stations throughout the state continue to feature children available for adoption. Interviews with local newspapers and radio stations were facilitated in order to bring awareness to adoption and the need for foster/adoptive parents; especially for youth with special needs.

The Adoption Program (AP) needs to continue to work on the AdoptUSKIds website. There has not been one consistent person available to manage the website. DCFS is in the process of hiring staff that will be able to manage the website and assist adoption staff in filtering inquiries on children available for adoption.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The AdoptUSKIds website will be more effectively used. There will be one manager of the system who will keep the site up to date and better coordinate with workers, recruiters and interested families in the matching of children with families. This staff member will be responsible for working with the Heart Gallery to insure that the gallery is accurate and pictures are up to date.

**Strategies for assuring that all prospective foster/adoptive parents have access to agencies that license/approve foster/adoptive parents, including location and hours of services so that the agencies can be accessed by all members of the community:** DCFS HD staff prepares schedules for orientation and pre-service trainings and send the information to State Office HD Section. Each region submitted a listing of the region’s scheduled orientations and pre-service training for calendar year 2017. The information was posted on the DCFS website for community access.

**Update FFY 2015:** A review of the 2014 calendar year listing of orientations shows there were approximately 152 orientations held statewide. Orientations were held at various locations: churches, hospitals, regional/parish offices, libraries and college campuses. Regional staff held approximately 50 pre-service trainings statewide on various days and at various times. On Tuesdays, there were 10 evening trainings (7 sessions each) and a one day training (4 sessions); on Thursdays, there were 19 evening trainings (7 sessions each); and on Saturdays, there were 19 trainings (two – three sessions each). The trainings were held in various locations: parish offices (17); regional offices (19); churches (11); college campus (3); library (2) and hospital (2). This indicates the working relationship between the department and community partners.

**Update FFY 2016:** A review of the 2015 calendar year listing of orientations show there were approximately 142 orientations held statewide. Orientations were held at various locations, such as, universities, community centers, churches and libraries. Regional staff held approximately 47 pre-service trainings statewide on various days and times. The locations of these training sessions were held at various locations in the community: church (2); college campus (2); library (1); parish office (16); and regional office (26). The Department continues to enhance their relationships with community partners.

**Update FFY 2017:** A review of the 2016 calendar year listing of orientations shows there were approximately 198 orientations held statewide. Orientations were held at various locations: churches, regional/parish offices, libraries and college campuses. The orientations were held in various locations: parish offices (73); regional offices (92); churches (9); and libraries (24). Regional staff held approximately 301 pre-service trainings statewide on various days and at
various times. On Tuesdays, there were 95 evening trainings (7 sessions each) and on Thursdays there were 127 trainings (7 sessions); there were 14 trainings held on Wednesdays; two on Mondays (one session each); and on Saturdays, there were 63 trainings (two – three sessions each). The trainings were held in various locations: parish offices (27); regional offices (24); churches (5); college campus (2) and library (1). This indicates the working relationship between the Department and community partners.

The LCWTA offered trainings on cultural diversity and trauma to foster parents. Through LBCH and Crossroads NOLA, foster parents are able to attend an Empowered to Connect Training through a statewide simulcast. Foster parents are mandated to take the one day A Journey Home training before the end of the year (2017). This training focuses on QPI, Effective Partnerships, Normalcy, Sex Abuse, Substance Abuse, Trauma and Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI). This is just an overview of new information given in the foster parent pre-service training.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Orientations will continue to be held every 21 days and A Journey Home every ten weeks. There is a new kinship curriculum that will be offered to relatives caring for their kin. Cultural diversity will continue to be offered throughout the remainder of 2017. In 2018, LCWTA will offer Caring for LGBTQ youth, Working with Substance Addicted Parents and additional training in TRBI.

**Strategies for training staff to work with diverse communities including cultural, racial, and socio-economic variations:** Information on working with diverse communities was explored/research via the internet. This information will be presented to the staff via teleconference and/or webinar.

**Update FFY 2016:** Staff received the training titled Competency in Practice with LGBTQ Youth in the CW System.

**Update FFY 2017:** The LCWTA offered training to staff on working with LGBTQ youth and has developed training for foster parents. This training will be available to foster parents in 2018. Since foster parents have to be trained in the one day, A Journey Home, training and Cultural Diversity, it was not mandated that they attend an additional training.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The LCWTA will offer LGBTQ training for foster parents in 2018. All current foster parents will be trained in the one day training of A Journey Home by December 2017. The LCWTA is currently working with the National QPI to ensure that staff and foster parents have access to their Just in Time trainings. Cultural Diversity is just one of the many topics offered through the Just in Time trainings.

**Procedures for a timely search for prospective parents for a child needing an adoptive placement, including the use of exchanges and other interagency efforts, provided that such procedures ensure that placement of a child in an appropriate household is not delayed by the search for a same race or ethnic placement:**

Please refer to Adoption Service section of this plan for additional information.
**Update FFY 2016:** From January 2015 – December 2015, regional staff held approximately 61 pre-service trainings statewide in various locations to accommodate potential applicants. See previous section which outlines the number of orientations and trainings that were held during calendar year 2015. There were approximately 843 newly certified families during calendar year 2015.

**Update FFY 2017:** The Faith Based Collaborative did not meet so far this fiscal year. However, individually they have assisted/supported DCFS in the launch of QPI. Staff will begin working again to assist with the recruitment and retention of foster parents willing to practice the QPI model.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** As stated above, staff will work with community partners to assist in the recruitment and retention of foster parents willing to practice the QPI model.
ADOPTION
Service Description: The goal of the DCFS Adoption (AD) Program is to provide permanency for children through adoption. Foster care (FC) adoption is a permanency option for children who cannot safely return to their biological families. The goal of adoption is pursued as a permanent plan when the court of jurisdiction determines the child’s family is either unable or unwilling to resume care of the child, and the child’s needs of safety, permanency and well-being are best achieved through adoption. Pre-adoptive services provided by the FC worker for a child with a goal of adoption include helping the parents voluntarily relinquish parental rights, preparing the judicial termination of parental rights packet in the event the parents are unwilling to surrender, providing ongoing case management services, and preparing the child for the adoptive process. Some of the more important services delivered by the adoption worker include completing a child evaluation/assessment, preparing children for adoption, assisting in the recruitment of child specific adoptive homes as needed, selection of adoptive resource families and placement of children, providing supportive case management services, processing adoption subsidy applications, and participating in the adoption finalization process.

Post-adoption services in Louisiana are offered principally through the Adoption Subsidy and Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid), which are federally and state funded. Adoption Subsidy services are provided to eligible families until the child’s 18th birthday and the Medicaid portion is extended to age 18. The Interstate Compact on Adoption and Medical Assistance (ICAMA) is a major component of the Adoption Subsidy Program which extends post adoption services across state lines. The Compact provides a framework for interstate coordination to remove barriers to the adoption of children with special needs and facilitates the interstate transfer of adoptive, educational, medical and post adoptive services. Adoption and medical assistance (Medicaid) are the primary issues that drive the need for interstate collaboration in interstate adoptions. All families who adopt may apply for an adoption subsidy irrespective of the type of adoption. Many private and private agency adoptive families do not meet the IV-E federal subsidy requirements to receive the full range of benefits designed to help move special needs children out of foster care and into permanent homes via adoption. International adoptions are ineligible for state Adoption Subsidy assistance.

Other post-adoption services are provided within budgetary constraints to any adopted child and his or her adoptive family including those families that have adopted internationally. The Department’s regionally based Family Resource Centers (FRC) provide supportive post-adoptive services to all Louisiana adoptive families, and parish based child welfare (CW) offices offer family services (FS) on a voluntary basis to adoptive families seeking assistance post adoption finalization.

In addition to FC adoptions and adoption assistance functions, the DCFS AD Program is responsible for managing the Louisiana Voluntary Registry. This entails providing information to adopted persons from closed adoption records as allowed by state law, management of the state’s adoption petition file room, and the handling of all Louisiana public and private agencies, intra-family, and private adoption petitions.

Louisiana Voluntary Registry: Louisiana is a closed adoption state with sealed adoption records, but in 1982 the State Legislature authorized a registry to allow contact between adopted persons.
and their biological family members should both parties register. The Registry is maintained and operated exclusively by the DCFS state office AD staff. In 2008, legislation was enacted authorizing the release of specific information from the sealed adoption record to adopted persons upon their written request which includes verification of adoption, name of the court where the adoption was finalized and the name of the placing agency or attorney. In 2010, legislation was enacted that expanded the list of persons eligible to register to include additional relatives, adoptive parents, minor adopted children and descendants of deceased adopted persons and deceased biological parents. The Registry provides non-identifying information reports to persons adopted from a number of private adoption agencies and attorneys no longer in operation that transferred their records to DCFS, as mandated by Louisiana law. Additionally, the Registry provides intermediary services between adoptive parents and biological parents of children adopted through a private adoption agency that ceased operation in 1999 through an agreement made at the time of the closure. This agreement terminates in 2016 when all subject children reach age 18.

Adoption File Room: Louisiana maintains a centralized adoption file room located in the DCFS headquarters building in Baton Rouge. The AD staff is responsible for maintaining and processing the confidential adoption petition records of every adoption confected in the state of Louisiana back to the 1920’s. Additionally, all adoption records transferred to the Department from adoption agencies no longer in operation and retired adoption attorneys are maintained in the DCFS adoption file room. The records are accessed frequently by authorized Adoption Section staff to provide information allowed by law to members of the adoption triad; however, records are only released by court order and no adoption record is ever destroyed.

Adoption Petition Program: A subprogram in the AD program is the Adoption Petition Program. DCFS is legislatively mandated to review every adoption petition filed in the state for the courts. This review responsibility includes adoptive placements made by public and private agencies and those made by private attorneys for family member adoptions and unrelated persons adoptions. DCFS investigates, upon order of the court, all proposed adoptive situations (legal availability and physical/emotional condition of the child, fitness of the petitioners and conditions of the home) to determine the best interests of the child. The Department then submits a confidential report of its findings to the court and assists the family with obtaining the revised birth certificate of adoption. A copy of each adoption petition record is maintained in the adoption file room.

Service Coordination: Services to children awaiting adoption fall under a continuum beginning in most cases at the point a foster child’s permanent plan changes to adoption. It then continues through the process of making the child legally available for adoption and ending at the point adoption placement is achieved and finalized. The AD Specialist is responsible for the provision of services which completes the permanency planning process. There is a coordination of services between the Adoption and Home Development (HD) program staff in order to facilitate adoption of waiting children. As the AD Specialist assesses and prepares the child for adoption, this information is related to HD staff to aid in the identification and selection of a potential foster/adoptive family match. In those instances, where a certified family is not available, child-specific recruitment strategies are implemented. The regions can develop a recruitment team, which would consist of the HD and AD Unit, as well as community partners.
The Department’s national photo listing of children available for adoption is managed on-line at the www.AdoptUsKids.org internet site. This recruitment service features children on a national level who are awaiting adoption and are without an identified adoptive resource. This website features families that have been certified to adopt. The website is monitored by a program manager on the state office level, who serves as the liaison between the families who have expressed an interest in a child and the child’s adoption worker. This service is provided through a contract with the Adoption Exchange Resource Network.

The 2013 Faith in Family Initiative is an ongoing collaboration with the faith-based community to identify adoptive resources for children who are without identified permanent families. The initiative seeks to reduce the number of child in FC, decrease the time children spend in the foster care system, and seeks to ensure each child that enters care has a permanent connection when they exit foster care. The outcome of the initiative is to recruit 100 families for 100 children. Services are coordinated through the collaborative efforts between the DCFS and the Louisiana Family Forum.

The 2012 cooperative agreement with Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home (LBCH) is an ongoing collaboration between the Department and LBCH. LBCH has partnered with the DCFS to provide the following services: statewide development of recruitment efforts, supportive services to certified families, orientation, pre-training, certification and in-service training. LBCH staff work closely with the HD staff when a family is recruited and is seeking certification.

In continued efforts to address the ongoing need to increase the number of certified foster/adoptive families for older youth, the Department was awarded a Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK) grant. In 2014 two dedicated recruiter positions were established and now there are four full time recruiters. The recruiters focus on child specific recruitment for older youth and/or children who have been available for adoption greater than one year and for whom no permanent adoptive resource has been identified. The recruiters work in collaboration with the assigned DCFS Adoption Specialist and identified child. The recruiters utilize the WWK Child Focused Model to accomplish this task. Performance expectations include that each recruiter matches a minimum of 10 children with a permanent family.

In conjunction with the FC program, AD staff will continue implementing the Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) concept throughout the foster/adoptive process.

The Department utilizes behavioral health services for families through the Healthy Louisiana (HL). Services include outpatient therapy, assessment, Coordinated System of Care (CSoC), and other services. Adoptive families can self-refer their child for services utilizing the Medicaid card and/or private insurance.

Strengths of the AD program include: Increase in foster/adoptive parent adoptions; Continual increase in the number of adoptions over the past five years; HD staff worked closely with community partners to identify adoptive resources and/or permanent connections; Diligence in preparing the child for the adoption process; Provides continued support to families throughout the adoption process and; Ongoing assessment of adoptive family’s eligibility for adoption subsidy services.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
Barriers in the AD program include: Lack of consistent practice regarding involvement of adoption staff early in the case, at the point of permanency goal change and; lack of sufficient adoptive resources.

**Population Served:** Children placed by the Department as a result of child abuse and/or neglect are typically rendered available for adoption through the legal processes of involuntary termination of parental rights, a voluntary act of surrender of parental rights or parental death. The majority of foster children available for adoption with a goal of adoption and who are in need of an adoptive placement are older, special needs, and/or members of sibling groups.

**Number of Individuals/Families Served:** As of April 1, 2014 there were 4,208 children in FC; 461 were available for adoption and 360 were in need of adoptive placements. Of the 360 children, 99 were photo-listed on the Louisiana Adoption Resource Exchange and registered as active with the AdoptUSKids website at www.adoptuskids.org/states/la. Of the 99 children actively photo-listed, 67 were males and 32 were females, 32 were white, 66 were African American, and 1 was listed as other race, 13 were members of a sibling group and approximately 75% were deemed physically, emotionally or intellectually challenged.

As of April 2014, adoptions were subsidized for 5,890 children. Of this number, 513 families are living out of the state of Louisiana. Additionally, there are 659 adoption subsidy families from other states living in Louisiana. The Interstate Compact on Adoption and Medical Assistance provides services for 1,172 families.

**Collaboration:** DCFS makes every effort to reach out to state and local agencies and organizations in an effort to promote adoption.

- The Adoption Section collaborates with the Louisiana Adoption Advisory Board (LAAB). The central mission of LAAB is to bring various members of the adoption community together to share different perspectives, seek common understanding and promote initiatives that pertain to adoption.
- Louisiana collaborates with other states to provide Medicaid coverage for adopted children who live in another state and for those children that move from other states to Louisiana.
- HD staff (in designated regions) works with CASA to recruit families for children and youth freed for adoption without an identified placement resource.
- The DCFS will continue to work in partnership with the Louisiana Family Forum on the Faith in Families initiative which focuses on adoption awareness and/or permanency connections and the recruitment/retention of foster/adoptive families for older youth.
- The DCFS began working in partnership (via a grant) with the Dave Thomas Foundation to implement the WWK child focused adoption recruitment model. The population served will be older youth (12-17); younger children with special needs; sibling groups; and children with an Alternative Permanent Living Arrangement goal.
Adoption Activities Planned for FFY 2015-2019: Departmental staff will reach out to state, local agencies and organizations to promote adoption, to develop working relationships, to enhance involvement of foster/adoptive parents in promoting foster/adoption awareness, to coordinate Annual Governor’s Adoption Celebration, and to increase adoption awareness. Staff will provide information to the community regarding adoption services, support child specific recruitment (e.g., statewide exchange meetings, heart gallery, digital stories), and to provide pre- and post-adoption services to families and children, including private and international adoptions. Technical assistance and consultation will be provided to adoption staff and staff will promote Voluntary Registry awareness.

Update FFY 2015: Number of Individuals/Families Served: As of April 2015 there were 4,314 children in FC; 473 were available for adoption and 133 were in need of adoptive placements. Of the 473 children, 117 were photo-listed on the Louisiana Adoption Resource Exchange and registered as active with AdoptUSKids website at www.adoptuskids.org/states/la. Of the 117 children actively photo-listed, 79 were males and 38 were females, 37 were white, 79 were African American, and one was listed as other race, 13 were members of a sibling group and approximately 90% were deemed physically, emotionally or intellectually challenged.

As of April 2015, adoptions were subsidized for 6,140 children. Of this number, 87 families are living out of the state of Louisiana. Additionally, there are 1,297 adoption subsidy families from other states living in Louisiana. The Interstate Compact on Adoption and Medical Assistance provides services for 1,384 families.

The Department’s collaboration with the faith-based community has been consistent. The community partners have provided services to help identify foster/adoptive resources for children awaiting adoption and to increase public awareness of the need for adoptive families.

In May 2014, the department participated in two activities hosted by the Focus on the Family organization: Over the Edge and Wait No More. The Over the Edge activity took place in downtown Baton Rouge on May 8th and 9th. The focus of the activity was to raise community awareness about FC and adoption; and to recruit foster/adoptive families. The event was attended by: the Louisiana governor’s wife, celebrities, foster/adoptive families, prospective foster/adoptive families, local organizations, lawmakers and DCFS staff.

The Wait No More event was held in Baton Rouge at the Istrouma Baptist Church on May 10, 2014 from 10 a.m. – 2 p.m. Based upon information received from the host, Focus On the Family, more than 200 people attended the event. Those individuals represented approximately 80 different families. Of those in attendance, 22 families initiated the process of adoption from foster care at the event; and nine families indicated their desire to get involved by praying, wrapping around an adoptive family or giving support to Wait No More. There were 13 agencies (inclusive of DCFS HD/Adoption staff) and ministries on-site to answer participants’ questions and help start the process of fostering/adoption; approximately 60 churches were represented. All participating families received complimentary copies of Focus on the Family resources: Handbook on Thriving as an Adoptive Family; Wait No More: One Family’s Amazing Adoption
Journey; Wrapping Around Adoptive Families; Attachment in adoption; Sensory Deprivation; and Love and Loss in Foster Care.

In May and November of 2014, the department held two Centralized Exchange Meetings at DCFS State Office in Baton Rouge. In addition to the departmental staff, invitations were sent out to at least six local organizations (private adoption agencies and faith-based community partners). Refer to the Adoption Incentive Section for more detailed information on the Centralized Exchange Meetings.

During the month of November, there are several activities conducted throughout the state in recognition of November as Adoption Awareness Month. The Annual Governor’s Adoption Celebration was held in November 2014 to celebrate families that adopted children during FFY 2014. There were 630 children adopted by 450 adoptive families. Staff from across the state participated in this event.

Around the state, during the month of November, the adoption units participated in the following activities geared toward promotion of adoption awareness: 1) presentation of the annual adoption proclamation by city-parish president; 2) “Walk for Adoption” followed by a balloon release; this included foster/adoptive parents, family/juvenile court judges, and community partners; 3) hosting fun day for foster/adoptive families; 4) regional selection of an adoptive family of the year; one region partnered with the mayor of the city and CASA to show appreciation and celebrate adoptive families.

November 20, 2014 Louisiana Adoption Advisory Board (LAAB) in conjunction with DCFS and community partners held a recruitment activity at the Louisiana State Capitol to bring awareness to the need for adoptive families.

Local and state level foster/adoptive parent associations seek ways to: 1) promote foster/adoption awareness; 2) enhance their abilities to meet the needs of the children in state custody; and 3) support DCFS staff. The state level association provides assistance/support to certified foster/adoptive parents that are interested in establishing a new association; participate in legislative lobbying to obtain laws that will help them be more effective in their community. In some regions, local associations will select an Adoption Specialist as “Worker of the Year”; provide care packages for the children; and provide support to newly certified foster/adoptive parents.

In the Department’s effort to promote Voluntary Registry awareness, DCFS enhanced the DCFS website by establishing an e-mail address and making the necessary document for Voluntary Registry available to the public. This website is in addition to the 1-800 inquiry line for the registry. For all adoption recruitment activities, the community is provided with brochures on the Louisiana Adoption Voluntary Registry.

**Update FFY 2016:** As of April 2016, there were 4,361 children in FC; 467 were available for adoption. Of the 467 children, 101 were photo-listed on the Louisiana Adoption Resource Exchange and registered as active with AdoptUSKids website at [www.adoptuskids.org/states/la](http://www.adoptuskids.org/states/la). Of the 101 children actively photo-listed, 67 were males and 33 were females; 33 were
Caucasian, 65 were African-American and 2 were listed as other race; 20 were members of a sibling group; 42 were age 9-13; and 48 were 14 and older.

As of May 2016, adoptions were subsidized for 6,499 children. Of this number, 656 families are living out of the state of Louisiana. There are 677 adoption subsidy families from other states living in Louisiana. The Interstate Compact on Adoption and Medical Assistance (ICAMA) provides services for 1,237 families.

During FFY 2015, approximately 87 children were served through guardianship subsidies. Since October 2011 to May 2016, an approximate total of 118 children have received a guardianship subsidy.

The Department’s collaboration with the faith-based community has been consistent. The community partners have provided services to help identify foster/adoptive resources for children awaiting adoption and to increase public awareness of the need for foster/adoptive families. They have continued to provide supportive services to families; assisted the department in identifying training needs; and assisted in the recruitment of adoptive families for children who are in need of a forever family.

In May 2015, the department participated in two activities hosted by the Focus on Family organization: Over the Edge and Wait No More. The Over the Edge activity took place in downtown Baton Rouge on June 19th. The focus of the activity was to raise community awareness about foster care and adoption; and to recruit foster/adoptive families.

During the month of November, there were several activities conducted throughout the state in recognition of November as Adoption Awareness Month. The Annual Governor’s Adoption Celebration was scheduled to be held on November 6, 2015, but unfortunately there was severe weather and the event was cancelled. However, staff from across the state participated in events within their regions to celebrate adoption and those 662 children who were adopted and their families.

Around the state, during the month of November, the adoption units participated in the following activities geared toward promotion of adoption awareness: 1) presentation of the annual adoption proclamation by city-parish president; 2) “Walk for Adoption”, followed by a balloon release; this included foster/adoptive parents, family/juvenile court judges and community partners; 3) Race for a Forever Home, followed by a fun day for foster/adoptive families; 4) 5K Race and Fun Run; 5) Orphan Sunday, an event to raise awareness about the plight of children needing homes; 6) a regional selection of an adoptive family of the year; and 7) one region partnered with the mayor of the city and CASA to show appreciation and celebrate adoptive families.

Local and state level Foster/Adoptive Parent Associations seek ways to: 1) promote foster/adoption awareness; 2) enhance their abilities to meet the needs of the children in state custody; and 3) support DCFS staff. The state level association provides assistance/support to certified foster/adoptive parents that are interested in establishing a new association; participate in legislative lobbying to obtain laws that will help them be more effective in their community. In some regions, local associations will select an Adoption Specialist as “Worker of the Year”;

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
provide care packages for the children; and provide support to newly certified foster/adoptive parents.

Update FFY 2017: As of March 2017, there were 4,361 children in FC; 452 were available for adoption. Of the 452 children, 142 were placed in pre-adopt homes and were photo-listed on the Louisiana Adoption Resource Exchange and registered as active with AdoptUSKids website at www.adoptuskids.org/states/la. Of the 101 children actively photo-listed, 67 were males and 33 were females; 33 were Caucasian, 65 were African-American and 2 were listed as other race; 20 were members of a sibling group; 42 were age 9-13; and 48 were 14 and older.

As of March 2017, adoptions were subsidized for 6,499 children. Of this number, 656 families are living out of the state of Louisiana. There are 677 adoption subsidy families from other states living in Louisiana. The Interstate Compact on Adoption and Medical Assistance (ICAMA) provides services for 1,237 families.

During the month of November, there were several activities conducted throughout the state in recognition of November as Adoption Awareness Month. The Annual Governor’s Adoption Celebration was held on November 4, 2016, and the state celebrated the highest number of adoptions in history, 735. There were a total of 236 attendees; 182 were parents and children.

Around the state, during the month of November, the adoption units participated in the following activities geared toward promotion of adoption awareness: 1) presentation of the annual adoption proclamation by city-parish president; 2) “Walk for Adoption”, followed by a balloon release; this included foster/adoptive parents, family/juvenile court judges and community partners; 3) Race for a Forever Home, followed by a fun day for foster/adoptive families; 4) 5K Race and Fun Run; 5) Orphan Sunday, an event to raise awareness about the plight of children needing homes; 6) a regional selection of an adoptive family of the year; and 7) one region.

Local and state level Foster/Adoptive Parent Associations seek ways to: 1) promote foster/adoption awareness; 2) enhance their abilities to meet the needs of the children in state custody; and 3) support DCFS staff. The state level association provides assistance/support to certified foster/adoptive parents that are interested in establishing a new association; participate in legislative lobbying to obtain laws that will help them be more effective in their community.

DCFS participated in LAAB’s Annual Conference. Staff from the Adoption Program presented on the Adoption Registry and How to Recruit Homes for Older Youth and Youth with Special Needs.

Healing Place Church partnered with DCFS on the State Wide Adoption Exchange in June 2016. They hosted the exchange, provided the LHG as well as food and technical support.

The LHG partnered with DCFS in the recruitment of adoptive homes for children freed for adoption. They photographed 30 children and videoed 6 children. All of the photos and videos are uploaded to the LHG website. Their website can be accessed through the Adopt US Kids website.
The LHG is displayed at events throughout the state and is a recruitment tool for those children available for adoption. The videos are shown at the adoption session of foster parent pre-service training as well as at different events throughout the state.

WWK Recruiters recruited for 111 youth and finalized 12 adoptions and 2 guardianships. The program is projected to finalize an additional five adoptions before the end of FFY2017. The WWK Grant was expanded in January 2017 to include an additional 4 recruiters for a total of 8 recruiters statewide. Each region will have a WWK recruiter with the exception of Monroe and Shreveport who will share one recruiter. The new recruiters are projected to be hired by May 1, 2017.

With the development of the new pre-service curriculum for foster/adoptive parents, A Journey Home, a session on Adoption was added to the curriculum. The session focuses on the Adoption Journey, Transracial Adoption, and the Adoption Process.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The Adoption Program will continue to work with the LHG to ensure that pictures of all children, without an identified adoptive resource, are taken and posted on the AdoptUSKids website. The LHG and the Adoption Program will continue to create professional videos of those children free for adoption. The videos will be placed on the Louisiana Heart Gallery’s website as well as shared with the adoption staff, WWK recruiters and HD staff to be played at events and foster parent trainings.

The Adoption Program will continue to partner with local media to spread awareness about adoption and the need for adoptive families.

It is projected that by the beginning of FFY 2018, all new WWK recruiters will be hired and carrying a caseload. It is projected by the end of FFY 2019; the program will have finalized 59 adoptions.

The Adoption Program plans to develop a training curriculum for pre-adoptive parents and adoptive parents that can be offered quarterly. The training would be tailored to those parents currently caring for a child and who may be struggling with behavioral or emotional challenges related to adoption.

**ADOPTION & LEGAL GUARDIANSHIP INCENTIVE PAYMENTS**

**Services the state expects to provide to children and families using Adoption & Legal Guardianship funds:** DCFS anticipates utilizing the adoption incentive funds on child specific recruitment for those children available for adoption and in need of an adoptive placement. The services, will include, but not be limited to the following: media, contract assistance for timely completion of home studies for families interested in adoption, child specific recruiter, and statewide match exchanges.

Should there be additional funds as a result of the changes to how adoption incentive funds are disbursed by the ACF, Children’s Bureau, the Department will assess the feasibility of increasing the number of days for post adoption respite beyond the current 25 day limit allowed in the adoption
subsidy. Additionally, the Department will assess the feasibility of covering therapeutic services for those families that are ineligible to receive services through Louisiana Behavioral Health Partnership.

**The state’s plan to ensure timely expenditure of the funds in accordance with section 473A(e) of the Act:** To ensure timely expenditure of the funds DCFS will develop a plan for usage by outlining child specific recruitment activities; assess contracted services for timely expenditures use; identify cost of services and; coordinate with the Department’s budget section to ensure funds are appropriately utilized and expended within allocated timeframe.

During the FFY 2013 and 2014 the state has not encountered any issues or challenges relating to expending adoptive incentive funds in a timely manner.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Foster Child Adoption</th>
<th>Special Needs</th>
<th>Older Child (age 9 and older)</th>
<th>Amount Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2013</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>$1,692,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2014</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>$1,400,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>**$606,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2016</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>$136,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data extracted on March 3, 2016 from WebFocus Developer Studio.

**NOTE:** Special Needs adoptions include all finalized adoptions during FFY in which either a payment or service subsidy is provided.

**NOTE:** During FFY 2015, approximately 87 children were served through guardianship subsidies. Since October 2011 to May 2016, an approximate total of 118 children have received a guardianship subsidy.

**Update FFY 2015:** Adoption incentive funds were utilized to: 1) support ongoing recruitment efforts (e.g., purchase of informational booklet to help educate the community about the foster/adoptive process); 2) adoption subsidies (e.g., non-recurring expenses); 3) assist in alleviating barriers to adoption (Adoption Purchase of Service contracts); and 4) child specific recruitment.

In FFY 2014, a total of 630 children were adopted. In prior years, the department was able to access data from the National Resource Center for Child Welfare Data and Technology that provided a preliminary estimate on the number of special needs and older children. Within the last two reporting periods, this information has not been available; therefore, going forth there will be no reporting on the number of special needs and older children, until the data is made available.

During the 2015 FFY, adoption incentive funds were utilized to secure adoption services assistance in other states for children adopted outside of Louisiana. There were seven Purchase of Service Agreements completed to assist in the placement of eight children with seven certified adoptive families residing in other states. The adoption incentive funds have been used in conjunction with the Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK) grant award to staff five WWK positions.
In May and November 2014, a Statewide Centralized Exchange Training/Meeting was held at the State Office in Baton Rouge. The purpose of the meeting was to: 1) bring together DCFS regional Adoption/Home Development (AD/HD) staff, community partners and stakeholders; 2) facilitate the matching of children awaiting adoption with available adoptive families; 3) provide our community partners and stakeholders with an understanding of the needs of the children in the custody of DCFS; 4) facilitate the sharing of recruitment ideas among the AD/HD staff; 5) update on department permanency efforts, initiatives and strategies, and 6) identify existing barriers as well as regional strategies and practices that have positively impacted timely permanency outcomes for children. Approval was granted for each regional Adoption and Home Development supervisor and one staff person to attend the training/meeting.

In May 2014, in addition to the departmental staff, invitations were sent out to at least six local organizations (private adoption agencies and faith-based community partners). The speaker was the director of Mississippi Families of Kids organization; she provided information on the placement of children with private agency families. There were in attendance approximately 36 regional staff, 10 state office staff, 10 staff persons from private/community organizations. During this training/meeting, each regional Adoption Unit presented two children awaiting adoption and each regional Home Development Unit presented two families awaiting placement of a child. There was at least one potential match made; however, it did not move toward adoption finalization due to the adoptive family experiencing some unexpected family issues.

In the November 2014, there were approximately 53 individuals in attendance at the training/meeting; there were 33 AD/HD staff; four DCFS Wendy’s Wonderful Kids recruiters and one supervisor; two Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption staff; six community partners (private adoption agencies and faith-based community partners); and seven State Office staff. One of the focuses of the training/meeting was the presentation of children and adoptive families to facilitate the matching of children awaiting adoption with available adoptive families. The AD staff featured approximately 18 children awaiting adoption and the HD staff featured approximately 18 certified foster/adoptive families that were awaiting an adoptive placement. The private adoption agencies were also given an opportunity to feature their adoptive families. The training for staff centered on new federal legislation; staff was provided an opportunity to discuss the AD/HD policy revisions/updates. In addition, information was provided on the WWK Child-Focused Model by the Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption and WWK staff. There was also discussion and stories shared regarding adoption successes, challenges and lessons learned.

**Update FFY 2016:** Prior to the current FFY, the Department utilized the chart above to show data regarding foster child adoption, special needs, older child (age 9 and older) and the Adoption Incentive Award amount. The Adoption Incentive Program was renamed the “Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payments” program. In FFY 2015, the Department was awarded $606,571 in Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payments. Incentive funds will be used to continue to perform child focused recruitment for children freed for adoption without an identified adoptive family. DCFS will continue to hold an annual adoption
exchange, utilize media to recruit homes, employ two child focused adoption recruiters and contract home studies for child specific certification.

In order to ensure timely expenditure of the funds, DCFS will develop a plan for usage by outlining child specific recruitment activities; assess contracted services for timely expenditures use; identify cost of services; and coordinate with the Department’s budget section to ensure funds are appropriately utilized and expended within allocated timeframe. During FFY 2015 and beyond the state has not encountered any issues or challenges relating to expending adoptive incentive funds in a timely manner. The DCFS did not have a statewide adoption exchange. Each month the Wendy’s Wonderful Kids (WWK) recruiters would come together to discuss available children and homes that were available for placement. A statewide exchange is being coordinated for June 2016. The Department’s WWK grants were renewed for an additional year. The DCFS employs two child focused WWK recruiters under the grants and two additional recruiters are employed with the adoption incentive funds. The WWK model served 82 children and finalized six adoptions. There are currently 10 children placed in pre-adopt homes awaiting finalization; and six children have been matched with families who are awaiting placement.

**Update FFY 2017:** Louisiana’s Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payments have decreased significantly over the last couple of years; however, DCFS has not experienced any challenges in expending prior award Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive payments in a timely manner. Utilizing these funds, DCFS researched and prioritized specialized recruitment programs and retention and placement support activities and services focusing on achieving timely permanency for special needs and harder to place children in foster care. Specific permanency strategies funded included: Implementation and subsequent expansion of Wendy’s Wonderful Kids Specialized Adoption Recruitment; Implementation of the Quality Parenting Initiative (used for four recruiters and one supervisor, who recruited for 111 youth and finalized 12 adoptions and two guardianships.); Trauma training for front line staff and foster caregivers; Out of state adoptive placement support and supervision services; Membership in national adoption exchange; and, Post placement adoptive support services including adoption subsidy assistance to eligible children and families.

With implementation and support of the above areas of focus, DCFS has continued to achieve strong outcomes on overall numbers for permanency through adoptions and legal guardianship, adoptions of special needs children, as well as timeliness of permanency.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** DCFS remains committed to supporting ongoing implementation of the above strategies which have proven successful. However, in light of reductions in the amount of the Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payment in the most recent award period, DCFS recognizes that assessment and prioritization of each of these strategies will be necessary. Upon completion of prioritization of programs, DCFS will also assess alternate funding sources to support continuation of these strategies.
SERVICES FOR CHILDREN ADOPTED FROM OTHER COUNTRIES:

Activities that the state has undertaken to support the families of children adopted from other countries: Louisiana provides pre and post adoption services to support inter-country adoptions through the Adoption Petition Program which assists families to record adoptions in Louisiana and then obtain a revised birth certificate. Additionally, regional Family Resource Centers (FRC) provide supportive post adoptive services to all Louisiana adoptive families and DCFS offers family services on a voluntary basis to adoptive families seeking assistance post adoption finalization. Adoptive families can self-refer for behavioral health services through the Louisiana Behavioral Health Partnership.

For foreign children entering protective custody that experience adoption disruption and/or dissolution Louisiana provides/provided ongoing foster care services, to include: board rate, independent living, and educational support services, medical assistance, psychological support, and clothing replacement services.

### Inter-country Adoption Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Number of Children With “Out of Country Birth Location”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline 2012-13</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>23 (1 of which ended in disruption/dissolution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>11(1 of which ended in disruption/dissolution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data was derived from the TIPS download files for the Adoption Petition Program. All cases reported above were closed in the Adoption Petition Program. Cases are counted in the year in which the adoption petition program case was closed.

Activities Planned for FFY 2015-2019 to support children adopted from other countries, including the provision of adoption and post-adoption supports: The Department will conduct a quarterly review of adoption dissolution reports, identify foreign adoptions, monitor service provision to children who have entered protective custody, and provide adoption recruitment services.

Update FFY 2015: Each month, a DCFS production report is provided which identifies children that have been adopted with a status of adoption disruption and/or dissolution. This report is reviewed and contact is made with the appropriate region to determine the current status.

DCFS continues to provide services to one child who was originally adopted internationally. The 17 year old female from China continues in foster care. Her previous placement, of approximately 1½ years, with a foster family in Zachary, Louisiana disrupted in January 2015. The reason for the disruption was due to the family’s inability to cope with the child’s reestablished relationships with her adopted sister and godmother in Colorado. After she reconnected with the adopted sister and godmother, she was making plans to move to Colorado.
after graduation. However, these plans are no longer viable. The female teen is currently in a non-certified foster home placement. This placement setting is in the family home of the grandmother of one of her friends. She is in the 11 grade at Zachary High School. She has a grade point average of 3.0; additionally, she scored a 20 on her ACT test. The family with whom she is currently residing is going through the foster/adoptive certification process. At this time they are committed to making a permanent connection with the teenager after she reaches the age of majority. The teenager has established additional relationships with another foster family in the area where she on occasions will spend weekends and join the family for dining out. This family has expressed an interest in maintaining permanent connections with the teenager.

**Agency Who Handled the Placement/Adoption** - According to DCFS legal staff in the Baton Rouge Region, multiple attempts was made to find out the name of the private adoption agency the adoptive family worked with during the placement and foreign adoption finalization. At the point the adoption disrupted, the family was not cooperative with providing information, even after being court ordered to do so. It was learned that the child was adopted from China and the Chinese adoption was made executory in Louisiana. The legal documents that were obtained by the Department from the adoptive parents did not identify the adoption agency.

**Reason for Disruption or Dissolution** - As previous reported, the child (then 14 years old) was presenting serious behavioral issues (e.g., standing over the adoptive father at bedtime with a knife, being aggressive at school and fighting). She was hospitalized in November 2011 at a psychiatric facility. At the time of her discharge, her adoptive parents failed to pick her up thereby abandoning the child. Subsequently, the child entered foster care the latter part of November 2011.

**Plans for the Child** - The child’s goal are adoption. A family has been identified as a potential adoptive resource. In the most recent update for FFY 2015, the family had begun the foster/adoptive certification process. Another family has been identified as a potential permanent connection.

The DCFS continues to provide services to one female child from China who was originally adopted internationally. She is 18 years of age. During September 2015, she was approved for participation in the Youth in Transition Program, from October 8, 2015 through May 31, 2016. She has been enrolled and classified as a senior at Zachary High School. She is in good academic standing. She has an anticipated graduation date of May 2016.

She was set to receive a monthly stipend as long as she was progressing well in school. This young lady was also provided information regarding Education and Training Vouchers, should she decide to attend a post-secondary institution prior to her 21st birthday. It was noted if she plans on selecting engineering, medicine or education as a field of study, she should apply for the Brave Heart Scholarship. Information was also provided regarding a possible scholarship through the Foster Parent Association. Lastly, she was provided a contact name and number of a case manager with Catholic Charities Archdiocese of New Orleans. Through the organization’s services, she could benefit from follow-up services until she turns 21 years of age.
Agency Who Handled the Placement/Adoption - As stated during the previous FFY, multiple attempts were made to find out the name of the private adoption agency who handled the placement and foreign adoption finalization. However, according to DCFS legal staff in the Baton Rouge Region, this information was not made available. At the point the adoption disrupted, the family was not cooperative with providing information, even after being court ordered to do so. It was learned that the child was adopted from China and the Chinese adoption was made executory in Louisiana. The legal documents that were obtained by the Department from the adoptive parents did not identify the adoption agency.

Reason for Disruption or Dissolution - This child was 14 years old at the time of disruption. She was presenting serious behavioral issues (e.g., standing over the adoptive father at bedtime with a knife, being aggressive at school and fighting). She was hospitalized in November 2011 at a psychiatric facility. At the time of her discharge, her adoptive parents failed to pick her up thereby abandoning the child. Subsequently, the child entered foster care the latter part of November 2011.

Update FFY 2016: Plans for the Child - As of October 2015, the child’s goal was changed to Independent Living. She has been residing with the same foster family. As stated above, she has been provided several options toward pursuing a post-secondary education.

Update FFY 2017: As of October 8, 2015 the above mentioned female reached the age of majority. She remained in the same foster family home. She graduated from high school and participated in the Youth in Transition Program from October 10, 2015 to June 1, 2016. She was assisted in obtaining her citizenship documents. Additionally, she has had contact and visited with her sibling located in Colorado.

As of 2016, DCFS continues to provide services to children who enter care as a result of the disruption of an international adoption. DCFS staff started working with an 11 year old male child who was adopted from Ethiopia. This child was adopted approximately three years ago.

Agency Who Handled the Placement/Adoption: This child was originally adopted internationally. The family utilized Blessing the Children Adoption Agency located in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Reason for Disruption or Dissolution: The disruption was the result of neglect as the family was unable to care for this child due to his sexual acting out behaviors toward the adopted family’s biological daughter. Prior to the child entering care, the family had secured counseling services to assist the child and family in dealing with his behavior. However, to no avail, the behavior continued. A psychologist diagnosed the child as having Reactive Attachment Disorder.

During the course of time the child was in the home of the adoptive family, both the psychologist and pediatrician recommended the child’s removal from the home. The family secured a grandparent to care for the child; however, they were unable to continue the care required to maintain his placement in the home.
Plans for the Child: The male child is involved in therapeutic services to address his grief and loss, as well as his challenging behavior. He continues his educational service from the school he was attending prior to removal from the family home, Louisiana Key Academy. This school is for students with dyslexia. The educational setting meets all his educational needs. Additionally, the child is involved in age appropriate activities at the Big Buddy Program.

The current case plan is Family Reunification concurrent with Adoption.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Quarterly reviews of adoption dissolution reports will be conducted;
- Identify foreign adoptions;
- Monitor service provision to children who have entered protective custody; and
- Provide adoption recruitment services.
NOTE: In a previous APSR and CFSP it was inaccurately reported that Catholic Charities subcontracts services in the Baton Rouge and Thibodaux Regions. Catholic Charities does not subcontract out those services.

Under the seven proposed goals and accompanying strategies, the Department accomplished the following activities supporting the Chafee Foster Care Independence and Educational Training Vouchers programs:

**PURPOSE/GOAL 1: HELP YOUTH LIKELY TO REMAIN IN FOSTER CARE UNTIL AGE 18 TRANSITION TO SELF-SUFFICIENCY BY PROVIDING SERVICES:**

**Objective 1.1:** Improve youth transition planning to enhance competence to exit foster care.

**Strategy 1:** Develop and conduct training regarding working with youth transitioning from foster care with DCFS staff, foster caregivers, youth, and CFCIP providers.

- The training “Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care” was developed for the DCFS staff, foster caretakers, youth, and CFCIP providers. Youth contributed to the training by providing input on their experiences when transitioning from care and explaining what they needed from their case managers and other support systems. A CASA representative also presented at each training to explain their services to participants. The trainings were held across the state from May to August of 2014.

**Strategy 2:** Monitor quality Youth Transition Plans developed by DCFS staff through the addition of the YTP plan in the online case planning database and through the CQI YTP reviews and Program review of CQI reviews. The Youth Transition Plan (YTP) was revised with input from youth and added to the online case planning database. A sample of the completed plans is evaluated through the CQI process on a quarterly basis, with second-level reviews occurring by State Office staff. The review instrument assesses the following which are based on “Positive Youth Development” principles:

- Completion of the plans according to policy;
- Quality of the plans in achieving the desired outcomes for youth with individual circumstances;
- Ensuring youth are active participants in the planning process;
- Ensuring youth have permanent connections and a plan to establish/maintain the relationship; and,
- Ensuring youth have opportunities to demonstrate success in mastery, independence, generosity, and sense of belonging.

**Strategy 3:** Track development of Youth Transition Plans through TIPS case events.

- DCFS continues to track the completion of Youth Transition Plans through case events in TIPS.
Strategy 4: Assist youth in recognizing the importance of designating a healthcare proxy through transition planning.

- All youth ages 16 and older continue to be informed by their foster care, adoption or juvenile justice worker of the importance of establishing a health care power of attorney, also known as a health care proxy or health care mandate. (Many children’s attorneys are available to assist in execution of a health care proxy if a youth desires.) Youth are provided information about health care proxies at each YTP update while in the state’s foster care system.

Strategy 5: Assist youth in completing credit clearances and resolving identified problems.

- Staff are required to conduct credit clearances on all youth ages 16 and 17 on an annual basis. The Department is currently in negotiations with the three major credit reporting agencies to develop guidelines for this process to overcome challenges and accommodate recent changes to federal law. TIPS continue to generate case events for the credit clearances to monitor compliance with completion of the clearances and resolution of problems. Any problems indicated by the credit clearances are resolved with the assistance of departmental staff.

Update FFY 2016: The Department continues to contract with CFCIP providers in each of the nine regions of the state which covers all 64 parishes. Catholic Charities serves the Baton Rouge, Orleans, and Thibodaux Regions, with offices in Baton Rouge, Gretna, and Houma, Louisiana. Gulf Coast Social Services serves Lafayette and Lake Charles Regions, with offices in Lafayette and Lake Charles, Louisiana. Southeastern Louisiana University serves Covington Region with an office in Hammond, Louisiana. Methodist Children’s Home serves Monroe Region, with an office in Ruston, Louisiana. Goodwill Industries serves Shreveport and Alexandria Regions, with offices in Alexandria and Natchitoches, Louisiana.

The CFCIP providers are contracted to provide transitional living services to youth ages 14 to 17 in DCFS or OJJ foster care and former foster youth ages 18 to 21. The newly released RFP requires CFCIP providers to assist former foster youth up to age 26 in obtaining services to meet their needs. It is estimated the contracted CFCIP providers will serve approximately 1000 youth during FFY 2017. The Department and the CFCIP providers with tribes in their regions reach out to the tribes on a regular basis to inform of referral processes, services available, trainings, and annual youth conferences.

The Department has been involved in negotiations with the three major credit reporting agencies, Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion to improve DCFS access to credit clearances.

The Department delivered an updated version of “Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care” to foster care and adoption staff throughout the state focused on improving youth transition planning and enhancing engagement of youth in that process. The contract Independent Living Skills Providers assisted in the trainings for the regions they serve to provide specific information about their programs. A total of 467 staff members attended the training from January to March 2016. The training included guidance on the development and implementation of adequate transition plans for youth who are approaching adulthood. An
essential component of the training was the importance of a youth determining who his or her healthcare proxy will be upon reaching adulthood.

The Department monitors the quality and completion of adequate Youth Transition Plans for youth ages 14 to 17 by conducting case record reviews each quarter, although this will be changing to every six months in October 2016. Each of the nine regions has three YTP reviews each quarter for a total of 108 reviews each year. The CQI team presents the findings of the reviews to regional management after the reviews in order to provide guidance to further improve practice. State Office Program staff is available to assist CQI staff or the field with any issues regarding quality, completion and implementation of adequate YTPs.

DCFS has developed a contractual relationship with a transitional living program that is focused on providing specialized services for the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Questioning (LGBTQ) population. The Department encourages foster caretakers and residential providers to be sensitive to all of the needs of youth in foster care and to refrain from projecting personal biases onto the youth. Youth who express an interest in purchasing clothing of their identified gender are allowed to do so within the allotted clothing allowances. Youth who identify as LGBTQ are allowed the same opportunities to participate in age and developmentally appropriate activities as other youth.

DCFS has continued its participation with Louisiana LGBTQ Taskforce which advocates for and advances equal treatment for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning youth in the judicial system by developing and delivering resources to impact practice and policies. CFCIP providers are required to provide and educate youth on sexual development, responsibility, and family planning alternatives, to include sensitivity and support in understanding, accepting, and coping with any sexual identity issues.

DCFS offered training entitled “Increasing Competency in Practice with LGBTQ Youth in Child Welfare Systems”. The State Office Home Development Program compiled information on “Working with Diverse Families” and “Good Customer Service” to be handed out and discussed with regional staff.

The Department and CFCIP providers have adopted “Choosing the Best” curriculum to promote healthy sexual relationships, sexual abstinence, and making good sexual choices with youth. The curriculum is focused on the health advantages of delayed sexual activity and empowers youth to make the healthiest choices, in order to reduce unplanned pregnancies and Sexually Transmitted Diseases, improving the outcomes for youth and their families. The DCFS has reviewed the list of FYSB-funded grantees and currently has a working relationship with six of them.

HP Serve and DCFS collaborated on the Louisiana Collaborative Intervention Model (LaCIM) planning grant for the purpose of improving the well-being, safety, and permanency of children in foster care and those exiting foster care that are at the most risk of homelessness. These entities worked to identify risk and protective factors that lead to children becoming homeless and designed services to deter homelessness. HP Serve was not selected to receive the implementation phase of the grant.
The Department is an active participant in the legislated task force on Youth Aging out of Foster Care. This task force was established in 2015 to explore public policy for programs and services that assist youth aging out of foster care to achieve successful independence while considering the potential for homelessness in this at-risk population. The task force focused on the six outcome measures collected by NYTD: financial self-sufficiency - 26% of Louisiana youth surveyed reported having a part or full-time job or training program; educational attainment - 80% of Louisiana youth surveyed reported being enrolled and attending school and 7% had completed high school; connections with adults - 94% of Louisiana youth surveyed reported having a positive connection with an adult; homelessness among former foster youth - 6% of Louisiana surveyed in 2011 reported being homeless at some point; high risk behaviors (substance abuse, incarceration, and teen pregnancy/parenthood) - 22% reported a substance abuse referral while 31% reported having been incarcerated and the other 5% reported being a parent; and access to health insurance - 93% of Louisiana youth surveyed reported having Medicaid coverage and 2% reported having some other type of health insurance.

The Louisiana Housing Corporation conducts a point in time survey of all homeless individuals on a particular date. The survey was conducted on January 25, 2016. For the first time, the survey included questions related to the prevalence of a foster care history among the homeless population in order to get more accurate data. There are some reservations regarding the data gathered from the point-in-time survey, as this population of youth is difficult to locate and many do not actually reside on the street but instead have unstable housing and “couch surf”. Once the results of the survey are received by the Department, the results will guide future planning for youth aging out of foster care.

CFCIP providers are able to assist youth facing homelessness by helping youth identify housing options and assisting in the housing application process. Providers are able to assist with deposits for housing and utilities within their individual budget allowances. Providers are able to assist with enrollment in educational and vocational programs to allow youth the opportunity to have more job skills, thereby reducing their risk of homelessness. Once the youth is age 18, the youth must voluntarily contact the CFCIP providers to seek their assistance in educational/vocational training and housing.

**Update FFY 2017:** An RFP was released in 2015 to solicit for CFCIP providers in each of the nine regions of the state from July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2019. Three regions retained the same provider and six regions had new providers established. They are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>Goodwill Industries of North Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>HP Serve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>LA United Methodist Children and Family Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>LA United Methodist Children and Family Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>LA United Methodist Children and Family Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>LA United Methodist Children and Family Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>Goodwill of Southeast Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>Goodwill Industries of North Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>Goodwill of Southeast Louisiana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The RFP expanded the requirements for serving youth from ages 14-21 to ages 14-26. The providers are available to youth who are in or have aged out of foster care with DCFS, OJJ or tribal custody. The Department and CFCIP providers with tribes in their regions (Alexandria, Lafayette, and Lake Charles) attempt to engage the tribes on a regular basis.

Negotiations were completed with all three credit reporting agencies and have instituted processes necessary to ensure timely completion of the credit clearances and resolution of problems. To date, credit clearances on 846 youth totaling 2538 clearances since October 1, 2016 have been completed. Problems were identified on 44 credit clearances and all of the issues have been resolved. Forty-three issues were resolved prior to the youth aging out of foster care, and one issue was resolved after the youth reached age 18.

Plans continue to be developed for mentoring field staff in the development of adequate YTPs for youth transitioning to adulthood. Feedback from CQI regarding adequate completion of YTPs indicated low success in the areas of housing and permanent connections. Monthly calls with field staff began in November 2016 to assist in these areas for youth who are aging out of foster care in the subsequent 90 days. Tracking of initial, ongoing, and final YTPs has been completed in the TIPS system.

Youth continue to be placed in a transitional living program focused on providing specialized services for the LGBTQ population. Education on the specific needs of this population has continued with Department staff, caretakers, and other stakeholders to ensure sensitivity to sexual identity issues. The Department contracted with Dr. Gerald Mallon in late 2016 to assist in developing policies and procedures related to this specific population. LGBTQ 101: Considering DCFS Policies as a First Step to Guide Practice and Training for Child Welfare Professionals was held on December 8, 2016. Additionally, DCFS has utilized the services of a contract provider through the Child Welfare Training Academy to provide LGBTQ awareness and sensitivity training statewide to all field staff. Participation with the Louisiana LGBTQ Taskforce to advocate and advance equal treatment continues.

The CFCIP providers participated in a training to prevent child trafficking and exploitation called “Love 146”. The providers were trained to present the training to youth on a regular basis.

Understanding Girls is a curriculum which emphasizes working more effectively with girls in foster care who have experienced trauma. The primary focus is to assist foster care case managers and foster caretakers in understanding girls’ emotional, psychological, and physical development, while considering societal norms. Four program staff and all of the CFCIP providers were trained in administering this training to others. Six trainings have been provided throughout Louisiana to date and six additional trainings are scheduled in the next four months. Field staff and caretakers have participated in the trainings and additional field staff, caretakers, and tribes are encouraged to participate.

A “Lunch and Learn” was conducted by Department staff on February 20, 2017 to emphasize the importance of adequate transition planning with youth. Creative examples of staff connecting with youth to facilitate effective planning were discussed. Over 100 staff participated in the webinar. It was recorded and will be available online for future staff to reference.
The Department continued active participation in the legislated task force on Youth Aging Out of Foster Care. A final report was developed and submitted to the legislature in January 2017 outlining the recommendations of the task force. The recommendations are as follows:

1. One-Stop Transition Center for Foster Youth and Alumni – The creation of a resource in key communities of the state could help centralize services and simplify communication and case-coordination between caretakers, youth, and system professionals. Centers can be the sources of many of the protective factors and connections youth need to maintain resilience and overcome life challenges.

2. Automatic Medicaid Enrollment and Redetermination to Age 26 – Louisiana should evaluate its enrollment and redetermination processes for this population to ensure foster youth remain enrolled in Medicaid until the age of 26.

3. Financial Security – Louisiana should create the capacity for banks to open savings accounts for youth in foster care with different legal conditions from the general population, i.e. no adult co-signer. This will allow youth and connections to begin building savings for the youth while still in foster care. This will offer some protection to the youth from experiencing homelessness and provide financial resources for self-support when aging out of foster care.

4. Specialized DCFS Staff – Louisiana should identify experienced case workers with a demonstrated skill in working with older youth within the DCFS, and provide specialized training to further develop their skill level. As state and Department resources allow, these case workers should be designated as specialized youth consultants. These staff should remain knowledgeable regarding resources and activities necessary to support a successful transition of youth from foster care. They should also be an integral part of local collaborations to enhance the locally available resources and build stronger community partnerships for serving youth aging out of foster care. As part of their development as youth specialists, these case workers should also be trained and expected to have the expert knowledge on working with youth around related issues such as development of permanent connections, illegal or unhealthy substance use, runaway behaviors, delinquent behavior, sex trafficking, labor trafficking, gender identity, sexual expression, bullying, etc.

5. Extended Foster Care – Louisiana should extend foster care up to a youth’s 21st birthday for youth who achieve the age of majority in foster care. All youth turning age 18 in foster care should continue automatically in foster care with complete foster care services until the youth requests from the court emancipation from foster care.

6. Fund Post-Secondary Education for Foster Youth – The 2006 Louisiana Revised Statute 17:1687 provides for tuition and fee exemptions in Louisiana public postsecondary education institutions for persons in foster care, who were in foster care in Louisiana for any nine months of the 24 months immediately prior to the youth’s eighteenth birthday. However, the legislation was unfunded, and to date, no youth has been able to benefit from this legislation. Additionally, a responsible party would need
to be named in the statute for implementation of the legislation and management of the allotted funds.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

- YTPs will be reviewed for adequacy and to address any concerns identified in practice. A mentor program for field staff in relation to planning with youth will be developed.
- Continue to work with the CFCIP providers to increase services available to youth ages 14-26. The CFCIP providers will continue to evolve their curricula to best meet the needs of the youth they serve. Experiential activities and collaboration with foster caretakers are required to reinforce the information provided to youth.
- Continue to process credit clearances on all youth in foster care ages 14-17 from all three credit bureaus and resolve any issues identified.
- Specialized needs of youth identifying as LGBTQ in practices and policies will continue to be recognized.
- The Love 146 curriculum will be taught to youth in foster care a minimum of two times per calendar year by each CFCIP provider trained.
- The Understanding Girls curriculum will continue to be provided to field staff and foster caretakers.
- Implement any recommendations from the Youth Aging Out of Foster Care Task Force approved by the legislature.

Objective 1.2: Expand and strengthen services provided by CFCIP providers.

Strategy 1: Increase numbers of youth served by CFCIP providers.
- The Department developed an online process to submit referrals to CFCIP providers. CFCIP providers continue to meet with DCFS staff on a regular basis to inform field staff of their services. The Department provided CFCIP providers with additional funds to increase service provision through case management services as well as concrete services necessary to establish their own living situation for youth ages 18-21 beginning July 1, 2013.

Strategy 2: Improve curricula and increase youth involvement in program development and delivery.
- Each CFCIP provider has funds dedicated to hire a youth worker who participates in the Louisiana Youth Leadership Advisory Council (LYLAC) and assists in contracted service provision. These youth workers also participate in the development of the annual youth conferences.

Strategy 3: Offer more experiential learning opportunities for youth, to include offering educational and planning services to foster caregivers which coincide with the youth’s services.
- The “Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care” training was held to include DCFS staff, CFCIP providers, and foster caretakers. The training stressed the importance of providing youth with opportunities for experiential learning. The CFCIP providers incorporate experiential learning into independent living classes provided to the youth.
Strategy 4: CFCIP providers provide on-going support as a long-term resource to youth.

- The Department continues to monitor the provision of contract services to youth by the CFCIP providers through monthly documentary review and quarterly site visits.

Update FFY 2016:

- Program Staff conducted trainings in each region to reinforce the necessity of all youth ages 14 and older being referred to CFCIP providers for services.
- Continued monitoring of contractor activities to serve the individual needs of youth during their transition to adulthood (ages 14 to 21).
- Secured a former foster care youth to assist the Department in recruiting for the LYLAC board and provide a youth voice in policy development.

Update FFY 2017: New CFCIP contracts were awarded to providers in each region of the state beginning in July 2016. Contracts were not finalized until January 2017, so service provision was minimal from July 2016 to January 2017.

The Department continued to monitor contactor activities to serve the individual needs of youth during their transition to adulthood (ages 14 to 26).

CFCIP providers in curricula which will reinforce the topics needed were trained. Love 146, a curriculum to prevent child trafficking and exploitation, was provided to the CFCIP providers in February 2017. In order for those trained to maintain their licensure, they must conduct at least two trainings per year. These trainings will be provided to youth and stakeholders.

Understanding Girls is a curriculum which strengthens how Child Welfare professionals interact and provide direct services to girls. The training emphasizes how early attachment experiences impact one’s later expectations for safety in the world, trust in and protection from others, and self-worth. Trainings are held periodically throughout the state and all field staff and stakeholders are encouraged to attend.

The Coalition of Independent Living Providers developed a basic curriculum for providing services to youth for the newly contracted CFCIP providers. The curriculum was provided to all members of the Coalition at a meeting held in March 2017.

The CFCIP providers reached out to local DCFS offices to reinforce the need for youth ages 14 and older to be referred to the CFCIP providers for services from 14 – 26.

The department developed a flyer and disseminated it to multiple stakeholders such as CASA, Child and Maternal Health, State Foster Parent Association, State Juvenile and Family Court Judges’ Association, NASW, etc. The flyer provides information for anyone 18 or older who may have been in foster care. It encourages them to contact DCFS to learn more about services which may be available to support them. Additionally, a wallet card was developed for dissemination to all youth aging out of foster care to provide them information on seeking help, if needed.
Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

- The curriculum provided to the CFCIP providers in March 2017 will be evaluated for effectiveness and adjustments will be made according to the needs of the programs.
- Program staff will continue to monitor CFCIP providers to ensure services are provided to youth ages 14 to 26.
- Pilot a program to utilize no more than 30% of the Chafee funds to directly support establishing a stable living situation for youth aging out of foster care.
- Develop a youth specialists in each region of the state to provide targeted transitional services to youth aging out of foster care to ensure permanent connections and a stable living situation at foster care exit.
- Continue to collaborate with stakeholders to improve the service delivery continuum for youth aging out of foster care.

Objective 1.3: Provide opportunities for youth, departmental staff, foster caregivers, and CFCIP providers to network, participate in program development, receive resources and education regarding youth in foster care.

Strategy 1: Hold annual youth conferences throughout the state through the CFCIP providers in collaboration with youth, community partners, and DCFS staff.

The Department conducted annual youth conferences in 2014 on the following dates:

- June 5, 2014 (Alexandria/Shreveport)
- June 6, 2014 (Lafayette/Lake Charles)
- June 9, 2014 (Baton Rouge/Covington)
- June 11, 2014 (New Orleans/Thibodaux)
- June 12, 2014 (Monroe)

The DCFS staff and foster caretakers joined youth in training sessions and received training credit during the annual youth conferences.

Update FFY 2016:

Regional youth conferences will be held according to the following schedule:

- Baton Rouge/Covington Regions: May 25, 2016
- Alexandria/Shreveport Regions: June 2, 2016
- Lafayette/Lake Charles Regions: June 2, 2016
- New Orleans/Thibodaux Regions: June 2, 2016
- Monroe Region: June 9, 2016

CFCIP providers have consulted with youth in each region to determine activities, topics for breakout sessions, and themes for each of the conferences. Each conference will have a panel of youth speak about their personal experiences transitioning from foster care to independence. The conferences also include access to different resources which may assist the youth in their transition. Examples of stakeholders participating in the conference include representatives from post-secondary educational institutions and the Louisiana Bar Association, caretakers, residential providers, Office of Juvenile Justice Staff, and Department staff.

The following chart reflects the baseline data for the number of youth transition plans completed.
**Goal 1, Measurement 1: Completion of Youth Transition Plans**

* Based on number due within the SFY.
** Case event under development for future tracking.

The following chart reflects data on youth conferences conducted statewide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Baseline FFY 2013</th>
<th>FFY 2014</th>
<th>FFY 2015</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of youth conferences held</td>
<td>*5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of youth in attendance overall</td>
<td>*191 (19.9% of the eligible population of youth)</td>
<td>243 (26.1% of the eligible population of youth)</td>
<td>264 (33.5% of the eligible population of youth)</td>
<td>231 (30.1% of the eligible population of youth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of DCFS staff in attendance overall (unduplicated)</td>
<td>*96</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of foster caregivers in attendance overall</td>
<td>*13</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of youth participating in conference planning/delivery overall (unduplicated)</td>
<td>*48</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 1, Measurement 2: Youth Conferences**

* Base determined by number attending out of number invited/eligible for attendance

The chart below reflects the number of youth served by CFCIP providers by region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCANO/Orleans</td>
<td>265 TOTAL 249 DCFS; 16 OIJ</td>
<td>231 TOTAL 191 DCFS; 40 OIJ</td>
<td>252 TOTAL 214 DCFS; 38 OIJ</td>
<td>177 TOTAL 138 DCFS; 39 OIJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCANO/Baton Rouge</td>
<td>99 TOTAL 99 DCFS; 0 OIJ</td>
<td>60 TOTAL 56 DCFS; 4 OIJ</td>
<td>71 TOTAL 69 DCFS; 2 OIJ</td>
<td>64 TOTAL 63 DCFS; 1 OIJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCANO/Thibodaux</td>
<td>63 TOTAL 63 DCFS;</td>
<td>77 TOTAL 77 DCFS;</td>
<td>94 TOTAL 91 DCFS;</td>
<td>66 TOTAL 62 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Youth served by CFCIP providers (DCFS, OJJ, Other)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GCTFS/Lafayette</strong></td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td>3 OJJ</td>
<td>4 OJJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 TOTAL</td>
<td>52 TOTAL</td>
<td>90 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 DCFS; 0 OJJ</td>
<td>52 DCFS;</td>
<td>90 DCFS;</td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GCTFS/Lake Charles</strong></td>
<td>37 TOTAL</td>
<td>44 TOTAL</td>
<td>119 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 DCFS; 0 OJJ</td>
<td>33 DCFS;</td>
<td>68 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
<td>51 OJJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 OJ</td>
<td>11 OJ</td>
<td>11 OJ</td>
<td>51 OJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LMCH/Monroe</strong></td>
<td>288 TOTAL</td>
<td>271 TOTAL</td>
<td>267 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>498 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130 DCFS; 158 OJJ</td>
<td>108 DCFS;</td>
<td>79 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
<td>128 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>158 OJJ</td>
<td>163 OJJ</td>
<td>188 OJJ</td>
<td></td>
<td>370 OJJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SELU/Covington</strong></td>
<td>48 TOTAL</td>
<td>32 TOTAL</td>
<td>77 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>95 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 DCFS; 0 OJJ</td>
<td>32 DCFS;</td>
<td>77 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
<td>95 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodwill/Alexandria</strong></td>
<td>63 TOTAL</td>
<td>77 TOTAL</td>
<td>56 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>22 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59 DCFS; 0 OJJ</td>
<td>66 DCFS;</td>
<td>46 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 OJJ</td>
<td>11 OJ</td>
<td>10 OJ</td>
<td>1 OJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodwill/Shreveport</strong></td>
<td>89 TOTAL</td>
<td>87 TOTAL</td>
<td>54 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>47 TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56 DCFS; 33 OJJ</td>
<td>63 DCFS;</td>
<td>33 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
<td>38 DCFS;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33 OJJ</td>
<td>24 OJ</td>
<td>21 OJ</td>
<td>9 OJJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 1, Measurement 3: Youth Served by CFCIP providers**

**Unable to obtain information as provider is no longer contracted with the Department.**

### PURPOSE/GOAL 2: HELP YOUTH LIKELY TO REMAIN IN FOSTER CARE UNTIL AGE 18 RECEIVE THE EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND SERVICES NEEDED TO OBTAIN EMPLOYMENT:

**OBJECTIVE 2.1** Make youth aware of educational and vocational options.

**Strategy 1:** Collaborate with the Louisiana Workforce Commission (LWC), formerly Louisiana Department of Labor, to refer youth for employment and training opportunities.

- a) The Department replaced the Statewide Reality City Youth Conference by expanding the regional NYTD Youth Conferences.
- b) CFCIP providers incorporated job skills into independent living classes and invited local businesses to provide information on employment opportunities.
- c) CFCIP providers assisted youth in completing employment applications and obtaining needed supplies for employment.
- d) Information on accessing job skills training and employment opportunities are presented to youth during the annual NYTD Conferences provided by the Department.
- e) CFCIP providers employed a former foster youth to assist with implementation of the regional programs.

**Update FFY 2016:** The Department is collaborating with many stakeholders including LWC as part of a Task Force on Youth Aging Out of Foster Care to identify the best way to work together in providing youth the skills necessary to transition successfully into adulthood. The recommendations of the Task Force will be presented to the Louisiana legislature for financial support in helping youth in several areas including financial self-sufficiency and educational attainment.
Update FFY 2017: The Task Force on Youth Aging Out of Foster Care recommended a One-Stop Transition Center for Foster Youth and Alumni. The creation of this resource in key communities of the state would help centralize services and simplify communication and case-coordination between caretakers, youth, and system professionals. The Louisiana Workforce Commission could be one of the resources included in the One-Stop Transition Center.

Program staff visited with Job Corps staff in March 2017 to determine ways to recruit appropriate youth in foster care for their program.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:
- Collaboration will continue with Job Corps to recruit appropriate youth for their program.
- If the recommendations of the Youth Aging Out of Foster Care Task Force are approved by the Legislature, plans to develop One-Stop Transition Centers throughout the state will continue.

PURPOSE/GOAL 3: HELP YOUTH PREPARE FOR AND ENTER POST-SECONDARY TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS:

GOAL 3: HELP YOUTH LIKELY TO REMAIN IN FOSTER CARE UNTIL AGE 18 PREPARE FOR AND ENTER POST SECONDARY TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.

Objective 3.1: Provide educational/vocational services, including costs of education or vocational training, books, supplies, fees, GED tests, college admission tests and any other services needed to gain admission to all youth under age 18 and to youth over age 18 based on availability of funds.

- The Department continues to fund the educational needs of youth in foster care prior to age 18.
- CFCIP providers assist with educational needs of former foster youth ages 18-21.
- Educational Training Vouchers (ETV) are available for post-secondary education or vocational training through collaboration with LOSFA.
- LOSFA does outreach with financial aid advisors at each accredited post-secondary educational and vocational institution in Louisiana.
- The Department provides youth a monthly stipend when turning 18 if within one year of completing their secondary educational program, planning to complete the program and performing satisfactorily.
- The Department held an outreach event with foster youth interested in attending Baton Rouge Community College in collaboration with the college to advise youth, their caretakers and local DCFS staff of services offered.
- LSU and the Department have initiated collaboration to reach former foster youth enrolled at the university and advice of services to support success.
- Criteria for ETV eligibility were increased to include youth who are dually-enrolled in a secondary and post-secondary program.
Update FFY 2016:

- Monthly stipends have been provided to youth who have aged out of foster care but have not completely finished their secondary education, but will do so by their 19th birthday.
- Collaboration with LOSFA to distribute ETVs to eligible youth continued.
- Program staff conducted the training “Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care” throughout the state and reiterated the criteria for the monthly stipend for youth completing their secondary education and for ETVs.
- The department provided a trust fund out of Chafee funds in the amount of $2500 to a youth who was in Foster Care but placed in a Youth Challenge Program when the youth achieved age 18. The supportive service was provided to the youth due to his ongoing, specialized, controlled, placement in an educational/vocational program at the time of achieving age 18 and being released from DCFS custody which prevented department intervention to establish a more permanent plan for transition to independence for this youth. This same type of trust fund will be provided to youth in the future in the same type of situation with the Youth Challenge Program or Job Corps Program at the time of achieving age 18, and policy will be developed to reflect the availability of this service for this group of youth. As a prerequisite to acquiring the funds held in trust the youth was required to meet with the CFCIP provider in the region where he lived for a minimum 3 hour appointment to review: career goals and available supports; ongoing educational goals and available supports; planned living situation and available supports; financial resources and available supports; permanent connections; assessment of youth skill level in managing daily care needs and available supports; availability of continued case management services through CFCIP provider; availability of ETV funds for educational/vocational support; and assistance in preparing a budget for the use of the trust in establishing and maintaining an independent living situation.
- The CFCIP providers continue to assist youth in accessing available funds for secondary and post-secondary education. Youth over the age of 18 receive assistance by self-referral and when surveyed for NYTD.

Update FFY 2017: The Department has worked diligently to publicize the ETV criteria to field staff and encourage applications for the funds. During monthly staffings with field staff, ETV funds and the process of applying for the funds have been emphasized to appropriate youth. LOSFA has continued to publicize the availability of funds to post-secondary educational/vocational institutions. CFCIP providers have continued to assist youth in accessing the funds through the local post-secondary educational/vocational programs. Program staff has provided feedback to requests for information regarding ETV and eligibility criteria. The past year has seen a significant increase in the number of inquiries.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

- Continue to publicize the availability of ETV funds and encourage youth to apply in order to increase applications.
- Program staff will continue to respond to inquiries regarding ETV and eligibility criteria.
- Collaborate with the OJJ to increase the number of their youth applying for ETV funds.

Objective 3.2: Make available vouchers for education and training, including post-secondary education to youth who have aged out of foster care.
Strategy 1: The DCFS will support Louisiana Office of Student Financial Assistance (LOSFA) in managing the Education Training Voucher (ETV) program. LOSFA is the channel by which ETV funds are distributed to eligible youth. LOSFA has an established relationship with post-secondary educational institutions throughout the state. Finding youth who are eligible for ETV is not the responsibility of LOSFA. ETV eligibility is stressed by IL providers during IL classes and annual youth conferences. DCFS staff is provided information on ETV to forward to youth so they are aware of the funds available to them. Post-secondary institutions are providing State Office contact information to youth who feel they are eligible for Chafee funds based on the foster care question on the FAFSA. More youth have recently been requesting information about the eligibility criteria in order to determine their eligibility for funds. Any youth who contact the Department to inquire of the eligibility for Chafee funds are assisted.

- The Department’s staff and CFCIP providers continue to be available to assist youth in applying for educational programs, vocational programs, and financial assistance;
- Information regarding the ETV program continue to be supplied to youth by the DCFS staff and the CFCIP providers during updates to the Youth Transition Plans and annual youth conferences;
- DCFS partnered with LOFSA to distribute ETVs to eligible youth.

Strategy 2: DCFS staff will assess youth’s knowledge of the ETV program during YTP development.

- Information regarding ETVs is provided on the DCFS Youthlink website;
- Policy regarding the availability of ETVs was updated for field staff.

Update FFY 2016:

- The Department has maintained a positive working relationship with LOSFA in order to distribute funds effectively to eligible youth.
- FFY 2015 saw an increase in the number of youth who received ETV and the trend has increased again for FFY 2016.
- Department and LOSFA staff are available to assist any youth who encounter challenges in obtaining funds.
- Field staff is encouraged to provide youth with an official documents indicating their eligibility in order to expedite the process of receiving funds.

Update FFY 2017: Program staff and CFCIP providers informed field staff and youth of the availability of ETV funds during staffings and trainings. Flyers with ETV eligibility criteria were provided to youth during the annual youth conferences in May and June 2016. The flyer is available on the YouthLink page of the DCFS website.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018: Continue to publicize the availability of ETV funds to assist youth in their pursuit of post-secondary educational/vocational program.

PURPOSE/GOAL 4: PROVIDE PERSONAL AND EMOTIONAL SUPPORT TO YOUTH THROUGH MENTORS AND THE PROMOTION OF INTERACTIONS WITH DEDICATED ADULTS:
Objective 4.1: All department staff will have the skills to locate permanent connections for youth and will use the skills to assure that each youth has at least one caring and continuous adult relationship.

Strategy 1: Training will be provided to DCFS staff (will offer training to CFCIP providers and CASA also) to further knowledge regarding locating permanent connections for youth and strengthening existing connections to focus on the youth’s needs. (WebEx)
- The Department revised its policy on developing permanent connections for youth to guide staff work efforts;
- The Department provided training on the use of the CLEAR system to search for prior connections of youth;
- On April 2, 2015, a WebEx was presented on APPLA as a case goal and the importance of permanent connections to Regional Administrators and Regional Performance Measures Consultants for Child Welfare;
- The DCFS worked with Support Enforcement to ensure greater access to the Federal Parent Locator Service to find relatives of children.

Update FFY 2016:
- A WebEx was held on February 3, 2016 on Federal and State Laws on Youth Transition Planning. The WebEx explained the importance of establishing and maintaining permanent connections. One third of field staff participated in the WebEx and a recording was made available to all staff via the DCFS intranet.
- The Department provided “Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care” training to assist staff in understanding an effective youth transition plan, to include permanent connections.
- CQI continues to evaluate the adequate completion of YTPs for all youth ages 14 – 17 and provides results to determine effectiveness of the training.

Update FFY 2017: The evaluation of YTPs by CQI staff has indicated weaknesses in developing adequate transition plans for youth aging out of foster care. In January and February 2017, CQI staff, in partnership with FC State Office Staff, conducted focus groups in each region with multiple levels of staff to determine reasons for the lower outcome ratings. The information from those focus groups is currently being formulated into a report so recommendations can be made. Due to some of the immediately noticed needs regarding YTP development, a new YTP review instrument was developed and implemented in April 2017. This new review instrument narrows focus in YTP development to the most basic needs of a youth aging out of foster care to allow staff to develop expertise incrementally.

Program staff assisted with case-specific needs of youth on multiple occasions. In November 2016, monthly staffing calls were initiated to ensure youth who were aging out of foster care in the next 90 days had adequate plans for permanent connections and housing.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:
- The results of the new YTP instrument will be used to guide next steps in development of best practice with assisting youth during their transition to adulthood.
Program staff will continue to hold staffings with field staff for youth aging out of foster care within the next 90 days.

**Objective 4.2:** Increase the number permanent connections in the community available to youth aging out of foster care.

**Strategy 1:** DCFS will collaborate with stakeholders to explore possible resources for mentors within the community to partner with in working with youth. Permanent connections for older youth are assessed through the Youth Transition Plans.

1. The Department initiated the Faith in Families Initiative which emphasized the importance of connections for youth after foster care and providing older youth mentors;
2. The Department has collaborated with HP Serve on a homeless grant and a human trafficking grant to better serve those sub-groups of youth through mentors;
3. In partnership with Casey, Sue Badeaux provided training to staff and foster caretakers related to special needs of older youth and the importance of mentoring programs.

**Strategy 2:** DCFS will work to recruit and develop foster parent resources specific to older youth willing to serve as permanent connections for these youth.

- The Department will conduct a webinar with statewide home development staff to encourage the development of a plan to target family resources willing to serve as mentors and permanent connections to older youth aging out of care.

**Update FFY 2016:**

- Sue Badeau provided training on permanency to Department staff on October 16, 2015. Representatives from management, field staff, and the CQI team from each region were present to relay information to additional staff.
- The Department continues to work with stakeholders to develop additional resources for mentors for children in foster care.
- The Department is collaborating with HP Serve to develop mentors for youth who are victims of sex trafficking through the Louisiana Children’s Anti-Trafficking Initiative (LACAT).
- The Department and HP Serve collaborated on a homeless grant through September 2015; however, the grant was not renewed for FFY 2016.
- The Department developed an agreement with a newly licensed youth transitional living program which specializes in transitional services for LGBTQ youth.
- The Department along with 20 stakeholders on the Task Force for Youth Aging Out of Foster Care compiled research on placement options for youth.
  - Training was provided to a larger group of stakeholders as a result of this work by Mark Kroner on housing options for independent living programs
  - Consultation of the Task Force members and a state legislator with Mark Kroner addressed how the state and stakeholders could move forward in improving service delivery to older youth aging out of foster care to reduce negative outcomes.
Update FFY 2017: The Task Force on Youth Aging Out of Foster Care made recommendations to the Legislature in January 2017. The recommendations are as follows:

1. One-Stop Transition Center for Foster Youth and Alumni;
2. Automatic Medicaid Enrollment and Redetermination to Age 26;
3. Financial Security;
4. Specialized DCFS Staff;
5. Extended Foster Care to Age 21; and,
6. Fund Post-Secondary Education for Foster Youth.

The Department has initiated Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) to strengthen foster care by refocusing on excellent parenting for all children in the child welfare system. It is an effort to rebrand foster care by clearly defining the expectations of and support for foster caretakers. Foster caretakers are encouraged to assist the Department and CFCIP providers in reinforcing skills learned during classes by providing experiential activities to the youth.

A training module for foster care supervisors has been developed related to enhanced youth transitional planning. The module is expected to be implemented soon.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:
- Work towards implementing any recommendations of the Youth Aging Out of Foster Care Task Force approved by the Legislature.
- QPI will continue to be implemented across the state and staff will ensure foster caretakers are reinforcing skills taught to older youth by CFCIP providers.
- Implement the training module for foster care supervisors related to enhance youth transitional planning.

Objective 4.3: CFCIP providers will continue to provide aftercare services to youth from age 18 to 21 in locating needed services through case management, continued contact with the youth to assess needs, including counseling for emotional crisis, as requested by the youth, after the youth has left care.

Strategy 1: CFCIP providers will provide case management services to youth after aging out of foster care if the youth is interested.
- CFCIP providers located 79.8% of youth age 21 to complete the NYTD surveys;
- Referrals were made to CFCIP providers to continue case management services when the youth reached age 18;
- Case management services offered to youth ages 18-21 were monitored during site visits with the CFCIP providers;
- CFCIP providers were available to assist youth ages 18-21 with problem resolution.

Strategy 2: The Department has developed a contact plan for CFCIP providers to assist in maintaining contact with 17 year old NYTD Baseline youth, until the age of 21, that are interested in further services.
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- CFCIP providers were responsible for maintaining regular contact with youth from 18 to 21 in order to increase success of completing NYTD follow-up surveys*. Youth were sent Birthday and Christmas cards each year, as well as periodic letters and postcards. Youth were contacted through social media, by email, and by phone as well. All contact attempts included requests of updated contact information by the CFCIP providers, reminders of services available to youth upon request, and reminders of when the next NYTD survey would be requested.

DCFS conducts monthly calls with IL providers to report on progress of surveying follow-up youth. Strategies to contact hard-to-reach youth are discussed during the calls, with providers seeking assistance from each other to locate youth. The “snap shot” format for each state on the NYTD portal is not working. This data has been used in the past to guide conversations with stakeholders to determine how resources can be best utilized to serve youth transitioning out of foster care to independence.

IL providers are responsible for maintaining regular contact with youth ages 18 to 21 in order to provide case management services and to increase success of locating youth to complete NYTD follow-up surveys. Providers are required to contact youth via different methods on at least a bi-monthly basis in order to build a relationship with the youth and determine the need for ongoing services. Logs of their contact with these youth is provided to State Office on a monthly basis and is reviewed during quarterly site visits by program staff. The 2011A follow-up youth were surveyed according to the following chart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Provider</th>
<th>Surveys Completed</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Methods of contact for acquiring surveys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>In-person/phone contact; located via vinelink.com, relatives, Facebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>Phone contact; 2 unable to locate; 1 deceased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>Southeastern</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Phone contact; contact with jail/relatives/former case worker; 3 unable to locate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Gulf Coast</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>In-person/phone contact; Facebook; 2 unable to locate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>Gulf Coast</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>In-person/phone contact; Facebook; 1 unable to locate; 1 declined participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Phone contact; Facebook; 3 unable to locate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>In-person/phone contact; mail; contact via jail; 1 unable to locate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>In-person/phone contact; mail; contact via jail; 1 unable to locate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>In-person/phone contact; vinelink.com; relatives; 2 unable to locate; 1 deceased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>In-person/phone contact; mail; 3 unable to locate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Attempts to locate youth who were unable to be contacted include: Phone, Mail, Social media, Contact with former case managers and caretakers for current contact information, Vinelink.com, and Relatives.
Update FFY 2016: 2011B Follow-Up Youth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Provider</th>
<th>Surveys Completed</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>Southeastern</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Gulf Coast</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>Gulf Coast</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>72</strong></td>
<td><strong>109</strong></td>
<td><strong>66%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Attempts to locate youth who were unable to be contacted include phone, mail, social media, contact with former case managers and caretakers, vinelink.com, relatives, Medicaid database, CLEAR searches, and food stamp database.

Update FFY 2017: Beginning in July 2016, CFCIP providers were required to provide case management services to youth ages 18 to 26. There has been a significant increase in the number of youth ages 18 to 26 who are requesting case management services from the CFCIP providers. Examples of services requested from these youth include:

- Assistance in obtaining services to support their physical needs (Medicaid, SNAP benefits, etc.);
- Transportation for court/legal matters/medical appointments;
- Assistance in obtaining stable housing to include identification of places to live and purchase of food to stabilize living situation prior to applying for SNAP benefits;
- Moving assistance;
- Emotional support and guidance;
- Transportation to complete applications for employment/job interviews/work shifts; and,
- Purchase of clothes for homeless youth to begin employment.

CFCIP providers maintain relationships with youth after completion of their programs to encourage participation in NYTD follow-up surveys. FFY 2017 does not require completion of follow-up surveys, as it is time to determine another baseline population.

Monthly phone conferences were not held while CFCIP contracts were not in place from July 2016 to January 2017. Monthly phone calls resumed in January 2017. In-person meetings with the CFCIP providers were held on February 22 and March 14, 2017 to determine progress with implementation of case management services and development of regional LYLAC boards.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

- CFCIP providers will continue to provide case management services for youth who aged out of foster care from ages 18 to 26.
- CFCIP providers will continue to maintain contact with youth who have completed their programs to facilitate relationships and offer case management services.
Objective 4.4: Support the statewide and regional efforts of the Louisiana Youth Leadership Advisory Council (LYLAC).

Strategy 1: The DCFS Program staff will support CFCIP providers in continued development and maintaining Regional LYLAC Boards and meetings through monthly development calls.

- Regional LYLAC meetings were held on a monthly basis in 2014 through June of 2015.

Strategy 2: LYLAC State Board meetings will be held quarterly alongside the CFCIP provider meetings to encourage greater participation of youth and provide youth a transportation resource through the CFCIP providers.

- The state LYLAC meetings were held on a quarterly basis in conjunction with the CFCIP providers meeting in order to increase youth participation as follows:
  - November 26, 2013 (Baton Rouge, LA.)
  - February 21-22, 2014 (Dubach, LA.)
  - June 17, 2014 (Baton Rouge, LA.)
  - September 13, 2014 (Metairie, LA.)

Strategy 3: LYLAC will assist in planning and training at the annual youth conferences as long as they are interested in doing so.

- LYLAC members contributed to the regional youth conferences by providing input on session topics and themes. Each region had local youth present at the conferences.
- Youth participated in the Together We Can Conference in October 2014, with the majority of the participants being legal stakeholders.
- Youth presented at the annual Foster Parent Conference in February 2015 about the importance of working with youth transitioning from foster care.

Update FFY 2016:

- Statewide LYLAC board meetings were held on November 24, 2015, February 16, 2016, and April 12, 2016. The next board meeting will be scheduled in August 2016.
- The LYLAC board continues to focus on recruitment and outreach to youth to encourage participation in LYLAC.
- The Department is attempting to finalize an internship with an aged-out youth during the summer 2016 to focus on efforts to recruit youth for participation in LYLAC.
- LYLAC members presented information to stakeholders during the Together We Can conference in Lafayette, LA in October 2015 and the Foster Parent Conference in Baton Rouge, LA in February 2016.
- LYLAC members participated in interviews with stakeholders to share their experiences in order to provide personal testimony to guide policy and practice change.
LYLAC members are currently revising the “Know Your Facts” booklet which is provided to youth age 12 and older in foster care.

**Update FFY 2017:** While the CFCIP contracts were being finalized, regions with new providers did not conduct LYLAC meetings. Continuing providers continued to have LYLAC meetings as scheduled.

A statewide LYLAC meeting was held on February 22, 2017 in Baton Rouge, LA in conjunction with the Independent Living Coalition meeting. The participating youth met with the Deputy Secretary of Child Welfare, Dr. Rhenda Hodnett, and Dr. Gerald Mallon to discuss their issues and needs. Nine youth were in attendance at the meeting and made commitments to continue participation in the statewide LYLAC board. The next statewide LYLAC meeting is scheduled for May 23, 2017.

**Activities Planned in FFY 2018:**
- The Department and CFCIP providers will continue to encourage youth to participate on regional and statewide LYLAC boards.
- Quarterly statewide LYLAC meetings will continue to be facilitated for youth to identify and address issues of their choice.
- Youth will be involved in planning the annual youth conferences to be held in 2017.

The following chart reflects the average number of youth who participate in LYLAC quarterly scheduled meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>GOAL 4, MEASUREMENT 1: THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF ATTENDEES AT STATEWIDE AND REGIONAL LYLAC BOARD MEETINGS</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide LYLAC Participation and Activities – Average number of attendees at Statewide and Regional LYLAC board meetings</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number attending State LYLAC board meetings</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number attending Regional LYLAC meetings</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Update FFY 2016:**
- Regional LYLAC boards continue to meet on a monthly basis and initiated socialization activities to encourage participation of youth.
- Some regional LYLAC meetings occur at the same time and location as foster parent training in order to increase youth attendance.

**Update FFY 2017:** CFCIP providers continue to facilitate regional LYLAC meetings and encourage youth to participate. Regional LYLAC meetings are held at a minimum on a monthly basis. Statewide LYLAC meetings are held on a quarterly basis. The statewide LYLAC meetings are held in conjunction with the Independent Living Coalition in order to increase attendance of youth.
Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

- Program staff and CFCIP providers will continue to recruit youth to participate in LYLAC boards.

**PURPOSE/GOAL 5: PROVIDE FINANCIAL, HOUSING, COUNSELING, EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE SUPPORT AND SERVICES TO FORMER FOSTER CARE RECIPIENTS BETWEEN 18 AND 21 YEARS OF AGE.**

  - Youth who age out of foster care at age 18 continued to complete their secondary education program within one year. Monthly stipends continued to be available by the Department to assist in maintaining placement in order to achieve completion of the secondary program.
  - Policy was updated to include information on the dynamics of the Youth in Transition Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Youth receiving YTP stipends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline:</strong> FFY 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education stipend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med/Dev. transition stipend</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 5, Measurement 2: Number of youth receiving YTP stipends
Based on SFY 2014 beginning 8/1/2014

**Update FFY 2016:**

- “Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care” training was provided to remind staff of the Youth in Transition Program, which assists youth in stabilizing their placement after turning 18 if they have not yet obtained their high school diploma or GED.
- Program staff encourages CFCIP providers to follow-up with aged out youth to assess their educational progress and determine their eligibility for the Youth in Transition Program.
- If a youth was previously determined to be ineligible for the program due to insufficient grades or educational progress, then youth are provided information on ongoing case management services with the CFCIP providers to support the youth’s ongoing educational/vocational pursuits.

**Update FFY 2017:** The Department has continued to publicize the availability of the Youth in Transition Stipend for those youth who turn age 18 prior to completing high school or their HiSET. There are currently twenty youth who have received the stipend in FFY 2017. During monthly staffings held with field staff to determine the appropriateness of plans for after age 18, program staff provides reminders and information regarding the YTP program. Since beginning the monthly staffings in November 2016, 17 youth have received stipends.

CFCIP providers continue to provide ongoing case management services to youth who have aged out of foster care from ages 18 to 26. Examples of services requested from these youth include:

- Assistance in obtaining services to support their physical needs (Medicaid, SNAP benefits, etc.);
- transportation for court/legal matters/medical appointments;
CFCIP providers have seen an increase in the number of youth in this age group requesting case management services.

**Activities Planned in FFY 2018:**
- CFCIP providers will continue to provide case management services to youth ages 18 to 26 who have aged out of FC. The Department will monitor which types of services are requested and the number of youth requesting services.

**Purpose Goal 6: Make Available Vouchers for Education and Training, Including Post-Secondary Education; To Youth Who Have Aged Out of Foster Care**

**Objective 6.1:** Manage the ETV program to ensure eligible youth apply for the ETV program.

**Strategy 1:** DCFS will work to identify eligible youth (who are adopted or entering guardianship after age 16, who are in foster care or OJJ custody or tribal custody, and/or who are in post-secondary institutions at the time they reach 18 years of age) and notify them of their potential ETV eligibility (Years 1-5).
- The DCFS continues to work with youth exiting foster care, youth in OJJ or tribal custody, youth adopted after age 16, and youth entering a guardianship arrangement after age 16 to apprise of their eligibility for ETVs.

**Strategy 2:** Eligibility for the ETV Program will be managed by LOSFA.
- The Department continues to distribute ETV funds by LOFSA to eligible youth.

**Strategy 3:** DCFS program consultants will periodically review grades to evaluate youth’s progress and performance.
- The DCFS contracted with LOFSA to verify satisfactory academic progress prior to requesting ETV funds;
- The DCFS continues to verify the youth’s eligibility for ETV funds after a request for ETV funds is made through LOFSA.

**Update FFY 2016:**
- The Department provided “Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care” training in which staff was reminded of the Education Training Vouchers available to eligible youth in obtaining post-secondary education.
- Program staff encourages CFCIP providers to follow-up with aged out youth to assess their educational progress and determine their eligibility for ETV.
If a youth was previously not enrolled in a post-secondary educational program, then program staff or CFCIP providers follow-up with the youth to determine their ongoing eligibility.

The Department continues to provide field staff, adoptive or guardianship parents, and stakeholders with information on ETV eligibility criteria and contact information with the Department and LOSFA for further assistance if needed.

DCFS has a “Youth Link” on the department website with information on resources available for youth aging out of foster care with specific information on availability of ETVs and eligibility criteria.

Information on ETV was added to Department policy in January 2015 to advise staff on the availability and eligibility criteria for funds.

LOSFA has increased publicity around ETV to post-secondary educational institutions. The Department has seen an increase in the number of applications for ETV during FFY 2016. While some of the youth do not meet the criteria for funds, the increased number of applications is a result of the extra publicity of the program. The Department advances funds from upcoming fiscal years when exceeding the budget in order to reach as many youth as possible.

The chart below reflects the number of ETV applications the state received in the state’s school fiscal year (Aug 2014-May 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of ETV Applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2013 (Baseline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 6, Measurement 1: Number of ETV Applications
*To date for FFY 2017

The chart below reflects the number of ETV vouchers issued in the state’s school fiscal year (Aug. 2014-May 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Education and Training Vouchers Issued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of ETV vouchers awarded each year and the number of new vouchers awarded each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Vouchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Vouchers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 6, Measurement 2: Number of ETVs issued each state school fiscal year & the number of new ETVs issued

Update FFY 2017: LOSFA has reached out to post-secondary educational and vocational institutions to advertise the ETV program for eligible youth. There have been 96 applications for ETV in academic year 2017, with 76 approvals and 20 denials. Of the 76 approvals, 20 of the vouchers were first-time approvals.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018: ETV availability will continue to be publicized for youth pursuing post-secondary educational and vocational opportunities.
Purpose 7: Provide Services to youth who, after attaining 16 years of age, have left foster care for kinship, guardianship or adoption:

OBJECTIVE 7.1: Ensure youth who leave foster care for adoption or kinship guardianship, are informed of their rights to Chafee and ETV Services.

Strategy 1: Assure that CFCIP Providers continue to provide services to youth who have left foster care for adoption or kinship guardianship at age 16 or older.
   - CFCIP providers were available to assist youth who were adopted or entered a guardianship agreement after age 16.

Update FFY 2016:
- The Department continues to provide field staff, adoptive or guardianship parents, and stakeholders with information on ETV eligibility criteria and contact information of the Department and LOSFA for further assistance if needed.
- FFY 2016 has seen an increase in the number of ETVs issued to eligible youth.
- Youth who are adopted or entered a guardianship agreement after the age of 16 are provided with information on Chafee services upon their exit from foster care.

Update FFY 2017: CFCIP providers offer case management services to those youth who have aged out of foster care at age 18 or entered an adoption or guardianship arrangement after age 16 to the age of 26. Providers are available to the youth for all types of services. Information on CFCIP providers is distributed to youth, caretakers, and stakeholders. A YouthLink page is maintained on the Department website, which identifies all services available to youth after age 18.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018: Outreach to stakeholders will continue to advertise the services available by CFCIP providers to youth over the age of 18.

Youth Involvement in Plan and Other State Department Efforts:
- Youth conferences were held across the state in June 2014.
- Youth and CFCIP providers guided organization of the conferences including development of the agenda and preparation of youth for providing presentations at the conferences.

Activities performed since the 2015-2019 CFSP submission and planned for FY 2017 to involve the public and private sectors in helping adolescents in foster care achieve independence: The Department has partnerships with the following to assist with helping adolescents in foster care achieve independence:

Louisiana Housing Corporation: Previously, there were 25 vouchers available to assist with housing for youth who have aged out of foster care. Currently, the vouchers are not available.

Healing Place (HP) Serve: The non-profit has partnered with DCFS to continue the Louisiana Collaborative Intervention Model (LaCIM) for the purpose of improving the well-being, safety, and permanency of children in foster care and those exiting foster care that are most at risk of
homelessness. The partnership allows for identification of other opportunities to support this unique population. HP Serve and DCFS also have a memorandum for both entities to partner to serve foster care youth who are at risk of trafficking and to build the capacity of child welfare systems to prevent human trafficking among the most at-risk youth/young adults with child welfare involvement.

Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ): DCFS and OJJ have entered into an agreement to collaborate in case planning with youth who have contact with both child welfare and juvenile justice programs. Policy was initiated in July 2015 to address procedures for ensuring going case planning for the transition to independence for these youth.

Office of Citizens with Developmental Disabilities (OCDD): DCFS and OCDD work together to determine which youth qualify for Medicaid waivers based on their disabilities and how their needs can be met while in foster care.

Louisiana Department of Health (LDH): DCFS and LDH have established the Health Care Oversight and Coordination Plan for children in foster care to ensure ongoing quality medical and behavioral health services to children in foster care. Bi-weekly scheduled psychopharmacology consultations with a Board Certified Child Psychiatrist and staff, are held on children in foster care identified as being outside of the recommended psychotropic medication parameters.

Louisiana Office of Student Financial Assistance (LOSFA): LOSFA is the state agency which distributes funds for post-secondary education programs. LOSFA distributes funds to those youth who are eligible for ETV.

4-H: DCFS State Office was contacted by the state 4-H to provide assistance to children in foster care. During the summer of 2015, the state level 4-H conference attendees provided decorated Lifebooks to the Department for distribution to youth in foster care.

Crossroads NOLA: DCFS has provided guidance to Crossroads NOLA in their potential development of a transitional living program in the greater New Orleans area.

Update FFY 2016: There were five regional youth conferences held across the state in June 2015. Feedback by youth for the 2015 youth conferences was used to plan for the 2016 youth conferences. Overall, youth like the opportunity to gather information on transitional services and have some unstructured time with peers in similar situations.

Update FFY 2017: Based on feedback from youth and the delay in finalizing CFCIP contracts, youth conferences will not be held in 2017.

Activities Planned in FFY 2018: Consult with youth to determine the effectiveness of holding youth conferences. Based on these consultations, the Department will either reinstate the youth conferences in 2018 or determine alternative methods of providing information to youth, caretakers, and stakeholders.
Trust Funds: Louisiana does not currently place CFCIP funds in trust funds for youth.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING VOUCHERS (Statistical & Supporting Information):

The chart below reflects the continuing and new ETVs issued by year according to the state’s school fiscal year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Total Vouchers</th>
<th>New Vouchers (First Time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School Year 2013 (Baseline)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Year 2014</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Year 2015</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Year 2016</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Year 2017</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Year 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROMOTING SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES TITLE IV-B, SUBPART II: The DCFS utilizes funds for family preservation, community-based family support, time-limited family reunification, and adoption promotion and support services.

State and local share spending for Title IV-B, Subpart 2 for FFY 2013 (for comparison with the 1992 base year amount) indicates $8,177,068.00 was spent, $6,132,801.00 of which was federal funds and $2,044,267.00 was state general funds and in-kind funds. State and local share spending for Title IV-B, Subpart 2 for FFY 2014 (for comparison with the 1992 base year amount) indicates $7,855,735.00 was spent, $5,891,801.00 of which was federal funds and $1,963,934.00 was state general funds and/or in-kind funds. The 1992 base year amount was $2,772,015. State and local share spending for Title IV-B, Subpart 2 for FFY 2015 (for comparison with the 1992 base year amount) indicates $7,787,333.00 was spent, $5,840,500.00 of which was federal funds and $1,946,833.00 was state general funds and/or in-kind funds. The 1992 base year amount was $2,772,015

The Department assures no more than 10% of funds will be used for administrative costs and significant portions of expenditures will be made in the four areas below:

- **Family Prevention and Support Services (FPSS) – 20%** - Community-based services that promote the well-being of children and families and are designed to increase the strength and stability of families. (Amended by P.L. 112-34 to include mentoring as an allowable purpose.)

- **Family Preservation (FP) – 20%** - Services for children and families designed to help families at risk or in crisis and maintain the safety of children in their own homes; support families who are preparing to reunify or adopt, and assist families to obtain support to address their multiple needs in a culturally sensitive manner

- **Time Limited Reunification Services (TLR) – 20%** - Services and activities that are provided to a child who is removed from the child’s home and placed in a foster family or a child care institution, and to the parents or primary caregiver of such a child, in order to facilitate the reunification of the child safely and in a timely fashion. (Amended by P.L. 112-34 which allowed peer-to-peer mentoring and support groups for parents and primary caretakers as allowable.)

- **Adoption Promotion and Support Services (APSS) – 20%** - Services and activities designed to encourage more adoptions out of foster care as well as pre and post-adoptive services and activities.

Services provided through the use of Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) funds include Family Resource Centers and Infant Teams.

**Service/Program Description** - Family Resource Centers (FRC) Services provided by the center address FPSS, FP, TLR and APSS. Centers provide therapeutic intervention services to families to improve safety, reduce risk and to support permanency for children in their homes or
out of home if necessary. There are nine (9) Family Resource Centers contracted to provide services. The current Family Resource Centers are listed below:

1.) Discovery FRC-Southeastern University, Baton Rouge Region  
2.) Renew Family Resource Project-Southeastern University, Covington Region  
3.) Nicholls State University Family Service Center, Thibodaux Region  
4.) The Extra Mile, Lafayette Region  
5.) Educational and Treatment Council, Inc., Lake Charles Region  
6.) Volunteers of America-North Louisiana, Alexandria Region  
7.) Community Support Program-Portals, Shreveport Region  
8.) Project Celebration, Shreveport Region  
9.) Tulane Parenting Education Program, Orleans Region  
10.) Children’s Coalition of Northeast Louisiana, Monroe Region

Each FRC provides services to parishes in their geographic area so that services are available throughout the state. These centers receive referrals from DCFS of families who are involved with the Department due to neglect and abuse of a child. FRC provide three (3) CORE services: Parent Education, Visit Coaching and Family Skills Building. These services are provided through a multi-year contract.

- **Parent Education:** Each FRC is expected to have trained staff to provide parent education and skill building for families with children of all age groups. The following parenting programs have been approved for use by the FRC due to their evidence of effectiveness with the child welfare population but can only be facilitated by persons who have been trained by a qualified trainer: 1) The Nurturing Parenting Program for parents of infants, toddlers, and pre-school children; 2) The Nurturing Parenting Program for parents of children ages 5-11; 3) The Nurturing Parenting Program for parents and their adolescents; 3) Systematic Training for Effective Parenting, STEP including Effective Black Parenting.

- **Visit Coaching:** Primarily targeting children in foster care, but this service benefits in-home families as well. Visit coaching helps the parent take charge of their visits and demonstrate more responsiveness to their child’s needs. For families in the Nurturing Parenting Program (NPP) program, the “family time” component will be expanded to accommodate this service and will serve as that parent’s visit.

- **Family Skills Building:** The Family Skills Building (FSB) service provided through the FRC provides customized support, mentoring, guidance, and teaching on identified needs that are not readily addressed by other services, such as parenting education or visit coaching. FSB targets areas of family skills identified as areas of concern or problem in a family’s functioning. FSB is designed to meet those specifically identified needs. The service is directly related to the safety, risk, and well-being of the child and the parent/caregiver’s ability to provide for these needs and to maintain children in the home. Family Skills Building services are those services focused on targeted skill building and may be facilitated in the client’s home or other designated locations.
Decision making process for Family Support Services - The FRCs was selected as providers through the Request for Proposals (RFP) process. DCFS placed ads requesting that interested parties submit proposals. After the closing date the proposals were reviewed and the agencies/organizations demonstrating the most qualifications aligned with our standards were selected as providers. These programs were expected to be community based and located within the community they were requesting to serve. Housed in one central location within their region, many of the providers have satellite locations that allow them to have a more visible presence.

Population Served - The Family Resource Center provides services to families referred by the Department and the Office of Juvenile Justice Family in Need of Services (FINS) Program. DCFS refers families with children ages 0-17 who are receiving services from the agency as a result of abuse or neglect. The DCFS can refer families involved with CPS, FS, FC, and Adoptions programs. Foster and adoptive parents are able to self-refer when there is a need for services to enhance their skills or stabilize placements. There is emphasis placed on referrals for children ages 0-5 which have been identified at greatest risk for abuse and neglect. The Nurturing Parenting Program has a specialized curriculum to work with children and families in this age group.

Gaps in Services - Transportation continues to be an issue for families accessing services through the FRC. Lack of consistent transportation makes it difficult to engage families in group-based services. This often requires many families to receive individual services in their home disrupting the class based curriculum expected by programs such as Nurturing Parenting Program. The Family Resource Centers are required to assist families in the development of a transportation plan when rendering services.

Considering current capacity building efforts of the Louisiana Family Resource Center Network, the Department’s plan is to address existing gaps in services through networking and building partnerships in communities where children and families live, work, and play. This approach embraces the inclusion of informal and formal supports, with children and families at the core of the building processes.

Program staff along with the FRC Network met the goal of developing service guidelines for each of the core services provided by the resource centers. The service guidelines for visit coaching and the Nurturing Parenting Program have been implemented. These service guidelines will help to guarantee fidelity among the resource centers and help safeguard continuity of service delivery should a family move from one location to another. Program staff and regional liaisons will monitor the services being provided and provide guidance as needed to enhance compliance with the service guidelines.

Tulane Parenting Education Program has continued to provide consultation resources to FRCs across the state. These consultations have occurred twice per month as set in the initial goal. Consultation services included on-going training, support and guidance to FRC staff in implementing parenting programs for clients, including Visit Coaching.

Activities Planned for FFY 2015-2019: A Request for Proposals has been completed and is in the final stages of approval within the department for a functional FRC within the Monroe Region. Following the final approval within the department it will be submitted to the Office of Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
State Purchasing (OSP) for approval and release. It is the expectation that the Monroe region will have access to FRC services by October 1, 2015. In order to fill the gap in services in the region, the department contracted with Tamara Thompson Parent Education Services to provide Family Skill Building and Visit Coaching Services. Community Support Programs Inc. from the Shreveport region provided two Nurturing Parenting Program (NPP) courses in the Monroe region in 2014.

FRC Update FFY 2015: The Department achieved the goal of entering into a contract with Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana (PCAL) to improve and monitor model fidelity, develop a data collection and utilization plan, and implement a peer review process for ongoing evaluation of FRC services. PCAL started compiling data relative to the NPP. The data will be placed into a database for analysis to monitor the effectiveness of the program and its outcomes for families served.

In efforts to reach the goal set for program staff, regional liaisons and FRC staff to collaborate and develop a plan that will improve staff referrals, over the past year the contract monitors have worked with the Family Resource Centers and the regional liaisons to target efforts to increase referrals. With the assistance of regional staff, FRC directors visited local offices and met with staff and administrators to explain the services offered and the benefits to clients, and how the services support the efforts of the DCFS case workers. Additional efforts to increase referrals to the FRC’s have been incorporated into trainings offered throughout the department such as Structured Decision Making Training, Advanced Safety Focused Practice Training and New Worker Orientation and Training. These efforts are projected to increase referrals. The chart below gives the projective goals for referrals and well as the number of referrals received during a Federal Fiscal Year with FFY 2014 serving as the baseline measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Resource Center</th>
<th>Number of Referrals (Baseline FFY 2014)</th>
<th>Goal for # of Referrals FFY 2015</th>
<th>Actual # of Referrals FFY 2015</th>
<th>Goal for # of Referrals FFY 2016</th>
<th>Actual # of Referrals FFY 2016</th>
<th>Goal for # of Referrals FFY 2017</th>
<th>Actual # of Referrals FFY 2017</th>
<th>Goal for # of Referrals FFY 2018</th>
<th>Actual # of Referrals FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>No Center</td>
<td>No Center</td>
<td>No Center</td>
<td>No Center</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>No Center</td>
<td>No Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FRC Update FFY 2016: A Request for Proposals (RFP) was released on October 12, 2015 for the Monroe Region Family Resource Center. The department received proposals from three
agencies. The RFP review team selected the Children’s Coalition for Northeast Louisiana to provide resource center services for Region 9.

Contract monitors along with regional liaisons have continued to work with the resource centers as well as the local office staff to increase referrals. Overall the centers saw less than a 1% increase in the number of referrals for FFY 2015. While several centers saw significant increase in their number of referrals some centers declined. Continued efforts across regions will aim to increase referrals across all regions. Some of the efforts utilized to increase these efforts include monthly calls, increased presence in the local offices by FRC staff, as well monitoring and reinforcement of appropriate referrals by regional liaisons.

Several centers began piloting the fidelity measures for Visit Coaching and Family Skill Building. All centers began using the tools March 1, 2016. During quarterly meeting in July 2016 DCFS will begin collecting data and looking at the tools to determine the need for changes or updates and whether the tools are useful. Changes and updates will be made as needed.

Continued work is being done to develop the resource center peer review process. Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana (PCAL) currently has a contract to lead the peer review process. Efforts were made to begin pairing sites and visiting sites to initiate the first peer review. These efforts were halted when it was determined that additional work was needed to prepare sites for the peer review process. Along with the assistance of department staff, PCAL is working to choose the most appropriate process that will meet the needs of the department as well as the resource centers. Ensuring that the most appropriate data is collected is the top priority of the peer review process.

**FRC Update FFY 2017:** Family Resource Center (FRC) services are available in all regions of the state. During previous reporting periods, FRC services were unavailable for the Monroe Region of North Louisiana. A contract was entered into between the Louisiana DCFS and the Children’s Coalition of Northwest Louisiana during the FFY 2015. The Department did not increase the number of referrals by 10% in FFY 2017 due to a reduction in the number of staff referrals to some FRCs. However, FRC, state office and regional liaisons will continue efforts to improve the partnership between local offices and FRC staff. Efforts are underway to improve the FRC Service Array to include additional evidence-based parent education programs, as well as services to support families of substance exposed newborns. FRC staff continues to review fidelity measure for Family Skill Building and Visit Coaching sessions. FRC teams convene meetings to review standards and expectations of these services. Family assessment tools, pre and post-test material and information are under review. Workgroups include staff from DCFS and Child Welfare Family Resources Centers (CWFRC), continue actions to enhance practice and service delivery. Skill development workshops and activities continue, as well as, FRC staff consultation with clinical staff of the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP). Statewide FRC staff has reviewed models and peer review processes in an effort to ensure quality practice and continuity of care for families served by child welfare FRC staff.

CWFRC staff continues to review and examine fidelity measure for Family Skill Building and Visit Coaching services. FRC teams convene meetings to review standards and expectations for service delivery. Family Assessment tools, pre and post-test instruments and processes are under review. Workgroups including staff of the DCFS and CWFRC continue actions to enhance
practice and service delivery. Skill development workshops and related activities continue, as well as, Family Resource Center staff consultation with staff of the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP).

FRC staff has reviewed models and examined peer review processes, with assistance from FRC Trainer/Consultant. FRC staff will continue to review and develop processes to ensure quality practice and continuity of care for families served by CWFRC staff.

**FRC Activities Planned in FFY 2018:** DCFS Program staff will support the efforts of the CWFRC’s staff statewide to expand the service array to include the following:

- Additional evidence-based parent education programs and support services;
- Efforts toward prevention of domestic violence;
- Services for families of substance exposed newborns;
- Support services for families involved in substance use and/or behavioral health treatment;
- Improved data collection and continued focus on quality and outcome measures;
- FRC staff continues to review fidelity measures for Family Skill Building and Visit Coaching sessions. FRC teams convene meetings to review standards and expectations of these services. Family assessment tools, pre and post-test material and information are under review. Workgroups include staff from DCFS and CWFRC, continue actions to enhance practice and service delivery. Skill development workshops and activities continue, as well as, FRC staff consultation with clinical staff of the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP).
- FRC staff continues to review fidelity measures for Family Skill Building and Visit Coaching sessions. FRC teams will continue to convene meetings to review standards and expectations of these services.
- Family assessment tools, pre and post-test material and information will continue to be reviewed.
- Workgroups including staff from DCFS and CWFRC will continue actions to enhance practice and service delivery.
- Skill development workshops and activities will continue, as well as, FRC staff consultation with clinical staff of the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP).

**Service/Program Description:** Infant Team Services address FPSS, FP, TLR services. Infant mental health services are provided by three infant teams in the state. The infant teams provide comprehensive services to children, ages 0-60 months whose families are involved with DCFS due to maltreatment or who have been prenatally exposed to drugs or alcohol. Comprehensive assessments include: intake assessment, psychosocial assessment of caregiver and child, infant mental health assessments, developmental evaluation, neurodevelopmental evaluation and school/daycare observations. The infant mental health assessment includes a variety of evidence based assessments that are used to assess the status of the caregiver-child relationship. These assessments include several different interaction assessments, parent perception interviews, parental insightfulness interviews, and projective play methodologies for the children. Every child-caregiver dyad is asked to complete an interaction assessment and parent perception interview.
Completed assessments are used to guide the provision of treatment services by the infant team as well as referrals for developmental services. The infant teams provide therapy for the caregiver, often with the child, in order to improve the overall health of their relationship by increasing the caregiver’s ability to appropriately respond to the child’s needs. Sometimes the services provided include school/daycare intervention, group therapy, case conferences, and participation with DCFS case planning conferences, court reports and court testimony.

**Population served:** The target population is children age 0-60 months that have experienced maltreatment in their families. There are three infant teams in the state. The team in New Orleans receives referrals from the 0-3 Court Team Program when children are placed in foster care as well as children 0-5 from additional courts in Orleans Parish when children are placed in foster care. The Jefferson infant team receives referrals for children 0-5 who enter foster care in that parish and also serve children from St. Bernard, Orleans and Plaquemines Parishes by arrangement. One of the goals of these teams is to assist the Department in developing a treatment plan aimed at achieving permanency as quickly as possible. The infant team in Baton Rouge (the Infant Child and Family Center – ICFC) serves clients in Baton Rouge and the surrounding parishes including Ascension, East and West Baton Rouge, East and West Feliciana, Iberville, and Pointe Coupee. Services are provided to children and their families who are either involved with DCFS due to maltreatment or who have been prenatally exposed to drugs or alcohol.

**Gaps in Services:** With the exception of the limited number of children served in the Screening Assessment Referral and Treatment (SART) program at the Infant Child and Family Center in Baton Rouge, most children and families do not have access to specialized infant team services. A few very young victims of abuse and neglect coming into foster care in other parishes may access specialized infant mental health services through the Early Childhood Supports and Services program, but the vast majority are not receiving specialized assessment and treatment services since infant teams are only located in the southern part of the state.

**Infant Team Update FFY 2015:** In keeping with the goals initially set, Tulane Infant Team was incorporated into the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP). Tier II services, including assessment and intervention, are provided to families identified as needing additional services that are referred. These families are not limited to those having children from 0-5 although many of these families do have children within this age group. The Tulane team and Orleans Infant Team leaders met, coordinated service referrals and outline a plan for the Orleans region staff. The plan included information informing Orleans staff when to refer families to the T-PEP and when services would be provided by the Orleans Infant Team. The Baton Rouge Team continues to serve families in both Family Services and Foster Care. The chart bellows shows the numbers of families served. For additional information on the Infants teams please refer to the section for services for children under age 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infant Team</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2014</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2015</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2016</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2017</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tulane Parent Education Program</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Child and Family</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
### Infant Team Update FFY 2016:

**Baton Rouge Infant Team** - (Infant Child and Family Services-ICFC): In SFY 2014-2015 the infant team worked with 99 children, representing 76 families. The ICFC team also worked collaboratively with a variety of systems affecting the lives of infants and toddlers, including child welfare, legal, educational, health care and mental health care systems. In the goal to reduce the chance of further maltreatment, they provided services to improve developmental trajectory of children and strengthen child/caregiver relationships. Louisiana policy in the Baton Rouge region requires that all children under the age of 6 years who are involved with DCFS, including all substance exposed newborns be referred to ICFC. Families involved with the Family Services Program where children are in home are also referred to ICFC if they have children who are 0-5. This program is funded by an alternate funding source (TANF).

**Orleans Infant Team** - (services provided through Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center). In SFY 2014-2015 the infant team worked with 43 children, representing 41 families.

- 25 parents participated in the evaluation process
- 17 parents participated in some treatment
- 53 additional adults worked with the team as relative or non-relative potential caregivers; for 38 of these children, a permanent placement was achieved
- 22 children were reunified with at least one of their biological parents
- 12 children were adopted by relatives
- 4 were adopted by non-relatives
- 20 children remain open cases where permanency has not yet been achieved but recommendations have been made to the courts or agency

**Tulane Infant Team** - In SFY 2014-2015 the Tulane infant Team is no longer a standalone program but has been incorporated and included in the Tulane Parent Education Program as a Family Resource center. Through T-PEP, families receive a variety of services including assessments and services for children ages 0-5.

### Infant Team Update FFY 2017:

**Baton Rouge Infant Team** – (Infant Child and Family Center-ICFC): In SFY 2015-2016, the infant team worked with 62 children and their families. The ICFC received 36 new referrals, and continued to offer services to approximately 26 children referred during the previous fiscal year. Fifty six of these children received services weekly, and the remainder received services 2-3 times monthly. Services were provided to the children, biological parents, foster parents, and relative caregivers.

---

**Infant Team Numbers Served SFY 2014-2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infant Team</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2014</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2015</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2016</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2017</th>
<th>Numbers Served SFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Infant Team</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tulane Parent Education Center numbers are not available separately for this age group as these numbers are included in numbers served by the FRCs**
Early during the fiscal year, the ICFC discontinued referrals from the community and focused on referrals received from the Department of Children and Family Services. The ICFC experienced staff shortages which resulted in difficulty providing services to all families requesting services. The Infant Child and Family Center spent much of the fiscal year seeking trained Infant Mental Health clinicians to provide services to families. Due to ICFC staffing issues, infant mental health services were discontinued early during the fiscal year. Capital Area Human Services District wishes to reinstate infant mental health services when the program has been re-staffed.

**Orleans Infant Team** (services are provided through the Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center). In SFY 2015-2016, 34 children were served and 31 parents.

- 17 biological parents participated in the evaluation process
- 14 parents participated in some treatment sessions
- 5 parents were unknown, and 6 parents were deceased
- 13 parents were incarcerated during some time periods
- 35 relative/non-relative potential caregivers worked with team
- 11 children were reunited with at least one biological parent
- 5 children were adopted by relatives, with others in process
- 4 children are adopted by non-relative foster parents

**Tulane Infant Team** - In SFY 2015-2016: Tulane Infant Mental Health services continue to be provided by the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP). The program offers intensive intervention for infants and young children, between the ages of birth and 60 months, who have experienced some form of maltreatment. Referrals to the team are received from staff of the Department of Children and Family Services. The team works in collaboration with community based entities to provide assessment and treatment for this young, at risk population. The number of children receiving infant mental health services through the Tulane Parent Education Program, has been included the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP) data.

**Update FFY 2017:**

**Baton Rouge Infant Team** - (Infant, Children, and Family Center or ICFC): Services were discontinued during the SFY 2016. The contractual agreement between the LA DCFS and the Infant, Children, and Family Center terminated as of June 30, 2016. The Infant Mental Health service provider did not enter into an additional agreement with the LA DCFS to continue the provision of infant mental health services for infants and children. Services to infants and children continue to be available through the CWFRC, Early Steps Program, and Maternal Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program (MIECHV) through the LDH. Interagency efforts continue to improve referral processes and data sharing within departments and child serving agencies.

The LSU Health Sciences Center (LSUHSC) **Orleans Parish Infant Team** will continue to provide infant team services for children ages 0-5 years old in the Orleans Region. The provider continues to offer ongoing treatment services to children and their parents/caregivers. Caregivers may include biological parents, foster parents, or any biological relatives involved in seeking custody of the child based on their availability. Agency case planning outcomes for children and families referred for services vary as some of the children were successfully
reunited with biological parents or adopted by a relative. Other outcomes include termination of parental rights or surrender of the child by the parent. The Orleans infant team members have worked in collaboration with the agency in providing monthly court reports and participating in monthly case staffing(s) in the provision of specialized infant mental health services for children ages 0-3.

**Activities Planned in FFY 2018:**

- Continue efforts to improve data collection for reporting of services provided to children ages 0-5 and parents/caregivers, by staff of the Orleans Infant Team;
- Continue efforts toward capturing outcomes data, for services provided to children ages 0-5, by staff of the Tulane Parent Education Program (T-PEP);
- Continue to work collaboratively with the Tulane Parent Education Center to coordinate services for families served by the DCFS;
- Emphasis will be placed on improving data collection, data analysis, and service outcomes for future planning;
- Work collaboratively with providers of infant mental health services toward achieving the goal of improved data collection and reporting.
TRAINING PLAN: The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) supports staff development and provides training that supports the goals and objectives of the 2015-2019 Child and Family Services Plan (CFSP). The training and staff development plan addresses Title IV-B programs and Title IV-E requirements and other training needs, objectives, and initiatives that reflect the ever changing nature of staff training and development. The training plan is based on providing legally required training, feedback and input from staff, university partners, foster parents, adoptive parents, and other stakeholders.

DCFS, in partnership with the Universities Alliance and the Pelican Center (PC), has established the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA). While the work in strengthening the LCWTA continues, the academy is working to provide comprehensive and consistent education and training to departmental staff and other key child welfare stakeholders including judges, attorneys, Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), and foster parents.

This training plan is supported by the use of child welfare trainers, university partners and other stakeholders. The Department utilizes Titles IV-E and IV-B funding and Title XX-Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) funds for allowable training and administrative costs. The non-federal match includes state general funds provided by DCFS and the Universities Alliance and general fund supported costs of trainers and trainees provided by public agencies other than DCFS. Full implementation of this plan is contingent upon funding and resources.

Training Needs Assessment: A comprehensive training needs assessment was conducted. This needs assessment encompassed input from all levels of staff and stakeholders. In addition, Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) case review data, aggregate data measures, and targeted case reviews, as needed, contributed to the assessment of training needs. Building instructional design skills of internal staff was found to be an area in need of improvement. DCFS contracted with Langevin Learning Systems to provide training on the Langevin Instructional Design model. DCFS staff identified for the development of training in all DCFS programs and stakeholders identified as key partners in the LCWTA participated in the week long training. In October, 2014, a second training was held on the Langevin Instructional Design model for the Child Welfare Training unit and others identified as having responsibility for developing training.

The Department adopted various definitions and principles about training and professional development through new knowledge gathered from the trainings. These principles shaped the training needs analysis conducted. The following are key concepts from this model:

- **Training** prepares a person to do a specific job; the person leaves training able to immediately begin to apply the knowledge and skills learned (ex. How to complete a safety assessment; How to write behaviorally specific case plans; and planning meaningful visits between parents and their children in foster care).

- **Education** is information presented from a broader perspective; it contributes to one’s overall knowledge but is not expected to necessarily result in immediate application on the job (ex. Understanding the dynamics of domestic violence; Core concepts of child trauma; and the effects of commonly abused drugs).
Professional development refers to on-the-job training (ex. coaching, mentoring, and various forms of supervision such as task supervision, reflective supervision, or supervision for licensure).

Training, education, and professional development opportunities should always be considered in the following instances:

- Someone is preparing for or is new to a job;
- There is a new policy, area of focus, or practice expectation (new law, initiative, etc.);
- There is a gap in performance determined to be a result of lack of knowledge or skill.

The decision to offer training, education, or professional development – or a combination of all three – should be carefully considered, and based on the expected outcome.

DCFS is committed to assuring that employees and foster parents are well prepared to work in a competent manner; therefore, a combination of training, education, and professional development opportunities will be provided. LCWTA will develop a comprehensive catalog of courses and instructional material. The initial work to develop a comprehensive catalog began in FFY 2015. Due to change in staff, this activity is not complete. The LCWTA will continue to work on developing a comprehensive course catalog with a goal of completing the initial catalog by January 2017. At this time, all training opportunities are announced and made available to DCFS Child Welfare staff and community partners through email announcements, the DCFS Intranet Calendar, CLARO site, and the LCWTA website. LCWTA has worked with university partners and the PC for Children and Families to offer exceptional learning opportunities such as job aids, individual courses, specialty certifications and master’s degrees. Ultimately, job specific competency assessments will be constructed that will guide staff and foster parents in the development of an individualized professional development plan. Individual development plans based on job specific competency assessments are utilized and have been developed for new supervisors that participated in the Child Welfare Supervisors Certification and Professional Development Program in April 2015 and January 2016. At this time approximately 44 Supervisors have professional development plans based on a competency based supervisory assessment. The Department’s investment in staff and foster parents is expected to result in high levels of competency, job satisfaction and retention in Child Welfare (CW).

The training, education, and professional development needs assessment will be informed by:

- Human Resources and performance data including: new hires, CQI case reviews and case crisis reviews;
- Consultations with CW Programs and Operations leaders regarding new initiatives, laws, and areas of focus;
- Input solicited from field staff and foster parents on topics where education, training and professional development is needed.

Applying the above guidelines, the LCWTA will focus its efforts as follows:

- Someone new to a job: Front line workers (New worker training) – Year 2
Supervisors (12 Month Training and Professional Development Plan) – Year 1
Foster parents (3 year post-certification prescribed training plan) – Year 2

A new policy, area of focus, or practice expectation:
Advanced Safety (safety planning) – Year 1
Permanency Planning – Year 1
Case Planning for Youth in Transition – Year 1
Assessment and Case Planning through a Trauma Lens – Year 2

Identify key performance measures. (System to individual level)
Expected performance – actual performance = performance gap

### COMMON CAUSES FOR A PERFORMANCE GAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of clear standards/expectations</td>
<td>Vision/mission/values/policies; communication plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions (tools, equipment, resources)</td>
<td>Assure essential conditions exist to meet expected performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards not being measured (accurately)</td>
<td>Clear definitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal capacity (physical/mental)</td>
<td>Update job requirements/testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of motivation</td>
<td>PES planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of targeted feedback</td>
<td>Implement policies and expectation that incorporate formal/informal, frequent, timely, and targeted feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of knowledge or skills</td>
<td>TRAINING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key performance measures indicating training may be needed:
- Repeat maltreatment rate;
- Percent of alleged victims who were victims within the previous 6 months;
- Percent of children achieving permanency within federal timelines;
- Re-entry into foster care.

**Data from CQI reviews:**
- Safety assessment (Present, Impending Danger; Sufficient info in circumstances surrounding the maltreatment, and adult functioning; Accurate and timely completion of Structured Decision Making (SDM®))
- Sufficient efforts towards permanency
- Involvement of parents/caretakers in case planning
- Assessment of child needs and appropriate services to meet needs

**Data from case crisis reviews:**
1. Safety Assessment completed accurately
2. SDM® risk assessment completed accurately
3. Assessment of family functioning captures relevant, sufficient information on diminished parental protective capacities, and case plan is relevant to enhancing those capacities.
Training Needs Assessment/Implementation of Findings Update FFY 2016: The training needs assessment and resulting plan compiled in FFY 2015 continued to provide the framework for course offerings. In addition to those courses planned for FFY 2016, various trainings were developed based on case review data, input of staff and stakeholders and department initiatives. The Department continued to explore a greater use of online training.

Training Needs Assessment/Implementation of Findings Update FFY 2017: The Training Needs Assessment continues to assist the LCWTA and DCFS CW Training in determining training needs of staff. During the 2016, calendar year the following trainings were developed and implemented to DCFS CW staff and community partners to address the needs identified in the needs assessment and plan compiled in FFY 2015:

- Building Communication Skills: Child Victims with Disabilities
- Child Welfare Supervisors Certification Program and Support Group
- Practicing Permanency Certification Program
- Working with Youth Transitioning from Foster Care
- Title IV-E Stipend Support Group and Professional Development
- Reaching Higher: Increasing Competency in Practice with LGBTQ Youth in the Child Welfare System
- Motivational Interviewing
- Understanding Girls: A Trauma Informed Perspective. Changing The Way We Think And Talk About Girls
- Title IV-E Stipend Intern Orientation and Supervisors Workshop

During this the LCWTA contracted with the Louisiana Chapter of the National Association of Social Workers (NASW-LA) to provide DCFS staff ongoing training opportunities to assist with Professional Development and provide staff assistance in preparing for the Social Work License Examinations.

The orientation for new CW trainees and specialist was revised in January 2016, and again in January 2017. The revisions were made based on focused groups held in FFY 2016, that included DCFS direct practice staff, supervisors, managers, directors, legal, University Alliance members, and other stakeholders. Recommendations included restructuring training to teach more programs specific job duties in the first week of Orientation and provided staff with more structured activities to practice in the classroom setting to better prepare them for duties once they return to the office.

During FFY 2017, DCFS CW Training and Home Development replaced MAPP/GPS Pre-Service Training with Quality Parenting Pre-Service Training: A Journey Home. Updates to this curriculum include: helping children with grief, attachment, birth family and separation issues; discipline and helping families assess the impact of foster in their families; trauma, including an overview of Trust Based Relational Interventions (TBRI); effective partnerships; and normalcy.

In FFY 2017, DCFS Training completed a supervisory training needs assessment. The purpose of this assessment was for DCFS directors, managers, and supervisors to provide input regarding training needed to enhance their skills and knowledge and to effectively meet the goals of DCFS.
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Of the 308 participants 107 (34.74%) participants identified that they work in CW. Based on the assessment CW Supervisors identified the following as their top 5 training needs:

1. Self-Care
2. Understanding TIPS
3. CAFÉ
4. Engaging the Unwilling/Difficult Client
5. Developing Safety Plans

Activities Planned in FFY 2018: Information provided in the training needs assessment and plan compiled in FFY 2015, will continue to be used to provide the framework for course offerings. Additional trainings will be developed based on case review data, input of staff, and stakeholders and department initiatives.

Statewide Training - Staff is offered various training opportunities throughout the year and the Department provides a competency-based CW curricula. A twenty-four (24) week new worker training model was implemented, which encompasses basic and specialized training content. This training is offered four to six times per year depending on the need. Other opportunities for training are through conference participation allowing staff to collaborate with other service providers. The CW curricula for new workers training was provided 14 times during the year and was provided to 246 new DCFS employees. CW Training included conference participations and local trainings offered to staff within their own region.

Update FFY 2015: The LCWTA assumed responsibility for all in-service trainings provided by DCFS Child Welfare. The LCWTA in collaboration with the Court Improvement Project (CIP), CASA, community and university partners continue to develop training for new Child Welfare Supervisors, Permanency Workers, and Child Protection Workers in regards to Safety Focused Practice (SFP).

Statewide Training Update FFY 2016: In January 2016, the CW Training Unit, under the leadership of the LCWTA, began working on additional updates to the 24-week new worker training model based on recommendations of the New Worker Orientation (NWO) workgroup made up of local office staff, new workers, program staff, and university partners. The new model will include competency-based CW curricula that will include more activities to better prepare new child welfare staff for their positions within DCFS. The new curriculum will include simulation activities and well as additional activities to ensure workers are “job ready”.

Update FFY 2017: Updates were finalized to in-class sessions of New CW Worker Orientation in June 2016. In July 2016, it was determined that additional updates needed to be made to the in-class trainings and development begin to revise the 24 week new worker training model to reflect these changes and ensure new child welfare workers are job ready. At this time new child welfare trainees and specialist level staff attend three weeks of in-class trainings sessions once hired by DCFS. New staff complete four weeks of structured activities while at their worksite with their direct supervisor. New worker are required to attend several other in-class or computer based trainings within their first year of employment. Please see the attached draft of the Child Welfare Training Curriculum.

Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
Title IV-E Stipend Recipients/Interns and staff hired to work in the Social Services Section of Federally Recognized Tribes are encouraged to attend and actively participate in all trainings provided through CW Worker Orientation.

The LCWTA has completed policy in regards to Training Credit, Educational Leave, Agency Related Continuing Education, Tuition Reimbursement, Clinical Supervision, and Internships. Updates were based on requirements of the Louisiana Board of Social Work Education (LABSWE) and current legislations and laws.

The LCWTA is currently working with the Institute for Families at the Rutgers School of Social Work to develop the first module of a multi module training series on substance use and co-occurring disorders in child welfare. LCWTA is also working with the Institute for Families to develop a network of trainers in Louisiana to provide the training.

A new worker orientation and on-the-job training program was piloted in the Baton Rouge (BR) Region in November 2016 for Child Protective Service (CPS) staff. The New Worker On-The-Job Training pilot started as a result of an ongoing high rate of turnover of new staff resulting in an unstable workforce. This was negatively impacting office work and morale in several ways, including:

- Supervisors assigned cases to handle, thereby impacting their ability to focus on training and supervision of staff
- Supervisors having to constantly train new staff
- Backlog of incomplete cases being reassigned to new employees

Based on these issues, the initial goal was focused on getting new employees hired quickly, providing on-the-job training and stabilizing the workforce.

This on–the-job training model is a “hands on” approach with new employees. While new workers are provided with the same training material that is received in the traditional, classroom training, the approach is very different. Employees are trained in small groups and this allows the trainer to cover classroom material in half of the time. Classroom training is coordinated with field shadowing of seasoned employees and trainer facilitated debriefings. This allows new employees the benefit of applying what they have learned in the classroom to real case situations.

The training process with each new employee is designed to span a period of 12 weeks; however, new employees are not assigned a full caseload and are only allowed to receive 7 cases before they have reached 24 weeks of employment. This case assigned process follows the traditional NWO training model. The caseload standard for CPS workers is the assignment of an average of 10 cases but not more than 20 new investigations per calendar month. During periods of staff shortages or increases in the number of reports, staff may be assigned more than an average of 10 new reports. While an increase of 3 cases is not what is proving difficult for new employees in CPS, it is the actual caseload size which can sometimes go as high as 20 cases per worker each month. As part of the BR pilot, departmental staff will assess the feasibility of a gradual increase in cases for new workers once they reach 24 weeks of employment.
At 12 weeks the trainee transitions to the local office supervisor. The field training unit continues to provide support to the trainee and local office supervisor through the first 24 weeks of employment when the worker moves to a full caseload. The training covers all aspects of the job including: handling a case from beginning to end; observing and participating in court proceedings; completing documentation in systems (ACCESS, FATS, SDM, etc.); transferring a case to another program (i.e. from CPS to FS or FS to FC); and participating in multidisciplinary staffings. The goal is to expand the program in the BR Region to the Family Services (FS) and Foster Care (FC) Programs within this FFY. To date, there have been 5 training cohorts and a total of 14 employees have been trained through the pilot. Over the six months since the program began, there have been several cohorts in process at one time at various stages of training. All employees have been retained except 2 whose employment was terminated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Number of Participants</th>
<th>Program Start Month</th>
<th>Employees Retained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>1 (1 employee terminated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>5 (1 employee terminated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program development is ongoing and feedback has been gathered from participants and supervisors regarding the pilot. Thus far issues such as group size and transitional barriers have been identified. In February 4, new employees in the BR Region were identified for training as were 2 additional employees from the Monroe Region as they were experiencing a significant shortage of workers. They were trained with Cohort 3 since they had been completing job shadowing in the local office. They had adequate knowledge to report to BR and participate in the classroom training plus two additional weeks of on-the-job training with the Pilot Training Unit. Cohort 3 proved to be a challenge as the number of participants was too large. Feedback provided in a roundtable discussion with the new worker group was that the participants in Cohort 3 did not feel they were able to get the one on one attention needed. Trainers also believed this was a challenge. A decision was made to limit group size to a maximum of 4 participants. An additional challenge has been that employees are hired sporadically presenting a challenge to get them on track with the 12 week program in cohorts. Leadership in the region is working with human resources on coordination of onboarding of employees. Transitioning the trainee to the local office supervisor has presented barriers. The most obvious is the trainee becomes accustomed to the supervisory style in the training unit and the support provided. Additionally, it became apparent the local office supervisors had different levels of expectation of the knowledge/skill levels of the trainees. This information was gathered by meeting with the workers and supervisors separately.

**Activities Planned in FFY 2018:**

- Develop plans for rollout of the NWO pilot in the BR Region CPS Program to the FS and FC programs in the BR Region.
- For the NWO pilot, develop procedures for providing clear information regarding communication and roles and provide an opportunity for the field training staff to learn local office procedures. (NOTE: Training staff includes the local office supervisors in
group meetings to provide informational briefings on local procedures. This process helps facilitate a team approach to training of new employees.)

- An evaluation process for the pilot will be implemented. The Caseload Assignment Readiness Tool (CART) will be used throughout the process to evaluate the progress of the trainee and readiness for independent casework. This will involve the field training supervisor and the local office supervisor regarding the progression of the trainee. An evaluation form has been developed for the trainee and local office supervisor to complete at the 24 week mark. The goal is to gather information after the trainee has been working under the supervision of the local office supervisor for 12 weeks post transition.

- Continue to expand and refine child welfare course offerings to all staff, including new substance use and child welfare course.

**Regional Training** - Social Work requirements, worker safety, supervisory training and other local training as determined by management and/or legal mandates, is supported by university partners, providers, other state departments and/or training staff.

**Update FFY 2015**: All DCFS staff regardless of their program was offered trainings. Staff was provided opportunities to attend trainings by contacting the CW Training unit through their Regional Trainer. Regional Trainers provided CW staff information regarding upcoming trainings provide by community partners in their local areas.

**Regional Training Update FFY 2016:**

1. The “Road to Success” classroom training provided by the Regional Trainers was provided to 248 DCFS staff between May 2015 and December 2015. This training was provided in 47 sessions.
2. The two-day instructor lead training for CW Supervisors was provided to all Child Welfare Supervisors in FFY 2016.
3. The following quarterly supervisors training were provided in FFY 2016:
   - Leading, Motivating and Supporting Employees – October 1, through December 31, 2015
   - Tools for Effective Supervision – February 18, through 19, 2016
   - Tools for Effective Supervision – February 25, through 26, 2016
   - DCFS Fraud Policy 2016 – January 1, through March 31, 2016
   - Leadership, Mentoring and Coaching – April 1, through July 31, 2016

**Regional Training Update FFY 2017**: The following is a list of trainings provided by the Regional Trainer for the calendar year 2016.

- *The “Road to Success”* is instructor lead training provided by the DCFS Regional Trainers. During calendar year 2016, DCFS Regional Trainers provided 36 sessions to 208 newly hired Child Welfare employees.
- *Leading, Motivating and Supporting Employees* is an on-line training for supervisors. During calendar year 2016, 12 Child Welfare Supervisors completed this training.
- *Tools for Effective Supervision* is instructor lead training provided to Supervisors by DCFS Regional Trainers. This training was offered to 29 Supervisors from January 2016, through February 2016.
**Regional Training Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** There are no new activities planned at this time. The regional trainers will continue to provide DCFS orientation training, The Road to Success, to all newly hired DCFS employees. The DCFS Regional Training Unit will continue to give ongoing support to all DCFS programs and offices by providing assistance with setting up instructor-led and on-line training courses, quizzes, audio files, tracking training, uploading attendance rosters, filing course evaluations, course descriptions, and staff certificates in the DCFS Learning Management System, MOODLE. The DCFS Regional Training Unit will continue to provide technical assistance in MOODLE as needed to all DCFS staff. Additionally, The DCFS Regional Training Unit will continue to set up and update user profiles of all DCFS Foster Parents and track their training in MOODLE.

The DCFS Training Section continues to not receive information on new training courses in a timely and informative manner. The delays in getting the necessary information for setting up courses create delays in entering staff sign in sheets. This results in the staff transcripts and the Monthly Training Hours Reports not being fully populated for staff regularly.

**Training and Staff Development:**

**Use of Technology to Implement the Training Plan:** The Department utilizes a number of resources to support training and staff development. They include a learning management system, webinars, video conferencing and teleconferencing.

1. **MOODLE** - The Modular Object-Oriented Dynamic Learning Environment (MOODLE) is the Department’s Learning Management System (LMS). The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) will continue to work with IT staff, and consultants to utilize MOODLE for the development, publishing, posting, and tracking of web-based training. Additional enhancements will be made to MOODLE based on the Department’s needs, funding and resources. Efforts to increase user proficiency with the tool and to ensure that this system is fully supported within the context of the Department’s vision and the Transformation Project will continue.

2. **Web-Based Training** - In order to effectively meet the demands and needs of the Department for the developing, publishing, and tracking of computer based training, DCFS coordinates and collaborates with the appropriate staff to develop computer-based courses to supplement classroom training.

3. **Video Conferencing** - DCFS staff participate in video teleconferences sponsored by national leaders in child welfare such as the National Child Advocacy Center.

4. **Webinars and Teleconferences** - The Department utilizes the WebEx format and teleconferences to support the transfer of learning and enhance the learning experience.
**Methods to Measure/Outcome Measures:** Trainers are required to complete and submit an evaluation summary after every training session along with submitting the individual trainees’ training evaluations. Feedback is utilized to make revisions in the core curriculum and other training courses to address specific or additional training needs.

The Department is exploring the ability to obtain and provide evaluation information regarding all courses and sessions through MOODLE. The ability to capture detailed information is being explored and the process will be obtained by the Department pending availability of resources and technical support.

In SFY 2015, the LCWTA developed a training evaluation based on research and the need to capture more information related to course content. The LCWTA decided to utilize a similar evaluation used by the New Jersey Child Welfare Training Academy. This evaluation, although similar to the DCFS evaluation, addresses the trainers’ ability to engage participants, demonstrate knowledge, skills, and practical experience and answer questions. The evaluation also includes questions on the content presented, activities, and addresses if the training is appropriate and beneficial to CW practice. The DCFS evaluation address presentation of information, overall knowledge of the participant, training content, and offers participants the opportunity to make suggested improvements to the training. The focus on content is very important as the LCWTA moves forward to developing more training and ensures participants obtains skills needed to preform and grow as child welfare professionals.

In March 2015, the LCWTA contracted with Louisiana State University (LSU) to provide assistance in measuring the results of training evaluations and pre and post-test results. A graduate student was assigned by LSU to work with DCFS to show the average pre and post-test scores, improvement of the overall class as it relates to pre/post test scores, and the percentage of student that scored under the 80% standard for achieving competency in each course. The results of the pre and post-test and evaluations of trainings held in 2015 are listed below (Please note the information below was calculated by a graduate student at LSU. The student graduated in December 2015, and was unable to complete all information for the year):

**New Worker Orientation Groups 2-8 (February through October 2015)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session</td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>Group 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>99</strong></td>
<td><strong>92</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Participants, All Groups: N =677**

**Overall Participant Evaluation (All Sessions Combined, n=668)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Not at All (1)</th>
<th>Less than Expected (2)</th>
<th>Average (3)</th>
<th>More than Average (4)</th>
<th>Absolutely (5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The trainer was able to engage the participants.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>0.6% n = 4</td>
<td>8.8% n = 59</td>
<td>16.2% n = 108</td>
<td>74.4% n = 497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The trainer demonstrated expertise related to the training topic</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>6.6% n = 44</td>
<td>15.1% n = 101</td>
<td>78.3% n = 523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>through his/her knowledge, skills and practice experience.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The trainer was able to answer participants’ questions.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>0.1% n = 1</td>
<td>5.2% n = 35</td>
<td>13.5% n = 90</td>
<td>81.1% n = 542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The content of the material presented provided me with knowledge/skills</td>
<td>0.1% n = 1</td>
<td>1.0% n = 7</td>
<td>10.9% n = 73</td>
<td>13.9% n = 93</td>
<td>74.0% n = 494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>needed to meet my responsibilities in this area of work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructional materials (manual, etc.) were helpful to building</td>
<td>0.1% n = 1</td>
<td>0.7% n = 5</td>
<td>10.8% n = 72</td>
<td>19.9% n = 133</td>
<td>68.4% n = 457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge and skill in this topic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The activities (small group exercises, etc.) were helpful to building</td>
<td>0.4% n = 3</td>
<td>0.3% n = 2</td>
<td>9.7% n = 65</td>
<td>18.4% n = 123</td>
<td>71.0% n = 474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge and skill in this topic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The timing of this training was appropriate for my level of experience.</td>
<td>0.7% n = 5</td>
<td>2.2% n = 15</td>
<td>12.0% n = 80</td>
<td>14.1% n = 94</td>
<td>71.0% n = 474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ultimately, children and families will benefit from knowledge and</td>
<td>0.1% n = 1</td>
<td>0.1% n = 1</td>
<td>9.4% n = 63</td>
<td>17.4% n = 116</td>
<td>87.6% n = 485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skills participants’ gained during this training.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Overall, the training was a useful experience.</td>
<td>0.1% n = 1</td>
<td>0.7% n = 5</td>
<td>8.8% n = 59</td>
<td>16.9% n = 113</td>
<td>73.4% n = 490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following information was completed by a student worker at Southeastern Louisiana University (SLU) in March 2015, and is a continuation of the information gathered by LSU.

New Worker Orientation Groups 2-8 (February through October 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Group 9</th>
<th>Group 10</th>
<th>Group 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total participants, all groups: N= 70

Overall Participant Evaluation (All Sessions Combined, N = 267):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Not at All (1)</th>
<th>Less than expected (2)</th>
<th>Average (3)</th>
<th>More than average (4)</th>
<th>Absolutely (5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The trainer was able to engage the participants.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>1.1% n = 3</td>
<td>8.2% n = 22</td>
<td>17.6% n = 47</td>
<td>73.0% n = 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Not at All (1)</td>
<td>Less than expected (2)</td>
<td>Average (3)</td>
<td>More than average (4)</td>
<td>Absolutely (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The trainer demonstrated expertise related to the training topic through his/her knowledge, skills and practice experience.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>0.7% n = 2</td>
<td>3.0% n = 8</td>
<td>17.2% n = 46</td>
<td>79.0% n = 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The trainer was able to answer participant’s questions.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>4.5% n = 12</td>
<td>16.1% n = 43</td>
<td>79.4% n = 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The content of the material presented provided me with knowledge/skills needed to meet my responsibilities in this area of work.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>1.9% n = 5</td>
<td>13.5% n = 36</td>
<td>17.6% n = 47</td>
<td>67.0% n = 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructional materials (manual, etc.) were helpful to building knowledge and skill in this topic.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>1.5% n = 4</td>
<td>11.6% n = 31</td>
<td>18.0% n = 48</td>
<td>68.9% n = 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The activities (small group exercises, etc.) were helpful to building knowledge and skill in this topic.</td>
<td>0.0% n = 0</td>
<td>2.2% n = 6</td>
<td>11.6% n = 31</td>
<td>14.2% n = 38</td>
<td>72.3% n = 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The timing of this training was appropriate for my level of experience.</td>
<td>0.4% n = 1</td>
<td>1.1% n = 3</td>
<td>15.0% n = 40</td>
<td>16.5% n = 44</td>
<td>66.7% n = 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ultimately, children and families will benefit from knowledge and skills participant’s gained during this training.</td>
<td>0.4% n = 1</td>
<td>0.7% n = 2</td>
<td>8.6% n = 23</td>
<td>18.4% n = 49</td>
<td>71.9% n = 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Overall, the training was a useful experience.</td>
<td>0.7% n = 2</td>
<td>1.1% n = 3</td>
<td>7.9% n = 21</td>
<td>19.9% n = 53</td>
<td>70.0% n = 187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall Pre/Post Test Averages
(All Sessions Combined, Pre-test: n = 178; Post-test: n = 178):
Average Percent Correct
Update FFY 2016: DCFS Training is currently uploading all course evaluations to MOODLE. The current version of MOODLE used by DCFS does not have the capacity to provide evaluation information. In October 2015, DCFS began testing of MOODLE version 2.9. It was later placed on hold due to budgetary constraints. Trainers complete and submit evaluation summaries after trainings and workshops along with individual trainees’ training evaluations. This feedback ensures trainings meet expectation and the course content meets the goals of the training.

Based on the information obtained in training evaluations CW Training continues to make edits to the 24 week course for new worker orientation. DCFS has provided the LCWTA information on the appropriateness of activities, content utilized in training, and effectiveness of information presented. Information obtained from evaluations assists trainers and training developers and ensures training is effective and meets the needs of DCFS staff and partners. For example: a number of new workers have stated that information provided in the program specific training week would have been better utilized in week two or week four of the orientation. CW training is currently working to ensure this content is provided in a way that is best suited to prepare staff for their duties as new child welfare staff.

The Department continues to explore the ability to obtain and provide evaluation information regarding every course or training session in MOODLE.

In FFY 2015, staff participated in the following teleconferences/webinars:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/14/2013</td>
<td>Youth in Transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/06/2013</td>
<td>Centralized Intake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FFY 2015 Teleconferences and Webinars:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/04/2013</td>
<td>Achieving Sufficiency in the Six Areas of Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/07/2014</td>
<td>Medicaid Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/19/2014</td>
<td>HiSet and Equivalency Education Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/25/2014</td>
<td>2014 Behavioral Health Partnership Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/16/2014</td>
<td>Human Trafficking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/07/2014</td>
<td>ICPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/2014</td>
<td>Family Resource Centers (FRC) and Visit Coaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/02/2014</td>
<td>Education Liaisons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/06/2014</td>
<td>2014 DCFS Legislative Updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/13/2014</td>
<td>Family Assessment Tracking System (FATS) and Youth Transition Plan (YTP) Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/13/2014</td>
<td>School Counselor Notification “Louisiana Believes”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2014</td>
<td>Louisiana WIC Program Overview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/03/2014</td>
<td>Trauma-Informed Practice with LGBTQ Youth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FFY 2015 Teleconferences and Webinars:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/01/2014</td>
<td>Legal Services for Youth and Screening for Education Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/05/2014</td>
<td>Louisiana Behavioral Healthplan Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/13/2014</td>
<td>Family Assessment Tracking System (FATS) and Youth Transition Plan (YTP) Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/30/2015</td>
<td>Understanding the AAPI-2 Assessing Parenting Attitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/04/2015</td>
<td>Psychopharmacology Updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/20/2015</td>
<td>Exposure to Violence and Child Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/04/2015</td>
<td>Guardianship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/17/2015</td>
<td>Empathy: Building Nurturing Families for the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/04/2015</td>
<td>Transition Living Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/13/2015</td>
<td>Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/2015</td>
<td>AFCARS Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/01/2015</td>
<td>Youth Transition Plan Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/05/2015</td>
<td>Recent Legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/28/2015</td>
<td>MAPP GPS Updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/08/2015</td>
<td>WIC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff will continue to be allowed the opportunity of teleconferences and webinars to support education, learning, and to strive towards best practice. The CW Training unit will continue to utilize web-based trainings, webinars, and teleconferences supporting an enhanced learning experience for new staff.

### FFY 2016 Teleconferences and Webinars:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/07/2015</td>
<td>Psychotropic Medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/04/2015</td>
<td>Case Worker Visitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td>An Overview of Visit Coaching: Building on Family Strengths to Meet Children’s Needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/03/2016</td>
<td>Youth Transition Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05/2016</td>
<td>Understanding Adverse Childhood Experiences: Building Self-Healing Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2016</td>
<td>Normalcy and Prudent Parent Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/30/2016</td>
<td>Changes to Random Moment Sampling System (RMS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FFY 2016 Teleconferences and Webinars:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/06/2016</td>
<td>Child Care Services for Child Welfare Clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/29/2016</td>
<td>Brain Development and the Impact of Toxic Stress on Children and Teens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/04/2016</td>
<td>Navigating Healthy LA Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/17/2016</td>
<td>Communities with R-E-S-P-E-C-T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS Training continues to load all training course evaluations to MOODLE. The current version of MOODLE used by DCFS does not have the capacity to provide evaluation information. Testing of MOODLE version 2.9 was placed on hold in 2015, due to budgetary constraints. At this time all Child Welfare Training evaluations are submitted to the LLCWTA for review and evaluation. Feedback is utilized to make revisions to core curriculum and other training courses to address specific or additional training needs. Please find attached the LLCWTA Evaluation of New Child Welfare Worker Orientation for cohorts that began and completed Orientation between February 2016 and February 2017.

Child Welfare Programs, Child Welfare Training, and the LLCWTA provide staff the opportunity of teleconferences and webinars to support education, learning and to strive towards best practice. In FFY 2017, staff participated in the following teleconferences/webinars:

**FFY 2017 Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana Webinars:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td>Communicating with R-E-S-P-E-C-T!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/2017</td>
<td>The Facts about Child Sexual Abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/2017</td>
<td>Family Resource Center (FRC) Agency Highlight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FFY 2016, DCFS CW Training in partnership with Our Lady of the Lake Children’s Hospital (OLOL) began providing webinars to CW employees in Baton Rouge Region and surrounding parishes. The following is a list a webinars provided during FFY 2016 and FFY 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/08/2015</td>
<td>A General Discussion on Child Abuse and Neglect presented by Shanel Thompson, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/14/2016</td>
<td>Non-Accidental Trauma: Fractures 2016, presented by Dr. Allison Vidder, MD, Pediatric Radiologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/14/2016</td>
<td>Suffocation and the Medically Fragile Child presented by Amy Binck, Nurse Practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2016</td>
<td>Neglect presented by Kristen Pontiff, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/14/2016</td>
<td>Non-accidental Trauma Lecture Series: Pediatric ER Sexual Assault presented by Alita Lanoux, MSN, RN, CPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/21/2016</td>
<td>A General Discussion on Abuse and Neglect presented by Jill Smith, BSN, CPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/25/2017</td>
<td>Non-accidental Trauma Lecture Series: Head Trauma presented by Jessica Sages, RN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LLCWTA began offering Lunch and Learn opportunities to all CW staff through monthly webinars prepared and presented by all levels of child welfare professionals with in DCFS. These training and professional development opportunities provide local office staff an opportunity to discuss successes within their office and share with others ways to achieve best
practice outcomes for children and families. The following is a list of Lunch and Learns provided by in FFY 2017.

**FFY 2017 LLCWTA Lunch and Learns Webinars:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/16/2016</td>
<td>The Vermilion Parish DCFS Project: Pathways to Permanency presented by Stacey Mire and her Foster Care Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/21/2016</td>
<td>E. Baton Rouge Parish: Effective Case Transfer Staffings presented by Laura St. Amand LCSW- BACS, Devance Ball, Tanisha Christy LMSW, Bridget Savoy, and Danielle Williams LMSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/24/2017</td>
<td>Quality Parenting Louisiana presented by Kaaren Hebert, LCSW and Terrilynn Bowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/20/2017</td>
<td>Covington Region: Best Practice in Working with Older Foster Care Youth presented by Erin Luquette, Leanna McCrea, and Michelle Faust, LCSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/28/2017</td>
<td>Preparing for Success on the CFSR presented by Sharla Thomas, Patrick Voinche, Sandra Walker, and Marie Lofton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06/2017</td>
<td>Safety Decision-Making: Law and Best Practice presented by Mark Harris, JD and Kim McCain, LMSW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Partnerships/Collaboration:** The Department has entered into an agreement with the Pelican Center for Children and Families, and Southeastern Louisiana University. The agreement is to develop and maintain a training and staff development program that is comprehensive and responsive to the needs of DCFS staff, foster parents, federally recognized Native American tribes and other key stakeholders.

The Department, in collaboration with the Louisiana Children's Justice Act Task Force, the Louisiana Court Improvement Program, the Pelican Center for Children and Families, the Louisiana Children's Trust Fund, the Louisiana Foster and Adoptive Parents Association and other stakeholders produce an annual interdisciplinary conference. The conference concentrates on key areas of CW practice involving the safety, permanency and well-being of children in or at risk of entering the foster care system.

Title IV-E funds are utilized for this three-day annual training conference called *Together We Can* (TWC). The TWC conference focuses on providing continuing education for departmental staff, judges, children's attorneys, parents' attorneys, Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), foster parents, social workers and other key professionals who benefit from the interdisciplinary training contemplated by the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008. Attendance historically has been and is projected to be 500 to 600 persons.

The following is a quick overview of the TWC conference registrants/attendees information from 2012 – 2013.

**Together We Can Conference Registration Data:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Registrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Together We Can Conference Registration Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard TWC Registrations</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFAPA &amp; TWC Registrations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEU Requests</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE Requests</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC Requests</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Steering Committee Members</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>63*</td>
<td>84*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sessions</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous TWC Attendees</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Several speakers and moderators were involved in more than one session.

Attendees were asked to select a field of work area that best fit them. The results are included in the chart below:

TWC Attendees by Work Area Specified:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attorney</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney – DCFS BGC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney – Children’s</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney – Parent’s</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Staff</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA / Indigent Defenders</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Attorney’s</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TWC Attendees by Work Area Specified:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCFS – State Office</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCFS – Social Worker</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total DCFS (Not including BGC Attorneys)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith-based</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Parents</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law-Enforcement</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit Organization</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 2013 TWC conference was the 11th year of this event. This year was a milestone for collaboration as the conference moved forward with a partnership between the TWC event sponsors and a new partner, Louisiana Foster and Adoptive Parents Association (LFAPA). The initial brainstorming had the two groups fully merged, but the leadership of the LFAPA asked that the conference allow them to not fully merge during this first year.

Applications for continued education unit (CEU) were approved. There were 13.5 CEU approved by the National Association of Social Workers-Louisiana Chapter. Of these, 11 workshops were designated to have clinical status, one ethics workshop, and the remaining sessions were considered general. The CEU certificates were distributed within the 30 day timeframe via email. Attendees received a CD with handouts.

The following is a quick overview of the 2014 and 2015, TWC conferences held in Lafayette, Louisiana in October, 2014 and 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registrations</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard TWC Registrations</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFAPA &amp; TWC Registrations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEU Requests</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE Requests</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC Requests</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not offered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Steering Committee Members</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>51*</td>
<td>83*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sessions</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous TWC Attendees</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Several speakers and moderators were involved in more than one session.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attorney</td>
<td>43 Overall</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Attorney</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Attorney – DCFS BGC</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Attorney – Children’s</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Attorney – Parent’s</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Court Staff</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DA/Indigent Defenders</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TWC Registration Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>BGC Attorneys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCFS (does not include BGC Attorneys)</td>
<td>141 overall</td>
<td>152 overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• State Office</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social Worker</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>20 Overall</td>
<td>11 Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Educators</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students/Interns</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith-based</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Parent</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Foster Youth</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not tracked – 9 as panel members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit Organization</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Worker</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Representatives</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other categories</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2015 Conference Sponsors:
- LouisianaChildren.org
  - Children’s Advocacy Centers of Louisiana
  - Louisiana CASA Association
- Louisiana Children’s Trust Fund
- Louisiana Department of Child & Family Services - Children’s Justice Act
- Louisiana Foster & Adoptive Parents Association
- National Association of Social Workers – Louisiana Chapter
- Pelican Center for Children & Families
  - Louisiana Supreme Court – Court Improvement Project

### 2015 National Organizations Supporting and/or Attending the Conference (speakers or exhibits):
- Casey Family Programs
- ChildFocus Inc.
- Child Welfare Information Gateway
- Generations United
- Georgia Center for Children’s Advocacy
- National Center for Child Welfare Excellence
- National Center for Missing and Exploited Children
- ProKids
- The Purple Project
- U.S. Citizenship and Immigrations Services
- U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Exhibitors:

- Accessories Etc.
- Advocacy Center
- Amerigroup Louisiana Inc.
- AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana
- Badeau, Sue – Keynote Speaker/Author
- Bikers Against Child Abuse (BACA)
- Child Welfare Information Gateway
- Child Welfare Training Academy
- Ekhaya Youth Project/Fresh Start Behavioral Health Program
- Family & Youth Counseling Agency
- Louisiana DCFS-Adoption Recruitment
- Louisiana DCFS-Children’s Justice Act Task Force
- Louisiana Children’s Trust Fund
- Louisiana Foster & Adoptive Parents Association
- Louisiana National Guard – Youth Challenge Program
- LouisianaChildren.org
- Magellan of Louisiana
- National Abandoned Infants Assistance Resource Center
- National Center for Missing & Exploited Children
- Pelican Center for Children & Families
- Platform for Children
- St. Elizabeth Foundation
- United Healthcare
- Way Maker Ministries Each One Help One: Housing Crisis Center for Children & Families
- Wesley Center

Update FFY 2016: The 13th TWC conference was held October 13-15, 2015. The conference was held at the Lafayette Cajundome due to increasing event growth. The conference received many glowing comments from attendees who felt the location was open, not crowded and had space for conversations, meetings and networking. The registration goal for the 2015 conference was to reach 600 registrations and it reached 101.3% of the target by reaching 608. The number of exhibitors participating increased due to space and recruitment efforts. There were 25 exhibit spaces reserved – exceeding last year by 10 additional exhibitors.
Attendees were requested to rank their pre-conference knowledge of subjects presented and their post-conference knowledge for a means of tracking knowledge gains attributed to the conference. The chart at the left shows the growth. Applications for continued education unit (CEU) were approved. There were 14 CEU contact hours approved by the National Association of Social Workers-Louisiana Chapter and the MCLE Committee approved 14 hours for legal professionals. Of these, 3 workshops were designated to have clinical status, one social work ethics workshop, three legal ethics workshops, three legal professionalism workshops and the remaining sessions were considered general. The CEU certificates were distributed via email within the 30 day timeframe and the spreadsheet submitted to NASW-LA for recording hours. Legal hours were entered online within 10 days of the conference. Attendees were able to download handouts for any of the sessions for 90 days following the conference on the conference website: www.latwc.com.

The conference addressed many critical issues including: trauma-informed care, ICWA, psychotropic medications, school/education connections, juvenile sexual trafficking, transition planning for foster youth, children coming to court, legal and social worker ethics and professionalism, among others. The goal of the conference was to build a strong, statewide foundation of skills and knowledge in those charged with protecting children. Following the conference, TWC practice toolkits were published by conference collaborators to reinforce and expand upon conference topics.

The Home Development section collaborates with Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home (LBCH) to provide Empowered to Connect (ETC) Parent Training. This is an interactive learning
experience designed specifically for adoptive and foster parents. This training is provided to foster/adoptive parents and DCFS employees throughout the State. Thirty-five DCFS employees participated in the Empowered to Connect (ETC) Conference held April 8, through April 9, 2016.

**Update FFY 2017:** The 2016 TWC conference was the 14th year of this event. We found ourselves returning to the Doubletree Hotel for this year as the Cajundome was under construction. We knew that we would potentially have to close registration early due to the capacity limitations of the facility. We did find this to be the case and closed registration a week early due to reached capacity. The goal for the 2016 conference was to reach 500 registrations and we reached 121.6% of the target by reaching 608.

This year was the third year to use an online RFP process for soliciting some of the workshops for the annual conference. Thirty-five proposals were submitted online – an increase of 9 over the prior year. We accepted 14 of the 35 proposals that were submitted. The remainder of the speakers were recruited by TWC staff and steering committee members.

During the TWC conference, there were 59 sessions offered with a total of 83 faculty members participating. Among the faculty, several key national speakers were present: Dr. William Bell, Casey Family Programs; Justin Fitzsimmons, SEARCH; Sue Badeau (formerly with Casey, foster/adoptive parent); Dr. Gerald Mallon, National Center for Child Welfare Excellence and Hunter College; LaTasha Watts, The Purple Project; Elaine Kelley, US Citizenship and Immigration Services; Carole Shauffer, Youth Law Center; Beoncia Loveless, Georgia Bureau of Investigations; Shannon Traore, National Missing and Exploited Children; and Ashley Rhodes-Courter, former foster child.

Two very important sessions were added to the 2016 conference as a result of discussions between various committees. It was determined that a Mock Court track would be set up. This would take the attendee from the initial removal through the final permanency hearings. At least thirty volunteers worked to write the scripts, enacted the script for videotaping and then the videos were edited for presentation at the TWC. The two three-hour sessions included the showing of the vignettes with a facilitated follow-up discussion to each segment. These video clips and the entire sessions were recorded for future use by the Pelican Center and LCWTA. Additional sessions at the conference were recorded for placement on the CLARO website.

**Conference Sponsors:** (Alphabetical)
- LouisianaChildren.org
- CASA
- CAC
- Louisiana Department of Child & Family Services
  - Children’s Justice Act
  - Child Welfare Training Academy
- National Association of Social Workers-Louisiana Chapter
- Pelican Center for Children and Families
  - Court Improvement Program
National Organizations Supporting and/or Attending the 2016 Conference (speakers or exhibits):

- Casey Family Programs
- National Center for Child Welfare Excellence
- National Center for Missing & Exploited Children
- Quality Parenting Initiatives
- The Purple Project
- U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
- U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Exhibitors:

- Accessories Etc.
- Amerigroup Louisiana Inc.
- Badeau, Sue – Keynote Speaker/Author
- Bikers Against Child Abuse (BACA)
- Child Welfare Information Gateway
- Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy
- Louisiana Coalition Against Trafficking - The Free Indeed Home
- Louisiana Foster & Adoptive Parents Association
- Louisiana Methodist Foster Care
- Louisiana National Guard – Youth Challenge Program
- LouisianaChildren.org
- National Center for Missing & Exploited Children
- Pelican Center for Children & Families
- St. Elizabeth Foundation
- Simon, Carrie - Author
- Watts, LaTasha - Author
- Way Maker Ministries Each One Help One: Housing Crisis Center for Children & Families
- Wesley Center

Supporting Financial Partners:

- Amerigroup Louisiana LLC
- CFX Entertainment LLC
- Lafayette Convention & Visitor Commission
- Whitney Bank

Applications to NASW-LA and MCLE were submitted and approved. There were 14 hours approved for SW & CLE attendees. Specialty sessions included:

- Social Work Ethics - Session 410 - 3 hours
- Clinical - Sessions 306, 308, 309, 403, 501, 609 - 1.5 hours each
- Legal Ethics - Session 610 - 1.5 hours; Sessions Inst. 2 and 409 - 3 hours each
- Professionalism - Sessions 101, 102, 601, 602 - 1.5 hours each; Sessions Inst. 2 & 409 - 3 hours each.
Following the conference, 305 CEU certificates were distributed and 37 CLE requests were filed with the MCLE Committee.

Of the attendees present, 45 Parishes were represented. The largest groups were from East Baton Rouge (82), Lafayette (61), Orleans (49), St. Tammany (37) and Rapides (34). For 224 attendees, this was their first year of attendance. 331 people were attending for their 2nd thru 7th year. There were 46 registered attendees had attended eight or more years of TWC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TWC Registration Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Attorney – DCFS BGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Attorney – Children’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Attorney – Parent’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Court Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DA/Indigent Defenders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAÇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCFS (does not include BGC Attorneys)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• State Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169 overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students/Interns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Parent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Foster Youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Tracked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 unofficially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Worker (non-DCFS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the evaluation component, attendees were asked if they wished to see future conferences be two full days or retain the current configuration. The retention of the current configuration was selected by 78.6% of the responses.

Attendees were requested to rank their pre-conference knowledge of subjects presented and their post-conference knowledge for a means of tracking knowledge gains attributed to the conference.
Partnerships/Collaboration (including Together We Can) Activities Planned for FFY 2018:
The 15th annual TWC conference will return to the Cajundome on November 7-9, 2017. The steering committee has reviewed the requested topics that were included in the 2016 evaluations and added topics that are considered timely or required. The keynote speakers will include Dr. Sharon Cooper; Stephanie Ledesma, JD; Krystin Carver, Ph.D.; Gerald Mallon, Ph.D. and Margaret Burt, JD.

Scheduled topics for sessions will include: implicit bias; courtroom testimony; LGBTQ and foster youth; trauma-informed practice; transitioning youth; adolescent behavior and brain development; Mock Court key segments; Quality Parenting Initiative implementation and roles; child sex trafficking; youth tech-communications; leadership coaching; ICWA; fatherhood engagement; special education issues for foster youth; psychotropic medications; bullying; substance exposed newborns; and ethics/professionalism. There will be 5 keynote/plenary sessions, fifty (50) 1.5 hour workshops and five (5) three-hour sessions/institutes. The conference will be able to accommodate 30 exhibitors and expects to host 700 registered attendees. The steering committee has also reserved space for fall of 2018 at the Cajundome in Lafayette.
The Louisiana Foster and Adoptive Parents Association will be merging with the TWC Conference beginning in 2017. This group will utilize a meeting room in the morning of the first day to host a meet and greet with their members prior to the opening luncheon. This will enable them to gather their members and share organizational information. Specific sessions are planned to be included into the schedule to help them complete their annual mandatory courses.

**Universities Alliance -** DCFS continued to collaborate with all public university’s Schools of Social Work through the Louisiana Universities Alliance. For FFY 2014, $913,232.37 was billed for IV-E reimbursement through the DCFS contract with Northwestern State University. The charges included university faculty salaries, stipends to social work students, curricula development, training, recruitment/retention activities, supplies, and equipment. For FFY 2015, $1,825,200.45 was billed for IV-E reimbursement through the DCFS contract with Northwestern State University. The charges included university faculty salaries, stipends to social work students, curricula development, training, recruitment/retention activities, supplies, and equipment. The Alliance is currently working to develop a standardized procedure for recruiting and selecting Title IV-E stipend recipients. The Alliance is working with DCFS to address issues such as ways to recruit licensed social workers to DCFS and ways to retain Child Welfare employees. The contract between the DCFS and Northwestern State University continues to be monitored by CW Training. The multi-year contract renewed in June 30, 2012, ended June 30, 2015.

The DCFS training site established at Southern University in Baton Rouge has expanded to include two classroom and three offices. In FFY 2015, and FFY 2016, approximately 75% of all CW trainings are held in the Southern University Social Work Department. Other training sites include Grambling State University, which provides a centrally located training site for northern Louisiana and the Southeastern Louisiana University School of Nursing. The establishments of these training sites has enhanced the working relationship between DCFS and the public universities as well as provided CW Training a permanent site to conduct and deliver training.

**Universities Alliance Update FFY 2016:**

1. Effective July 1, 2015, the Title IV-E program is administered through a sub-contract between Northwestern State University and Southeastern Louisiana University. Northwestern State University continues to services as the lead of the Title IV-E program and monitors the following universities: Grambling State University, University of Louisiana at Monroe, Southern University Baton Rouge, Southern University at New Orleans, and Louisiana State University.
2. The Title IV-E program with Southeastern Louisiana University is monitored by DCFS as a part of the large Southeastern Louisiana University contract which includes the LCWTA.
3. Northwestern Louisiana University is leading the Alliance in standardizing procedures for recruitment and selection of Title IV-E stipend recipients. Grambling State University began piloting recruitment activities and the selection process in February 2016. The Alliance meets quarterly to finalize procedures.
4. In October 2015, a workgroup which include Alliance members, DCFS local supervisors, and program staff were developed to assist in developing a manual and training for supervisors of Title IV-E recipients. This training for supervisor and the manual provided
to them is the first step in ensuring all stipend recipients have a similar experience which
includes activities that will better prepare them for employment with DCFS in their
internship with DCFS.

5. All universities utilized the Realistic Job Preview/Child Welfare Recruitment video “Is
Child Welfare the Job for You?” developed by DCFS and a part of their recruitment
activities.

Universities Alliance Update FFY 2017:

1. The Title IV-E program with Southeastern Louisiana University is monitored by DCFS
as a part of the large Southeastern Louisiana University contract which includes the
LCWTA.

2. A Title IVE Stipend Program Kick Off meeting for interns, supervisors, and university
liaisons was held in August 2016 that included the debut of Title IVE Stipend Program
Manuals for Students and Supervisors to support the provision of meaningful internship
experiences that ready interns for future child welfare careers with DCFS.

3. Northwestern Louisiana University is leading the Alliance in standardizing procedures
for recruitment and selection of Title IV-E stipend recipients. Northwestern has
contracted with Michael Seider to assist with the administration of the Title IV-E
Program and to help establish consistency among the Universities. Grambling State
University piloted recruitment activities and the selection process and is leading the
Alliance in developing standardized recruitment and selection process. The Alliance
meets quarterly to finalize procedures.

4. All universities utilized the Realistic Job Preview/Child Welfare Recruitment video “Is
Child Welfare The Job for You?” developed by DCFS and a part of their recruitment
activities.

5. The Alliance worked with DCFS to develop policy for the DCFS Child Welfare
Educational Support Program to support DCFS child welfare employees pursuing their
M.S.W. degrees.

6. Northwestern State University recruited and began providing clinical supervision to
DCFS child welfare staff to support attainment of LCSW licensure.

Universities Alliance Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

1. Implement the DCFS Child Welfare Employee Educational Support Program.

2. Review and as needed update the current Title IV-E Agreements between the student,
university, and DCFS consistent with the DCFS Child Welfare Employee Educational
Support Program.

3. Refine Title IVE Stipend Manuals for Students and Supervisors based on input received
from students and supervisors in first year of implementation.

4. Host 2017 Title IVE Stipend Internship Kick-Off meeting in August for interns,
supervisors, and university liaisons. Invite select 2016 interns and supervisors to share
their approaches to creating meaningful internship Establish consistency among the
Universities regarding the Title IV-E Program.

5. More fully integrate competency based screening and selection protocols consistent with
DCFS child welfare staff screening and selection in the Title IVE Stipend Program.

6. Continue to expand workforce support activities, including expansion of clinical
supervision supports to DCFS CW staff in the GNO area.
**Pelican Center:** The Pelican Center Training and Education Committee has worked with its DCFS and University Alliance partners to develop a comprehensive CW training and education curriculum for Louisiana, all in accord with the Pelican Center’s mission and vision. The committee continues to meet monthly and has adopted a standard curriculum format for each training module to be developed as well as a policy to approve curricula. The committee conducts pre/post-testing around each event and a standardized course evaluation instrument has been developed. The information gleaned from this format and these processes will help to ensure consistency.

Louisiana CIP funds will be used to:

- Support data collection and analysis, interdisciplinary training and education and to assess and implement strategies designed to improve the quality of legal representation to children and indigent parents;
- Improve the quality of court hearings, focusing on efforts to ensure that children, foster parents, relative caregivers and pre-adoptive parents participate in court hearings;
- Improve safety decision-making across systems by educating and training stakeholders on the principles of the Department’s Advanced Safety Decision-making initiatives;
- Focus on working with transitioning youth, LGBTQ youth in foster care; Identifying and working with human trafficking victims and ICWA.

**Pelican Center Update FFY 2016:** Pre-and post-test results are analyzed to determine level of increase in knowledge for training participants. Test questions (usually 10 to 25, depending upon the length of the training program) are designed to determine transfer of learning on key principles of the curriculum. This information is reviewed to determine a threshold level of knowledge acquisition. In the event that certain questions result in a high number of wrong responses, the question is analyzed first to determine if the wording is confusing. If so, edits are made to make the question clearer. If it is determined that the question is satisfactory, then a review would be made of the content and presentation methodology for possible revision.

In addition to pre-/post-testing, the Pelican Center Training and Education Committee has adopted a universal course evaluation form which is administered and collected at the conclusion of each training event. Both of these evaluation and assessment processes are conducted by Pelican Center staff in consultation with course faculty.

For FFY 2016, the Pelican Center Training and Education Committee plans to develop several Law and Best Practices Bulletins. Topics and identified workgroups for each topic are given below:

- Drug-exposed Newborns - Ann Spink, Corie Hebert, Kathy Cook
- Guardianship as a Permanency Option – Judge Simon, Becky May-Ricks and Ayanna Butler
- Preventing Sex Trafficking and Strengthening Families Act – Jasmonique White, Corie Hebert
- ICWA – Judge Simon, Karen Austin
- LGBTQ Youth in Foster Care – Jasmonique White, Kathleen Richey, Richard Pittman, Rhenda Hodnett, Gary Mallon and DCFS staff person
• Pre-permanency Hearings – Orleans Pilot Judge Gray, Mark Harris, Ramona Jordan
• Psychotropic Medications – Appropriate Utilization in Foster Care Population – Becky May-Ricks, Franchesca Hamilton-Acker and Kathy Cook
• Safety Decision-making – Corie Hebert, Mark Harris, Becky May-Ricks, Linda Carter and/or Kim McCain
• Special Immigrant Status Youth – Kathleen Richey, Karen Hallstrom, Ayanna Butler
• Reasonable Prudent Parent Standards – Kathleen Richey, Richard Pittman, Ann Spink, Corie Hebert
• Cross Over Youth – Becky May-Ricks, Franchesca Hamilton-Acker, Richard Pittman and Jasmonique White
• Transitioning Youth – Franchesca Hamilton-Acker, Kathleen Richey, Toni Buxton

The ABA Center on Children and the Law will collaborate with the Pelican Center to serve as the primary author of 4 of the bulletins and will provide subject matter consultation and editing of an additional 4 of the bulletins. At least 8 of the bulletins are expected to be completed by September 20, 2016.

The following training needs have been or will be addressed during FFY 2016 by the Pelican Center for Training and Education Committee:

Improving the Quality of Legal Representation for Indigent Parents
• Juvenile Defenders and 40 Parent Attorneys received 2 day training (Feb 18-19) sponsored by the Pelican Center. Single case presented in plenaries and breakouts. Covered safety, fundamental rights of parent, representation, trauma, strategies after removal and developing case plans.

NASW – Louisiana Chapter Conference, March 16-18, 2016
• Pelican Center sponsored a keynote speaker.
• Child Well-being Summit, Pelican Center will sponsor 2 days, June 23-24, 2016, in Baton Rouge.
• Summit theme – Trauma Informed Practice

“Trauma-informed Decision-making,” Judges’ Summer School, June 5-9, 2016
• Dr. Kristyn S. Carver will be sponsored by the Pelican Center to speak at the Judicial College’s summer school in Sandestin. Dr. Carver is the sole person in Louisiana qualified to train on trust-based relational intervention. She will also participate in the Child Well-being Summit (above).

“Introduction to Child Welfare” - late July/early August
• Interdisciplinary child welfare basics (approximately 6 hours) curriculum (roles and responsibilities of stakeholders, dynamics of abuse and neglect, childhood development, overview of federal and state law, ethics and professionalism, understanding trauma) to be developed by the Pelican Center in collaboration with the National Association of Counsel for
Children. The Pelican Center Training and Education Committee will approve the curriculum when developed and the Pelican Center will own it once finalized. It is expected that this curriculum would be available for delivery on a quarterly basis with venues rotating around the state. This curriculum will serve as a primer for new judges, attorneys, child welfare agency staff, CASAs, IV-E stipend students, foster parents, community partners and others.

Mock Trial Skills Building Part I (Removal to Adjudication)  
Mock Trial Skills Building Part II (Disposition/Case Review/Permanency Hearing)

- These 2 three-hour curricula will be developed over the summer of 2016 and debuted at Together We Can 2016. These programs will combine a video graphed representation of court proceedings for typical legal events in a child in need of care proceeding and a facilitated discussion of key decision points and information that courts need in order to make an informed decision about the best interests of children in the foster care system. As with all Pelican Center programming, materials will include a curriculum, instructor’s manual, participant materials and a pre-/post-test.

**Update FFY 2017:** Pre-and post-test results continue to be analyzed to determine level of increase in knowledge for training participants. Test questions (usually 10 to 25, depending upon the length of the training program) are designed to determine transfer of learning on key principles of the curriculum. This information is reviewed to determine a threshold level of knowledge acquisition. If certain questions result in a high number of wrong responses, the question is analyzed first to determine if the wording is confusing. If so, edits are made to make the question clearer. If it is determined that the question is satisfactory, then a review would be made of the content and presentation methodology for possible revision.

In addition to pre-/post-testing, the Pelican Center Training and Education Committee continue to use a universal course evaluation form which is administered and collected after each training event. Both evaluation and assessment processes are conducted by Pelican Center staff in consultation with course faculty.

1. The following interdisciplinary trainings were added to the training calendar to be offered this federal fiscal year in the listed regions:
   - Child Welfare Basics (Monroe, Baton Rouge, Hammond and Alexandria)
   - Cultural Consciousness (Baton Rouge and Grambling)
   - Safety-Decision Making (Shreveport, Monroe, and Baton Rouge)
   - Safety-Decision Making “Lunch and Learn Webinar” (February, April, and July)

Child Welfare Basics is a one-day workshop focused on the fundamentals of the Child in Need of Care practice. This program is designed for a multi-disciplinary audience. Presenters discuss the constitutional, federal and state law underlying child welfare cases. Attendees explore these
legal principles, as well as the concepts of timely permanency for families, reasonable efforts both to prevent removal and to further the permanency goal, child development, the impact of trauma on child behavior, and the roles and responsibilities of the various parties to a child welfare case. The roles and responsibilities session includes a focus on ethics – namely, the guiding ethical rules and regulations for the different professional roles. The training incorporates adult learning theory, incorporating lecture, small group discussion and interactive practice. Child Welfare Law Specialist applicants will enjoy this refresher course prior to sitting for the examination. (6.5 hours)

Cultural Consciousness as a course introduces participants to concepts of Cultural Consciousness in the workplace and explores the impact of personal views and values regarding sexual orientation, gender, race and ethnicity in their role. Through activities, videos and group discussions, participants explore the roots of their biases and assumption and how these dynamics affect their working relationships with others. During the course, participants are challenged to address issues concerning how their perspectives on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender are impacted by their world views. Participants also create and share strategies for raising awareness and interacting more effectively with individuals who are different from themselves. (6.0 hours)

Safety Decision-making training is based on the American Bar Association publication, Child Safety: A Guide for “safe” and “unsafe” child, define "threat of danger" and "risk" and distinguish between the two, define "child vulnerability" and "protective capacity" and the relationship of the two, Understand the DCFS six areas of assessment, understand what information is gathered in the assessment and why, Understand the purpose of the Advanced Safety Focused Practice, understand stakeholders’ roles in safety decision making. (6.0 hours)

Safety Decision Making “Lunch and Learn Webinar” introduces attendees to the concept that “the basic and most important determination judicial and child welfare agency staff make in child in need of care cases is whether a child(ren) is safe. Critical safety decisions are made when removing a child and determining whether a child should return home. However, without a comprehensive decision-making structure and thorough inquiry, decisions can lead to over and under removal, leaving children unsafe or returning them home too quickly. (1.0 hour)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics of Pelican Center FFY Interdisciplinary Training:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Welfare Basics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Welfare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Statistics of Pelican Center FFY Interdisciplinary Training:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th># of Attendees</th>
<th>Evaluation Score</th>
<th>% increase in knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basics</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Decision Making</td>
<td>March 24, 2017</td>
<td>Shreveport, LA</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Pre-test median score: 72%, Post-test median score: 80%. Demonstrating an increase in knowledge of 8%.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The Mock Trial dramatization “State in the Interest of…” was debuted at the 2016 Together we Can conference. A Legal workgroup comprised of members of the Pelican Center Training and Education committee and DCFS Training Department and Legal Department are creating the curriculum to accompany the Mock Trial videos for use in DCFS New Worker training modules.

3. In collaboration with our partners at the ABA Center on Children and the Law, the following Law and Best Practices Bulletins were published:
   - Reasonable Prudent Parent Standards
   - Safety Decision Making
   - ICWA

4. 58 Louisiana CINC practitioners have applied to become Child Welfare Law Specialists with the National Association of Counsel for Children. At the beginning of this federal fiscal year there were only 11 certified attorneys. Now the list has grown to 24 certified attorneys. We expect a significant increase in this number by end of this fiscal year.

### Activities Planned in FFY 2018:

1. The following interdisciplinary trainings have been added to the training calendar to be offered this federal fiscal year in the listed regions:
   - Child Welfare Basics (November-Ruston)
   - Cultural Consciousness (October-New Orleans or Covington regions)
   - Safety-Decision Making (October- New Orleans or Covington regions)
   - Safety-Decision Making “Lunch and Learn Webinar” (October)

2. The Mock Trial dramatization “State in the Interest of…” was debuted at the 2016 Together we Can conference. Plans are being developed to cluster the videos into Hearing clusters to appeal to specific audiences. Accompanying curriculum will be developed to support the videos.

### Educational Stipends:
Educational stipends will be awarded to non-employees with the expectation that the individual agrees to work for DCFS after graduation. Upon graduation, DCFS Field Operations will place each student based on staffing needs in the allowable
programs of Family Services, Foster Care and Adoption. The chart below shows the number stipends awarded through State Public Universities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State (Public) University</th>
<th># of BSW / MSW Stipends SFY 2014</th>
<th># of BSW / MSW Stipends SFY 2015</th>
<th># of BSW / MSW Stipends SFY 2016</th>
<th># of BSW / MSW Stipends SFY 2017</th>
<th># of BSW / MSW Stipends SFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southern University at New Orleans (SUNO)</td>
<td>3 BSW 5 MSW</td>
<td>7 BSW 2 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 5 MSW</td>
<td>2 BSW 5 MSW</td>
<td>2 BSW 5 MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grambling State University (GSU)</td>
<td>6 BSW 2 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 3 MSW</td>
<td>4 BSW 2 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 2 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 2 MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern University Baton Rouge (SUBR)</td>
<td>3 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>6 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>4 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>5 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>5 BSW 0 MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Louisiana at Monroe (ULM)</td>
<td>5 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>4 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>8 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>8 BSW 0 MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern State University (NSU)</td>
<td>2 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>4 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>4 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 0 MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern Louisiana University (SLU)</td>
<td>6 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>6 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>3 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>5 BSW 0 MSW</td>
<td>5 BSW 0 MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana State University (LSU)</td>
<td>0 BSW 2 MSW</td>
<td>0 BSW 5 MSW</td>
<td>0 BSW 3 MSW</td>
<td>0 BSW 3 MSW</td>
<td>0 BSW 3 MSW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For SFY 2014 and SFY 2015, the stipend amount for the BSW student is $6,500, for all universities. The stipend for the MSW student is $8,500. The stipend amounts are distributed through the contract with Northwestern State University who in turn contracts with the other six public/state universities. During the 2014/2015, school year educational stipends were awarded to forty non-employees attending school at one of the seven public school of social work with the expectation that the student agrees to work for DCFS after graduation. Ten of these students graduated in December 2014, and are currently employed with DCFS. Child Welfare Training and Operations sections are currently working with students for job placement upon graduation in May 2015, based on staffing needs in the allowable programs of Family Services and Foster Care.

**Educational Stipends Update FFY 2016:** For SFY 2015, the stipend amount for the BSW and MSW students remained the same. The stipend amounts are distributed through the contract with Southeastern Louisiana University and subcontract between Southeastern Louisiana University and Northwestern State University, who in turn contracts with the other five public/state universities.
During the 2015/2016 school year educational stipends were awarded to forty non-employees attending school at one of the seven public school of social work with the expectation that the student agrees to work for DCFS after graduation. One recipient was dismissed from her field placement and from the universities Social Work program in November 2015. Seven of these students graduated in December 2015. Of the seven students, three declined employment with DCFS; one deferred employment to attend graduate school; and one offered employment with DCFS was later terminated. Based on staffing needs in the allowable programs of Family Services and Foster Care child welfare staff are currently working with students for job placement upon graduation in May 2016. DCFS and the Universities Alliance are working diligently to improve the selection and recruitment of Title IV-E recipients. New standards include increasing the grade point average of students selected to a 3.0 overall GPS and focusing on selecting students who display the 10 Child Welfare Worker Entry Level Competencies.

**Educational Stipends Update FFY 2017:** For SFY 2017, the stipend amount for the BSW and MSW students remained the same. The stipend amounts are distributed through the contract with Southeastern Louisiana University and subcontract between Southeastern Louisiana University and Northwestern State University, who in turn contracts with the other five public/state universities. During the 2016/2017 school year educational stipends were awarded to thirty-one non-employees attending school at one of the seven public school of social work with the expectation that the student agrees to work for DCFS after graduation. Two recipients were dismissed from their field placement and from the universities Social Work program in November 2016. Twelve students graduated in December 2016. Of the twelve students, one declined employment with DCFS. All other students are employed with DCFS or waiting on employment. The remaining students are scheduled to graduate in May 2016, and DCFS is currently assisting these students in identifying job placements. DCFS and the Universities Alliance are working diligently to improve the selection and recruitment of Title IV-E recipients. New standards were used this FFY and included increasing the grade point average of students selected to a 3.0 overall grade point average and focusing on selecting students who display the 10 Child Welfare Worker Entry Level Competencies.

**Activities Planned in FFY 2018:** Cross-Reference to FFY 2018 University Alliance Activities.

**Collaboration with the Court Improvement Program (CIP) and Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)** - The CIP has been working on the development of a Center (now known as the Pelican Center) to encompass all CIP activities and provide formalized, interdisciplinary, and collaborative work agreements with the DCFS and other relevant CW stakeholders. Through the partnership with the DCFS and the University Alliance, all parties work together in FFY 2015 develop and implement training and education of CW practitioners including children’s and indigent parents’ attorneys, judges, CASAs, and district attorneys.

**Update FFY 2016:** The following multi-disciplinary training efforts have been undertaken or will be completed in FFY 2016.

**Mosaic Dimension Training.**

Summary: The Mosaic Dimension is based on the assumption that children who are moving through the foster care system are as culturally unique and different as mosaic patterns.
curriculum was developed to address the systemic issue of disproportionate minority representation in child welfare and the court systems. Patsy Wilkerson will take you through an experiential, scenario-based training, designed to go beyond traditional programs to explore this topic on a broader level.

In most cultural competence and diversity training programs, we generally focus on primary and secondary dimensions. The primary dimensions (race, ethnicity) are basic and cannot be changed by the person. Secondary dimensions (education, geography) can be influenced or changed more easily. These patterns are sometimes invisible or undiscovered and may require us to look beneath the surface and question our previous beliefs and assumptions.

October 10, 2014 – New Orleans
Number Trained: 37
Pre and post testing was not available.

November 14, 2014 – New Orleans
Number Trained: 37
Pre and post testing was not available.

February 20, 2015 – New Orleans
Number Trained: 20
7.6% increase in knowledge

May 4, 2015 – Lake Charles
Number Trained: 23
3.7% increase in knowledge

June 25, 2015 – New Iberia
Number Trained: 43
14.9% increase in knowledge

Mosaic Dimension comprehensive curriculum completed September 2015.

The Red Book II: Advanced Litigation Skills for Child Welfare Attorneys
This “practice focused” training to be developed by the National Association of Counsel for Children for Louisiana will include the following: (1) Integrating the “business of childhood” into CW advocacy, (2) Child development and legal advocacy, (3) Developing a trauma-informed position, (4) Skills for interviewing, questioning, and examining the child-client, (5) Motion, writs, and appeals, and (6) other material focused on local practice needs. Training held July 31, 2015 – New Iberia; Number Trained: 67; 36.0% increase in knowledge.

“Introduction to Child Welfare Law” – sponsored by the Pelican Center an introduction to child welfare law, this interdisciplinary program was developed and delivered by the National Association of Counsel for Children. The goal of the program was to introduce the legal underpinnings of the child welfare system to a broad range of stakeholders, lawyers and non-lawyers alike. Attendees included DCFS staff and administration, CASAs, children’s and parents’ attorneys, foster parents, Title IV-E stipend students and others. The success of this program led to the identified need for the development of the Introduction to Child Welfare
curriculum currently under development by NACC in collaboration with the Pelican Center. This “new” curriculum will be more Louisiana-centered and become a permanent part of the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy’s catalog of offerings. Training held September 24, 2015 – Lafayette, LA; Number Trained: 73; 22.0% increase in knowledge.

**Update FFY 2017:** With the success of the “Introduction of Child Welfare Law” course, and the recognition that the field of child welfare is nuanced and specialized, requiring professionals across stakeholder groups to understand federal law, Louisiana state law, local practice ethical rules, and social science the “Introduction to Child Welfare Law” morphed into “Child Welfare Basics” in FFY 2017. The idea was to develop a comprehensive overview of the basics of child welfare law that would appeal to a broad array of child welfare stakeholders, ensuring that all who work in the system possess the same general knowledge and understanding. The new “Child Welfare Basics” curriculum was rolled out on July 29, 2016. By the completion of the new curriculum, trainees should be able to:

- Demonstrate an understanding of the basic legal (federal and state) framework governing Child in Need of Care (CINC) cases in Louisiana;
- Distinguish the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders in the Louisiana child welfare system;
- Be able to apply a “reasonable efforts” analysis in CINC cases, both at removal and with respect to finalization of the permanency plan;
- Understand the impact of trauma on child behavior and developmental milestones.

### FFY 2017 Child Welfare Basics Training Dates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Training Location</th>
<th># of Attendees</th>
<th>Average Increase in Knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 29, 2016</td>
<td>University of Louisiana, Lafayette, Lafayette, Louisiana</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 22, 2016</td>
<td>University of Louisiana, Monroe, Monroe, Louisiana</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>08.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 15, 2017</td>
<td>Southern University Law Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 16, 2017</td>
<td>Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond, Louisiana</td>
<td>Event has not occurred</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 15, 2017</td>
<td>Westside Regional Library, Alexandria, Louisiana</td>
<td>Event has not occurred</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Collaboration with the Court Improvement Program (CIP) and Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)**

**Update FFY 2016:** Louisiana CASA, in collaboration with the Court Improvement Program, has been engaged in strategic planning for the growth of the CASA programs in Louisiana; training, both pre-service an in-service training for CASA volunteers as well as multi-disciplinary trainings for stakeholders in the child welfare system; and, planning for addressing the needs of special populations, particularly the LGBTQ youth in foster care. In 2015-2016, Louisiana CASA actively participated in planning and coordination of the Together We Can Conference, and projects coordinated by the Pelican Center's Training and Education Transmittal Date June 30, 2017 Page 343
Committee, specifically, the Mock Trial work group. Additionally, Louisiana CASA launched Indigo an online training program for CASA volunteers state-wide and hosted a state-wide, multi-disciplinary training on transitioning youth. Louisiana CASA and the Program Advisory Council has been reviewing data and cost projections in order to finalize a state wide growth plan to achieve the goal of a CASA volunteer for every child in an IN Need Of Care case. Additionally, Louisiana CASA has reconvened the LGBTQ Task Force which had been dormant for several years. The Task Force is formulating surveys for foster youth, foster parents and CASA volunteers regarding the needs and challenges faced by this population in order to inform the strategic direction of the Task Force.

Collaboration with the Court Improvement Program (CIP) and Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) Update FFY 2017: In 2016-2017, Louisiana CASA, supported by the Pelican Center and the Court Improvement Program, began the process of developing a written growth plan to achieve the goal of providing a CASA volunteer for every child in a Child In Need of Care case. Data was collected and analyzed to determine the needs of the local CASA Programs to achieve growth. Each of the Executive Directors of the local CASA programs expressed concern over the resources necessary to recruit, train and supervise additional volunteers. An initial goal of increasing volunteers by 10%, translating to a 12% growth in the number of children served, was discussed by Louisiana CASA. The CASA Program Council has not approved the goals set by Louisiana CASA. Nevertheless, Louisiana CASA, in coordination with the CASA Program Council, began developing a statewide recruitment plan and applied for funding to support this effort. Additionally, CASA programs expanded into the 8th Judicial District (Winn Parish), the 13th Judicial District (Evangeline Parish) and the 34th Judicial District (St. Bernard Parish) making CASA appointments a possibility for an additional 245 children in foster care.

In 2016-2017, Louisiana CASA participated in the TWC Conference Committee and the Pelican Center's Training and Education Committee, as well as the Mock Trial Workgroup. LouisianaChildren.org organized and hosted twenty (20) multi-disciplinary training events for stakeholders, as part of the Pelican Center and Court Improvement Training Program. Additionally, Louisiana CASA hosted three (3) trainings specific to the operation of a CASA program. Louisiana CASA has resolved most of the technical issues that were barriers to the operation of Indigo, and uploaded three (3) training modules. Additionally, Louisiana CASA developed and conducted a training needs survey of CASA volunteers and program staff. Three hundred twenty five (325) individuals responded to the survey, identifying the training topics most needed as well as the day and time most convenient for training.

In 2016-2017, the LGBTQ Task Force faced significant challenges in arranging meeting dates and times to conduct business with a quorum present. Nevertheless, LouisianaChildren.org researched best practices to address issues facing the LGBTQ foster care population and resources available for working with LGBTQ youth. In coordination with the Pelican Center, the LGBTQ task force hosted a training on LGBTQ issues entitled: "Quality of Care For Queer and Transgender Clients", conducted by Shaena Johnson of BreakOUT, which resulted in a 19.36% increase in knowledge. Efforts are being made to build the capacity of the LGBTQ Task Force, as well as develop a resource catalog and speaker bureau specific to the issues of LGBTQ youth.
Collaboration with the Court Improvement Program (CIP) and Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) - Activities Planned for FFY 2018: Louisiana CASA will conduct a statewide recruitment campaign with the goal of raising 300 new volunteer advocates. This represents approximately 10% growth which should translate into a 12-15% increase in foster children with a CASA volunteer assigned. Additionally, Louisiana CASA should be able to begin a CASA program in at least one of the four jurisdictions without a CASA Program. Louisiana CASA will continue to actively participate in the TWC Conference Committee and the Pelican Center Training and Education Committee. Results from the training survey will inform the Training Calendar for Louisiana CASA and should result in at least four training events addressing the topics identified as the most important by the survey participants. Further, Louisiana CASA will arrange videography and upload at least five training modules in Indigo. Louisiana CASA will continue to address the needs of special populations of foster care children by building the capacity of the LGBTQ Task Force, completing and disseminating surveys for LGBTQ foster youth, CASA volunteers, foster parents and LGBTQ staff. Additionally, Louisiana CASA will address the needs of transitioning youth by organizing a conference for sixteen and seventeen year old foster youth to provide education and training on topics necessary for youth to reach independence.

Collaboration with Tribes Update FFY 2016 Update: In order to develop knowledge of the ramifications of ICWA, the CIP Judicial Fellow, Judge Anne L. Simon (Retired) monitors the websites of NICWA and NARF for updates on jurisprudence. Judge Simon joined the National ICWA Constituency Group and has participated in two online meetings. She has been in email exchanges with Scott Trowbridge of the ABA.

During this period Judge Simon made two presentations on ICWA: one at TWC October 2015 and one at the Meeting of the Juvenile and Family Court Judges Winter Meeting January (That meeting was held at the Tribal Headquarters of the Tunica-Biloxi.) Additionally, the Fellow had opportunities to announce her availability to help with ICWA issues at the meetings of CJA, the Children’s Code and Persons Committees of the Louisiana Law Institute, and during contacts with the tribes in her capacity as an Associate Appeal Court Judge for the three of the four federally recognized tribes of Louisiana – the Chitimacha, Coushatta and Tunica-Biloxi. Judge Simon has met with the fourth tribe, the Choctaw Band of Jena, as they develop their judicial organization. The Fellow has worked with the Adoption section of DCFS to add ICWA inquiry to their practice.

Judge Simon’s availability to assist with ICWA compliance appears to be widely known. The Fellow has received four telephone calls from courts seeking ad hoc guidance when they have been confronted with the possibility of Native American heritage. She also receives informal information from attorneys for children that courts are asking the necessary questions with more regularity.

Update FFY 2017: DCFS CW Training continues to work closely with the four Federally Recognized Tribes of Louisiana. Each tribe is invited to participate in all trainings provided by DCFS CW and workshops provide through the LLCWTA. In FFY 2017, The DCFS CW Trainers and Manager visited the Social Services Department and Court at Chitimacha Reservation. This educational meeting/visit held on March 3, 2017; provide the CW Trainers valuable information
regarding ICWA Law and procedure. It provided a cultural educational experience regarding tribal customs, traditions, and language. In May 2017, newly hired Social Services staff employed by the Chitimacha Tribe will be provided CW Worker Orientation by the CW Training unit with assistance from Foster Care Program unit. A Child Welfare Trainer, along with other DCFS representatives, participated in the Title IV-B Tribal meeting with the tribes and federal representatives on May 9, 2017. An invitation was extended for the new staff at the Coushatta Tribe to participate in CW NWO along with new staff at the Chitimacha Tribe.

Collaboration with Tribes Activities Planned in FFY 2018: Work will closely continue with the federally recognized tribes in Louisiana. The tribes will be provided with information and announcements regarding DCFS sponsored training throughout the State. Tribes will be visited annually their involvement will be sought in the development of training for new and advanced level CW staff. Ongoing communications will continue within the local DCFS offices and tribal staff to provide opportunities to educate DCFS staff on cultural needs and ways to work better with Tribal families.

Judge Simon will continue to monitor compliance in contacts with CINC stakeholders and take advantage of every opportunity to offer assistance to the courts and continue to seek out opportunities to learn of developments. Judge Simon will continue in her role as advisor to Louisiana child welfare stakeholders on ICWA issues. In addition, Judge Simon is a member of and actively participates in the Capacity Building Center for Court’s ICWA Constituency Group and has, in collaboration with the CBCC, developed an ICWA checklist which will be distributed to child welfare stakeholders statewide.

An invitation was extended for the new staff at the Coushatta Tribe to participate in the CW Orientation along with the Chitimacha Tribe or in the future. A CW Trainer, along with other DCFS representatives, participated in the Title IV-B Tribal meeting with the tribes and federal representatives on May 9, 2017.

Training Activities Planned for FFY 2015-2019 (Please refer to the systemic factors section on Staff Training for additional information.):

SFY 2014
Key activities to be accomplished include:

Administrative
1. Develop the vision and mission for training academy;
2. Create infrastructure including a governance structure;
3. Work with individual public universities who are part of the Title IV-E alliance to ensure a shared vision and mutually beneficial partnership;
4. Develop MOU between DCFS, Pelican Center, and lead university (SLU);
5. Establish on-going communication strategies with CW executive management staff, program staff, and field staff, as well as with other key stakeholders;
6. Establish an initial budget for DCFS training and enter into a contract with SLU and others as needed to initiate the transfer of training responsibilities.
Service Delivery

1. In collaboration with the Pelican Center, compile a list of trainings that are currently being offered through DCFS, the Pelican Center, or the University Alliance;
2. Plan and conduct at least one training function as a partnership between the Pelican Center, University Alliance, and DCFS;
3. Arrange through contract, training for one cohort of supervisors and their managers, and mentoring of 3 additional staff as trainers of this curriculum to ensure internal capacity for the development and implementation of a plan of training and personal development of supervisors in the future.
4. Produce TWC conference on key areas of CW practice.

Update FFY 2015 & Activities Planned for FFY 2016:

1. Developed the vision and mission for the Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA).
   a. Mission: To provide training and professional development to child welfare staff and stakeholders.
   b. Vision: Through a partnership between the DCFS, the Pelican Center, and the University Alliance, the Child Welfare Training Academy will provide high quality training and professional development opportunities to develop a professional, competent, and stable workforce.
2. Create infrastructure including a governance structure;
   a. A comprehensive infrastructure is being built. DCFS has committed one full-time executive level staff (LCWTA Director) to ensure the Department’s priorities are represented, and the university partnership can be maximized. This position reports to the Deputy Secretary of Programs who in turn reports to the Secretary. However, the Secretary is fully accessible and in frequent contact with the LCWTA Director. The LCWTA is fully staffed with Southeastern employees; policies and procedures have been put into place for hiring, contracting, payments, etc. The contract between DCFS and Southeastern and the MOU between DCFS, Southeastern, and the Pelican Center detail the responsibilities of each party.
3. Work with individual public universities who are part of the Title IV-E alliance to ensure a shared vision and mutually beneficial partnership;
   a. A University Alliance meeting is held at least once per quarter to plan with and update all partners on the progress of the LCWTA. Individual meetings are held at least once per year to review the DCFS vision for the LCWTA/university partnership, DCFS’ commitment to workforce development, and the role each university can play in this vision.
4. A MOU between DCFS, Pelican Center, and lead university (SLU) was created.
5. Establish on-going communication strategies with CW executive management staff, program staff, and field staff, as well as with other key stakeholders;
   a. Written updates are provided to the Secretary and DCFS executive management on a monthly basis, and an in-person meeting is held with the Secretary to review progress, challenges, and to resolve any issues. Updates are provided to field staff at each monthly Web-ex hosted by the Secretary. Additional updates are provided to the Regional Administrators and State Office Programs and Operations staff at least quarterly during the regularly scheduled Operations meetings held the third Wednesday of each month. The LCWTA Director participates in numerous CW...
Program workgroups and bi-weekly conference calls with program leaders, operations leaders, and key stakeholders to ensure integrated implementation of all CW efforts. The LCWTA is on the advisory board of the Pelican Center and co-chair of the training advisory group for the Pelican Center.

Service Delivery

- In collaboration with the Pelican Center, a list of trainings that are currently being offered through DCFS, the Pelican Center, or the University Alliance was compiled.
- Plan and conduct at least one training function as a partnership between the Pelican Center, University Alliance, and DCFS:
  - The Trauma Conference and the TWC conference were both held in partnership between DCFS, Pelican Center, and the University Alliance.
- Arrange through contract, training for one cohort of supervisors and their managers, and mentoring of three additional staff as trainers of this curriculum to ensure internal capacity for the development and implementation of a plan of training and personal development of supervisors in the future.
  - Marsha Salus conducted a multi-session training program for a cohort of 22 supervisors over a four month period. Three additional DCFS staff participated in an effort to build internal capacity. These staff members will be responsible for helping to replicate the program using in-state personnel as trainers.
- Produce and hold the TWC conference on key areas of CW practice.

SFY 2015 Service Delivery

- Conduct a training needs assessment of DCFS staff and stakeholders;
  - The training needs identified in year one continues to be the focus of attention.
- Prioritize areas of focus based on the needs assessment for the next three years, and develop a plan for implementation;
  - Safety Plan Training: Advanced Safety Focused Practice was expanded to include training on “Creating Safety Plans”. A full day training for all levels of staff and an extra half day for supervisors. Pre and post tests were administered to track gains in knowledge, and a criterion test measured ability to create a safety plan meeting policy criteria.
  - Creating Permanency: The LCWTA partnered with the National Association of Social Workers to sponsor a 10-session certificate program “Creating Permanency: Foster Care and Adoption Advanced Competency Certificate Program”. DCFS identified 22 staff from across the state to participate in this intensive training. In addition to those participating, five people (four DCFS employees and one stakeholder) were identified, trained, and mentored by the presenter during and following each session in preparation to proceed with implementation of the program.
- Develop and implement phase one of a multi-level professional development plan for supervisors;
The LCWTA through SLU contracted with LSU to lead the development of a 12-month Training and Professional Development Plan for New Supervisors. This training is based on developing core skills in administrative, educational, and supportive supervision. In addition to developing these skills, the Department customized the curriculum to include more case related material and specific policies/practices applicable in Louisiana. The comprehensive plan was developed with the input of an advisory group of supervisors and managers over a period of several months.

- In collaboration with SLU, engage each University Alliance member in exploring and establishing their role within the training academy;
- Engaging each university in the development and implementation of the LCWTA is an ongoing effort. See above details of each university’s area that has been identified. Progress has been made and this will continue to be developed.
- Transition child welfare in-service training to the University Alliance and/or the Pelican Center. All in-service training is currently provided through the LCWTA or the Pelican Center.
- Produce TWC conference on key areas of CW practice.

The Pelican Center Training and Education Committee is fully staffed and functional. The Committee continues its work with DCFS and University Alliance partners to develop a comprehensive CW training and education curriculum for Louisiana, all in accord with the Pelican Center’s mission and vision. The committee continues to meet monthly. The committee has adopted a standard curriculum format to each training module to be developed, and a policy to approve curricula. The committee conducts pre/post-testing around each event and a standardized course evaluation instrument. The information gleaned from this format and these processes will help to ensure consistency.

Activities Planned for FFY 2017 - 2019:
SFY 2016
Service Delivery
- Implement Level 1 priorities from needs assessment;
- Establish key partnerships for advanced level training in areas such as domestic violence, mental health, and substance abuse;
- Produce Together We Can conference on key areas of child welfare practice.

SFY 2016 Areas of Focus
Administrative
Personnel (Transition DCFS-CW trainers and manager to LCWTA; hire specialist to develop online training; hire training assistant to coordinate logistics and conduct educationally appropriate material)
Financing (Construct new budgets with all university partners and put into place monitoring procedures to ensure sufficient funds are generated to support the current budget)
Policy and procedures (Develop a comprehensive policy and procedural manual to cover all activities of the LCWTA.
Evaluation (Design and implement overall evaluation guided by federal expectations)
Website (Establish website connected to DCFS/Pelican Center/universities/CLARO so that resources can be shared; will serve as hosting site for various recorded webinars, etc.)

Training: New Worker
- Competencies (Adoption of new worker competencies)
- Curriculum development (Development of 24 weeks of intensive training for new caseworkers; numerous modules to be developed)
- Identification and preparation of trainers (Determine the number of trainers needed to competently train new worker content; identify trainer competencies and attempt to hire those with the required competencies; develop plan to increase competencies of current trainers who do not already have necessary competencies)

Training: In-service
- Substance abuse (Assessing the impact of substance abuse on parenting; what you need to know to assess the safety of substance exposed newborns)
- Mental Health (Understanding how to assess the impact of mental health issues on parental protective capacity)
- Domestic Violence (Understanding how to assess the impact of domestic violence issues on parental protective capacity)
- Engaging Difficult Clients (Core components of Motivational Interviewing)
- Adolescent Development (In context of trauma/cw involvement; normalcy)

Stipend Program
- Reallocation of stipends (Implement recommendations of workgroup to prioritize DCFS staff for MSW stipends toward a goal of ½ of all supervisors in each CW office having a MSW or related degree)
- Internship experiences (Develop standardized experiences for all interns and create a supervisor’s guide; integrate new worker training)
- Data collection and evaluation (Establish clear goals and data necessary to track in order to evaluate effectiveness.)

Foster Parent Training
- Certification (Review up-to-date models and determine best model for LA)
- Post-certification (Create 3 year plan for prescribed training; identify content experts for Year 1 choices; develop and implement plan for Year 1)

Continuation of New Supervisor and Permanency Certificate Programs (2 cohorts per year)

SFY 2017
Service Delivery
- Implement Level 2 priorities from needs assessment.
- Produce annual TWC interdisciplinary conference on key areas of CW practice involving safety, permanency and the well-being of children in or at risk of entering the foster care system.

SFY 2018
Service Delivery
• Implement Level 3 priorities from needs assessment.

SFY 2015-2019

Administrative
• Evaluate progress of LCWTA implementation and effectiveness each year, and in 2019, conduct evaluation over the past five years. Develop new CFSP based on additional research, data analysis, and lessons learned.

Service Delivery
• Continue to operate a fully functioning LCWTA for all CW staff and stakeholders, including a focus on recruitment, hiring, and retention as well as research and program evaluation.
• Produce Annual TWC conference on key areas of CW.

Update for FFY 2017: The 24 week Orientation for new CW staff was revised in January 2017, to provide new staff more activities to ensure they were more job-ready. The sequence of training was also re-organized, moving specialized training in CPS, FS and FC to week 3 of classroom based training and creating topically focused 1-2 day training modules in high priority areas to be completed by all new workers within their first 6 months to one year of working in child welfare. The modules will also begin to be offered to existing staff as in-service training opportunities. Priority topics in 2017 transitioning into 2018 include Child Welfare Basics, Trauma Focused Care, Separation and Placement, Cultural Consciousness, Child and Adolescent Development, Basic Legal Training, Courtroom Simulation Training, Worker Safety, Physical Indicators of Maltreatment, Substance Use and Child Welfare, and Domestic Violence and Child Welfare. Please see the attached draft of the Child Welfare Curriculum (New Worker Orientation) dated April 1, 2017.

CW Training and the LCWTA continued to use the evaluation developed by the LCWTA based on the evaluation and reports received from New Jersey. Information was gathered and submitted for review within 48 hours of training. The evaluations processes will continue to be refined to include the gathering of information from pre/post-test results and evaluations of training.

Some of the barriers in reporting include the use of students to calculate and report information. Student workers are hired part-time by the university and are not readily accessible to DCFS staff. Also, when the university is closed for summer session students are not available for work. During semester breaks, information is gathered and held until a student is available.

DCFS with its partnership with the LCWTA, the Pelican Center and the Universities Alliance will continue to provide training opportunities for DCFS staff, federally recognized tribes and other partners. DCFS continues to partner with other state agencies and University Alliance partners to ensure quality competency based trainings.

The LCWTA will continue to strive toward developing a robust evaluation system and will continue efforts focused on developing a competency based curriculum.

During FFY 2017, frontline staff was provided training to improve skills when interviewing children with disabilities. The Building Communications Skills: Child Victims with Disabilities Training provided by Scott Model was sponsored by the Children’s Justice Act Task Force and
provided two day training for all staff and community partners that worked with child victims of abuse and neglect. Training was provided from January 2017, through February 2017, in three locations through the State. Approximately 200 additional DCFS employees participated in this training opportunity, which was also provided in 2015 and 2016.

DCFS Training partnered with local residential partner to provide Understanding Girls: A Trauma Informed Perspective, Changing the Way We Think and Talk about Girls. This training was provided to approximately 120 DCFS and 5 Methodist Children’s Home staff from November 2016 to April 2017. Due to the overwhelming response from staff 6 additional training sessions will be held between May and July 2017.

DCFS offered the Supervisory Certification Program to new supervisors and the Permanency Certificate Program in 2017.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

1. Adapt and operationalize New Jersey’s Five Arcs of Success (Outstanding Customer Service, Measuring and Communicating Outcomes, Blending/Merging Operations with the University Partnership, Impressive Delivery of Training, and Fiscal Responsibility) into the LCWTA Business Model and staff and align resources consistent with the model, identifying and systematically filling existing gaps in order of highest priority.
2. Enhance needs assessment and evaluation processes through the hiring of full-time professional staff in the LCWTA to be focused on effecting the following functions as a top priority:
   a. Integrate on-going needs assessment as a component of existing training and workforce development activities, including gathering additional learner (e.g. educational background, previous experience, etc.) and supervisory information to inform curriculum and training needs.
   b. Provide more robust and consistent analysis of data and standardization of reports to support high quality training and professional development of learners as well as continuous improvement in the overall training and professional development system.
   c. Collaborate with the Stipend Program Lead at Northwestern State University to incorporate stipend program data, analysis and reporting into overall LCWTA reporting.
   d. In collaboration with LCWTA Leads, implement a comprehensive communication strategy to inform and engage staff, foster parents, students, university faculty and staff, judges and legal staff, providers, and other key partners in the training and workforce development goals of LCWTA.
3. Continue strengthening new worker training:
   a. expanding hands-on Baton Rouge CPS New Worker pilot into additional program and areas,
   c. adding more experiential and simulation activities (e.g. courtroom simulation,
(d) expanding interdisciplinary training with partners (e.g. Child Welfare Basics, Cultural Consciousness, Safety Focused Practice, Child Development and the Legal System, SBIRT, ACES).

(4) Expand and refine in-person course offerings in priority areas of need including substance abuse and child welfare, domestic violence, safety planning, and LGBTQ youth. Expand use of technology to offer “live” training throughout the state as well as on-demand on-line courses in additional priority areas of need including QPI, interdisciplinary child and adolescent development in context of legal system, and juvenile trafficking. Collaborate with Amerigroup to offer courses in self-care and resilience and worker safety.

(5) Expand network of child welfare trainers in Louisiana and trainer professional development opportunities to enhance training and effective transfer of learning.

(6) Continue offering Supervisory Certification Program for New Supervisors and evaluate options for creating a Master Supervisor Certificate Program to support the professional development of more experienced supervisors.

(7) Strengthen and re-align the Child Welfare Title IVE Stipend Program and related DCFS policies and procedures to provide greater support and guidance to stipend students and supervisors in creating meaningful internship experiences as well as specialized learning opportunities, supervision, licensure, and peer support to stipend program graduates during the first few years of their employment with DCFS. DCFS and the LCWTA are also initiating the DCFS Child Welfare Employee MSW Educational Support Program to support staff obtaining advanced degrees in social work and committing to investing in child welfare longer-term.

(8) Continue to implement and evaluate the competency based screening and selection process for selecting stipend students and new staff for child welfare roles. Further integrate competency based approach into new worker and on-going training. Complete phase 2 assessment process in the Quality Improvement Center for Workforce Development grant process toward receiving support for comprehensive approach to child welfare workforce development in Louisiana for the next 5 years.
Estimated Total Cost/Indication of Allowable Title IV-E Administration: Title IV-E and Title IV-B and Title XX (SSBG) funds are utilized for allowable training and administrative costs. The non-federal match includes state general funds and in-kind funds. The state’s Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) identifies which costs are allocated and claimed under Title IV-E and other benefiting programs. A portion of training costs allocated to Title IV-E, IV-B and SSBG are based on a 100% time study conducted by all Child Welfare (CW) Trainers. Each trainer accounts for all hours in the work week, their activities, including training and training related work (i.e. course development, course updates and preparation), and enters that information into a database.

The database, which was created to document and track training activities, contains all courses from the CW training curriculum and each course is coded with the appropriate funding source. Monthly reports are generated and submitted to budget and fiscal staff.

Random Moment Sampling (RMS) procedures are in place and field staff is sampled on an ongoing basis. The process identifies activities that staff is engaged in including training activities. Depending on the function being performed or the content being trained, the allowable federal funds are claimed.

In FFY 2013, DCFS expended $9,729,115 (amount includes federal funds and state general funds) in allowable Title IV-E training expenditures, state general funds, in the amount of $1,921,500 were allocated for foster care training and $510,779 for adoption training. In FFY 2014, DCFS expended $3,983,126 (amount includes federal funds and state general funds) in allowable Title IV-E training expenditures, state general funds, in the amount of $2,574,894 were allocated for foster care training and $84,985 for adoption training. In FFY 2015, DCFS expended $3,584,590 (amount includes federal funds and state general funds) in allowable Title IV-E training expenditures, state general funds, in the amount of $789,455 were allocated for foster care training and $106,693 for adoption training.

In FFY 2016, DCFS expended $3,237,830 (amount includes federal funds and state general funds) in allowable Title IV-E training expenditures, state general funds, in the amount of $636,110 were allocated for foster care training and $100,293 for adoption training.

Training expenditures include: travel, per diem, tuition, books and registration fees for trainers; salaries, fringe benefits, travel and per diem for staff development personnel assigned to training functions to the extent of time spent performing such functions; costs of space, postage, training supplies and purchase or development of training material. The following chart outlines actual and projected training expenditures.

Please note the reduction of actual cost for FFY 2015, associated with training expenditures in the categories below are a result of the reorganization of DCFS and the CW Training Section. All in-service trainings provided by CW Training were moved into the DCFS contract with Southeastern Louisiana University (SLU) to develop and implement a LCWTA. CW Training experienced a reduction of staff and expenditures during this time period. CW Training staff was responsible for the implement and training or New Child Welfare Worker Orientation. The contract with SLU is included in “Other Charges” listed on the Projected Training Expenditures chart.)
## Projected Training Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries - cost allocated expenses for staff in the field and state office including stipends</td>
<td>$2,270,382</td>
<td>$5,579,376</td>
<td>$662,487</td>
<td>$662,487</td>
<td>$1,941,153</td>
<td>$647,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$2,897</td>
<td>$7,282</td>
<td>$64,394</td>
<td>$64,394</td>
<td>$2,785</td>
<td>$199,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Services - advertising, printing, equip. maintenance, rental equipment/buildings, utilities, telephone services, postage, building security, dues, etc.</td>
<td>$13,654</td>
<td>$40,810</td>
<td>$11,769</td>
<td>$11,769</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>$3,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>$843</td>
<td>$6,879</td>
<td>$598</td>
<td>$598</td>
<td>$281</td>
<td>$687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interagency Transfers - services provided by other state agencies for services such as telephone, insurance, building rentals, indirect cost, printing and advertising</td>
<td>$781,380</td>
<td>$3,169,562</td>
<td>$1,758,677</td>
<td>$1,758,677</td>
<td>$963</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges - contract with university for the purpose of developing child welfare curricula to prepare future graduates for competent practice in child protection, family services, foster care and adoption programs, and training of foster and adoptive parents.</td>
<td>$914,813</td>
<td>$1,041,704</td>
<td>$1,086,664</td>
<td>$1,086,664</td>
<td>$1,292,528</td>
<td>$3,615,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,983,126</td>
<td>$9,845,613</td>
<td>$3,584,589</td>
<td>$3,584,589</td>
<td>$3,237,830</td>
<td>$4,467,319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cost Allocation Methodology:

The Department has exercised the provisions of the Social Security Act, Sections 474(a)(3)(A) and (B); 45 CFR 1356.60(b) and (c), 235.63-235.66(a) to make claims under Title IV-E at the 75% rate and, when appropriate at the 50% rate, for training (including both short-term training and long-term training at educational institutions, through state grants to the public institutions or by direct financial assistance to students enrolled in such institution) of personnel employed or preparing for employment by the state agency. The amount deemed claimable in IV-E is specified in individual contracts with the institutions and individuals.

Budgetary impact is a primary consideration for training, therefore most training is held at the state office located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. If there is a cluster of trainees in a particular area the training is conducted there. Less travel costs are incurred using this method. The average cost per person will vary based on lodging and meal allowances. The majority of training within the Child Welfare Training Unit is developed by the training staff. The costs listed below were developed using the formula below and is applied to all child welfare training courses.

**Travel Costs** - Travel and Training costs from October 1, 2013 – September 30, 2014, are as follow:

- Lodging: Avg. $104.00 (low for Tier 1 - $77.00 – high for Tier 2 - $131.00 per night excluding taxes and surcharge)
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- Meals: Average of $47 per day; (Tier I - $41 per day: Breakfast $8; Lunch $12; Dinner $21; Tier II (including New Orleans) - $52 per day: Breakfast $10; Lunch $14; Dinner $28.)
- Trainees’ workbooks: average cost $8 per workbook
- DCFS Trainer Cost: Average salary cost and benefits of $70 per day per trainer. One eight hour day of trainer salary is $560.00. Some courses are taught by 2 trainers (ex. New Worker Orientation) bringing the trainer cost to $1120 per day.
- Contract Trainer Cost: $1900/day (daily rate inclusive of consultant fee and expenses)
- Training Site: The figures below are based on a free centralized location (such as state office) therefore; no fees are associated with the minimum and maximum costs.

Note: The formulary (below) does not include trainees’ salaries, mileage, parking, or telephone calls nor does it include trainer course development time, course update time or preparation time.

- Minimum Cost: For trainings held at the DCFS state office/headquarters or a DCFS regional office with the MIN number of trainees (10) incurring costs of average lodging cost $104 + $47 for meals and $8/workbook = $1590 ($159/trainee)
  - With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $2,150.00 ($215/trainee)
  - With two DCFS trainers ($1,120.00) = $2,710/day ($271/trainee)
  - With Contract Trainer $1900 = $3490 ($349/trainee)
- Maximum Cost: For training held at the DCFS state office/headquarters or a DCFS regional office with the MAX number of trainees (27) incurring costs of average lodging cost $104 + $47 for meals and $8 for workbooks = $4293 ($159/trainee)
  - With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $4,853.00 ($180/trainee)
  - With two DCFS trainers ($1,120) = $5,413.00 ($200/trainee)
  - With Contract Trainer $1900 = $6193 ($229/trainee)

For additional information on ongoing training in the core curriculum, please refer to the DCFS Training and Staff Development Chart in Appendix B.

Update FFY 2015:
Travel Costs: Travel and Training costs from October 1, 2014 – September 30, 2015, are as follow:
- Lodging: Avg. $117.00 (low for Tier I - $83.00 – high for Tier 2 - $151.00 per night excluding taxes and surcharge)
- Meals: Average of $53.50 per day; (Tier I - $51 per day: Breakfast $9; Lunch $13; Dinner $29; Tier II (including New Orleans) - $56 per day: Breakfast $10; Lunch $16; Dinner $30.)
- Trainees’ workbooks: average cost $15 per workbook
- DCFS Trainer Cost: Average salary cost and benefits of $70 per day per trainer. One eight hour day of trainer salary is $560.00. Some courses are taught by two trainers (ex. New Worker Orientation) bringing the trainer cost to $1120 per day.
- Contract Trainer Cost: $2000/day (daily rate inclusive of consultant fee and expenses)
- Training Site: The figures below are based on a free centralized location (such as state office) therefore; no fees are associated with the minimum and maximum costs.
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Note: The formulary (below) does not include trainees’ salaries, mileage, parking, or telephone calls nor does it include trainer course development time, course update time or preparation time.

- Minimum Cost: For training held at the DCFS state office/headquarters or a DCFS regional office with the MIN number of trainees (10) incurring costs of average lodging cost $117 + $54.50 for meals and $15/workbook = $1865.00 ($186.50/trainee)
  - With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $2,425.00 ($242.50/trainee)
  - With two DCFS trainers ($1,120.00) = $2,985.00/day ($298.50/trainee)
  - With Contract Trainer $2000 = $3865.00 ($386.50/trainee)

- Maximum Cost: For training held at the DCFS state office/headquarters or a DCFS regional office with the MAX number of trainees (30) incurring costs of average lodging cost $117 + $53.50 for meals and $15 for workbooks = $5,595 ($186.50/trainee)
  - With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $6,155.00 ($205.16/trainee)
  - With two DCFS trainers ($1,120) = $6,715.00 ($223.83/trainee)
  - With Contract Trainer $2000 = $7,595.00 ($253.16/trainee)

For additional information on ongoing training in the core curriculum, please refer to the DCFS Training and Staff Development Chart in Appendix B.

Update FFY 2016:
Travel Costs: Travel and Training costs from October 1, 2015 – September 30, 2016, are as follows:

- Lodging: Avg. $117.00 (low for Tier I - $83.00 – high for Tier 2 - $151.00 per night excluding taxes and surcharge)
- Meals: Average of $53.50 per day; (Tier I - $51 per day: Breakfast $9; Lunch $13; Dinner $29; Tier II (including New Orleans) - $56 per day: Breakfast $10; Lunch $16; Dinner $30.)
- Trainees’ workbooks: average cost $15 per workbook
- DCFS Trainer Cost: Average salary cost and benefits of $70 per day per trainer. One eight hour day of trainer salary is $560.00. Some courses are taught by two trainers (ex. New Worker Orientation) bringing the trainer cost to $1120 per day.
- Contract Trainer Cost: $2000/day (daily rate inclusive of consultant fee and expenses)
- Training Site: The figures below are based on a free centralized location (such as state office) therefore; no fees are associated with the minimum and maximum costs.

Note: The formulary (below) does not include trainees’ salaries, mileage, parking, or telephone calls nor does it include trainer course development time, course update time or preparation time.

- Minimum Cost: For training held at the DCFS state office/headquarters or a DCFS regional office with the MIN number of trainees (10) incurring costs of average lodging cost $117 + $54.50 for meals and $15/workbook = $1865.00 ($186.50/trainee)
  - With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $2,425.00 ($242.50/trainee)
  - With two DCFS trainers ($1,120.00) = $2,985.00/day ($298.50/trainee)
  - With Contract Trainer $2000 = $3865.00 ($386.50/trainee)
• Maximum Cost: For training held at the DCFS state office/headquarters or a DCFS regional office with the MAX number of trainees (30) incurring costs of average lodging cost $117 + $53.50 for meals and $15 for workbooks = $5,595 ($186.50/trainee)
  o With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $6,155.00 ($205.16/trainee)
  o With two DCFS trainers ($1,120) = $6,715.00 ($223.83/trainee)
  o With Contract Trainer $2000 = $7,595.00 ($253.16/trainee)

For additional information on ongoing training in the core curriculum, please refer to the DCFS Training and Staff Development Chart in Appendix B.

**Update FFY 2017**: Travel Costs: Travel and Training costs from October 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017, are as follows:

- Lodging: Avg. $124.50 (low for Tier I - $89.00 – high for Tier 2 - $160.00 per night excluding taxes and surcharge)
- Meals: Average of $53.50 per day; (Tier I - $51.00 per day: Breakfast $9.00; Lunch $13.00; Dinner $29.00; Tier II (including New Orleans) - $56.00 per day: Breakfast $10.00; Lunch $16.00; Dinner $30.00.)
- Trainees’ workbooks: average cost $15.00 per workbook
- DCFS Trainer Cost: Average salary cost and benefits of $70.00 per day per trainer. One eight hour day of trainer salary is $560.00. Some courses are taught by two trainers (ex. New Worker Orientation) bringing the trainer cost to $1,120 per day.
- Contract Trainer Cost: Average of $650.00 per day. The Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LLCWTA) contracts with trainers at the following rates: $500.00 per day within their domicile. $750.00 per day outside of their domicile. This daily rate includes travel, consultations, and other expenses.
- Training Site: The figures below are based on a free centralized location (such as state office) therefore; no fees are associated with the minimum and maximum costs.

Note: The formulary (below) does not include trainees’ salaries, mileage, parking, or telephone calls nor does it include trainer course development time, course update time or preparation time.

- Minimum Cost: For training held at the state office/headquarters or a DCFS regional office with the MIN number of trainees (10) incurring costs of average lodging cost $124.50 + $53.50 for meals and $15/workbook = $1,930.00, per day ($193.00/trainee)
  o With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $2,490.00 ($249.00/trainee)
  o With two DCFS trainers ($1,120.00) = $3,050.00/day ($305.00/trainee)
  o With Contract Trainer ($650.00) = $2,580.00 ($258.00/trainee)
- Maximum Cost: For training held at the state office/headquarters or a regional office with the MAX number of trainees (30) incurring costs of average lodging cost $124.50 + $53.50 for meals and $15 for workbooks = $5,775.00 per day ($193.00/trainee)
  o With one DCFS trainer ($560.00) = $6,350.00 ($211.67/trainee)
  o With two DCFS trainers ($1,120) = $6,895.00 ($229.83/trainee)
  o With Contract Trainer ($650.00) = $6,425.00 ($214.17/trainee)

For additional information on ongoing training in the core curriculum, please refer to the DCFS Training and Staff Development Chart in Appendix B.
CHILD ABUSE AND PREVENTION TREATMENT ACT STATE PLAN: The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) is designated to manage the Child Abuse and Prevention Treatment Act (CAPTA) grant funds. CAPTA funds are utilized with Title IV-B funds and Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) funds in Louisiana to prevent, identify, and treat child abuse and neglect situations.

This plan, which complies with the CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010, Public Law 111-320, profiles services provided and will remain in effect for the duration of the state’s participation in the grant program. The state assures periodic review and revisions to the plan to reflect any changes in strategies or programs. The state provides notice of any substantive changes relating to the prevention of child abuse and neglect that may affect eligibility for the grant program including statutory and regulatory changes.

The following pages describe how the funds provided under CAPTA were and will be used to address the purposes of the grant and achieve the objectives of the grant. Substantive changes to the use of CAPTA funds include the funding of services related to Human Trafficking (HT). Louisiana is fully compliant with all federal legislation related to HT. DCFS has amended its policies related to disclosure of fatalities and near fatalities to direct that the Department shall share information on these cases. In practice, and for many years, DCFS has always shared the information on fatalities and near fatalities when requested.

Most recently, and to comply with Public Law 114-198, House Bill 678 passed the state legislature and on June 22, 2017 the Governor signed Act 359. The Department then promulgated an emergency rule that went into effect on 10/1/17. The legislation is described in more detail in the following pages.

PROGRAM AREAS SUPPORTED BY CAPTA FUNDS: In accordance with section 106(b) (1) (A) of CAPTA, the state addresses services and programs with grant funds in order to improve the child protective service system of the state. Of the 14 program areas allowable under CAPTA guidelines, the state utilizes funds in the following program areas:

A.) ALLOWABLE AREAS:

- Intake, assessment, screening, and investigation of reports of child abuse or neglect;
- Developing, facilitating the use of, and implementing research-based strategies and training protocols for individuals mandated to report child abuse and neglect;
- Developing and updating systems of technology that support the program and track reports of child abuse and neglect from intake through final disposition and allow interstate and intrastate information exchange;
- Case management, including ongoing case monitoring, and delivery of services and treatment provided to children and their families.

SERVICES PROVIDED:

A. Child Protective Services (CPS) including:
Common Access Front End - Transformation Phase I included the implementation of a Customer Call Center, Document Imaging and Content Management System, and a Common Access Front End System (CAFÉ). With the Child Welfare System Development Project (CWSDP), formally known as Transformation Phase II, DCFS envisions building upon the investments made, to ultimately achieve the complete replacement of legacy information systems. The CWSDP planning team was tasked with identifying opportunities for replacement of the current “legacy systems”.

In October of 2014, functionality was added in CAFÉ. CAFÉ provides a public facing provider portal for the submission of foster/adoptive parent applications, emergency preparedness plans, requests for reimbursement, and viewing of payment history. The CAFÉ provider worker portal includes functionality that provides for submission of foster/adoptive parent applications, approval of reimbursement requests, referrals for vendor services to specific vendors and the management of provider case activities. The worker portal provides an assignment dashboard, scheduling that integrates with Outlook, cross program case search to view data from other program areas (as allowed by law), rules and regulations, tasks and alerts. It allows for presentation of case information from TIPS, data entry wizard with integration with TIPS, staffing wizard for recording and storing of case staffing, and an IV-E wizard to automate the IV-E process. [For additional information on Information Systems, please refer to the state’s 2017 Annual Progress and Services Report (APSR) and the Systemic Factor for Information Management.]

**FFY 2016 Update:** The Department continued to refine and enhance CAFÉ and its interface with TIPS as business practices are added or changed. In parallel the CWSDP Planning Team continues to work towards planning for the development and implementation of legacy information system replacement for the Child Welfare Program. The Annual Advanced Planning Document Update was submitted to all federal partners in October 2016 and it provided an overview of activities and project timelines for CWSDP. Due to the change in DCFS priorities, which includes the dire need for a modernized comprehensive solution and the uncertainty regarding the impact of the budget on the long-term planning efforts, timeframes for FFY 2017 project tasks were adjusted, leaving the need to re-evaluate the timeframe for future project tasks when submitting the 2018 Annual APD. The implementation period for a C solution has been targeted for May 2019.

**FFY 2017 Update:** In the summer of 2016 leadership determined a comprehensive Child Welfare solution would need to be implemented. The Department decided to expedite the implementation of the new solution, which resulted in the CWSDP team severing from the OneDCFS Transformation Project Advanced Planning Document (APD) submission. The newly formed CWSDP submitted a Planning Advanced Planning Document (PAPD) to the Administration of Children and Families (ACF) on December 15, 2016. The PAPD requested funding to support the activities required to plan for the procurement of technology solutions and technical assistance in the development of a certified Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System (CCWIS) to replace the current legacy systems. The PAPD was approved effective December 1, 2016.

The CWSDP is currently working on developing the Implementation Advanced Planning Document (IAPD) to requesting funding to develop and implement a CCWIS compliant system.
Once funding is secured from ACF, the project team plans to publish a Request for Proposals (RFP) in the fall to procure an Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) vendor.

**Activities Planned FFY 2018:** The CWSDP will continue its procurement efforts to secure an IV&V vendor, and will publish an RFP to procure a Design, Development and Implementation (DDI) vendor. The Design, Development and Implementation portion of the project will begin in the summer of 2018 once contract negotiations for the IV&V and DDI vendors are complete and the vendors are fully onboard. The new system will be broken into five modules and will be developed incrementally. The first module will be the Intake and Investigations module and is expected to last approximately 9-12 months.

2.) **Centralized Intake (CI) Service Description** – A CI system was developed by DCFS in 2011. The Department provides a toll-free, statewide child abuse reporting hotline number (1-855-452-5437) that is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (24/7). The hotline is operated by CPS teleworkers who work from home throughout the state.

The centralized child abuse hotline is operated by approximately 48 teleworkers, ten supervisors, three managers, and two support staff. Staff is selected based on the following guidelines/qualities:

- Experience in the CPS Program;
- Proficient in TIPS/ACCESS searches;
- Excellent computer, writing and typing skills;
- Ability to multi-task such as entering data, interviewing the reporter and searching for the client in TIPS and ACCESS;
- Excellent speaking and communication skills.

CI staff has been trained in the Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) Model also referred to as Safety Focused Practice (SFP) and CI managers provide individual training and mentoring to the supervisors and CI specialists on an ongoing basis. Managers travel statewide to provide community education to mandated reporters such as law enforcement, medical and school personnel.

**CI Area of Focus:** In August 2014 DCFS made changes to the response priority for reports of abuse and neglect. Subsequent to this practice change, a new response priority instrument was implemented and CI managers have continued to monitor implementation.

From August 2014 to November 2014 there was a predictable shift in data indicating a reduction in cases identified as Priority 1 and Priority 2. There was a five percent increase in Priority 3 cases, and Priority 4 cases doubled. Not Applicable cases increased by one percent. See data indicated in graphs below:
The CWSDP is currently working on developing the Implementation Advanced Planning Document (IAPD) to requesting funding to develop and implement a CCWIS compliant system. Once funding is secured from ACF, the project team plans to publish a Request for Proposals (RFP) in the fall to procure an Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) vendor.

**Activities Planned FFY 2018:** The CWSDP will continue its procurement efforts to secure an IV&V vendor, and will publish an RFP to procure a Design, Development and Implementation (DDI) vendor. The Design, Development and Implementation portion of the project will begin in the summer of 2018 once contract negotiations for the IV&V and DDI vendors are complete and the vendors are fully onboard. The new system will be broken into five modules and will be developed incrementally. The first module will be the Intake and Investigations module and is expected to last approximately 9-12 months.

2.) **Centralized Intake (CI) Service Description** – A CI system was developed by DCFS in 2011. The Department provides a toll-free, statewide child abuse reporting hotline number (1-855-452-5437) that is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (24/7). The hotline is operated by Child Protection Services (CPS) teleworkers who will work from home and are stationed throughout the state.

The centralized child abuse hotline is operated by approximately 48 teleworkers, ten supervisors, three managers, and two support staff. Staff is selected based on the following guidelines/qualities:

- Experience in the CPS Program;
- Proficient in TIPS/ACESS searches;
- Excellent computer, writing and typing skills;
- Ability to multi-task such as entering data, interviewing the reporter and searching for the client in TIPS and ACESS;
- Excellent speaking and communication skills.

CI staff has been trained in the Advanced Safety Focused Practice (ASFP) Model also referred to as Safety Focused Practice (SFP) and CI managers provide individual training and mentoring to the supervisors and CI specialists on an ongoing basis. Managers travel statewide to provide community education to mandated reporters such as law enforcement, medical and school personnel.

**CI Area of Focus:** In August 2014 DCFS made changes to the response priority for reports of abuse and neglect. Subsequent to this practice change, a new response priority instrument was implemented and CI managers have continued to monitor implementation.

From August 2014 to November 2014 there was a predictable shift in data indicating a reduction in cases identified as Priority 1 and Priority 2. There was a five percent increase in Priority 3 cases, and Priority 4 cases doubled. Not Applicable cases increased by one percent. See data indicated in graphs below:
The new response priority system continued with improved outcomes as evidenced by a reduction of Priority 1’s from 23% in August 2014 to 16% in September 2015. Priority 2’s were 23%, September 2015, 20%. Priority 3’s, August 2014 were 13%, September 2015, 14% and finally, Priority 4’s were 4% and September, 5%. Managers worked closely with supervisors to train their staff on the response tool and continue the progress with SFP decision-making. The quality assurance measure of reviewing calls has continued with 20 recorded calls each month and 30 recorded when possible. The assistance of a Child Welfare Consultant separate from the CI unit was utilized to support an optimal environment for objectivity and for greater transparency of possible issues or concerns. A two-day training of the managers working with the supervisors was held on December 4-5, 2015 to continue to refine decision-making. This training supported more face-to-face interactions to enhance working relationships. Workers went to local office trainings to connect with local office staff and assure their continued networks with other child welfare staff.
During high call volume times, callers are frequently experiencing long hold times. With the new system, callers now have an option to leave their number for a call-back from an intake worker. There is a wait time associated with this during long hold times. With the new system, callers now have an option to leave their number for a call-back from an intake worker. There is a wait time associated with this during high call volume times. 

Based on the numbers cited above, CI staff experience an average of 450 calls daily. Divided by a 7.5 hour work day (this equals one staff person) this results in a need of 60 intake workers. At this time, DCFS has only 48 workers. During high call volume times, callers are frequently experiencing long hold times. With the new system, callers now have an option to leave their number for a call-back from an intake worker. There is a wait time associated with this during high call volume times. 

**Update FFY 2017:**

### CALLS RECEIVED BY CENTRALIZED INTAKE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Calls Received 2015-2016</td>
<td>8285</td>
<td>9380</td>
<td>9062</td>
<td>9693</td>
<td>8373</td>
<td>7742</td>
<td>7941</td>
<td>8112</td>
<td>8720</td>
<td>8049</td>
<td>7795</td>
<td>6671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Calls Received 2016-2017</td>
<td>6523</td>
<td>9279</td>
<td>9185</td>
<td>8634</td>
<td>8893</td>
<td>7787</td>
<td>9493</td>
<td>9648</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NUMBER OF INTAKES RECEIVED AND PERCENTAGE OF INTAKES ACCEPTED FOR CPS SERVICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Reports Received 2015-2016</td>
<td>4121</td>
<td>4668</td>
<td>4916</td>
<td>4794</td>
<td>4189</td>
<td>4038</td>
<td>4445</td>
<td>4460</td>
<td>4624</td>
<td>4917</td>
<td>4693</td>
<td>3920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Reports Accepted 2015-2016</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The breakdown of calls received through CI is as follows:

- 100,000 calls per year
- 48,000 are informational
- 52,000 are reports of alleged abuse and neglect requiring a disposition
- 26,000 are reports of abuse and neglect that are accepted for services by CPS.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018: The focus for the program of CI for FFY 2018 will be quality assurance and implementing an online solution for mandated reporters to submit and electronic written report of abuse and/or neglect.
Quality assurance areas of focus:

- Customer Service: Assessing our response to callers for courtesy, responsiveness during call and provision of information on resources, as needed.
- Call Response Metrics: Analyzing and improving our response time to calls, specifically
  - Maintaining an average speed to answer to at or below 10 minutes
  - Increasing the percentage of calls answered to 75% or above

CI is in the initial phase of implementing a process for mandated reporters to report concerns of abuse and/or neglect online electronically. Activities to be completed include:

- Revisions to the Mandated Reporter Form
- System changes to CAFÉ
- Development of a User Guide for CAFÉ
- Planning and implementation of media and/or announcement to Mandated Reporters of the availability of an online reporting process
- Revisions to CI processes to include acceptance and disposition of online reports
- Development of reports to capture data related to online reports
  - Determining data elements to capture related to online reports

**Customer Service:** In order to provide an ongoing assessment of customer service, a child welfare consultant, not assigned to CI, will review a minimum of 30 Intake Calls per month. Calls will be evaluated by the consultant for the following areas:

- Courtesy greeting with identification by name and DCFS Hotline;
- Displaying a manner of professionalism and politeness throughout the call;
- Call flow and information gathering is professional and absent of long pauses or when pauses occur, providing an explanation to the caller of current action/activity;
- Recapping information throughout the call to assure the reporter that the report information is being captured accurately; and
- Closing the call by answering any final questions and thanking them.

The information from the customer service reviews will be tracked and reviewed for ongoing training with individual workers and for training topics when trends are identified.

**Call Response Metrics**
Baseline Data (Jan 2017 – Mar 2017)
- Average Speed to Answer: 16.78 Minutes
- CPI Calls Answered 15410/Total CPI Calls 25037 = 61.5%

3.) **Structured Decision Making Service Description** – The SDM® model incorporates a set of evidence-based assessment tools and decision making guidelines designed to provide a higher level of consistency and validity throughout the case process. Goals of the SDM® model are to reduce subsequent harm to children, to reduce recidivism on validated cases of abuse/neglect and/or foster care placements, and to reduce permanency timelines. These goals are accomplished by introducing structure to critical decision making points, increasing consistency and validity of decisions, targeting resources on families most at risk and using aggregated assessment and decision data to inform agency-wide monitoring, planning and budgeting. Components of the SDM® model include a series of tools used to assess families and structure
agency responses at specific decision making points that range from intake to reunification. The SDM® model utilizes service levels (high, medium, low) with differentiated minimum standards for each level, and targets those families that score at the highest levels of risk and needs as priority.

**Update FFY 2016:** The Department continues to implement several efforts to improve consistency and quality of desired outcomes for children and families within their homes by focusing on the risk assessment. This risk assessment is designed to assist workers in making critical decisions such as determining the likelihood of future maltreatment without intervention. The Child Protective Services (CPS) worker conducts a thorough assessment of the family dynamics by obtaining information in the six areas of assessment using the ASFP surrounding the six areas of assessment. This information is used to help accurately complete the risk assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014 STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING TIMELINESS AND CONSISTENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area of Practice</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING TIMELINESS AND CONSISTENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area of Practice</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING STATISTICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area of Practice</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In comparing data from 2014 average quarter data to 2015, there was a decrease of 4.32% in data completing the risk assessment on the correct household. The 2014 and 2015 data comparison revealed a significant 10.9% increase in timely approval of the risk assessment. However, consistency of information documented in the case record to the indices endorsed on the risk assessment shows a decrease from 2014 to 2015 of 17.1%. This highlights a decline in staff correctly identifying the household and collecting information consistent with their risk assessment endorsements. However, a sharp increase was noted in the timely completion of the Transmittal Date June 30, 2017 | Page 366
risk assessments with families. The risk assessment along with accurate safety decision making assists the worker in determining the appropriate disposition of a case and determining the need for continued services through departmental or community service providers.

An integral part in assessing timely approval of the risk assessment was adding a risk assessment approval box into ACESS to ensure better supervisory oversight, review, and concurrence of the information endorsed on the initial risk assessment. Correctly endorsed items ensure the risk level is accurate and appropriate decisions are made by the Department regarding on-going services to the family. Ongoing consultation was provided by CQI staff and the CPS program consultants during Case Crisis Reviews (CCR), ASFP case review exits, CQI reviews, and case consultations.

**Update FFY 2017:** Beginning in FFY 2016 through the early part of FFY 2017, the Safety Focused Practice (SFP) lead worked with staff at the consultant level. The goal was to improve inter-rater reliability on SFP case reviews and to build capacity among the state SFP leads.

The CQI Team continued to coach supervisors in various areas of supervision. One of the topics covered with Supervisors was on Risk and Safety Assessments. The purpose was to enhance the supervisor's ability to guide workers on understanding how to assess risk and safety and how to utilize the instruments to guide decision making.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** The Department will continue to implement strategies and provide on-going consultation/evaluation around accurately and consistently assessing initial child safety and risk, including such factors as domestic violence, mental health, and substance abuse issues to prevent repeat maltreatment and strengthen families. The following steps and processes for improving risk assessment for children and families are planned:

- **Eckerd Rapid Safety Feedback (RSF) model implementation in 2017.** The Department will be implementing RSF mid-year of 2017. Six case reviewers and one coordinator have been assigned to lead the project. RSF is designed as a preventative, proactive model of identifying high risk cases using data analytics. Case that result in the highest probability of a poor outcome will be reviewed and staffed using the Eckerd Rapid Safety Feedback model. The goal is to improve outcomes for families and children.
- **CQI ongoing mentoring project for CPS supervisors on providing supervision to workers to assure accurate use of the SDM risk assessment.**
- **Utilize CPS email address, DCFS.ChildProtectiveServices@la.gov, addressing policy questions and concerns as it relates to the risk assessment and the completion of the risk assessment instrument.**

4.) **ACESS - Service Description** – The Department has A Comprehensive Enterprise Social Services System (ACESS) which is the statewide system for intake of all reports of child abuse and neglect. This information management system contains intake records (CI) that are assigned to the CPS program. ACESS used to serve as the electronic case record for all intakes, child abuse and neglect reports and CPS services until the development and implementation of On-Base. ACESS provides some case management tools. The Department continues to address system issues for optimal performance.
Over the last decade, DCFS has made modifications to ACESS to align the information system with policy and practice changes. Some examples include modifications made in August 2014 to change the response priorities for CPS cases; coerced abortion was added to the allegation framework for abuse and neglect after 2014 legislation was enacted; with the implementation of ASFP [referred to as SFP] ACESS was modified to include the six areas of assessment including: the extent of maltreatment, circumstances surrounding maltreatment, child functioning, adult functioning, parenting general, and disciplinary practices.

**Update FFY 2016:** Refinements and updates continue to be made to ACESS. In December 2015, changes were made to TIPS to correct an issue when a non-involved caretaker was changed to one with an involvement; both were initially showing instead of the non-involved code changing to the involved code and being counted once.

**Update FFY 2017:**
- On January 18, 2016 the observation page in ACESS was increased in the character limit on each section of the page to 5,000 characters to enable documentation for Safety Focused Practice Assessments.
- On January 18, 2016 a new Form 5 FAC - Safety Assessment for facilities was created in ACESS, which is only applicable for residential care facilities and day care centers. The new safety assessment screens captures the data from new Form 5 FAC along with using new involvement codes to capture the roles in facility investigations and to create performance metrics for facility investigations.
- On March 31, 2016 ACESS and TIPS were updated with a new CPS open reason code for the allegation of “Life Threatening Injury/Near Fatality”. This is for an anticipated requirement by National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) to track all cases in which a life threatening injury has occurred.
- In June 2016 a system change was implemented to enable the Department to notify valid perpetrators in facility and daycare investigations that they have the right to request a Risk Evaluation Panel (REP) decision as the Form XI in ACESS currently does not disclose this process to the employee/owner. The Notice of Intent reflects that employees of daycare and residential facilities or owners of these facilities have 10 days from receipt of the notification of the valid finding to request an REP determination. The Form XI-A was changed to reflect the REP process.
- In June 2016 a change was made to correct the language in the Form 480 instructing reporters to contact the hotline if they have questions regarding the disposition of the report and to speak with the supervisor of the day. This language was removed from the form as this is no longer the practice. The supervisor cannot discuss details of the intake case with them due to the inability to verify their identity over the phone. This change will reduce call volume which will support the field’s workload.
- On July 13, 2016 a repair was completed for the integration of cases from ACESS to TIPS. When CPS services are being completed, ACESS transfers information to TIPS (i.e. validity decision, case closure date, etc.). Sometimes technical difficulties occur and ACESS does not integrate the necessary information into TIPS. When the integration is not successful a worker will receive a task which states the ACESS number, and a message saying that the integration was not successful. Although this error message is
sent to the worker the message is not sent to the supervisor who is responsible for the case closure. An edit was completed to deny the ability to close a case in ACESS if the case has not successfully integrated into TIPS.

- On September 15, 2016 an ACESS system change was made to modify the Expungement report page to include the final finding date, the date of Expungement, and the final finding disposition. This will ensure that records are destroyed timely according to the Expungement law.
- In January 2017 a change to ACESS was implemented to reactivate the ability to attach documents in an ACESS case to ensure that documents were associated with specific CPS cases and expunged per the records retention schedule.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Modification of the Expungement Process in ACESS to correct errors in programming that resulted in cases being expunged in ACESS prior to their correct Expungement date.
- Corrections to be made to two questions in the intake response priority assessment at intake to clarify the meaning of the questions.
- An ACESS improvement is slated to occur in that the Intake Summary Form will provide a cell phone in addition to the home and other phone numbers that are displayed on the form as well the directions to the home will display on the form as well. These changes will improve the field staff ability to have more information on the Intake Summary Form which is used by CPS staff to bring with them when they go out of the office to locate and meet with the subjects of the report.
- A system change will be implemented to remove an edit in ACESS that prevented a not accepted additional information report with a response priority level other than low from occurring. Often times a report may have a moderate or high risk and still not meet the criteria to be a report so the disposition would be not accepted.
- A new mandatory dropdown field will be added to the Client Intake screen under demographics section to record reporter’s knowledge of intake client’s membership or eligibility in an Indian tribe in the system and that information populated on the Intake Summary Form.
- A special closure reason will be created for reports of a child being born exposed to drugs or alcohol and the child has been placed for adoption and the worker is unable to make contact with the family prior to the placement.
- A change is needed to allow updates to information in intake cases if there is a need to correct or update information that is not modifiable. A new intake case must be entered and the old case is linked but closed opened in error. This creates duplicative work as there are approximately 11 pages in an intake that must be completed. Addition of fields which allow updates would be a time and cost saving measure in addition to allowing the agency to track all changes regarding a single intake report in one case.
- A help screen will be added with a hyperlink to the Appendix 4-B of CPS allegations on the allegations page in the intake cases. This would be added to assist staff in researching the definition of allegations.
- Other States that have a child or perpetrator who lives out of their jurisdiction may request a courtesy interview with this child and/or perpetrator through DCFS. To correctly record these types of cases an additional intake decision option, Courtesy
Request – Refer to Local Office - Not Accepted will be added for courtesy interviews. This option allows for these types of cases to be tracked.

- Making modifications to ACESS for CI and CPS to track victims of HT. Making modifications in mapping of ACESS data to the DCFS legacy system (TIPS) to report this information in the NCANDS data submission.
- Continue to work on planning for possible implementation of a CCWIS (Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System) and potential updates to the ACESS/Curam platform.
- Modification of ACESS and TIPS to identify additional infants exposed to substances meeting the new CAPTA requirements. Modifying the Drug Screen within ACESS to indicate whether or not a Plan of Safe Care was developed, and what that Plan of Safe Care entails on cases that meet the criteria for Prenatal Neglect. Furthermore, modify the Intake of reports to include the ability to capture if the reporting physician developed a Plan of Safe Care for those infants who do not meet the definition of Prenatal Neglect.
- Modify ACESS to ensure compliance with federal legislation for DCFS to conduct agency clearances on all employees, volunteers, and contractors associated with child care facilities.
- Activities Planned for FFY 2018 are contingent upon any decision to replace the existing ACESS and legacy system with a CCWIS system.

B.) ALLOWABLE AREA:

- Case management, including ongoing case monitoring, and delivery of services and treatment provided to children and their families;

SERVICES PROVIDED:

Criminal Record Clearances - DCFS continued use of the MORPHTRAK Motorola Live Scan equipment which was previously known as PRINTRAK during FFY 2014 to complete fingerprint based criminal record clearances through the Louisiana State Police (LSP) and the FBI. Criminal record clearances were obtained on prospective foster/adoptive parents (both DCFS and private agency) prior to certification, on relative caretakers, and on residential direct care staff prior to employment to insure the safety of children placed in the care of these individuals. Additionally, all DCFS staff that are “new hires” receives criminal record clearances prior to hire to insure safety of children with whom the employees interact. The DCFS requires all mentors, visiting resources and volunteers who will be working for long stretches of time alone with a child to receive criminal record clearances as well since they are the caretakers of the child while they are alone with the child. Additional information can be found in Systemic Factor Section G: Foster and Adoptive Parent Licensing, Recruitment, and Retention.

Update FFY 2016: DCFS continued the use of MORPHTRAK to complete fingerprint based criminal record clearances through the state police and FBI. New workers, mentors, visiting resources, foster/adoptive parents and potential applicants and volunteers continued to undergo the fingerprinting process for safety assessment purposes. During this time period DCFS worked to establish a structured protocol with the state’s sheriff departments to acquire fingerprint based criminal clearances. The sheriff departments have the same equipment and connections to the LSP and FBI. This will expand accessibility for families and relieve DCFS of the responsibility
of maintaining equipment compatible with the technology at the Louisiana State Police. It will provide a backup system when DCFS experiences equipment malfunctions in an area of the state.

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS has continued to complete criminal record clearances on all caretakers of children in foster care and DCFS staff with direct client responsibility. DCFS continues to maintain fingerprinting machines in all regions, along with the necessary connections to the Louisiana State Police (LSP) system for the interface with the Federal Bureau of Investigation data system to ensure nationwide, fingerprint based, criminal clearances. During this FFY, DCFS continues to review the technical capacity of the current system to determine the feasibility of continuing to maintain equipment with the requisite system upgrades to remain compatible with the LSP or expanding collaboration with law enforcement agencies.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:** Continuation of required fingerprint based criminal record clearances on all caretakers of children in foster care and staff with direct client responsibility.

**SERVICES PROVIDED:**

1.) **Nurturing Parent Program** - The Nurturing Parent Program (NPP) is a family based parenting program with a proven record of preventing and treating child abuse and neglect. Nurturing Parent groups are offered by the state’s Family Resource Centers (FRC) located in every region of the state. Technical assistance on implementation of the model is provided to the Family Resource Centers.

This statewide program serves parents with children age birth to five that have parenting determined as a need in their service/case plan. A family can consist of single parent, two parents, step-parent or paramours. The families referred should be at risk of child abuse/neglect or have experienced child abuse/neglect. The families could be intact or families with the goal of reunification families. Families should not be actively using substances or in recovery.

Parents and children attend different groups for two hours with 30 minutes of family nurturing time between the first and second hour. Each group is followed by a weekly home visit to work one-on-one with the parent to assure the parent is able to demonstrate what they have learned. Parent groups consist of discussion, role-play, lecture, skill building, nurturing activities, and the assignment of home practice exercises. Children’s group activities consist of age-appropriate activities including role-play, music, arts, puppets, reading, infant massage and modeling of parents. The Nurturing Parent Program is 16 weeks long.

**Update FFY 2016:** NPP services are no longer only provided at FRCs and have been made available at DCFS offices. Departmental staff is now available to co-facilitate NPP services to families who may not be in a position to travel to the region’s FRC location or who may choose to schedule this service on a previously scheduled visit to the office. Trainings were open to participation by interested DCFS staff in order to enhance their skills. The NPP, specifically for families participating in substance abuse treatment, was/is available in the Lafayette Region through Gulf Coast Teaching Family Services. Group classes were available to clients in Lafayette, Iberia and Vermilion parishes.
The Department continues to monitor the progress of FRC services particularly the NPP via quarterly site visits and monthly conference calls between DCFS state office staff, DCFS regional liaisons and FRC staff. These calls served to discuss referral trends and troubleshoot issues. The calls have led to a greater understanding of FRC services, as often times they are attended by field supervisors as well.

Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana (PCAL) provides on-site consultation and assessment utilizing the NPP Fidelity Rating Scale. A post-visit report is submitted to DCFS and FRC staff. Reports include identified strengths and weaknesses of each site and recommendations for improving implementation of the program(s). Follow-up is determined. Reports include a recommendation that the FRC make an exploratory visit to another FRC to observe a particular program component that has been identified as needing improvement. Additionally, part of the PCAL contract deliverables are to invite the NPP Consultants that were trained from the FRC’s to observe these site visits. There is currently two FRC staff with this designation. As part of participation in the LA ACE Educator Program, they have offered four (4) ACE presentations/webinars to DCFS and FRC staff on the following topics:

- Understanding the AAPI-2: Assessing Parenting Attitudes
- Exposure to Violence and Child Development
- Empathy: Building Nurturing Families for the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>Number of Parents receiving NPP</th>
<th># and % of parents who complete the Nurturing Parenting Program</th>
<th># and % of parents who completed the Nurturing Parenting Program with a higher post-test mean score than the pre-test mean score.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2016</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>173 (64%)</td>
<td>125 (72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2017</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>193 (52%)</td>
<td>169 (84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Update FFY 2017:** The FRC Network offered a three day Nurturing Parenting Program Training that was held in the Lafayette Region November 7-9, 2016 with Sheri Hogg of PCAL. This was open to participation by all Child Welfare Family Resource Centers.

Sheri Hogg of PCAL offered WebEx trainings for DCFS and FRC staff. (Feb. 2016-May 2017):

- Understanding Adverse Childhood Experiences: Building Self-Healing Communities 02/05/2016
- Brian Development and the Impact of Toxic Stress on Children and Teens 4/26/16
- Communicating with RESPECT 06/17/2016, 12/02/2016
- The Facts about Child Sexual Abuse 01/20/2017
- Why Preventing Child Abuse and Neglect Should Be a Priority 03/17/2017
- Family Resource Center (FRC) Agency Highlights 05/19/2017
The FRC network held a Skills Training Day for all staff of all FRCs to exchange ideas on how to better provide services to family. They shared tips and training modules to learn from each other and strengthen their programs and practices.

Gulf Coast Social Services provided the 16 week Nurturing Parenting Program for Families in Substance Abuse Treatment and Recovery. This program is designed to assist parents in strengthening their own recovery and to build a nurturing family lifestyle. In addition to weekly classes, two home visits during the series are included. In each FFY, six groups are offered across four different parishes in the region to allow for convenient access in the community.

PCAL partnered with ETC, the Lake Charles FRC, and piloted a Substance Abuse Treatment and Recovery nurturing class in November 2016 to introduce that population to the concepts of a nurturing lifestyle and to help prepare them for the next full Nurturing Parenting Program in January 2017. This allowed the families to receive services while still in treatment and recovery and better prepare them for success in their follow up services. The goal is to enhance the parent’s self-awareness and increase understanding of their parenting skills as well as their children’s needs. The group supports and aids in sobriety, motivates families to work their case plan and encourages families to focus on child safety. Weekly sessions were 2.5 hours (including adult group and a child group with special family time included) followed by bi-weekly home visits. This program does not replace substance abuse classes or parenting classes the parent may need, but rather works in conjunction with substance abuse treatment programs in preparation for Nurturing Parenting classes. Nine families including 16 adults and 21 children were referred and/or served.

ETC offered Lake Charles staff a half-day car-seat safety training class in order to help staff identity and practice safe car seat practices and discard expired or broken seats.

Monthly Monitoring Reports are reviewed during monthly calls held with the FRC Director, DCFS contract monitor and DCFS field liaison to ensure the needs of the families are addressed and to troubleshoot any issues related to referrals or services provided.

FRC continues to use monitoring tools in order to provide data on program effectiveness.

The FRC’s plan to pool resources to bring the evidenced based Circle of Security training program to Louisiana for participation by all FRCs.

The Extra Mile FRC is offering a free 10 week Community Nurturing Parenting class for anyone in the community that is held at a centrally located church. These classes are for anyone interested in developing a nurturing lifestyle for their family.

The Extra Mile is planning to offer a domestic violence group for male perpetrators in April 2017.
Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- The Family Resource Centers will continue to offer the Core services (Nurturing Parenting Program, Visit Coaching and Family Skill Building) for their next contract cycle of 10/01/2017 - 09/30/2020.
- FRC’s will continue to explore ways to better address the needs of the population with substance abuse issues.
- The Extra Mile plans to offer 10 week Community Nurturing Parenting class to anyone in the community interested in developing a nurturing lifestyle. Families can self-register for this free class.
- The Extra Mile FRC in Lafayette will begin to offer the Nurturing Parenting Program for Families in Substance Abuse Treatment and Recovery in the summer of 2018 (at the end of the contract with Gulf Coast). This will become a service under the FRC in order to address the needs of that population.
- ETC, the Lake Charles FRC plans to offer another 8 week Nurturing class for those in substance abuse treatment and recovery (“STAR”) following their pilot in November 2016. This class serves to prepare the parent for the full 16 week Nurturing Parenting class, if needed, or the 6 week Skill Building “SAFE” classes as recommended.

2.) Training - Child Welfare Training in coordination with the Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy (LCWTA) continued to provide the 24 week competency based child welfare curricula for new staff. The Department offers various training opportunities to all staff throughout the year including a core child welfare curriculum (4-6 sessions of the core curriculum is offered annually). Other opportunities for training are through conference participation, and professional development workshop participation within the state’s prospective communities. This involvement with the community creates opportunities for staff to collaborate with other service providers and to engage in collaborative networking activities. Staff receiving these training opportunities is responsible for case management duties in the areas of child protection, family preservation, foster care, adoption, and independent living services. Both management and program staff are afforded the same opportunities in the initial phases of any new initiative to serve as leads in the training after having been trained by contracted experts.

Performance measures and practice expectations are incorporated into each training staff receives. From the new worker phase to the experienced worker phase, trainings required of departmental staff address the skills, and knowledge needed to carry out specified job responsibilities in the four core areas under the Promoting Safe and Stable Families Program. (For additional information, please refer to the 2017 Annual Progress and Services Report (APSR) in the section detailing the systemic factor on Staff Training.)

Training is available to foster/adoptive parents through LCWTA sponsored training providers. Additional trainings may be used to meet licensing requirements including:

- Louisiana Foster/Adoptive Parent Association annual conference;
- National Foster Parent conferences;
- Community agency or organization trainings (pre-approved by the regional or state office);
Participation in consultation with a licensed professional for purposes of implementing an individualized behavior management program or other therapeutic treatment on behalf of a foster child;
- On-line trainings (pre-approved by state office).

All families applying to become certified as foster/adoptive parent(s) in Louisiana are required to complete pre-service training and to receive education in CPR/first aid. Pre-service training is scheduled at a minimum of every 10 weeks. Pre-service trainings are held statewide in various locations to accommodate potential applicants. Both morning and evening sessions are held statewide as well as Saturday sessions for kinship/relative families that choose to pursue licensure for the placement and permanency goal of their relative/kin. (For additional information, please refer to the 2017 APSR systemic factor section on Foster and Adoptive Parent Licensing, Recruitment, and Retention.)

The Department utilizes the following mechanisms of technology to meet training needs:
- Modular Object-Oriented Dynamic Learning Environment (MOODLE) as its Learning Management System (LMS);
- Web-Based Training;
- Video Conferencing;
- Webinars and Teleconferences.

**Update FFY 2016:** New worker training was provided 27 times during this timeframe and was provided to approximately 92 new employees. From January 2015 – December 2015, regional staff held approximately 47 pre-service trainings statewide in various locations to accommodate potential applicants. There were approximately 748 newly certified families during FFY 2015.

The work of the LCWTA and work with university partners was fine tuned. Work continues to address the in-service training needs identified by foster/adoptive parents.

The Together We Can Conference was held in October 2015 in the Cajun Dome and included workshops on trauma-informed care, safety planning and safety decision-making, ICPC, ICWA, psychotropic medications, school/education connections, engaging non-custodial parents, and transition planning for foster youth, children coming to court, legal and social worker ethics and professionalism, among others. (For additional information on Training refer to the state’s Training Plan and Systemic Factor on Staff Training in the 2017 APSR.)

**Update FFY 2017:** New Child Welfare Worker Orientation was provided 9 times during the 2016, calendar year and was provided to approximately 188 new or rehired DCFS employees within the first six months of employment. Orientations were held at Grambling State University School of Social Work, Southern University A&M College School of Social Work, and Northwestern State University School of Social Work. This helped to strengthen our partnership with University Alliance members and provided an opportunity for Title IV-E Stipend Recipients to attend part of Orientation during their internship and prior to becoming employed with DCFS.

A new worker orientation and on the job training program was piloted in the Baton Rouge Region in November 2016 for Child Protective Service Staff. The pilot started in Baton Rouge as
a result of an ongoing high rate of turnover of new staff resulting in an unstable workforce. This was negatively impacting office work and morale in several ways, including:

- Supervisors assigned cases to handle, thereby impacting their ability to focus on training and supervision of staff;
- Supervisors having to constantly train new staff;
- Backlog of incomplete cases being reassigned to new employees.

Based on these issues the initial goal was focused on getting new employees hired quickly, while providing on the job training, with a focus on worker retention, in an effort to stabilize the workforce. This on the job training model focuses on a hands on approach with new employees. While new workers are provided with the same training material that is received in the traditional, classroom training, the approach is very different. Classroom training is coordinated with field shadowing of seasoned employees and trainer facilitated debriefings. This allows new employees the benefit of applying what they have learned in the classroom to real case situations.

The training process with each new employee is designed to span a period of 12 weeks. There is the flexibility built in that some staff may advance more quickly through the material and training and exit the program in 10 weeks. The training covers all aspects of the job including: handling a case from beginning to end; observing and participating in court proceedings; completing documentation in systems (ACESS, FATS, SDM, etc.); transferring a case to another program, i.e. from CPS to FS or FS to FC; and participating in Multidisciplinary staffings. The goal is to develop the program in Child Protective Services, Family Services and Foster Care in the Baton Rouge Region within this FFY with plans for statewide implementation to follow.

There were approximately 1024 newly certified families during FFY 2016. A review of the 2016 calendar year listing of orientations shows there were approximately 198 orientations held statewide. Orientations were held at various locations: churches, regional/parish offices, libraries and college campuses. The orientations were held in various locations: parish offices (73); regional offices (92); churches (9); and library (24). Regional staff held approximately 301 preservice trainings statewide on various days and at various times. On Tuesdays, there were 95 evening trainings (7 sessions each) and on Thursdays there were 127 trainings (7 sessions); there were 14 trainings held on Wednesdays; two on Mondays (one session each); and on Saturdays, there were 63 trainings (two – three sessions each). The trainings were held in various locations: parish offices (27); regional offices (24); churches (5); college campus (2); and library (1). This indicates the working relationship between the department and community partners.

DCFS continued to strengthen the LCWTA and its partnerships with University Alliance members and other stakeholder. Through the LCWTA, Southeastern Louisiana University is leading the development of an in-service curriculum for foster/adoptive parents.

The Togethewere Can Conference was held on October 24-26, 2016, at the Doubletree Hotel in Lafayette, LA. This conference was provided to approximately 170 DCFS employees through the collaboration between DCFS, Pelican Center for Children and Families, Children’s Justice Act Task Force, LouisinanChildren.org, and NASW – Louisiana Chapter. Workshops included
Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Continue to work with the LCWTA to ensure pre-service and in-service training for DCFS staff and foster/adoptive parents is as effective and responsive as possible. The goal this year is to develop a three year training curriculum for new Child Welfare employees hired to work as Child Welfare Trainees, Specialist 1, and Specialist 2 positions with DCFS. A similar in-serve curriculum will be developed for foster/adoptive parents. These training will be developed based on the needs of each population and include beginner to advanced levels of training. These trainings will be made available to experienced DCFS staff as well as certified foster/adoptive parents.
- The Together We Can Conference will be held in November 7-9, 2017, in Lafayette, LA.

D.) ALLOWABLE AREA: Improving the skills, qualifications, and availability of individuals providing services to children and families, and the supervisors of such individuals, through the child protection system, including improvements in the recruitment and retention of caseworkers;

SERVICES PROVIDED:

1.) Critical Incident Stress Management (CISM) - The DCFS CISM team continues to function and respond in the event of a critical incident when assistance is requested. The team is comprised of staff from all units including Child Welfare, Economic Stability and Child Support Enforcement. The team is trained and registered with the International Critical Incident Stress Foundation through March 18, 2017, and maintains the integrity of the critical incident model established by this trauma response organization.

Update FFY 2016: From 10/01/14-09/30/15, thirteen (13) group interventions and thirty-four (34) 1:1 individual interventions were requested serving ninety (90) staff which increased from the previous year of fourteen (14) requested interventions (1:1 or group) servicing approximately sixty-eight (68) staff. The interventions proved effective in the processes of reducing the psychological distress associated with critical incidents and assisting staff in re-establishing pre-incident levels of functioning. The effectiveness of the interventions is based on feedback surveys that are completed anonymously by staff participants in the interventions and forwarded to the Team Coordinator. Additionally, management staff acknowledges the CISM team’s outstanding support to staff. After an intervention, the team follows up with select staff participants via phone/email the week following the intervention and the Team Coordinator follows up with team members the day after the intervention to ensure quality support. Due to competing requirements for staff time and resources, the annual team meeting of March 19, 2015, was cancelled. Instead, resource articles to include self-care, worker safety and secondary trauma were shared with team members to stay abreast of current findings in the field.
### CISM Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Requested Group Interventions</th>
<th>Requested 1:1 Interventions</th>
<th>Total Staff Receiving Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2016</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2017</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Update for FFY 2017:** The CISM team continues to function and respond in the event of a critical incident when assistance is requested. The team, which consist of thirty-four (34) active members, is trained and registered with the International Critical Incident Stress Foundation (ICISF) through January 18, 2018, and maintains the integrity of the critical incident model established by this trauma response organization. From 10/01/15-09/30/16, six (6) group interventions and eleven (11) 1:1 individual interventions were requested serving fifty-two (52) staff. The interventions proved effective in the processes of reducing the psychological distress associated with critical incidents and assisting staff in re-establishing pre-incident levels of functioning. The effectiveness of the interventions is based on 1) feedback surveys that are completed anonymously by staff participants in the interventions and forwarded to the Team Coordinator and 2) through emails from management acknowledging the CISM team’s outstanding support to staff. After an intervention the team follows up with select staff participants via phone/email the week following the intervention and the team coordinator follows up with team members the day after the intervention to ensure quality support. Due to mandatory meetings and trainings throughout the year the team was unable to meet as a group but on-going contact is made with group members by the Team Coordinator.

**Activities Planned FFY 2018:**

- The CISM team will provide pre-crisis preparation, crisis management, defusing, critical incident, stress debriefing and individual crisis intervention to any DCFS employee, upon request when experiencing critical incidents.
- The Annual CISM Training has been planned and will be scheduled for all team members within the coming year (the date has not yet been set). This training shall be an in person training pending travel and financial approval and will teach new CISM team members.
- Quarterly team meetings, recruitment efforts and yearly CISM trainings will be held for all members to keep abreast of current research, findings and theories of CISM and related topics.
- The Department will look at creative ways to engage CISM team members in joint meetings and trainings through the use of webinar meetings and trainings using audio and visual materials.
- CISM activities, interventions and statistics will be documented and tracked by the Team Coordinator.

**E.) ALLOWABLE AREA:**
● Developing, implementing, or operating programs to assist in obtaining or coordinating necessary services for families of disabled infants with life-threatening conditions, including:
  ● Existing social and health services;
  ● Financial assistance;
  ● Services necessary to facilitate adoptive placement of any such infants who have been relinquished for adoption; and
  ● The use of differential response in preventing child abuse and neglect;

SERVICES PROVIDED

1.) **Substance or Alcohol Exposed Newborns** - The Department has met with community partners to develop strategies to reduce the number of infants exposed to drugs during the mother’s pregnancy. The community partners include the Louisiana Department of Health (LDH), local hospitals, Healthy LA, judicial stakeholders, and behavioral health agencies. Policy has been updated to give staff guidance on completing safety assessments and completing CPS cases of Substance Exposed Newborn (SEN) cases. The policy describes the special features of cases involving drug and/or alcohol affected newborns, subsequent CPS cases of newborns with allegations of drug and/or alcohol exposure and drug/alcohol abuse allegations involving an infant who was harmed as a result of drug and/or alcohol exposure via breastfeeding. The Department has tracked these cases to identify trends in order to determine what the needs are and what interventions or resources are appropriate to meet the needs. The Department has implemented high risk staffings, consultations with Bureau of General Counsel attorneys, and court ordered safety planning to assist with identifying safety needs and implementing interventions.

The Department continues to see a rise in the number of substance exposed newborns. Policies were updated to ensure all cases involving a substance exposed newborn receives a Priority 1 (24 hour) response by CPS staff to determine the safety of the newborn infant.

**Update FFY 2016:** In December 2015 the Drug/Alcohol Identification page was added to the ACESS system. Policy and training was completed on how to correctly complete the Drug/Alcohol Identification page. When substance abuse/drug use is alleged, the CPS worker assesses whether the parent or caregiver has a past or current substance abuse/alcohol abuse problem and whether that interferes with his/her or the family’s functioning. Legal, non-abusive prescription drug or alcohol use is not considered an alcohol or drug problem. The worker makes diligent efforts to verify the drug use and document the findings in the CPS case record. Examples of diligent efforts include drug tests, documentation from substance abuse treatment agencies, and other collateral contacts that have knowledge of the substance use. Interference in parent’s or caretaker’s functioning may be evidenced by the following:
  o Substance use that affects or affected employment, criminal involvement,
  o Marital or family relationships, ability to provide protection, supervision, and care for the child.
  o Arrest in the past two years for driving under the influence or refusing Breathalyzer testing.
  o Treatment received currently or in the past.
o Multiple positive urine samples.
o Health/medical problems resulting from substance use.
o The child was diagnosed with Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS) or Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASDs) or the child had a positive toxicology screen at birth and the primary caregiver was the birthing parent.

The worker must complete this page on every household member who has a drug/alcohol addiction. The worker first selects the client for whom they are completing the Drug/Alcohol Identification page. The worker then enters the drug/alcohol category (i.e. Opiates, Benzodiazepines, Cannabinoids, Alcohol, Amphetamines, Barbiturates, Cocaine, MDMA, Methadone, Methamphetamine, Phencyclidine, Propoxyphene, and other). Next the worker enters the diagnosis category. If the infant was diagnosed by a physician with Neonatal Absence Syndrome (NAS) or Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD) then the category which the doctor diagnosed the child with is endorsed. Lastly, the worker enters any comments they have concerning the client. This is a mandatory field if “other” is identified for the drug alcohol category.

With this new drug/identification page, the Department will be able to identify trends with substance exposed newborns with respect to geographical areas, medical concerns, and the needs for treatment programs within certain geographical areas.

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS is in compliance with CAPTA as it relates to SEN. All SEN reports were received as per Louisiana Children’s Code, Article 610 G (1) that states, if a physician has cause to believe that a newborn was exposed in utero to an unlawfully used controlled dangerous substance, as defined by R.S. 40:961 et seq., the physician shall order a toxicology test upon the newborn, without the consent of the newborn's parents or guardian, to determine whether there is evidence of prenatal neglect. If the test results are positive, the physician shall issue a report to the Department, as soon as possible, in accordance with Article.

SEN reports accepted as a CPS case are assessed within 24 hours of receipt by the Department. Child safety is the essential focus for the CPS intervention and is the primary concern throughout the case process. It starts at the point a report is made, and continues throughout the CPS case to identify present and impending danger and on to the point the family transfers to Family Services or Foster Care, for continue treatment service provision. The chart below provides specific data on the number of CPS cases with valid and invalid dispositions. In 2016, DCFS received 1,811 statewide reports of SEN from physicians and health care practitioners involved in the delivery or care of SEN. Out of the 1,811, 1,677 were determined valid and 134 were determined to be invalid. Based on the below data, the number of SEN cases continued to increase.

When the allegation is SEN, the disposition is determined by the following:

- The physician’s statement or laboratory confirmation of the evidence of the prenatal drug exposure (effects, withdrawal and/or positive toxicology report) and that the drug exposure was the result of illegal drug use by the mother.
- An allegation of alcohol affected newborn is determined by the physician’s verification of the effects on the newborn, diagnosis of Fetal Alcohol Syndrome, or a positive toxicology report for the newborn.
STATE OF LOUISIANA
2016 Annual Progress and Service Report

| Disposition of # of children w/allegation of SUBSTANCE EXPOSED NEWBORNS (SEN) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Valid | 1,113 | 1,301 | 1,395 | 1,677 | | | |
| Not Valid | 143 | 131 | 125 | 134 | | | |
| Total | 1,256 | 1,432 | 1,520 | 1,811 | | | |

SEN cases with a disposition of valid are referred to the Family Services Program for ongoing assessment and service provision, unless it is determined that the child is unsafe and a safety plan cannot adequately protect the child in the home. In those cases, an instanter custody order is requested to place the child in foster care. Family Services are provided to families following an allegation of child neglect and/or abuse when immediate safety concerns appear manageable, yet future risk of harm continues to be a concern. Services are provided while the child remains in the home. The Family Services, and if warranted, the Foster Care worker develops a plan of safe care in collaboration with the family to assess the child’s safety, referrals to services, or other providers are included in the plan.

**Plans of Safe Care**

DCFS is responsible to assure there are plans for the safe care for these vulnerable newborns. Departmental policy requires that the following be included in the plan:

- Verification of the prenatal drug and/or alcohol exposure (may be provided by the physician and the hospital medical records);
- Verification of prescription/legal use of the controlled substance by the mother (i.e. methadone or similar prescribed drugs) if applicable to case circumstances;
- Substance abuse evaluation/assessment of parents and other caregivers in the home by a professional substance abuse clinician;
- Documentation of the newborn’s medical and developmental needs, as it relates to the drug exposure, from a medical professional (including current conditions, special needs, or disabilities);
- Assessment of parental attachment and bonding (mother, father) and their ability to provide safe care for the newborn;
- Documentation of the verification of the prescription/legal use of the controlled substance by the mother, including methadone or similar prescribed drug shall be obtained and attached to the CPS ACESS record;
- Determination of the condition and any special needs of the newborn and any other children in the home;
- Plan of Safe Care (determined with a present and impending danger safety assessment and, if necessary, a safety plan). Referral services are: Early Intervention Program, Family Resource Centers, and Homebuilders;
- Recommendations for post discharge care, such as Home Health services or other support services (including formal and informal support);
- Preparation and necessities for infant including crib, clothing, housing, formula, medication, (including medical follow up);
- Referral for medical and substance use services for infant and family, based on recommendations of medical examinations and substance use assessments by clinicians.
- Review of departmental history to determine if the mother has a prior valid finding of drug and/or alcohol affected newborn;
- Contact with the biological father to determine his ability to care for the child and his knowledge regarding the mother’s substance use during her pregnancy;
- Documentation of safe sleep; and
- Documentation of diligent efforts to verify drug use.

The DCFS, in collaboration with the LDH/Office of Public Health and the Office of Behavioral Health, Women’s Hospital, and Capital Area Human Services, participated in the Louisiana’s Innovation Accelerator Program for Substance Use Disorders (IAP-SUD). In 2016, the IAP-SUD committee developed a Substance Use In Pregnancy Clinician’s Toolkit to provide key information and tools regarding evidence-based practices that decrease the risks associated with substance use during pregnancy focusing on the use of tobacco and alcohol, the misuse of prescription medications, and the use of illegal drugs that substantially contributes to maternal and infant morbidity and mortality.

The following are the IAP-SUD goals:
- Provide key information about the impact of legal and illegal substances on a woman’s pregnancy and on the unborn child.
- Encourage use of evidence-based practices and tools to improve care and decrease risks associated with substance use during pregnancy and address co-morbid conditions such as depression, and intimate partner violence.
- Improve collaboration among primary care and behavioral health treatment providers in the care of pregnant women.

**Activities Planned for SEN in FFY 2018:** Public Law 114-198, the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act of 2016 (CARA) provides guidance to states on implementing provisions in Child Abuse Prevention Treatment Act (CAPTA) as amended by CARA, relating to infants affected by substance abuse. Louisiana’s existing laws now address all requirements of CARA, including those infants who exhibited withdrawal symptoms from the mother’s use of a legally prescribed, lawfully used medication. To comply with the Public Law 114-198, CARA, DCFS will implement the following to ensure enforcement of the law that includes provisions for infants affected by substance abuse and the infant’s family. The implementation will be developed and monitored by the Department of Children and Family Services which works across agencies and providers to develop a strategic response to CARA. Details on the specific tasks to be accomplished are outlined below.

- House Bill 678 passed the state legislature and on June 22, 2017 the Governor signed Act 359. The Department then promulgation an emergency rule that went into effect on 10/1/17. This legislation provides for physicians to notify the Department of newborns born with withdrawal symptoms when the mother used legally prescribed medications in a lawfully manner. This notification from the hospital also includes components of a Plan of Safe Care to ensure the needs of the family are met upon discharge from the hospital. These notifications will not constitute a report of prenatal neglect. Upon signature of the Governor, rulemaking will occur to establish specific procedures for notifications. The plan is to have rulemaking completed by September 2016.
The Department has a statewide system for intake and assessment of reports of child abuse/neglect. The system captures some data for SEN cases that meet the criteria for CPS services, including validity decisions of either drug affected and/or alcohol affected newborns, and the substances that the infant is exposed to. Currently, the system does not capture data for SEN Non-Accepted Reports. The Department is updating the system to capture the following data:

- Through the addition of a new intake type of SEN/No Prenatal Neglect Reported, data on newborns with withdrawal symptoms, not meeting the criteria for prenatal neglect will be captured. This data will allow DCFS to report the number of infants not screened in as SEN. Although not required, DCFS will also capture if a Plan of Safe Care was developed, and the referrals made through the notification form.
- Changes are being made to update the statewide systems to also capture whether or not a Plan of Safe Care was developed for screened in reports, and the referrals made as part of the Plan of Safe Care. Certain data will be pushed to our TIPS system to allow for NCANDS reporting. The data will capture the number of infants for whom a plan of safe care was developed and monitored, including services for the affected family or caregiver.

- DCFS will update both CI and CPS policy to include receipt of all reports of SEN identified as being affected by substance abuse, withdrawal symptoms resulting from prenatal drug exposure, or Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder.
- CPS policy will also be updated to provide more direction and focus on the development of Plans of Safe Care, including the newborn’s mother/family/caregivers.
- An interagency meeting is held weekly to develop a comprehensive state plan for the implementation of provision made to the Public Law 114-198, CARA. The meeting focuses on current state statutes and policy manuals, best practices, policy changes, data-driven decision making, responding effectively to the needs of infants who are affected by prenatal substance exposure, the infant’s mother with substance use disorders and families/caregivers.
- Children’s Justice Act (CJA) funds will be utilized to conduct stakeholder trainings to educate the community on requirements from CARA legislation.
- The plan to monitor the Plans of Safe Care is multi-faceted. On a case specific basis, all cases will be monitored on a supervisor level to ensure that appropriate referrals are made and that there is follow-up on those referrals. On a systematic level, DCFS will implement quarterly stakeholder meetings within each region of the state. These meetings will be held to review referral processes, availability of services, barriers to accessing services, and work processes to ensure a smooth process of accessing services for clients.
- Louisiana’s IAP-SUD Substance Use in Pregnancy Clinician’s Toolkit is scheduled to be published in the year of 2017 and distributed to the obstetricians/gynecologists.
- Through statewide WebEx’s, consultations, and trainings, CPS staff will receive guidance regarding policies and procedures when assessing reports of SEN accepted in CPS as per Public Law 114-198, CARA.
- Stakeholders including the ten regional substance abuse clinics located in the state, Early Steps, Homebuilders Providers, the Maternal and Child Health Division of the Office of Public Health, and the FS unit will continue to collaborate to provide additional preventative services with the growing population of SEN.
Through the Training Academy, a two day substance abuse and co-occurring disorders curriculum will be rolled out to assist staff in understanding the dynamics of substance abuse, the unique characteristics involving Opioid use, enhancing engagement skills that will result in better outcomes, and to assist staff in assessing safety/risk in substance using families.

F.) ALLOWABLE AREA:

- Developing and delivering information to improve public education relating to the role and responsibilities of the child protection system and the nature and basis for reporting suspected incidents of child abuse and neglect, including the use of differential response;

SERVICES PROVIDED:

1.) Media Campaigns/Community Education - The Department recognizes it is vitally important that any approach to protecting children and strengthening families in Louisiana includes a strong prevention/awareness component. The media have been an essential tool to inform the community of safety initiatives implemented by the Department to keep our children safe, help individuals and families become self-sufficient, and provide a safe refuge during a disaster. The following activities were completed with regard to media campaigns and community education:

- Continued distribution of Safe Haven materials (posters, brochures, Safe Baby stickers) to hospitals, law enforcement, fire stations, child advocacy centers, etc. The online training video will update as soon as funding becomes available.
- Redesign and distribution online of Safe Haven training video and document;
- Adoption Awareness month (November) activities included:
  - Activities that promoted the need for foster and adoptive families, including a press release and editorial;
  - DCFS hosted its 16th Annual Adoption Reception at the Louisiana Governor’s Mansion honoring the 459 families who adopted 627 foster children. A press release was sent to media outlets, and a proclamation was obtained from the Governor’s office announcing the commemoration of Adoption Awareness Month;
  - Media coverage included the press release, the reception, adoption profiles for adoptable children, and coverage of adoption awareness events across the state.
- The Child Safety campaign included:
  - blog and associated social media posts that touched on all aspects of child health and safety;
  - Press releases were sent out on the topics of Summer Safety.
- Child Abuse Prevention month (April) activities included:
  - Sending out a press release about child abuse prevention;
  - Supported PCAL by having staff attend local events, having the DCFS Secretary speak at the Kickoff Luncheon, and by raising funds to be donated to PCAL;
  - Editorial was sent to newspapers on the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect;
o Posts and shares to social media accounts to support partnerships and to give information to the public on what child abuse and neglect are and how to prevent them;
o Created a Prevention Pinwheel garden at state office location in Baton Rouge during the month of April.

- **Foster Care Awareness** month (May) activities included:
o Obtained a proclamation from the governor’s office;
o Will send at least one press release on the need for foster families in Louisiana;
o Foster/adoptive families from various regions of the state were available to news outlets to conduct interviews on their experiences as caregivers;
o Shared information on how to become a foster/adoptive parent on social media accounts.

- Partnered with several television stations that promoted and displayed adoptable children in the Louisiana foster care system;
o Worked with partners to help publicize their activities to promote positive Child Welfare information;

**Update FFY 2016:**

- Safe Haven promotions included updating and redesigning the online training video. Safe Haven printed materials continue to be available on the website for download. Requests for hard copies of materials are made and fulfilled by the DCFS Communications Bureau.
- Most Adoption Awareness Month activities went as planned. The main Governor’s Mansion event was cancelled due to bad weather. Children available for adoption were highlighted on social media.
- A Foster Parent Recruitment Campaign was held titled “Temporary Situation, Permanent Impact” where the DCFS Secretary spoke with media outlets (television, radio and print) in six regions of the state about the need for additional foster families. Local foster parents were invited to the media events and were able to share their experiences. The campaign received media coverage in every region of the state, except Monroe.
- Child Abuse Prevention Month activities were held to promote the child abuse prevention and the DCFS’ child abuse hotline. Activities included press releases/editorials, television interviews and social media posts. DCFS partnered with PCAL on numerous Pinwheels for Prevention plantings and in their kickoff luncheon.
- Foster Care Awareness month activities promoted the need for foster families. DCFS requested a proclamation from the Governor’s office designating the month as Foster Care Awareness Month. Events were publicized in regions.
- DCFS promoted child safety activities, such as water safety, firework safety, and hot car safety during summer months and worked with HP Serve to create a statewide Louisiana Heart Gallery featuring Louisiana’s adoptable foster children.
- DCFS continued development of and maintenance of partnerships with several television stations and community organizations to promote adoptable children in the Louisiana foster care system. FOX8 in New Orleans and WVLA in Baton Rouge reached out to DCFS to begin featuring adoptable foster children in new segments.
Update FFY 2017:

- DCFS distributed press releases and worked with news reporters to promote departmental initiatives through news features and in-depth reports. The DCFS Secretary and other departmental leadership made themselves available for interviews, and the Department promoted news reports through social media.

- Safe Haven promotions included development of a searchable online database of Safe Haven sites and a reprinting of the Safe Haven brochure. The cost of these promotions was covered by the Children’s Trust Fund, in response to a proposal by DCFS. The Department prepared press releases and conducted media interviews during the year when relinquishments or child welfare abandonments occurred, underscoring the need for people to access the law.

- Adoption Awareness is a year-round communication effort that intensifies during Adoption Awareness Month, with traditional and social media. Louisiana’s First Lady Donna Edwards participated in the November 2016 celebration event, which was held at the State Capitol Welcome Center. The Governor’s Mansion, which has hosted the event in years past, suffered major damage from the flood in August 2016.

- Foster parent recruitment continued throughout the year, beyond Foster Care Awareness Month activities in the spring. The subject was the focus of events and messaging in conjunction with the Department’s launch of its Quality Parenting Initiative Louisiana. It became the focus of various discussions of DCFS activities, including disaster planning. There will be an increased focus on Louisiana’s Quality Parenting Initiative, partnerships with non-profit and faith-based organizations to support foster families and resources for Youth Aging out of Foster Care.

- Continued work with HP Serve and its Louisiana Heart Gallery that features Louisiana’s adoptive foster children. HP Serve hosted and maintained the Heart Gallery website and worked with regional staff to see that children are professionally photographed.

- Continued to create and maintain partnerships with several television stations and community organizations to promote adoptable children in the Louisiana foster care system. The Department began a new partnership with WVLA Channel 33 in Baton Rouge for a “Wednesday’s Child” segment.

- Increased followers and engagement through social media and created a Twitter account for the DCFS Secretary.

- Partnered with Prevent Child Abuse Louisiana (PCAL) to promote child abuse prevention and the child abuse hotline during Child Abuse Prevention Month activities. State and regional staff donated funds for Pinwheels for Prevention and participated in events designed to draw attention to the effort.

- The Department’s secretary and executive team conducted a series of town hall meetings, called “Conversations with the Secretary” in all nine regions of the state to introduce the public to the leadership team, to inform the public about DCFS challenges and goals and to answer questions impacting Louisiana’s children and families. DCFS staff used email marketing to publicize the event to a wide variety of stakeholders in each region.

- The Secretary and Executive Team made presentations with local, state and federal policymakers in an effort to educate officials about the needs of the Department and the children and families it serves.
• Created the Public Information Officer (Digital Media) position, with responsibilities for ensuring the public better online access to information and services provided by the Department.

• The Department is in the process of producing a retention/recruitment video, with funds provided by the LCWTA. It will serve as a major part of a public awareness campaign in 2017 that will be aimed at prospective employees, existing staff and the broader public.

### Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

• Traditional and digital communications will continue, with an increased focus on digital media. Among the plans is a redesign of the Department’s website.

• Foster Care Awareness month activities will include a public awareness campaign promoting the need for quality foster parenting through Louisiana’s Quality Parenting Initiative. The campaign will include redesigned web pages, social media and traditional media outreach, presentations, video promotions and events.

• Adoption Awareness will continue, with primary focus during Adoption Awareness Month but continuing through the year. DCFS will redesign its web pages and target its digital strategy to the goal of finding loving permanent homes for children.

• Continue to work with HP Serve to create a statewide Louisiana Heart Gallery featuring Louisiana’s adoptable foster children. HP Serve will host and maintain the website and work with regional staff to see that recruitment efforts are ongoing for children who are awaiting an adoptive family.

• Look for new ways to promote the Safe Haven law, to make parents aware of their options to abandoning a newborn.

• Continue to create and maintain partnerships with several television stations and community organizations to promote adoptable children in the Louisiana foster care system.

• Inform the media, community, and stakeholders of initiatives implemented by the Department focusing on the DCFS mission to keep children safe, help individuals and families become self-sufficient, and provide a safe refuge during a disaster.

• Update and maintain social media sites including Facebook and Twitter and evaluate other media platforms for possible use by the Department.

• Child Abuse Prevention Month activities will be designed to promote the child abuse prevention and DCFS’ child abuse hotline. DCFS will continue its partnership with PCAL on Pinwheels for Prevention plantings and other events.

• The Department will conduct a public awareness campaign upon the launch of the Safe Haven database. The campaign will include traditional and social media outreach, as well as outreach to Safe Haven site representatives.

• Continue to promote child safety activities, such as water safety, firework safety, and hot car safety during the summer months. The Department will target other departments and organizations to do joint news releases to reach a larger audience. The Department will target other departments and organizations to do joint news releases to reach a larger audience.
G.) ALLOWABLE AREAS:

- Developing and implementing procedures for collaboration among child protective services, domestic violence services, and other agencies;
- Investigations, interventions, and the delivery of services and treatment provided to children and families, including the use of differential response, where appropriate;
- The provision of services that assist children exposed to domestic violence and that support the care giving role of their non-abusing parents.

SERVICES PROVIDED:

1.) Early Intervention Services - Policy requires referrals to Early Steps for children ages 0-3. The number of children served in the FS Program that are referred to Early Steps Program has not been captured. DCFS can provide the number of children in open FS caseloads that would be eligible for referral based on age (0-3 years, up to 36 months), at the time of the FS case open date. During FFY 2014, two thousand four hundred sixty two (2,462) children in the FS Program were eligible for referrals to the Early Steps Program.

Update FFY 2015: The number of DCFS referrals from all programs (CPS, FS, FC) received by the Early Steps Program for FFY 2014 (10/1/13-9/30/14) was 1,934. The number of eligible referrals from all Programs was 3,697. The number of eligible referrals during this timeframe was 3,487.

LDH captures the total number of Early Steps referrals received from DCFS. However, the specific program areas (CPS, FS, FC) are not indicated. No outcomes by specific DCFS Program are available, as the referrals are not separated by program when referred. The System Point of Entry (SPOE) for Regions 4 and 5 (Thibodaux and Lafayette), reported that she recently began capturing data and may be equipped to offer statistics based on DCFS outcomes versus outcomes from other referral sources. These regions have noticed an increase in the number of referrals for drug affected newborns.

DCFS and LDH have continued to participate in conference calls and face to face meetings to discuss more effective ways of working collaboratively to identify and address the needs of the very young children we serve. The Early Childhood Risk and Reach Louisiana report has been reviewed. The report referenced earlier discussions regarding the impact of collaboration and the benefits to families and agencies in mutually focusing on drug affected newborns, including those affected by abuse and neglect. The agencies explored ideas for effective coordination of services, as well as cross training of staff.

Update FFY 2016: In FFY 2015 the number of actual DCFS referrals to the Early Steps program from all child welfare programs was 2,773. This number represents unduplicated, substantiated child victims under the age of 3 in all DCFS Child Welfare Programs including (CPS, FS and FC). This is the number of children eligible for referral, but does not necessarily reflect those that will qualify for Early Steps program services. Of the 2,773 referrals, DCFS had 1,856 unduplicated children age 36 months and under who were served in Family Services cases. These children were eligible to be referred to Early Steps when the family’s FS case was opened.
Other efforts toward early intervention included workgroups focused on NAS. The workgroups have been ongoing with pilot projects active in Baton Rouge, Slidell and Lafayette. The state is participating in the Innovation Accelerator Program for Substance Use Disorders (IAP-SUD). This collaboration began in January 2015 and provides an opportunity to advance state-specific aims and goals related to Substance Use Disorders (SUD). Louisiana has chosen the priority topic of NAS.

The state’s mission in participating in this technical assistance opportunity was to develop a process by which early identification; prompt referrals to care, use of integrated care models, access to needed services and supports, and payment reforms are achieved. The overarching goal was to improve outcomes for mothers and their babies. The long term hope is to dispel myths about addiction, reduce stigma, and advocate for policy changes at all levels.

To accomplish its initial goals, state project leads and representatives from LDH, Office of Medicaid, Office of Behavioral Health (OBH), Office of Public Health (OPH), and Office of Citizens with Developmental Disabilities (OCDD), DCFS, the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ), the Department of Education (DOE), and other interested stakeholders participated in weekly Center for Medicaid (CMS)-sponsored High Intensity Learning Collaborative (HILC) calls, Targeted Learning Opportunity Webinars (TLO’s), and worked with assigned CMS consultants to draft a state specific work plan. The group established an SUD Steering Committee and relevant sub-committees to support this process, and is developing a repository of needed services and supports, payment reform strategies, and data. Three pilot sites that included the Woman’s Hospital (Baton Rouge), LA Project LAUNCH (15th JDC, started March 2015) and ACER substance use treatment center (Slidell) partnered.

Entering its second year, IAP-SUD project partnered with the Louisiana’s Perinatal Commission on its NAS legislative resolution as well as developing a toolkit that can be adopted by all providers in the state to address SUD among pregnant women and relevant services for their babies.

**Update FFY 2017:** All children ages 0-36 months continue to be referred to Early Steps. DCFS continues to partner with community agencies to improve outcomes for infants at risk of neonatal abstinence syndrome. The development of the Louisiana Substance Use in Pregnancy Toolkit is being finalized with plans to share with OB/GYN doctors statewide. The statewide Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS) workgroup will continue this work as the DCFS project with Medicaid will end and the NAS group will take over reporting of data to national Medicaid.

In FFY 2016 (10/1/2015 – 09/30/2016) the number of actual DCFS referrals to the Early Steps program from all child welfare programs (Child Protective Services, Family Services, and Foster Care) was 3,280. This number represents unduplicated, substantiated child victims under the age of 3 years (0-36 months). This is the number of children eligible for referral, but does not necessarily reflect those that will qualify for Early Steps program services. The number of eligible referrals from all programs was 3,900.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**
- Continue to require referrals to Early Steps for children 0-36 months involved with the agency.
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- Strengthen services to families impacted by substance abuse, particularly, drug affected newborns.
- Explore expanding the use of Family Teaming practices into Family Services.

2.) Human Trafficking (HT) – DCFS is in full compliance with the implementation of the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-22) and changes to the related amendments to CAPTA. DCFS has continued its work to combat HT. In 2012 an allegation of Sexual Exploitation/Juvenile Sexual Trafficking was added to the allegation list and definition of child abuse and neglect/sexual abuse. In March 2013, screening for HT was added to the policy regarding foster youth on runaway or missing. A medical screening and a screening for case managers and youth were developed. The screening tools are mandatory for completion with each foster youth upon their return from runaway or a missing episode. Procedures were added to the policy regarding identification of trafficking and specialized staffings to determine needs and services.

In 2014 DCFS was tasked by Act 564 of the 2014 Louisiana Legislature to develop an annual statistical report on HT in Louisiana. A report is to be sent to the Legislature by DCFS by the first of February each year with the following information:

a. The services offered
b. Geographic areas served
c. Number of children (or adults) served
d. Individual status updates on each child served

This information is to be collected on both adult and juvenile victims of labor and sexual trafficking. DCFS partnered with HT providers, Child Advocacy Centers (CAC), and sexual assault centers in Louisiana to develop a data gathering process on victims served, to produce the annual report. DCFS partnered with LSP to access the existing HSIN website for data entry for the report by HT providers with data. The first report was developed and submitted to the Louisiana Legislature on February 7, 2015.

The Legislation tasked CI screening to accept calls concerning Human Sexual Trafficking in Louisiana and making appropriate referrals for both adult and child victims. In response to this requirement DCFS partnered with the Polaris Project (National HT Resource Center) and the National HT Hotline. Clients not served because the alleged perpetrator is not a parent/caretaker or for adult cases, are referred to the National HT Hotline for assistance. For CY 2015 and CY 2016 CI did not record any data on calls that were not accepted for CPS services.

DCFS has the ability to staff cases of foster youth who have been identified as confirmed or at high risk for juvenile sexual trafficking victims. These staffings with field staff were initiated in 2014 and provide consultation with management on these cases.

Update FFY 2016: Data regarding HT victims within Louisiana continues to be collected. This required numerous meetings with stakeholders and service providers regarding data collection for this population. Though this is a continuing effort, DCFS completed its CY 2015 annual report to the Louisiana Legislature on HT in Louisiana, which is the 2nd report completed of this type. The Report for CY 2015 was submitted on February 23, 2016, to the Louisiana Legislature. The executive summary contained the following:
DCFS developed a list of 56 HT providers in Louisiana and contact was made to report information on human trafficking victims served. An Excel spreadsheet was developed with instructions and sent to each of the providers. A website was developed with the assistance of LSP for providers to securely enter their data, for those agencies wishing to use the website. A total of 13 agencies provided data for this report. Providers reported a total of 357 confirmed and prospective victims. Of the victims identified, 289 (81%) were sexual trafficking victims, 64 (18%) were labor trafficking victims, three victims were not reported as to type, and one was a victim of both labor and sexual trafficking. Of the sexual trafficking victims, 104 (36%) were identified as juveniles. Juvenile victims of trafficking were most frequently associated with Caddo, East Baton Rouge and Orleans parishes as the identified parishes for both trafficking and rescue. East Baton Rouge, Caddo, Jefferson and Orleans parishes have the largest number of adult victims. Of the victims reported, 86% were female, 11% male, 2% not reported and 1% Transgender. The most frequently provided services were Referral to Community Resources, Health Care, Mental Health, Education, and Housing. The age range of sexual trafficking victims was from 7-58. There were five sexual trafficking victims age 12 and under. Labor trafficking victims ranged in age from 31-65.

Other efforts to address HT in Louisiana included the following: A representative from the data unit and from CPS participated as one of the three state/county child welfare representatives on the HHS HT Work Group. This group developed the data elements to enhance ACF’s capability of collecting data on HT and child welfare. The work group is scheduled for a final wrap up on May 10, 2015. Beginning in February of 2016, DCFS established a work group to develop recommendations for changes to the data systems, ACESS and TIPS, to be able to identify and track which cases have HT involvement throughout the entire child welfare system. Though there are many challenges in this effort, the work group continues to meet and make plans to improve collection of data.

DCFS attended meetings regarding combating HT within Louisiana with a group that included representatives from the Court Improvement Program (CIP), LSP, and judiciary partners. Though this is not the first time DCFS has met with LSP regarding the issue of trafficking, these specific meetings were held to determine needs in Louisiana and develop a multi-disciplinary rapid screening tool. This group continued to meet and have the screening tool developed and in use prior to the end of 2016. Through these meetings, DCFS was able to work with LSP to create a MOU regarding protocol and information sharing for HT cases. The MOU was signed in May of 2016.

In March of 2015 through July of 2015, DCFS partnered with the Children’s Justice Act (CJA) and Healing Place Serve (HP Serve) to provide training on HT that included basic definitions and knowledge, identification and red flags, victim presentation, victim needs and services, coordination with other agencies, and trauma informed best practices for victims of HT. This training was provided to all DCFS field level staff providing direct services to clients and their supervisors. All other DCFS staff at all levels, foster parents, residential care providers, and CASA workers were invited to attend. This training was performed as in-person training in all regions of the state. DCFS worked with the Pelican Center for Children and Families to post the training on their website for viewing by all. The training can be viewed at http://www.clarola.org/resources/directory/item/1126-louisiana-juvenile-sex-trafficking.
partnering with LouisianaChildren.org and HP Serve, additional multidisciplinary trainings have been provided across the state to include all aspects listed above in addition to presentation on legal considerations for HT. DCFS has partnered with the CWTA and Connecticut Department of Children and Families to obtain a train the trainer on the Understanding Girls: A Trauma Informed Perspective curriculum. This training will be held in June 2016. Following the train the trainer, DCFS will continue preparations and plans to use this training with foster parents, residential facilities, service providers, and case managers. Plans are underway to bring Love 146 to Louisiana to provide a train the trainer for their “Not a #Number” curriculum. Through partnership with HP Serve this will be possible and is tentative for September 2016. Prior to the training, DCFS, HP Serve, and Louisianachildren.org will be working with stakeholders to partner with them through MOUs to provide this training to children/youth involved with DCFS on an on-going basis.

A placement group was formed in May 2015 as part of the partnership with HP Serve through their federal grant to address trafficking. Multiple parties within DCFS and additional stakeholders have been part of the placement group work. The group worked to develop specialized foster homes for youth who are victims of HT or are at high-risk of HT. The work regarding these homes includes development of policy, procedure, board rates, and criteria for foster parents, recruitment of foster parents, training for foster parents, support services for foster parents, and support services for youth victims. This group worked with current residential providers to ensure they received training on HT on-site, as it was found that they could attend easier this way. During training sessions, the residential providers and staff were engaged to discuss what their needs were relative to housing this population and what types of supports were warranted. The group will continue to plan this work and identify solutions for providers. The group has recently begun discussion of developing a protocol/best practice quick reference guide for placement providers to utilize once a child is placed with them that is a victim of HT. Work on development of this guide should continue through FFY 2016 and FFY 2017.

DCFS continues work with multiple stakeholders to combat HT. Meetings with stakeholders are held at different times within the year by DCFS, Louisianachildren.org, or HP Serve and have included work with the following:

○ The Court Improvement Program (CIP)
○ Department of Juvenile Services (BR)
○ Louisiana Children’s CJA Task Force
○ Department of Health & Hospitals/Office of Behavioral Health
○ Louisiana Sheriff’s Association
○ Louisiana State Police (LSP)
○ Office of Juvenile Justice
○ Louisiana Baptist Children’s Home
○ Alliance for Freedom, Restoration & Justice
○ The Wilson Foundation
○ Youth Oasis
○ Covenant House
○ The Hub Urban Ministries
○ Child Advocacy Centers of Louisiana
Update FFY 2017: Staffings with staff for HT cases continued during FFY 2017 as did staffings concerning CPS cases involving HT. During the year, a directory of service providers for human trafficking victims was developed for the Orleans region and a directory of trauma-focused treatment providers was developed for the Shreveport area. Both of these services directories were distributed to DCFS and respective stakeholders. DCFS is working with existing specialized MDT staffing teams in the Orleans and Shreveport Regions to improve the identification and response to juvenile trafficking victims.

Specialized juvenile sexual trafficking screening tools have been developed for foster care and family service cases. A separate screening tool for juvenile sexual trafficking was developed for first responders such as law enforcement, teachers, child protection investigators and health care providers. This tool was developed under the guidance of a state-wide multidisciplinary group of State Police, judges, the Supreme Court, private providers and DCFS staff. The integration of the screening tool into DCFS CPS policy has been initiated.

A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Louisiana State Police (LSP) Special Victims Unit on referral of sexual trafficking victims and communication on mutual cases was initiated. As a result, staff of both agencies is in contact on a daily basis about related cases.

DCFS participated in the meetings of the HHS/ACF Office of Trafficking in Persons Technical Working Group and provided input on the requested data items.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Provide training and guidance to field staff on the specialized juvenile sexual trafficking screening tool.
- Training will be provided on the screening tool used in the foster care and family services program.
- Work more closely with the CPS staff about providing staffing and consultation on cases with allegations of sexual trafficking and identifying cases with a high risk for becoming trafficking victims.
- Work closely with law enforcement partners in improving the identification and response to juvenile sexual trafficking. Regular meetings are scheduled with the Louisiana State Police (LSP) Special Victims Unit and strategic partners to further this goal.
- Make modifications to ACESS to accurately capture data and track clients who are identified as HT victims. These changes will permit the agency to be in compliance of the requirements of P. L. 113-183. There will be accompanying training for staff for these changes to ACESS.

Safety Focused Practice

- DCFS is committed to improving Safety Focused Practice, particularly around identifying present and impending danger and safety plans to help enhance CPS workers competency level to implement an appropriate safety plan, to control present and impending danger threats, and ensure the services are provided to children and families to address their needs.
CPS consultants will provide ongoing statewide consultations and participate in staffing regarding high risk cases to identify strengths and effective practices, as well as, area that need further attention for CPS Program improvement to ensure the safety of our children.

DCFS Implementation Specialists will seek to identify strengths and effective practices for CPS program to ensure the safety of our children. The Implementation Specialist will examine the quality of assessments and information gathering throughout the course of a CPS case through statewide case consultations. A total of 223 case consultations were conducted from October of 2015 through October of 2016.

H.) ALLOWABLE AREAS:

- Developing and enhancing the capacity of community-based programs to integrate shared leadership strategies between parents and professionals to prevent and treat child abuse and neglect at the neighborhood level;
- Supporting and enhancing interagency collaboration among public health agencies, agencies in the child protective service system, and agencies carrying out private community-based programs;
- Providing child abuse and neglect prevention and treatment services (including linkages with education systems), and the use of differential response; and
- Addressing the health needs, including mental health needs, of children identified as victims of child abuse or neglect, including supporting prompt, comprehensive health and developmental evaluations for children who are the subject of substantiated child maltreatment reports; or
- Supporting and enhancing interagency collaboration between the child protection system and the juvenile justice system for improved delivery of services and treatment, including methods for continuity of treatment plan and services as children transition between systems;

SERVICES PROVIDED:

1.) **Interagency Collaboration: Consultation with Physicians** - The Department continuously consults with physicians or other appropriate medical professionals in assessing the health needs, including mental health needs, and well-being of foster children and determining medical treatment. Annual medical examinations are required for all foster children as are dental exams for all foster children with their first tooth or age one year and older whichever comes first. Other medical needs are addressed as they arise. Medical choice is limited to licensed physicians and facilities who participate in the Medicaid programs or providers who agree to bill and accept payment from DCFS.

Ultimately the worker is responsible for 1) initiating plans for medical care 2) making direct referrals when indicated; and 3) maintaining current medical information in the child’s case record. Responsibility for securing routine medical care is delegated to foster parents or other caregivers with assistance from the worker. For children up to one year of age, examinations shall be obtained according to the standards established by the American Academy of Pediatrics, and for all children over the age of one year at least annually or more frequently based on a physician’s recommendations.
Louisiana has adopted the provisions of the Affordable Care Act which allow youth aging out of foster care to retain Medicaid coverage from age 18 to age 26. These services include only those which are needed for routine wellness or medical necessity. DCFS implemented a psychotropic medication consultation process with a contracted Psychiatrist at LDH. Children on multiple psychotropic meds at risk of placement disruption are targeted for presentation on bi-weekly calls. The purpose of the consultation is to educate staff on the impact multiple psychotropic medications have on children and youth in foster care and to empower staff with information to advocate on their behalf. The Psychiatrist consults with departmental staff to provide guidance in case planning as needed. Policy and forms were created to address the use of psychotropic medications requiring parental consent when parental rights are retained, requiring that psychotropic meds be considered a last resort treatment option, and requiring a discussion of the medication’s impact and options with the child, birth parent and caregiver. A statewide WebEx was conducted to review updates to psychotropic meds policy and to provide support to staff with case specific questions on the topic. The psychotropic meds training was posted and is accessible on the DCFS website to all staff and stakeholders.

DCFS worked in collaboration with the Louisiana Child Welfare Trauma Project (LCWTP) to improve access to needs-driven, evidence-based mental and behavioral health services for children and youth in the foster care system, as training and trauma assessment tools have been shared in the Baton Rouge and Lafayette regions.

**Update FFY 2016:**
- Continued working with the LCTP. Training and trauma assessment tools were provided to all regions.
- Shared data with LDH and identified those children in DCFS custody on multiple psychotropic medications.
- Conducted bi-weekly psychopharmacology consultations with an OBH representative, a Board Certified Child Psychiatrist and DCFS staff, on children in foster care identified as being a multiple psychotropic medications.
- Provided clients with medical, dental and behavioral health care services through Medicaid and LDH contracts.
- Non-Medicaid services required to meet the care needs of children in foster care were provided through alternative DCFS resources.
- The integrated case management process for working with OJJ collaboratively to serve crossover youth was implemented statewide.

**Update FFY 2017:**
- Continued working with the LCWTP. Training and trauma assessment tools have been implemented statewide.
- Matched data with LDH to identify those children in DCFS custody on multiple psychotropic medications.
- Conducts bi-weekly or “as needed” psychopharmacology consultations with an OBH representative, a Board Certified Child Psychiatrist and DCFS staff, on children in foster care identified as being prescribed multiple psychotropic medications.
- Provides clients with medical, dental and behavioral health care services through their own insurance or Medicaid.
Non-insurance or non-Medicaid services required to meet the care needs of children in foster care are provided through alternative DCFS financial resources.

Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Monitor the implementation of the trauma assessment process to ensure statewide practice adaptation;
- Continued data matching with LDH for multi-psychotropic medications monitoring of children in foster care and consultation with OBH;
- Ongoing provision of medical wellness and medically necessary care to meet the physical, dental, and behavioral health needs of children in foster care.

2.) Interagency Collaboration: Louisiana Behavioral Health Partnership (now Healthy Louisiana (HL)/Coordinated Systems of Care

Prior to March 2012, the Department had a provider credentialing process to insure the professional credentials and safety of the providers treating children in state custody. Then in March 2012, this process was contracted to Magellan as part of the collaboration between LDH, OBH, OJJ, DCFS Child Welfare Program and DOE known as the Louisiana Behavioral Health Partnership (LBHP) / now Healthy Louisiana (HL). Through this partnership all behavioral health services supported through these four governmental agencies were provided.

Coordinated Systems of Care (CSoC), a part of HL, includes services targeted to at risk children and youth (young people who are either already in, or at risk of being in out-of-home placement, or the state’s juvenile justice system) with significant behavioral health challenges or co-occurring disorders. The goal of CSoC is to reduce the number of targeted children and youth in detention and residential settings and to improve the overall outcomes of these children and their caretakers. These services continue to be managed by Magellan; however, the state no longer contracts with Magellan for medical healthcare coverage.

On December 1, 2015, Louisiana transitioned to an integrated health management environment, and administration of behavioral health services transitioned to the five managed care organizations responsible to administer primary health services (i.e. Healthy LA). It was determined that the existing managed care entity for behavioral health services would retain administration of behavioral health services solely for youth enrolled in CSoC, for a period of no more than two years, in order to ensure a successful transition of CSoC to integrated health management.

Update FFY 2016: Pursuant to the transition to Healthy LA, DCFS assumed administration of agreements with residential childcare facilities and child placing agencies, two residential levels of care that had previously been managed under the HL. These levels of care are not Medicaid reimbursable, and for this reason no longer fall within the scope of work of the Managed Care Organizations (MCOs). Included in the administration of these levels of care, the Department will undertake a more robust approach to quality assurance activities. A quality assurance surveillance tool is being developed that will be used during quarterly site visits with residential childcare facilities and child placing agencies. Additionally, this tool will be applied during quarterly site visits at Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities (PRTF) and Therapeutic Groups Homes (TGH) that provide residential behavioral health treatment services to youth in
custody. Efforts will be made to automate the surveillance tool so that the data can be aggregated and reportable.

A new statewide unit was created within the Department to manage the transition to integrated health management by the five MCOs participating in Healthy LA. The twelve person statewide Behavioral Health and Placement Services Unit works closely with the managed care entities and providers to ensure youth are connected with the appropriate behavioral health residential treatment and residential level of care to address their needs. DCFS selected two of the MCOs as DCFS preferred providers: Louisiana Health Care Connections and Amerigroup. This decision was made to ensure that children, families and the DCFS maintain choice among health plans, and to enhance collaboration to meet the specialized needs of children in custody, of the Department and of the health plans.

Throughout this year, the Behavioral Health and Placement Services Unit provided education and technical assistance to assist staff and key stakeholder to navigate within Healthy LA. The array of residential behavioral health treatment providers has remained relatively stable with the transition to Healthy LA. Currently there are five (5) Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities and eight (8) Therapeutic Group Homes participating in the residential treatment providers. By the end of the year, it is anticipated that there will be sixteen Therapeutic Group Homes providing service in Louisiana. The array of residential care providers has remained stable. Currently there are twenty (20) Non-Medical Group Homes, and eight (8) Therapeutic Foster Care provider agencies providing services to youth in Louisiana who are in DCFS custody.

The LDH CSoC team worked with the University of Washington Research and Evaluation Team to conduct the Wraparound Fidelity Index Assessment with CSoC youth and families in the five existing regions to establish a baseline metric of the integration of high fidelity wraparound practice. LDH CSoC team began work to refine the CSoC Quality Assurance Strategy to assess progress and outcomes of youth enrolled in CSoC and convened regular strategic planning sessions among all the managed care organizations to create processes and procedures to address the complexities of administering CSoC services in an integrated health management environment.

At the end of FFY 2016, a total of 1705 children and youth were enrolled in CSoC. Of the children and youth enrolled in CSoC, 133 were identified as children in the foster care system.

**Update FFY 2017:** DCFS continued to work with the Office of Behavioral Health and Medicaid located within the Louisiana Department of Health to build a more efficient and effective system of behavioral health care and a more integrated health system for the children and youth of Louisiana.

The Behavioral Health and Placement Services Unit provided education and technical assistance to assist staff and key stakeholder to navigate within the system of managed care to ensure children and youth in DCFS custody are connected to needed services and supports in a timely manner, at the correct level of care and for the appropriate amount of time.

The array of residential behavioral health treatment providers has remained consistent since the transition to managed care. The anticipated growth in the array of Therapeutic Group Homes did
not occur, but remains a goal. Currently there are twenty (20) Non-Medical Group Homes, and eight (8) Child Placing Agencies with which DCFS does contract to provide Therapeutic Foster Care to youth in Louisiana who are in custody.

DCFS partnered with the OBH to apply for a federal System of Care Expansion Grant. The goals are two tiered: 1) establish a center of excellence to train stakeholders throughout the state; 2) increase leadership and participation of families and youth in the System of Care. The award of this grant has not yet been announced.

The CSoC contractor continues to administer the CSoC on behalf of the LDH, and to administer all CSoC services and all specialized behavioral health state services for youth enrolled in CSoC. The five health plans contracted by Medicaid continue to administer all specialized behavioral health state plan services for youth who are enrolled in Medicaid, but who are not enrolled in CSoC. In FFY 2017, Crises Stabilization migrated from a CSoC service to a specialized behavioral health state plan service, available to all Medicaid eligible children and youth.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**

- Continue to work with Medicaid, the MCOs and stakeholders to streamline the collaborative processes and enhance the Department’s ability to work efficiently and effectively within the new integrative healthcare management arena.
- Review the feasibility of contracting directly with the Health Plans who administer behavioral health services for youth in custody.
- The Behavioral Health and Placement Services Unit will continue to provide education and technical assistance to assist staff and key stakeholder to navigate within managed care.
- Work with the OBH to establish a learning community to investigate how to develop a more family-focused culture within residential treatment levels of care. Technical assistance from the Building Bridges Initiative will provide structure and resources for this endeavor.

3.) **Requirement for Media Disclosure on Child Fatalities and Near Fatalities** - DCFS has complied with federal regulations with regard to media disclosure on child fatalities and near fatalities.

**Update FFY 2016:** Upon request, the Department has consistently provided summary information on cases of child abuse and neglect which has resulted in a fatality or a near fatality. To ensure continued compliance with these federal mandates, the Department updated policy regarding child fatalities and near fatalities in April 2016. In policy 1-530 “Release of Abuse and Neglect Investigation Information” it states that “upon request, DCFS shall provide summary information on cases of child abuse and neglect which has resulted in a fatality or near fatality”. Furthermore, policy 1-540 “Release of Information to Review Boards and Panels” has been updated to reflect “DCFS shall disclose information as requested by the child abuse and neglect citizen review board so long as the information is necessary for the panel to carry out its function consistent with state and federal law”.
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DCFS does not currently identify near fatality data in the CPS management information system so these incidents are tracked based on reports submitted by local offices to state office. A system change has been requested to add allegations of “Life Threatening Injury Abuse” and “Life Threatening Injury Neglect” to enable better data management and knowledge concerning near fatalities. Users will be required to include a specific allegation type when “Life Threatening Injury” is an allegation. The system enhancement is scheduled for implementation in September 2016.

**Update FFY 2017:** Upon request, the Department has consistently provided summary information on cases of child abuse and neglect which has resulted in a fatality or a near fatality. To ensure continued compliance with these federal mandates, the Department updated policy regarding child fatalities and near fatalities in April 2016. In policy 1-530 “Release of Abuse and Neglect Investigation Information” it states that “upon request, DCFS shall provide summary information on cases of child abuse and neglect which has resulted in a fatality or near fatality”. Through the DCFS website, there is a mechanism in place for media inquiries, and the Department frequently provides data to various organizations, media outlets, and other entities regarding fatalities and near fatalities. DCFS currently has three full-time employees in our Communications division to respond to all media inquiries and request for data. Furthermore, policy 1-540 “Release of Information to Review Boards and Panels” has been updated to reflect “DCFS shall disclose information as requested by the child abuse and neglect citizen review board so long as the information is necessary for the panel to carry out its function consistent with state and federal law”.

Through a Data Sharing Agreement, DCFS provided the Louisiana Department of Health (LDH) with data regarding child deaths in Louisiana. In addition, LDH secured a grant from the Center for Disease Control for the prevention of violence and injuries, which will allow for a shared Epidemiologist between DCFS and LDH to review data to improve outcomes for children.

In June of 2016, ACESS updates and policy changes were made that added allegations of “Life Threatening Injury Abuse” and “Life Threatening Injury Neglect” to better enable data management and knowledge concerning near fatalities. Users are required to include a specific allegation type when “Life Threatening Injury” is an allegation.
### FFY 2016*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Invalid</th>
<th>Inconclusive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Children Investigated as a Fatality</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fatality data from WebFocus Managed Reporting extracted 3/31/2017*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Invalid</th>
<th>Inconclusive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Children Investigated as a Near Fatality</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigated as a Near-Fatality Victim</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report came in as Near Fatality - Child Later Died</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Fatalities that did not become Fatalities</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There is 1 Fatality pending, 3 with findings of UTL, and 1 of CNC.*

### Activities Planned for FFY 2018:

- Collaboration efforts will continue with LDH to share data and to utilize the availability of an epidemiologist to identify gaps in fatality data sharing, and to initiate strategies in maximizing the amount of data sharing;
- As website changes are made, the feasibility of placing additional data on the website for ease of access to certain data will be explored.

### Sources of Data on Child Maltreatment Deaths:

- All child maltreatment fatalities are reported through CI and entered into ACESS. The majority of reports, regarding child fatalities, is reported from coroners and law enforcement and is accepted for CPS services. The other percentage of accepted fatality reports stem from medical providers, relatives/friends, anonymous, etc. The child deaths substantiated by the Department come exclusively from intakes accepted. The data is obtained through ACESS, the state’s intake system.

In 2013, consultation began with the coroner in the state’s largest city to identify any additional fatality victims that needed to be included in the NCANDS submission. The number of individual law enforcement agencies in Louisiana currently exceeds 400 and this creates barriers for DCFS as individual contacts within each agency is currently beyond the capacity of the Department.

The Department continues to work with the OPH, LDH to obtain current information on child abuse and neglect fatalities. As of May 20, 2016 House Bill (HB) 494, designed to allow better access to the child fatality data between DCFS and OPH/ LDH, passed the Legislature and is awaiting the Governor’s signature. If the Governor signs the bill, DCFS and LDH will have what is needed to share data more openly.

### Update FFY 2016:

Thirty-nine (39) fatalities were reported in the recent NCANDS submission.

### Update FFY 2017:

All child maltreatment fatalities are reported through CI and entered into ACESS. The majority of reports, regarding child fatalities, is reported from coroners and law enforcement and is accepted for CPS services. The other percentage of accepted fatality reports stem from medical providers, relatives/friends, anonymous, etc. The child deaths substantiated...
by the Department come exclusively from intakes accepted. The data is obtained through ACESS, the state’s intake system.

In 2013, consultation began with the coroner in the state’s largest city to identify any additional fatality victims that needed to be included in the NCANDS submission. The number of individual law enforcement agencies in Louisiana currently exceeds 400 and this creates barriers for DCFS as individual contacts within each agency is currently beyond the capacity of the Department. It is anticipated that through the strengthened relationship with the Child Death Review Panel, that this may be an avenue to explore in obtaining this information.

The Department continues to work with the OPH, LDH to obtain current information on child abuse and neglect fatalities. Legislation passed in 2016 allows better access to the child fatality data between DCFS and OPH/ LDH. As a result, policies were revised and implemented to increase the availability of data to the Child Death Review Panel, as well as requiring staff to invite local Child Death Review Panel members to all Multi-Disciplinary Team Staffings involving a fatality to improve data sharing, identify gaps in reporting, and form a closer collaborative effort in addressing child deaths.

All nine regions within the State have a Child Death Review Panel (CDRP) in which DCFS participates, in addition to a State Office Level CDRP to review systematic issues. The LDH, OPH leads the CDRP meetings, thus discussions are held between DCFS and the CDRP to ensure that all suspected cases of abuse/neglect are reported to DCFS. The CDRP’s throughout the state have robust participation of the various Coroner's Offices, Law Enforcement, Medical Providers, and other state/local entities.

OPH, with the support of DCFS, applied for, and was awarded a grant from the CDC to address incidences of injury and violence. DCFS has been an active participant in the work of the grant, with one of the primary goals being to increase levels of coordination, collaboration and mission alignment among state-level Child Abuse and Neglect partners, through assessing existing data sources and gaps, and creating a minimum core data set with standardized data for Child Abuse. With the recent hiring of an Epidemiologist through this grant, it is expected that the work of this grant will progress, including increasing the amount of data available for child fatalities.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**

- Continue collaboration efforts with LDH to share data and to utilize the availability of an epidemiologist to identify gaps in fatality data sharing, and to initiate strategies in maximizing the amount of data sharing;
- Continue to strengthen collaborative efforts with members of all Child Death Review Panels to encourage data sharing and ultimately increase the amount of data available from multiple resources in NCANDS reporting.
5.) Citizen Review Panels – Louisiana has three (3) Citizen Review Panels (CRP) located in the North, South, and Southwest areas of the state. The Beauregard Panel is parish based and located in the southeastern quadrant of the state within the Lake Charles Region. The Monroe Panel is regional based and located in the north quadrant of the state. The remaining panel is based in the region of Lafayette, which is located in the south quadrant of the state. The Monroe and Lafayette Regions consist of multiple parishes that are part of their panels.

The goal of the panel is to provide an opportunity for citizens to commit, promote, and create positive change for the overall well-being and safety of children. The Panels meet on a quarterly basis at a minimum, to review and discuss specific policies and procedures and where applicable, specific cases of both state and local agencies, and prepare an annual report.

During the last year, CRPs have reported the following:

**Lafayette Region Citizen Review Panel Members:**
- Linda Boudreaux, Family Resource Center Director
- Katy Bajat, LCSW, Special Needs Parent
- David Yarbrough, Dean of Community Services, ULL
- Darce Byrd, Lafayette Drug Court
- Madeline Rosette, St. Landry CASA, Executive Director
- Lavonya Malveaux, Opelousas City Court Representative
- Amber Hebert, Lafayette Sheriff’s Department
- Melissa Thompson, LCSW-BACS, Area Director Lafayette Region

The Lafayette Region DCFS Citizen Review Panel held meetings on the following dates in 2015: April 30, 2015, June 26, 2015, and November 16, 2015. A Focus Group with Lafayette Region Supervisors was conducted on August 10, 2015. The Focus Group was facilitated by David Yarbrough, Linda Boudreaux, and Amber Hebert.

**Summary of the Panel’s Yearly Activities/Projects/Accomplishments:** The CRP team focused on the retention of child welfare staff in 2015. Retention in child welfare has been noted as a pervasive problem nationwide. Since research indicates that supervisors play an integral role in staff retention, a Focus Group with supervisors was selected to inform the Department of retention issues and identify strategies to improve staff retention in Lafayette Region. According to the research article “Factors Influencing Retention of Child Welfare Staff: A Systematic Review of Research” by the Institute for the Advancement of Social Work Research, it was emphasized that professional commitment and the level of education are the most consistent personal characteristics involving retention of child welfare staff. Supervisory support and workload/caseload are the most consistent organizational factors mentioned that contribute to retention. The Focus Group participants were selected from the following program areas in Child Welfare: Child Protection, Foster Care, Family Services, and Home Development. Supervisors selected had a range of experience from one month to 16 years of child welfare supervisory experience.

**Recommendations:** A focus on personal safety, training, resources and tools, user friendly electronic system, pay increases and manageable work load, some of which was included in our annual CRP Report. The panel requested any feedback from state office.
DCFS Response: The issues identified by the panel were recently outlined in a transition report that was provided to the newly elected governor in effort to aid with suggestions and recommendations for improving the Child Welfare in Louisiana. The information was shared by experts, along with the collective experience of committee members that provided the analysis of the Department and framed the series of structural, communication, staffing, funding, and programmatic recommendations focused on strong service to Louisiana.”

Lafayette Region Citizen Review Panel Update FFY 2017: The Lafayette Region DCFS Citizen Review Panel held meetings on the following dates in 2016: March 31, 2016, June 16, 2016, and October 27, 2016. The panel members were provided a copy of the “Onward Louisiana Report” for review and discussions. This report was composed by the Transition Committee on Children and Family Services that consisted of 22 people from diverse disciplines and backgrounds in different geographical regions of the state then submitted to the Governor of Louisiana. Their purpose was to “establish policies to provide meaningful opportunity to serve the disadvantaged who are at risk of securing basic human needs and to assure that the Department utilizes an outcomes-based assessment to determine whether strategies work and to make informed decisions on necessary programmatic changes.”

Later during the 2016 year, the committee focused its efforts on youth transitioning out of foster care, which included identifying mentoring opportunities for youth in foster care. In an attempt to create a successful mentoring program, current barriers to mentoring within the child welfare system were identified. One of the major barriers identified was mentor’s inability to be involved in unsupervised contacts with the mentee. Supervised contact is required because the Department does not conduct state central registry clearances on mentors as it is not a provision identified in the law. Since this was an issue identified as a barrier for developing a mentoring program for youth, a referral was submitted to state office via the Regional Program Quality Improvement (PQI) process. In the referral, the committee identified the difficulties associated with developing positive relationships with youth in a supervised environment and suggested that the Department support legislation changes or policy changes to eliminate this barrier. The members expressed a desire to pursue mentoring in an effort to link youth in foster care to positive role models. The goal is for mentors to form bonds with youth that support long-term connections, aid in teaching youth independent living skills, and identify supports after foster care. In addition to mentoring, the committee will focus its efforts on identifying additional strategies that will support and promote positive outcomes for youth in foster care.

Identified trends/findings/concerns: The CRP members submitted a referral to State Office via the Regional Program Quality Improvement (PQI) process to address a barrier for developing a mentoring program for youth. A barrier identified was the mentor’s inability to be involved in unsupervised contacts with the mentee. Supervised contact is required because the Department does not conduct State Central Registry clearances on mentors as it is not a provision identified in the law.

Recommendations:
1) Provide necessary supports to youth who age out of foster care to decrease homelessness, incarceration and other adverse outcomes for former foster youth.
DCFS Response: Louisiana Independent Living Skills (LILS) training to our youth in foster care help track and search for youth as they are aging out of care. The youth are provided ongoing case management and support and ongoing service delivery as needed. They are afforded the opportunity to complete National Youth Transitional Database (NYTD) surveys for federal reporting as part of the tracking process. During this tracking process the youth will sustain connections/relationships, and supportive resources. Once the youth are connected to the Independent Living (IL) network in Louisiana, youth can continue receiving supportive assistance from these providers after aging out of foster care throughout the state up to at least age 26.

2) The committee will attempt to increase membership in the Lafayette Region Citizens Review Panel by identifying community members interested in promoting positive change within child welfare.

DCFS Response: An event may be hosted whether it is an annual workshop, a quarterly Lunch & Learn or an online Webinar. Speakers’ should present information that targets the panel’s current needs and/or concerns. This process would gain credibility for the panel and provide an opportunity to increase the membership. The panel members may choose to schedule an additional meeting. Encouragement should be made to bring a “guest,” offering the opportunity to join the panel and increase in membership.

Monroe Region Citizen Review Panel Members:

- Angie Thomas, Vice-Chair, Louisiana Methodist Children’s Home
- Peggy Kirby, Advocacy Chair, LA Foster/Adoptive Parents Association, Fair Visions
- Patty Newman, OYD Regional Administrator
- Tammie Slawson, Seeker Spring
- Gatha Green, Children’s Coalition of NELA
- Laura Nettles, Families Helping Families
- Ella Nimmers, Our House for Teens
- Marion Carraway, Louisiana MENTOR (*No longer with the panel as of FFY 2017)
- Jacquiela Dorsey, Big Brothers Big Sisters, the Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.
- Melody Karamanis- La. Baptist Children’s Home
- Andrea Savage -ULM Title IV-E Coordinator
- Cindy Murphy-Independent (Formerly Reg. Admin. With DCFS)
- LaRanda Jason-CWS DCFS (*No longer with the panel as of FFY 2017)
- Tamara Thompson-River City Counseling Services
- Keri Foust, CASA
- Ellen Hammons, Area Director, Monroe Region/DCFS Liaison (*No longer with the panel as of FFY 2017)
- Katie Herring, Child Welfare Consultant/DCFS Liaison

The year 2015 proved to be a continued period of many changes as the Department (DCFS) underwent significant new initiatives, reorganization of staff, job descriptions, administrative changes, and new initiatives that affected Child Welfare programs aimed at improvement of qualitative and quantitative measures in fulfilling the Department’s mission. The members of the Monroe Region Citizen Review Panel continue to demonstrate and fulfill the mission statement as mandated by the 1996 Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act. The Region IX Citizen Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
Review Panel remains in contact with the broad general guidelines outlined in CAPTA, that is, to review and evaluate local and state child welfare agencies, and make suggestions and recommendations to improve the delivery of quality child welfare services. The panel members and DCFS staff continue to demonstrate an exceptional and cooperative relationship in meeting our mandated goals.

The Monroe Region DCFS panel members met four times during 2015, meeting the mandated one meeting per quarter requirement. The members continue to maintain active membership and commitment in fulfilling the mission of the Panel and assisting in the achievement of departmental goals. The year 2015 meetings focused on the continuation of Foster Care Life Skills Camp, which is designed to teach skills that are imperative for youth preparing to transition from Foster Care to Independent Living; a Christmas Camp designed to give respite to Foster Parents during the holiday season; further development of Sibling Camp for Foster Care sibling groups living separately; continuation of the much heralded appreciation luncheon for Agency staff; and monitoring other CRP activity nationwide.

**Summary of the Panel’s Yearly Activities/Projects/Accomplishments:** Our Life Skills Camp continues to be of interest and active in providing life skills to youth in foster care. Our 6th annual Life Skills Camp was held May 1-3 with 23 teens in attendance. Volunteers from all areas of the community were present to provide engaging and educational trainings to the youth who were preparing for transition out of foster care and into adulthood/independent living. The Panel members have began making plans for subsequent annual camps. The community is much appreciated as various groups and individuals work together to provide a successful and entertaining opportunity for this increasingly vulnerable population. Both the Christmas Camp and the Sibling Camp were deemed a success and an appreciated effort by Foster Parents and the Foster Care Youth. The Panel remains in gratitude of those who devote their time and effort to serve as our camp volunteers and the champions for these children.

**Identified trends/findings/concerns:** Monroe Region underwent reaccreditation by the Council on Accreditation (COA) during the 2015 calendar year, which was a massive undertaking and a very extensive process that included meetings with various program clients, stakeholders, and visits to several DCFS locations to ensure ongoing compliance. The DCFS, Region IX (Monroe), continued to be in compliance with the Public Agency Accreditation Standards. This is a tremendous achievement that demonstrates that DCFS is recognized as a provider that continues to successfully implement high performance standards and, as such, is delivering the highest quality services to all of its stakeholders.

An additional change occurred to staff in the Monroe Region Home Development Unit as a new supervisor was identified, bringing the unit total to 5 employees. The Home Development Unit has continued to use the Model Approach to Partnership in Parenting (M.A.P.P.) training, as it has been recognized as an imperative component of foster parent recruitment and development. The need for new foster parents remains critical and the Home Development Unit is hard at work to increase the number of certified foster homes in the region, while ensuring that eligible relative placements and fictive kin are extended an opportunity to participate in the certification process.
Rose Sam, DCFS Program Manager and state coordinator of the Louisiana CRP, continues to keep our panel informed of state and national meetings. The routine state conference calls continue to be held with DCFS staff, who, in turn share information learned with the local panel. The Citizen Review Panel’s newly elected officers, Jacquiela Dorsey, Chair and Angie Thomas, Vice-Chair served the panel in 2015. Mrs. Dorsey is the Director of Big Brother’s Big Sisters for the WellSpring Alliance. The Monroe regional staff expressed appreciation for the service of the panel.

**Recommendations:** None

**Monroe Region Citizen Review Panel Update FFY 2017:** Marion Carraway, LaRanda Jason, and Ellen Hammons are no longer Monroe Region Citizen Review Panel Members.

This year, 2016 proved to be a continued period of many changes as the Department underwent significant changes including reorganization of staff and supervisors, job descriptions, administrative changes, and new initiatives that affected Child Welfare programs aimed at improvement of qualitative and quantitative measures in fulfilling the agency’s mission. The members of the Monroe Region Citizen Review Panel continue to demonstrate and fulfill the mission statement as mandated by the 1996 Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act. The Region IX Citizen Review Panel remains in contact with the broad general guidelines outlined in CAPTA, that is, to review and evaluate local and state child welfare agencies, and make suggestions and recommendations to improve the delivery of quality child welfare services. The panel and DCFS were challenged through the 2016 year in meeting our mandated goals.

The Monroe Region DCFS panel members met three times during 2016, with less than a quorum present on one occasion. This did not meet the one meeting per quarter requirement as the CRP has experienced a decrease in attendance and commitment due to various individual issues. Members have been requested to consider their involvement, then rededicate themselves or suggest another individual to serve who are committed to fulfilling the mission of the panel and assisting in the achievement of agency goals. The meetings held in 2016 focused on the development of the panel; further development of Sibling Camp for Foster Care sibling groups living separately; continuation of the much heralded appreciation luncheon for Agency staff; and monitoring other CRP activity nationwide.

**Summary of the Panel’s Yearly Activities/Projects/Accomplishments:** The calendar year 2016, brought two major floods to our state both in North and South Louisiana, causing not only devastating damages to homes and families, but delays in services, processes and funding. Life Skills camps for 2016 had to be cancelled due to the flooding, but sibling camps were still held in March and October 2016 even though, there was a decrease in registration for the camps. Christmas camp was held in December 2016. Camps continue to be an interactive opportunity for campers and a place to help them learn critical life skills while serving as a much needed respite for parents.

**Identified trends/findings/concerns:** Employee recruitment and retention continues to be a challenge. New employees are being trained as thoroughly as possible to prepare them for the extremely difficult, yet rewarding jobs. The panel has identified preparation and training as critical to employee retention. DCFS positions are high stress, high demand jobs that require a Transmittal Date June 30, 2017
The need for new foster parents remains critical. Home Development is working diligently to increase the number of foster parents in the region, while ensuring that all relative placements are certified as foster parents as quickly as possible, in an effort to maintain family connections. Additionally, the continued recruitment and expansion of the Methodist Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) Program in the Monroe area has been an essential partnership that offers specialized homes to children who experience more challenging behaviors and allows DCFS to maintain foster children within the Monroe Region. This lessens the stress on workers, support staff and families as it minimizes the time spent traveling to maintain relationships and family connections.

Rose Sam, DCFS Program Manager and state coordinator of the Louisiana CRP, continues to keep the panel informed of state and national meetings. The routine state conference calls continue to be held with staff, who, in turn share information learned with the local panel.

**Recommendations:** None

**Beauregard Parish Citizen Review Panel Members:**
- Chair- Tommy Edwards, CASA Executive Director
- DFCS Liaison- Telisa Pooler, CWS 4
- DFCS Liaison- Patricia McClinton, CWS 5
- Carol Williams, Victim Assistant Coordinator – District Attorney Office
- Alba Dubois, Counselor, Grace Church
- Ellis Spikes, Discipline Hearing Officer, Beauregard Parish School Board
- Kim Haynes, Beauregard Parish School Board (*No longer with the panel as of FFY 2017)
- Annette Duplechin, Executive Director, BeauCARE
- Sgt. D. Coker, DeRidder Police Department
- Myrna Cooley, TASC Supervisor (Truancy)
- Eddie Joslin, Beauregard Parish School Board, Designee of Tim Cooley (*As of FFY 2017)

The Citizen Review Panels (CRP) continues to meet in conjunction with the Beauregard Parish Child Advocacy Panel for quarterly meetings. During these meetings, CRP members provide updates to all members present including the Children Advocacy Panel members.

**Summary of the Panel’s Yearly Activities/Projects/Accomplishments:** On December 3, 2015, the panel members held their last meeting for the year. There were only three individuals present during the Advocacy Panel meeting and no discussions were held. The other quarterly meetings were held on March 5, 2015, June 16, 2015, and October 1, 2015.

During the FY 2014-2015, the member’s area of focus was to work on identifying methods that could be used to increase the number of foster homes in Beauregard Parish. A Power Point Training (PPT) presentation was developed and provided for the panel members. Contact was made with DCFS in regards to the PPT for additional insight and input. The CRP members have decided to continue review of the current need for additional foster homes in the parish and the
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benefits of having additional homes, which would bring to the children/families and support agencies in the parish. The CRP panel members were afforded the opportunity to attend the “Beauregard Parish Foster Parent Christmas gathering.” The Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) provided additional items for the children’s gift bags that were provided to the families present. There were several personnel changes implemented during the year; however, orientation for new personnel remains ongoing.

**Identified trends/findings/concerns:** Due to the limited number of current foster homes in the parish, children are often placed outside of the parish and/or their community of origin. This situation places hardship on the families and service providers since it requires frequent travel for involved parties to meet.

**Recommendations:**
1. To utilize additional methods of advertising and recruiting of foster parents for the parish.
2. The continued collaboration and partnership of the agencies that provide services to the children.

**DCFS Response:** *AdoptUSKids*, the Children’s Bureau and the Ad Council is now in its tenth year promoting the “National Adoption Recruitment Campaign” that encourages citizens with this statement: “they don’t have to be perfect to be a perfect family and they have the ability to provide the stability and security that children in foster care need and deserve” (Ad Council). The national website [http://adoptuskids.adcouncil.org/](http://adoptuskids.adcouncil.org/) offers local organizations and state agencies free Public Service Announcements (PSA) materials for localization that could be utilized to raise awareness of the need for foster parenting. The website provides quick and easy access to multiple articles that may be downloaded to enhance your ideas on promoting foster parenting or media material you may be interested in receiving for your community.

**Beauregard Parish Citizen Review Panel Update FFY 2017:** Kim Hayes is no longer a Beauregard Parish Citizen Review Panel Member; However, Eddie Joslin, Beauregard Parish School Board, Designee of Tim Cooley is now a member of the Beauregard Parish Citizen Review Panel.

The Citizen Review Panel (CRP) continues to meet in conjunction with the Beauregard Parish Child Advocacy Panel during the quarterly meetings. Some of the CRP members provide agency updates during the Children Advocacy Panel meeting.

**Summary of the Panel’s Yearly Activities/Projects/Accomplishments:** On October 6, 2016 the eight panel members met and discussed activities for 2016. The following items were discussed and agreed as potential activities to be conducted during the year:

A. Meet with DeRidder Mayor and provide an overview of the CRP program.
B. Gather information on Heart Gallery for adoptions.
C. Address the need for available foster homes and the effects on children and service providers when the children are placed outside of the community.
D. Participation in the Beauregard Parish Parade and Fair.
E. Participation in DeRidder’s “Miracle on Washington Street”. Panel members participated in “Miracle on Washington Street” and distributed information on adoption, foster care and CASA programs.

The panel will continue to review the current need for additional foster homes in the parish. Work will be done with DCFS staff, and the local media and community partners to provide information to members of the community on ways to participate in the process. The CRP members attended the Beauregard Parish Foster Parent Christmas gathering.

**Identified trends/findings/concerns:**

1) Additional distribution of information to the community is needed to increase community awareness in regards to foster care and adoption.

2) A concern with placing children outside of the parish requiring frequent travel for families and service providers.

**Recommendations:**

3. Continue to utilize additional methods of advertising and recruiting of foster parents for the parish.

**DCFS Response:** The panel may wish to continue use of the national website [http://adoptuskids.adcouncil.org/](http://adoptuskids.adcouncil.org/) that offers local organizations and state agencies free Public Service Announcements (PSA) materials for localization that could be utilized to raise awareness of the need for foster parenting. The website continues to provide quick and easy access to multiple articles that may be downloaded to enhance your ideas on promoting foster parenting or media material of interest for the community.

4. Continue collaboration and partnership of the agencies that provide services to the children.

**DCFS Response:** The effective collaboration of this panel would facilitate strategies to achieve common goals of ensuring the child welfare system is meeting the needs of the children in Louisiana. The child welfare system will learn to recognize these differing viewpoints through their contact with professionals with expertise in different areas when the use of effective collaboration is utilized.

**Update FFY 2016:** The Louisiana CRPs continued active engagement with meetings throughout the year as the Department focused on the safety and well-being of children. The Lafayette Panel focused on the retention of child welfare staff. A Focus Group was formed with Child Welfare supervisors to identify strategies that would improve staff retention in Lafayette Region. The Monroe office continued focus on their on-going Life Skills Camp for Youth in Foster Care. The camp continues to offer children life skill preparation to transition from state care to independent living, which is a significant magnitude of foster children’s lives. Monroe CRP has continued plans for subsequent annual camps that included both the Christmas Camp and Sibling Camp which deemed a success and an appreciated effort by Foster Parents and the Foster Care Youth. The Beauregard Panel member’s area of focus was to work on identifying methods that could be utilized to increase the number of foster homes within Beauregard Parish. The CRP members reviewed information that revealed a current need for additional foster homes, which would
provide the necessary homes in meeting the need of the children/families served. A Power Point Training presentation was developed and provided for the panel to review. It provided new ideas on recruiting interested foster families.

The state CRP coordinator continued participation as a member on the CRP National Advisory Board to ensure the importance of making Louisiana CRP(s) effective in communities statewide. Board members were given the opportunity to express their local panel’s concerns during quarterly conference calls involving all state coordinators. Regional coordinators were invited to participate on these calls and were provided pertinent information through emails.

**Update FFY 2017:** The Department’s CRP Coordinator continued to work with the three Louisiana CRPs (Lafayette, Monroe and Beauregard) and the National CRP Coordinator. Information from the National CRP Coordinator regarding free webinars was sent to the Department’s CRP Coordinator. This information was shared with the three Louisiana CRPs to encourage participation in free webinars, such as Your Connection to Resources, Understanding CW Information Gateway, Helping Teens Manage Stress, and The Impact on Domestic Violence on Children. The Louisiana CRP Coordinator has had continued contact with the CRP National Advisory Board through conference calls and emails. A regional meeting was scheduled for April 2017 and requests were submitted for approval to attend the regional meeting; however, it was later learned the meeting would need to be rescheduled. The CPR Annual Report was completed by the Louisiana CRPs for 2016.

On an individual basis, as stated previously in this document, the Lafayette CRP focused its efforts on youth transitioning out of foster care, which included identifying mentoring opportunities for youth in foster care. The Monroe CRP has had some challenges with consistent member participation during the past year. They are looking to re-vitalize the panel and place a continued focus on foster care placement resources. The Beauregard CRP will continue its focus on foster/adoptive awareness within the community.

**Activities Planned for FFY 2018:**
- Provide ongoing support to the three developed panels;
- Work toward the establishment of additional CRPS; and participate in conference calls and/or meetings.
- CRP will provide the state office staff with reports on their projects, areas of focus and concerns.
- Incorporate the CRP’s annual report into the Annual Progress and Service Report.
ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS [Section 106 (b)(2)(D)]: The Department assures that policies and procedures regarding the requirements listed below are in place and can be viewed on the DCFS website at the following address:
https://stellent.dss.state.la.us/LADSS/whatsNewResults.do?agency=OCS&status=Active&numResults=10&sortSpec=dInDate+Desc+dDocTitle+Asc+xStatus+Asc.

Information related to the following can be reviewed in the Louisiana 2017 Annual Progress and Services Report:

- Services to be provided to individuals, families or communities, either directly or through referrals aimed at preventing the occurrence of child abuse and neglect:
- Training to be provided to support staff in report taking, screening assessment, decision making, and referral for investigating suspected instances of child abuse and neglect:
- Training to be provided for individuals required to report suspected cases of child abuse and neglect:

Policies/procedures on involvement of families in decision making pertaining to children who experienced child abuse or neglect: In every child welfare program area policies require staff to involve children and families in making decisions related to their case. For example, DCFS foster care policy 6-205 addresses the Assessment of Family Functioning (AFF) which is a summary of the family’s protective capacities, concerns and problems as perceived by the family and other collaterals. The AFF tool is used to engage families in order to gather information about the child and family as it pertains to the reason the Department is currently involved with the family. Information gathered through the assessment process is used to provide services to the family, including development of a case plan to address identified concerns/problems that led to the abuse and/or neglect of a child.

Policies/procedures that promote/enhance collaboration among child protective services, domestic violence and substance abuse treatment, etc.: Throughout all program areas, departmental policies and procedures require thorough assessments which include the domains of Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, Domestic Violence and Human Trafficking. Staff screen parents/caretakers, adolescents or children under age 12 for mental illness, substance abuse and domestic violence. In some instances specific tools, such as the GAIN-Short Screener, are used by staff. When indicated by the assessment/screening, the parent/caretaker, adolescent or child under age 12 is referred for a mental health and/or substance abuse assessment. In cases where domestic violence is present staff refer the parent to domestic violence services for domestic violence safety planning.

To this end, the Department collaborates with domestic violence service agencies, substance abuse treatment agencies, and other agencies in the delivery of services and treatment to children and families. Child Welfare Performance and Quality Improvement (PQI) policies and procedures outline requirements for collaboration and the Department has developed several MOUs and/or contracts with various state agencies and/or not-for-profit agencies that serve children and families. For additional information on services related to substance exposed newborns (SEN) and the state’s compliance with CARA, please refer to the SEN section of this CAPTA plan.
Policies and procedures regarding the use of differential response: In Louisiana, differential response was referred to as (Alternative Response Family Assessment) ARFA. However, the process was discontinued effective April 2014 when DCFS implemented ASFP (referred to as SFP).

During FFY 2016, the Department changed the name of the front end from Child Protection Investigation (CPI) Program to Child Protection Assessment and Services Program (CPS) using the same safety and risk assessment instruments and documentation protocols for all screened-in reports. All cases, regardless of risk level, are now assessed using the ASFP framework to determine safety, risk and service needs of the family. A unified assessment framework was implemented.

SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES IN STATE LAW: Louisiana state law is fully compliant with all federal legislation related to HT. CARA legislation passed the 2017 legislative session and is awaiting signature of the governor. Rulemaking will be required. There are no other substantive changes in Louisiana state law affecting eligibility for CAPTA funds.

STATE CAPTA COORDINATOR/STATE LIAISON OFFICER: Ms. Mona Michelli serves as the state’s liaison officer. She can be reached by e-mail at mona.michelli.DCFS@la.gov, or by phone at (225)342-6827 or by U.S. post addressed attention to Ms. Michelli, Department of Children and Family Services, P.O. Box 3318, Baton Rouge, LA 70821. Ms. Michelli’s contact information is posted on the DCFS home page under Child Welfare, Plans & Reports.
**Child Protective Service Workforce:** Louisiana DCFS provides Child Welfare (CW) services for children and families of the state beginning from intake through adoption. Child Protective Services (CPS) staff is responsible for the assessment of safety and risk, the assessment of the child and parental protective capacity, and the service provision and/or referral in reports of abuse and neglect. In-home services and out-of-home services are provided to ensure the safety, permanency and well-being of children impacted by abuse/neglect. Staff members are generally assigned to a single parish as well as a single program, but in some instances staff have multi-parish assignments within a region and work in more than one program.

DCFS submits the following demographic information on child welfare personnel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Employee</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>.08%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>.005%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race of Employee</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>.0008%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender of Employee</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HR report includes all CW organization units.

**I. Introduction**

Workforce Development efforts have been underway for a number of years in DCFS, but in CY 2016 DCFS CW developed a steering committee focused on the development and implementation of a Comprehensive Multi-Year Workforce Development Plan. Focus areas include recruitment, training, support, and retention.

**II. Staff Turnover**

A baseline for reducing staff turnover was established by averaging the turnover rate for each region and statewide for Calendar Years (CY) 2012 and 2013. The average turnover rates for those two years are reflected in the table below. The goal for CY 2014 was to reduce the turnover rate by at least 5% in each region with a turnover rate greater than 20%, and by at least 3% in other regions.

Data for CY 2014 is provided along with the change from baseline. The goal of a 5% reduction in turnover was not achieved in any region. Turnover was reduced in only two regions (Covington and Thibodaux). As indicated in the table, the goal was not met statewide, and was achieved in only two regions.
only met in two regions (Covington and Thibodaux). The reduction was less than 3% in each region. Statewide, the turnover rate increased by 8.23%, and increased by more than 10% in Orleans, Baton Rouge, Lafayette and Alexandria Regions. Statewide and in every region except Thibodaux, the turnover rate exceeded 20%, and it approached 50% in Baton Rouge and Orleans Regions. Statewide, the highest average turnover rate was among CPS staff at 42.31% and lowest among Adoptions staff at 7.89%.

The CY 2015 and CY 2016 the turnover rate is provided. In CY 2015, the Orleans and Covington Regions were the only two regions to reduce staff turnover. Orleans showed a significant increase in retention with a 12.99% reduction in turnover. In CY 2016 turnover in the Baton Rouge Region reached a high of 50.65% which shows an increase in turnover of 18.34%.

Statewide staff turnover increased by 3.99% above the baseline during CY 2015 and by 1.57% above the baseline during CY 2016. While turnover continues to be above the baseline rate of 21.32% the turnover rate has been reduced overall since the establishment of the baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>CY 2014</th>
<th>Change from Baseline</th>
<th>CY 2015</th>
<th>Change from Baseline</th>
<th>CY 2016</th>
<th>Change from Baseline</th>
<th>CY 2017</th>
<th>CY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>28.92%</td>
<td>47.56%</td>
<td>18.64%</td>
<td>26.09%</td>
<td>-2.83%</td>
<td>15.93%</td>
<td>-12.99%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>32.31%</td>
<td>46.00%</td>
<td>13.69%</td>
<td>33.80%</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
<td>50.65%</td>
<td>33.80%</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
<td>18.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>22.21%</td>
<td>20.72%</td>
<td>-1.49%</td>
<td>19.74%</td>
<td>-2.47%</td>
<td>21.53%</td>
<td>-6.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>16.45%</td>
<td>14.29%</td>
<td>-2.16%</td>
<td>30.67%</td>
<td>14.22%</td>
<td>17.57%</td>
<td>1.12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>11.57%</td>
<td>26.47%</td>
<td>14.90%</td>
<td>15.07%</td>
<td>3.50%</td>
<td>8.33%</td>
<td>-3.24%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>22.61%</td>
<td>28.30%</td>
<td>5.69%</td>
<td>33.75%</td>
<td>11.75%</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
<td>-3.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>16.36%</td>
<td>35.38%</td>
<td>19.02%</td>
<td>21.88%</td>
<td>5.52%</td>
<td>18.75%</td>
<td>2.39%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>21.22%</td>
<td>25.30%</td>
<td>4.08%</td>
<td>25.83%</td>
<td>4.61%</td>
<td>27.59%</td>
<td>6.37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>25.02%</td>
<td>27.94%</td>
<td>2.92%</td>
<td>33.66%</td>
<td>8.64%</td>
<td>36.54%</td>
<td>11.52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>21.32%</td>
<td>29.55%</td>
<td>8.23%</td>
<td>25.31%</td>
<td>3.99%</td>
<td>22.89%</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Turnover by Length of Service:* Turnover by length of service was not provided for CY 2014 or 2015; however, based on consistent history, approximately 50% of all turnovers occur within the first five years of employment. In CY 2016, 40.01% of turnover statewide occurred in the first three years of employment.

*Supervision and Management Turnover:* Much of the turnover of staff with more than ten years of experience is the result of retirements, and those retiring employees frequently leave supervisory and management positions. As a result, the level of experience at the supervisory and management level remains lower than desired. The table below provides the average number of years of supervisory and management experience for Child Welfare supervisors and managers in each region. Lafayette Region has supervisors with the greatest number of years of supervisory experience, and Thibodaux Region has supervisors with the smallest number of years of supervisory experience. Child Welfare managers in Alexandria Region have the highest number of years of experience, and managers in Baton Rouge and Shreveport Regions have the lowest number of years of management experience.
Information learned in the exit interviews indicate the primary reasons for staff departure were pay, workload, supervision and training.

**A. Pay:** No performance pay adjustments were provided for several consecutive years; however, the time for promotion from the Child Welfare Specialist 1 to Child Welfare Specialist 2 position was reduced from two years to one year. This change resulted in 7% pay increases for front line staff early in their careers. The third change made to resolve the pay issue was an increase in the number of Child Welfare Specialist 3 positions. The increase in number of available Specialist 3 positions resulted in promotions and pay increases for staff who were promoted.

In order to help recruit and retain staff who possess a Master’s Degree in Social Work or a related human services field, DCFS has been utilizing Civil Service approved Special Entrance Rates (SER) since February 2008. Civil Service approved these SERs in order to recruit and retain qualified, experienced child welfare staff. Further, justification must be provided when an applicant without a social work or related degree is hired or promoted when an applicant with such credentials has been passed over.

**B. Workload:** Factors that impact workload include staffing levels, caseload size, extended new worker training, reassignment of staff, higher expectations for performance and quality, Family Medical Leave, and overtime. Each of these factors is addressed below along with rewards and recognition:

1. **Staffing Levels:** Every effort will be made to maintain current staffing levels for child welfare during the next five budget years.

5. **Caseload Size:** The caseloads for experienced and new workers are shown in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Average Years of Supervisory Experience for First Line CW Supervisors</th>
<th>Average Years of Management Experience for CW Managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Caseload Standard for Experienced Workers</th>
<th>Maximum Caseload for Workers with Less than Six Months Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The baseline for caseload size is the average caseload for each region and statewide in each of the major child welfare programs for FFY 2013. The goal for caseload size is to achieve the caseload standard in all programs in all regions and statewide by FFY 2018. Retaining staff and rapid hiring to replace departed workers are expected to support caseload size standards.

The baseline for caseload size is the average caseload for each region and statewide in each of the major child welfare programs for FFY 2013. The goal for caseload size is to achieve the caseload standard in all programs in all regions and statewide by FFY 2018. Retaining staff and rapid hiring to replace departed workers are expected to support caseload size standards.

The table below provides the caseload standard for experienced workers in child welfare program areas: Child Protective Services (CPS), Family Services (FS), Foster Care (FC) and Adoptions (AD). It provides the average caseload per worker in each region and statewide during Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2014. Statewide, the average caseload size declined from FFY 2013 for CPS, AD and HD. Statewide average caseload sizes were at or below the established caseload standards in FS, AD and HD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>HD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STD = 10</td>
<td>STD = 15</td>
<td>STD = 10</td>
<td>STD = 15</td>
<td>STD = 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>48.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>16.88</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>59.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>49.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>37.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>45.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>13.96</td>
<td>56.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>50.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>16.48</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>93.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>56.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.22</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.80</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.89</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.48</strong></td>
<td><strong>53.79</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table below provides the caseload standard for experienced workers in child welfare program areas: Child Protective Services (CPS), Family Services (FS), Foster Care (FC) and Adoptions (AD). It provides the average caseload per worker in each region and statewide during Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015. Statewide, the average number of cases per worker was below the caseload standard in all programs except Foster Care and Home Development. However, because of the turnover rate, time required to replace and train new workers, etc., actual caseloads for experienced workers were higher than the average caseload.
### Average Caseload Size by Region and Statewide FFY 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>CPI STD = 10</th>
<th>FS STD = 15</th>
<th>FC STD = 10</th>
<th>AD STD = 15</th>
<th>HD STD = 55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>15.94</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>53.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>63.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>60.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>47.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>48.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>18.16</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>15.36</td>
<td>69.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>59.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>16.24</td>
<td>75.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>50.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.88</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.99</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.78</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.01</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.41</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table below provides the caseload standard for experienced workers in child welfare program areas: Child Protective Services (CPS), Family Services (FS), Foster Care (FC), Adoptions (AD), and Home Development (HD). In addition, it provides the average caseload per worker in each region and statewide during FFY 2016. Statewide, the average number of cases per worker was above the caseload standard for all programs. The turnover rate and the time required to hire and to train new workers continue to impact staff caseloads.

### Average Caseload Size by Region and Statewide FFY 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>CPS STD = 10</th>
<th>FS STD = 15</th>
<th>FC STD = 10</th>
<th>AD STD = 15</th>
<th>HD STD = 55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>59.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>22.19</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>67.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>62.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>22.13</td>
<td>71.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>47.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>18.11</td>
<td>66.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>68.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>67.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>80.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.67</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.40</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.35</strong></td>
<td><strong>65.82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As indicated in the table below, CPS caseloads declined slightly statewide and in all regions from FFY 2014 to FFY 2015. From FFY 2015 to FFY 2016, an 8% increase was seen statewide. Thibodaux saw the greatest increase, 17.35% from FFY 2015 to FFY 2016. The average number of cases per worker was below the standard in Orleans and Lafayette regions, but above the caseload standard in all other regions. CPS caseloads increased in six regions: Baton Rouge, Covington, Thibodaux, Alexandria, Shreveport, and Monroe. Caseloads declined in three regions. Actual caseloads for experienced workers are higher than the overall average due to new workers having lower caseloads (caseload standard for new workers is seven during their first six months of employment and veteran workers carrying higher caseloads to compensate. This causes the average caseload to appear lower than that which workers may be experiencing at any given time.
Family Services caseloads increased 10.08% statewide from FFY 2015 to FFY 2016. FFY 2016 increased 13.4% from the baseline and now exceeds the caseload standard of 15. The FS caseload standard was exceeded in three regions: Orleans, Baton Rouge, and Lake Charles. Baton Rouge experienced the most significant increase, 49.22% from FFY 2015 to FFY 2016, and a 63.4% from the statewide baseline. All other regions were below the caseload standard. FS caseloads in Monroe Regions declined from the statewide baseline; FS caseloads remain above the statewide baseline in all other regions.

Statewide, FC caseloads remained above the caseload standard and increased remained higher than baseline, 9.48%, and increased slightly from FFY 2015 (3.9%). FC caseloads decreased from the statewide baseline in Baton Rouge, Covington, and Alexandria Regions and increased from the statewide baseline in all other regions. All regions except Lafayette had average FC caseload sizes that exceeded the caseload standard.
Foster Care Caseloads (Standard = 10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FFY 2014</th>
<th>FFY 2015</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>11.89</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statewide, adoption caseloads in FFY 2016 increased from the FFY 2015 caseload average by 31%. Further, statewide adoption caseloads increased 7.56% from the baseline. Adoption caseload size decreased from the baseline in 3 regions; Orleans, Lake Charles, and Alexandria. Adoption caseloads exceeded the established caseload standard in Baton Rouge, Covington, Thibodaux, Lake Charles, Shreveport, and Monroe. Only 3 regions were below the established standard.

Adoption Caseloads (Standard = 15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FFY 2014</th>
<th>FFY 2015</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>21.28</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>16.26</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>17.77</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>22.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>18.72</td>
<td>13.96</td>
<td>15.36</td>
<td>18.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>16.24</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>12.48</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Home Development caseloads declined from the baseline statewide in four regions; Orleans, Baton Rouge, Covington, and Shreveport. Average caseloads fell within the established caseload standard in only one region, Lafayette. During FFY 2016, DCFS made the certification of relatives and non-relatives serving as placement for foster children a main priority. This is reflective in the significant increase in Home Development caseloads (14.6%) over FFY 2015. Monroe and Thibodaux experienced the most significant caseload increase from FFY 2015; Monroe increased 60.4% over the previous year, and Thibodaux increased 51.5%.

Home Development Caseloads (Standard = 55)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FFY 2014</th>
<th>FFY 2015</th>
<th>FFY 2016</th>
<th>FFY 2017</th>
<th>FFY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>48.55</td>
<td>53.97</td>
<td>59.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>59.94</td>
<td>63.37</td>
<td>67.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>49.99</td>
<td>60.98</td>
<td>62.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>37.79</td>
<td>47.04</td>
<td>71.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>45.57</td>
<td>48.26</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>56.38</td>
<td>69.25</td>
<td>66.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>50.96</td>
<td>59.43</td>
<td>68.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>93.35</td>
<td>75.98</td>
<td>67.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>56.11</td>
<td>50.47</td>
<td>80.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>61.37</td>
<td>53.79</td>
<td>57.41</td>
<td>65.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Training - Extended New Worker Training: The Department plans to continue the extended training and reduced caseloads for new workers for the first six months of employment.
This is recognized as an important practice to assure that new workers are fully prepared for the challenging careers child welfare offers. Achieving the goal of improved retention of staff will significantly reduce the impact that extended new worker training has on the caseloads of experienced workers. DCFS CW staff expects the supervisory training to result in better preparation of new staff and reduce the high rate of turnover among staff with three or fewer years of experience. (For additional information on New Worker Training and supervisory training, please refer to the Staff Training Systemic Factor and Training Plan sections of this plan.)

**D. Supervision:** Increasing the knowledge level of supervisors with limited supervisory experience is a priority of the Department (For additional information on supervisory training please refers to the Systemic Factor – Staff Training and the Training Plan portion of this plan.) The table below provides the average number of years of supervisory and management experience for Child Welfare supervisors and managers in each region. Lafayette Region has supervisors with the greatest number of years of supervisory experience, and Thibodaux Region has supervisors with the smallest number of years of supervisory experience. Child Welfare managers in Alexandria Region have the highest number of years of experience, and managers in Baton Rouge and Shreveport Regions have the lowest number of years of management experience. In seven of the nine regions, the average number of years of supervisory experience is less than five; and in five regions, the average number of years of management experience is less than five.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Average Years of Supervisory Experience for First Line CW Supervisors</th>
<th>Average Years of Management Experience for CW Managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to focus more directly on improving the skills of inexperienced supervisors and managers, the Department has begun providing training specifically focused on this group. The table below provides the number of supervisors in each region, the number of supervisors with three years of experience or less as a supervisor and the percentage of supervisors with three years of experience or less. As noted in the table, 60% of supervisors statewide have three years or less of experience in that role. Alexandria Region has the highest percentage of supervisors with little experience at 87.5%. Covington is the only region with less than 40% of supervisors having more than three years of experience.
The table below provides the number of Child Welfare Managers in each region along with the number and percentage of managers who have three years or less of experience as a manager. Statewide, nearly 70% of managers have three years or less experience as a manager. In three regions (Baton Rouge, Lake Charles and Shreveport) all managers have three years or less experience. Monroe is the only region where all child welfare managers have more than three years of experience.

## Managers with Three Years or Less Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Total CW Managers</th>
<th>Number of Managers Under Three Years’ Experience</th>
<th>Percentage of Managers Under Three Years’ Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide</strong></td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>64.47%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to lack of experience in their current roles, not all supervisors and managers have social work degrees. The table below provides the number of first line child welfare supervisors in each region, the number who have a bachelor’s degree in social work and in a related field and the percentage of supervisors in each region who have a Bachelor’s degree in social work or a
related field. Orleans Region has the highest percentage of supervisors with a BSW or related degree. Thibodaux Region has the lowest percentage of supervisors with a BSW or related degree. Lafayette Region reported only MSW degrees for supervisors and Managers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Supervisors</th>
<th># BSW</th>
<th># Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>Total BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>% BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>94.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>84.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>84.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>86.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2015, regions self-reported social work and related degrees; based on the decline in BSW and related degree supervisors from 2015 to 2016, it appears that regions reported supervisors in both the bachelor’s and master’s categories. In 2016, degree data was obtained through Human Resources and only the highest degree is captured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Supervisors</th>
<th># BSW</th>
<th># Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>Total BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>% BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>93.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Supervisors</th>
<th># BSW</th>
<th># Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>Total BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>% BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>93.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>67.09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The percentage of supervisors with master’s degrees in social work or a related field was lower than desired in 2015. In Lake Charles Region only 15% of supervisors hold such degrees.

### Child Welfare Supervisors with MSW or Related Field as of March 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Supervisors</th>
<th># MSW</th>
<th># Related Master’s</th>
<th>Total MSW or Related Master’s</th>
<th>% MSW or Related Master’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>77.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>57.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide</strong></td>
<td><strong>150</strong></td>
<td><strong>60</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
<td><strong>62</strong></td>
<td><strong>45.11%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of supervisors with master’s degrees in social work or a related field continues to be lower than desired. In 2016, less than 25% of supervisors have such degrees in three regions (Thibodaux, Lake Charles and Alexandria),

### Child Welfare Supervisors with MSW or Related Field as of April 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Supervisors</th>
<th># MSW</th>
<th># Related Master’s</th>
<th>Total MSW or Related Master’s</th>
<th>% MSW or Related Master’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>78.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide</strong></td>
<td><strong>158</strong></td>
<td><strong>52</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.03%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Child Welfare Supervisors with MSW or Related Field as of April 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Supervisors</th>
<th># MSW</th>
<th># Related Master’s</th>
<th>Total MSW or Related Master’s</th>
<th>% MSW or Related Master’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>78.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide</strong></td>
<td><strong>158</strong></td>
<td><strong>50</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td><strong>66</strong></td>
<td><strong>60.03%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The percentage of child welfare managers with social work or related bachelor’s degrees is at 100% in three regions, but is but is at 33% in three other regions, as indicated in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Managers</th>
<th># BSW</th>
<th># Related Bachelors</th>
<th>Total BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>% BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54.55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As noted in the table below, the number of managers with bachelor’s level social work or related degrees decreased by about 20% statewide from 2015 to 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Managers</th>
<th># BSW</th>
<th># Related Bachelors</th>
<th>Total BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>% BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Managers</th>
<th># BSW</th>
<th># Related Bachelors</th>
<th>Total BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
<th>% BSW or Related Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35.14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All child welfare managers in Orleans, Lake Charles and Shreveport Regions hold master’s degrees in social work or a related field, and the lowest percentage of master’s level managers is in Thibodaux Region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Managers</th>
<th># MSW</th>
<th># Related Master’s</th>
<th>Total MSW or Related Master’s</th>
<th>% MSW or Related Master’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>69.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statewide, over 60% of managers have master’s degrees in social work or a related field. All managers in Orleans Region hold such a degree and 75% or more of managers in Lafayette, Alexandria and Shreveport have such degree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Managers</th>
<th># MSW</th>
<th># Related Master’s</th>
<th>Total MSW or Related Master’s</th>
<th>% MSW or Related Master’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>61.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of CW Managers</th>
<th># MSW</th>
<th># Related Master’s</th>
<th>Total MSW or Related Master’s</th>
<th>% MSW or Related Master’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thibodaux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The lack of experience among supervisory staff is being mitigated through quarterly supervisory training and support from Casey Family Programs to identify needed training and support for supervisors.

D. Training: The Louisiana Child Welfare Training Academy has provided staff and provider training since FFY 2014. Please refer to the Systemic Factor on Staff Training and the Training Plan portions of this document for details.

III. How Staff is Recruited and Selected

The Department has developed a steering committee focused on workforce development. Further, DCFS has applied for a grant with the federal Quality Improvement Center for Workforce Development (QIC-WD) and has been approved for further exploration in Phase II of the process. An on-site meeting between DCFS CW staff and the QIC-WD is scheduled for July 20, 2017. DCFS CW has adopted an evidence-based practice of screening and selecting entry level staff and the process was rolled out statewide on March 1, 2017.

As a Louisiana state agency DCFS is required to follow the rules set forth by the Department of Civil Service in accordance with Article X, Section 7 of the Louisiana Constitution. Employment practices are to be based on “merit, efficiency, fitness and length of service”.

In an effort to recruit interested and qualified applicants for vacancies, staff intermittently contact job placement offices and/or attend college/university Career Fairs and State Agency Career Days. On an as needed basis, the Department may broadcast job opportunities on radio stations, post flyers at job service offices, place job vacancy advertisements in the newspaper, or place job vacancy advertisements on websites (i.e., BetterBatonRougejob.com, Monster.com, Career Builders, or , etc.).

As required, the Department posts vacant positions to the Civil Service LA Careers on-line system, interested individuals apply, and, from these applications, a certificate of eligible candidates that meet the qualification requirements for the job is developed and provided to hiring managers. At times throughout the year preference is given to hiring Title IV-E stipend students into Child Welfare Specialist jobs upon graduation to gain the benefit of their interest in child welfare and their child-welfare specific social work education, along with encouraging their long term careers in child welfare.

Hiring managers and supervisors began using an evidence based screening and selection process for entry level staff on March 1, 2017. Reference checks as well as legally required background checks and drug testing are completed on all selected individuals before making any final employment offers. Once hired, new employees must complete a probationary or “working test”
period for a minimum of twelve months during which performance of duties is closely monitored and evaluated to ensure at least satisfactory performance.

During FFY 2015, DCFS executive staff met with Civil Service to determine whether pay incentives could be implemented in regions with the highest turnover. Such mechanisms were available, but funding limitations precluded implementation of those mechanisms. Currently, DCFS is exploring other options for addressing staffs’ needs and specific work with Civil Service on recruitment has been initiated.

IV. Education and Experience Requirements for Child Welfare Workers and Other Professionals Responsible for the Management of Cases and Child Welfare Staff

During FFY 2017, the Department moved forward with a return to separate structures for child welfare and other DCFS programs.

Classified Social Services Positions Specific to Child Welfare:

Social Services Analyst positions are used in IV-E Eligibility Determination Units:

Social Services Analyst 1 (SS410)
- Bachelor’s degree
- Degree may be substituted with
  - Eight years full time work experience
  - Combination of education and work experience
  - 15-29 semester hours substitutes of one year of experience
  - 30-44 semester hours substitutes of two years of experience
  - 45-59 semester hours substitutes of three years of experience
  - 60-74 semester hours substitutes of four years of experience
  - 70-89 semester hours substitutes of five years of experience
  - 90-94 more semester hours substitutes of six years of experience

Social Services Analyst 2 (SS411)
- Bachelor’s degree plus one year professional social services experience
- Degree may be substituted with
  - Eight years full time work experience
  - Combination of education and work experience
  - 15-29 semester hours substitutes of one year of experience
  - 30-44 semester hours substitutes of two years of experience
  - 45-59 semester hours substitutes of three years of experience
  - 60-74 semester hours substitutes of four years of experience
  - 70-89 semester hours substitutes of five years of experience
  - 90-94 more semester hours substitutes of six years of experience

- Bachelor’s degree in social work may be substituted for one year of the required experience
- Master’s degree in social work or related field may be substituted for all of the required experience
Social Services Analyst 3 (SS413)

- Bachelor’s degree plus two years of professional social services experience
- Degree may be substituted with
  - Eight years full time work experience
  - Combination of education and work experience
  - 15-29 semester hours substitutes of one year of experience
  - 30-44 semester hours substitutes of two years of experience
  - 45-59 semester hours substitutes of three years of experience
  - 60-74 semester hours substitutes of four years of experience
  - 70-89 semester hours substitutes of five years of experience
  - 90-94 more semester hours substitutes of six years of experience
- Bachelor’s degree in social work may be substituted for one year of the required experience
- Master’s degree in social work or related field may be substituted for all of the required experience

Social Services Counselor Positions are used in the Adoption Petitions Program.

Social Services Counselor 1 (SS410)

- Bachelor’s degree
- Degree may be substituted with
  - Eight years full time work experience
  - Combination of education and work experience
  - 15-29 semester hours substitutes of one year of experience
  - 30-44 semester hours substitutes of two years of experience
  - 45-59 semester hours substitutes of three years of experience
  - 60-74 semester hours substitutes of four years of experience
  - 70-89 semester hours substitutes of five years of experience
  - 90-94 more semester hours substitutes of six years of experience
- Bachelor’s degree in social work may be substituted for one year of the required experience
- Graduate credit in social work or related field may be substituted for the required professional social services experience on the basis of thirty semester hours for one year of experience

Social Services Counselor 2 (SS411)

- Bachelor’s degree plus one year professional social services experience
- Degree may be substituted with
  - Eight years full time work experience
  - Combination of education and work experience
  - 15-29 semester hours substitutes of one year of experience
  - 30-44 semester hours substitutes of two years of experience
  - 45-59 semester hours substitutes of three years of experience
  - 60-74 semester hours substitutes of four years of experience
  - 70-89 semester hours substitutes of five years of experience
  - 90-94 more semester hours substitutes of six years of experience

- Bachelor’s degree in social work may be substituted for one year of the required experience
- Graduate credit in social work or related field may be substituted for the required professional social services experience on the basis of thirty semester hours for one year of experience
Child Welfare Specialist positions are used in front-line service for Child Protective Services, Family Services, Foster Care, Adoptions and Home Development Programs:

**Child Welfare Specialist Trainee (SS411)**
- Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field
- Bachelor’s degree in unrelated field with one year professional social services work
- Master’s degree in unrelated field
- Participation in Title IV-E Child Welfare Training and Curriculum Development Project and graduation with a baccalaureate degree in Social Work

**Child Welfare Specialist 1 (SS412)**
- Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field plus one year professional social services experience
- Bachelor’s degree in unrelated field with two years professional social services experience
- Master’s degree in social work or related field
- Master’s degree in unrelated field plus one year professional social services experience

**Child Welfare Specialist 2 (SS414)**
- Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field plus three years of professional social service experience, two years of which must have been in child welfare
- Bachelor’s degree in unrelated field plus four years professional social services experience, two years of which must have been in child welfare
- Master’s degree in social work or related field plus two years of professional child welfare experience
- Master’s degree in unrelated field plus three years professional social services experience, two years of which must have been in child welfare

**Child Welfare Specialist 3 (SS415)**
- Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field plus four years of professional social services, three years of which must have been in child welfare.
- Bachelor’s degree in an unrelated field plus five years professional social services experience, three years of which must have been in child welfare.
- Master’s degree in social work or related field plus three years professional child welfare experience.
- Master’s degree in non-related field plus four years professional social services experience, three years of which must have been in child welfare.

Effective June 21, 2013, the name of the Child Welfare Specialist 4 position was changed to Child Welfare Supervisor (SS417). Incumbents in this position supervise units of professional child welfare staff including IV-E analysts and may supervise some clerical staff. Along with the change in position title, the total years of required experience was reduced while the number of years of child welfare experience was increased for most educational levels.
- Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field plus four years of professional social services experience, four years of which must have been in child welfare.
Bachelor’s degree in unrelated field plus five years of professional social services experience, four years of which must have been in child welfare, including three years of the child welfare experience at the journeyman level.

- Master’s degree in social work or related field plus three years of professional child welfare experience.
- Master’s degree in unrelated field plus four years of professional social services experience, three years of which must have been in child welfare.

Effective June 21, 2013, the name of the Child Welfare Specialist 5A position was changed to Child Welfare Consultant (SS418). Incumbents in this position serve as regional consultants and placement specialists in the field. At the state level, incumbents serve program consultants, child welfare trainers and data consultants in the systems unit. Qualifications have changed to reduce the number of years of required child welfare experience.

- Master’s degree in social work plus three years of professional child welfare experience.
- Master’s degree in related field plus three years of professional child welfare experience.
- Bachelor’s degree in social work plus four years of professional social services experience, three years of which must have been in child welfare.

Effective June 21, 2013, the name of the Child Welfare Specialist 6 (SS420) and Family Support Parish Manager (SS419) positions were changed to Child Welfare Manager 1 (SS420). Incumbents in this position serve as state level program, contract, eligibility and training managers and as regional level operations managers, supervising Child Welfare Supervisors. Qualifications changes include reducing the total social services experience required while increasing the required child welfare experience. Prior supervisory experience and substitutions for college degrees were removed from the qualifications.

- Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field plus five years of professional social services experience, four years of which must have been in child welfare.
- Bachelor’s degree in unrelated field plus six years of professional social services experience, four years of which must have been in child welfare.
- Master’s degree in social work or related field plus four years of professional child welfare experience, two years of which must have been at the supervisory level.
- Master’s degree in unrelated field plus five years of professional social service experience, four years of which must have been in child welfare.

The DCFS Area Director (SS421) is responsible for DCFS child welfare programs within each region.

- Bachelor’s degree plus six years professional level social services experience, including three years at the supervisory level in Child Support Enforcement, Child Welfare or Economic Stability programs.
- Candidates without a bachelor’s degree may combine work experience and college credit to substitute for the bachelor’s degree as follows:
  - 30-59 semester hours for one year of experience
  - 60-89 semester hours for two years of experience
  - 90-119 semester hours for three years of experience
  - 120 or more semester hours for four years of experience
Graduate training with less than a master’s degree in social work or behavioral sciences may be substituted for a maximum of one year of the required general experience on the basis of thirty semester hours for one year of experience.

Master’s degree in social work or behavioral sciences may be substituted for one year of the required general experience.

A Juris Doctorate will substitute for one year of the required general experience.

Graduate training with less than a Ph.D. will substitute for a maximum of one year of the required general experience.

A Ph.D. in social work or a related field will substitute for two years of the required general experience.

The DCFS Regional Administrator (SS 423) position was changed to be specific to child welfare. The incumbent in this position is responsible for all DCFS programs and administrative functions in a defined geographical area of the state. Changes in qualifications included increasing the number of semester hours required as a substitution for experience.

Bachelor’s degree plus seven years professional level social services experience, four years of which must have been at the supervisory level in Child Support Enforcement, Child Welfare or Economic Stability Programs.

Candidates without a bachelor’s degree may combine work experience and college credit to substitute for the bachelor’s degree as follows:

- 30-59 semester hours for one year of experience
- 60-89 semester hours for two years of experience
- 90-119 semester hours for three years of experience
- 120 or more semester hours for four years of experience

Graduate training with less than a master’s degree in social work or behavioral sciences may be substituted for a maximum of one year of the required general experience on the basis of thirty semester hours for one year of experience.

Master’s degree in social work or behavioral sciences may be substituted for one year of the required general experience.

A Juris Doctorate will substitute for one year of the required general experience.

Graduate training with less than a Ph.D. will substitute for a maximum of one year of the required general experience.

A Ph.D. in social work or a related field will substitute for two years of the required general experience.

Effective June 21, 2013, the name of the Child Welfare Executive Manager position was changed to Child Welfare Manager 2 (SS422). Incumbents in this position are responsible for several child welfare programs at the state level and supervise the Child Welfare Manager 1 position. Changes in qualifications include reduction of the number of years of general and child welfare social services experience and removal of the requirement for prior supervisory experience.

Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field plus five years of professional level experience in social services, four years of which must have been in child welfare.

Bachelor’s degree in unrelated field plus six years of professional social services experience, four years of which must have been in child welfare.
• Master’s degree in social work or related field plus four years of professional child welfare social services experience.
• Master’s degree in unrelated field plus five years of professional social services experience, four years of which must have been in child welfare.

The Child Welfare Administrator position was changed to Child Welfare Director (SS423) prior to submission of the 2012 Annual Progress and Services Review, but the title change was not noted in that document. The incumbent in this position serves as the statewide director of all child welfare programs. Qualifications have been changed to include the following:
• Bachelor’s degree in social work or related field plus six years professional experience in social services, five years of which must have been in child welfare including two years at the supervisory level.
• Bachelor’s degree in an unrelated field plus seven years of professional social services experience, five years of which must have been in child welfare including two years at the supervisory level.
• Master’s degree in social work or a related field plus five years of professional child welfare experience, two years of which must have been at the supervisory level.
• Master’s degree in an unrelated field plus six years of professional level experience in social services, five years of which must have been in child welfare including two years at the supervisory level.

Classified Administrative Services Positions that Support Child Welfare:

• The Program Specialist-Social Services now has job-specific sub-categories of Economic Stability, Disability Determinations and Child Support Enforcement. The pay classification was changed from administrative (AS 615) to social services (SS417). This position is no longer used in child welfare or to support child welfare.

The Executive Staff Officer (AS616) position had minor changes in qualifications as noted below.
• Bachelor’s degree plus three years professional level experience in business Administration, government, law, public administration, planning, or social services. Three years of the experience must have been at the advanced journeyman level.
• Six years of full time work experience in any field may be substituted for the required bachelor’s degree only.
• Candidates without a bachelor’s degree may combine work experience and college credit to substitute for the bachelor’s degree as follows:
  o 30-59 semester hours for one year of experience  
  o 60-89 semester hours for two years of experience  
  o 90-119 semester hours for three years of experience  
  o 120 or more semester hours for four years of experience
• Graduate work in qualifying fields will substitute for the general experience on the basis of thirty semester hours for one year of experience.
The Program Coordinator – Social Services position was changed to the Program Consultant – Social Services (AS618). Qualifications are provided above in child welfare-specific job classifications.

The Program Manager position has been expanded and now includes Program Manager 1 through 4 positions, and the pay scale has been changed from Administrative Services (AS) to Social Services (SS). These positions are used for various levels of management functions throughout the Department. Qualifications for the Program Manager 1 – Social Services (SS419) have changed as follows:

- Bachelor’s degree plus four years professional experience in business operations, economics, public health, public relations, research and evaluation or in providing social services or health services; one year of the experience must have been at the advanced level or above.
- Six years of full time work experience in any field may be substituted for the required bachelor’s degree only.
- Candidates without a bachelor’s degree may combine work experience and college credit to substitute for the bachelor’s degree as follows:
  - 30-59 semester hours for one year of experience
  - 60-89 semester hours for two years of experience
  - 90-119 semester hours for three years of experience
  - 120 or more semester hours for four years of experience
- Graduate work in qualifying fields will substitute for the general experience on the basis of thirty semester hours for one year of experience.
- Juris Doctorate will substitute for two years of required experience.
- Master’s degree in any of above fields will substitute for two years of experience.
- Ph.D. in above fields will substitute for three years of experience.
- Graduate training will not substitute for more than three years of the general experience.

Qualifications for the Program Manager 2 – Social Services (SS421), Program Manager 3 – Social Services (SS422), Program Manager 4 – Social Services (SS423) are the same as the Program Manager 1 except that five years of professional experience are required.

Attorney 2 (AS618)
- Possession of a license to practice law in the state of Louisiana plus two years of experience as a practicing attorney

Attorney 3 (AS620)
- Possession of a license to practice law in the state of Louisiana, plus three years of experience as a practicing attorney

Attorney Supervisor (AS622)
- Possession of a license to practice law in the state of Louisiana, plus five years of experience as a practicing attorney

Deputy General Counsel 2 (AS 624)
- Possession of a license to practice law in Louisiana, plus six years of experience as a practicing attorney
Executive Management Officer 3 (AS622)
- Bachelor’s degree plus five years professional level experience in business administration, government, law, public administration, planning, or social services. Two years of the experience must have been at the advanced journeyman level.
- Eight years of full time work experience in any field may be substituted for the required bachelor’s degree only.
- Candidates without a bachelor’s degree may combine work experience and college credit to substitute for the bachelor’s degree as follows:
  - 30-59 semester hours for one year of experience
  - 60-89 semester hours for two years of experience
  - 90-119 semester hours for three years of experience
  - 120 or more semester hours for four years of experience
- Graduate work in qualifying fields will substitute for the general experience on the basis of thirty semester hours for one year of experience.

The Family Support Program Executive Director (AS 624) position is no longer used and has been replaced in most instances by the Program Manager 4 – Social Services (SS423) described above.

Deputy Assistant Secretary 3 (AS 626)
- Three years of experience which involved the second line supervision of professionals, the supervision of professionals functioning as consultants or resource persons to an agency/company or the management or direction of a section or of a division program.
- As these positions are with agencies that have different functions and goals which call for specialized areas of knowledge, selective certification will be considered when filling these positions.

Unclassified Positions

Deputy Secretary of Operations
- Unclassified Position – No minimum qualifications

Deputy Secretary of Programs
- Unclassified Position – No minimum qualifications

Executive Counsel
- Unclassified Position – No minimum qualifications

Undersecretary
- Unclassified Position – No minimum qualifications

Secretary
- Unclassified Position – No minimum qualifications
V. Certifications Required for Child Welfare Workers and other Professionals Responsible for the Management of Cases and Child Welfare Staff:

Licensing requirements for social workers are not expected to change during the next five years, and are expected to remain as described below. DCFS has encouraged master’s level social workers to work toward the Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW) credential by providing preparatory courses for staff to prepare for the licensure exam. In order to increase LCSWs, it is necessary for the Department to have more Board Approved Clinical Supervisors (BACS). To that end, the Child Welfare Training Academy has continued to encourage LCSW credentialed staff to become BACS by paying for the training.

The Louisiana Social Work Practice Act requires that any individual with a degree in social work (at the undergraduate or graduate level) who is practicing social work in Louisiana must be credentialed by the Louisiana State Board of Social Work Examiners.

Social work practice is defined in the Act as the professional application of social work values, theories, and interventions to one or more of the following: enhancing the development, problem-solving, and coping capacities of people; promoting the effective and humane operations of systems that provide resources and services to people; linking people with systems that provide them with resources, services, and opportunities; developing and improving social policy; and engaging in research related to the professional activities. The practice of social work includes but is not limited to clinical social work, planning and community organization, policy and administration, research, and social work education.

All professional level employees of the DCFS who hold a degree in Social Work must be credentialed at one of five levels:

- **Registered Social Workers (RSW)** are persons who hold a Bachelor’s or Master’s degree from a social work program accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE).
- **Certified Social Workers (CSW)** are persons who hold a Master’s Degree in Social Work and apply to become LMSW’s. They are credentialed as CSW’s upon approval of their application to become LMSW’s and retain Certified Social Worker credential until they pass the LMSW exam and become licensed.
- **Licensed Master Social Workers (LMSW)** is persons who hold a Master’s degree in Social Work from a university accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) and have passed an exam approved by the Board.
- **Licensed Clinical Social Workers (LCSW)** are persons who hold a Master's degree in Social Work from a CSWE accredited university, have completed at least 5760 hours of postgraduate social work practice, of which at least 3840 hours was completed under the supervision of board-approved clinical supervisor, and have passed an exam approved by the Louisiana Board of Social Work Examiners.
- **Board Approved Clinical Supervisors (BACS)** are persons who hold a Master's degree in Social Work from a CSWE accredited university, hold the LCSW license, have completed at least three years of full-time social work experience at the LCSW level, and have participated in a board approved workshop on the theory and techniques of supervision as well as procedures used in supervision toward licensure.
All staff that holds a Bachelor’s degree in Social Work holds an RSW, the only credential available to Bachelor’s level social workers. The percentage of staff holding a master’s degree in Social Work by license type is shown in the following table. The percentage of master’s level staff who is Registered Social Workers has increased and/or remained steady while the percentage of those who are Licensed Clinical Social Workers has mostly declined until 2016. Licensure data includes only supervisors and managers. The number of Board Approved Clinical Supervisors increased slightly from 2012 to 2013, declined in 2014 and 2015 and showed a slight increase in 2016 due to the concerted efforts of DCFS to assist staff in getting that training and level of licensure. DCFS continues to recruit staff with social work degrees and encourage attainment of higher levels of licensure among staff with Master’s degrees in social work.

VI. Demographic Information on Current Staff and Recent Hires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>RSW</th>
<th>CSW</th>
<th>LMSW</th>
<th>LCSW</th>
<th>LCSW W/BACS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>.05%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>.53%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>.50%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Education

The Child Welfare Training Academy conducted a study of the IV-E Stipend Program in Louisiana. The study included exploration of a plan to provide stipends for current DCFS employees to obtain a MSW. As a result of the study, DCFS is currently developing policy and procedure to resume this program. Meanwhile, a focus on hiring professional level social work staff with social work degrees has continued and DCFS has implemented an evidence-based screening and selection process for entry level staff. These new screening and selection procedures and tools were implemented effective 3/1/2017. The Department continues to work with Louisiana’s universities to attract and recruit students to participate in the IV-E stipend program.